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A partial spline model is a model for a response as a function of several variables, which is the sum of a "smooth" function of sevaral variables and a parametric function of the same plus possibly some other variables. Partial spline models in one and several variables, with direct and indirect data, with Gaussian errors and as an extension of GLIM to partially penalized GLIM models are described. Application to the modelling of change of regime in several variables is described. Interaction splines are introduced and described and their potential use for modelling nonlinear interactions between variables by semiparametric methods is noted. Reference is made to recent work in efficient computational methods.

## 1. Introduction

Partial spline models have proved to be interesting both from a practical and a theoretical point of view, partly because of their dual nature both as solutions to certain intuitively reasonable variational problems, and as Bayes estimates with certain parsimonious priors. In these proceedings we will attempt to give a quick rundown concerning some of their more interesting manifestations, and to report briefly on two new developments, first, the use of partial spline models to describe discontinuities or changes of regime, in two, three and higher dimensions, and, second, the idea of interaction splines for use in studying nonlinear interactions between variables semiparametrically.
2. Partial spline models - one splined variable

A response as a function of the variables $x, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}$ is modelled as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=f(x(i))+\sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_{j} \Psi_{j}(x(i) ; z(i))+\varepsilon_{i} \tag{2.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(i)=\left(z_{1}(i), \ldots, z_{k}(i)\right) \tag{2.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $\Psi_{j}$ 's are given parametric functions and the $\varepsilon_{i}$ 's are independent, zero mean Gaussian random variables with common (unknown) variance. The estimate ( $f_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}$ ), where $\theta_{\lambda}=\left(\theta_{1 \lambda}, \ldots, \theta_{p} \lambda\right)$, is found as the minimizer, in an appropriate space, of

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-f(x(i))-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_{j} \Psi_{j}(x(i) ; z(i))\right)^{2}+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda I_{m}(f) \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}(f)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(f^{(m)}(x)\right)^{2} d x \tag{2.2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following

Theorem: (Kimeldorf and Wahba (1971) - KW ) Let $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{m}$ span the null space of $J_{m}$. If the design matrix for least squares regression on span $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{m} ; \Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{p}$ is of full column rank, then there exists a unique minimizer $\left(f_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}\right)$ for any $\lambda>0$, and $f_{\lambda}$ is a polynomial spline function.

The parameter $\lambda$ as well as $m$ can be choosen by generalized cross validation (GCV).

The appropriate function space here is the Sobolev space $W_{2}^{m}$, however, $J_{m}$ (and $W_{2}^{m}$ ) can be replaced by any seminorm in a reproducing kernel (r. k.) Hilbert space of real valued functions on [ 0,1 ] provided that least squares regression onto the span of the null space of the seminorm is well defined - you get a Bayes estimate with the r. $k$. related to the prior covariance. Details may be found in KW and Wahba (1978) but we will not discuss the Bayesian aspect any further, other than to note that the prior behind $J_{m}$ is the most parsimonious member of a large class of equivalent priors.

Partial spline models with one splined variable were introduced by several authors in different contexts, with some interesting applications, see Anderson and Senthilselvan (1982), Engle et al. (1983), Green, Jennison, and Seheult (1983), Shiller (1984).
3. Partial Spline Models - Several Splined Variables

Now, let the model be

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=f(x(i))+\sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_{j} \Psi_{j}(x(i) ; z(i))+\varepsilon_{i} \tag{3.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right), x(i)=\left(x_{1}(i), \ldots, x_{d}(i)\right) . \tag{3.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we find $f$ in an appropriate space to minimize

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-f(x(i))-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_{j} \Psi_{j}(x(i) ; z(i))\right)^{2}+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda J_{m}(f) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now, we can use the "thin plate spline" penalty functional. For $d=2, m=2$, it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}(f)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{2}+2 f_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2}+f_{x_{2} x_{2}}^{2}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for arbitrary d it is

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{m}(f)=\sum_{\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{d}=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha_{1}!\cdots \alpha_{d}!} \times \\
& \int \cdots \int\left(\frac{\partial^{n} f}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{d}^{\alpha_{d}}}\right)^{2} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{d} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $2 m>d$. The null space of $J_{m}$ is the span of the $M=\left[\begin{array}{c}m+d-1 \\ d\end{array}\right]$ monomials of total degree less than $m$, call them $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{M}$. Again, there will be a unique minimizer ( $f_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}$ ) for every nonnegative $\lambda$ if the design matrix for least squares regression on $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{M} ; \Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{p}$ is of full column rank, and $f_{\lambda}$ is a thin plate spline function.

Partial splines with several splined variables were introduced in Wahba (1984a), Wahba (1984b), Wahba (1985), and a discrete version has been proposed by Green, Jennison, and Seheult (1986). Transportable code (GCVPACK, Bates et al. (November 1985)) is available for fitting the partial spline models of (3.1)-(3.4) and computing the GCV estimate $\hat{\lambda}$ of $\lambda$. This code does well with up to around 400 data points on the VAX 11/750 in the Statistics Department at Madison. The work primarily depends on $n$, and not $d$, but, of course good estimates with large $d$ will require large $n$. Diagnostics for splines (without the "partial" part) have been developed by Eubank (1986), it can be anticipated that this work will extend to partial spline models.

## 4. Indirect measurements

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x ; z)=f(x)+\Sigma \theta_{j} \Psi_{j}(x ; z) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=L_{i} g+\varepsilon_{i} \tag{4,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i}$ is a bounded linear functional, for example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i} f=\int w_{i}(x ; z) g(x ; z) \pi d x \pi d z \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This kind of data comes up in X-ray tomography, satellite tomography, stereology, and in other remote or indirect sensing problems in the physical and biological sciences. One finds $f$ and $\theta$ to minimize:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \Sigma\left(y_{i}-L_{i} f-\Sigma \theta_{j} L_{i} \Psi_{j}\right)^{2}+\lambda J_{m}(f) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use of variants of (4.3), and (4.4) may also provide a good way to deal with heterogeneous aggregated economic data. For an application in stereology, see Nychka et al. (1984).

Data involving mildly nonlinear functionals can be accomodated - then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=N_{i} g+\varepsilon_{i} \tag{4.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i} g=\iint w_{i}(x, z, g(x ; z)) \pi d x \pi d z \tag{4.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

One finds $f$ and $\theta$ to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \Sigma\left(y_{i}-N_{i}\left(f+\Sigma \theta_{j} \Psi_{j}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda_{m}(f) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimization can be performed using basis functions and a Gauss-Newton iteration and $\lambda$ chosen by GCV for nonlinear problems, see O'Sullivan and Wahba (1985).
5. Non Gaussian errors (semiparametric penalized GLIM models)

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, z)=f(x)+\Sigma \theta_{j} \Psi_{j}(x ; z) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
y_{i}^{-} F_{g}
$$

For example :

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i}{ }^{-} \text {Poisson with } \Lambda_{i}=e^{g(x(i) ; 2(i))}, \\
y_{i}{ }^{-} \text {Binomial with } p_{i}\left(\left(1-p_{i}\right)=e^{g(x(i)) ; z(i))},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

etc. Here, one finds $f_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}$ to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(f, \theta)+\lambda J_{m}(f) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the $\log$ likelihood. O'Sullivan (1983) and O'Sullivan, Yandell, and Raynor (1986) proposed numerical methods and a GCV for penalized GLIM models. See also Green and Yandell (1985), Silverman (1982), Cox and O'Sullivan (October, 1985), Leonard (1982). Further work on numerical methods for penalized GLIM and nonlinear indirect sensing problems is reported in this proceedings by Yandell.
6. Use of partial splines to model functions which are smooth except for specified discontinuities

Let $d=1$ and let

$$
g(x ; z)=f(x)+\theta\left|x-x^{*}\right|
$$

that is, $\Psi_{1}(x ; z)=\left|x-x^{*}\right|$. Then the partial spline estimate of $g$ will have a jump in the first derivative at $x^{*}$ of size 20. In two dimensions we may use a partial spline to model a jump in the first derivative with respect to $x_{2}$ along a given curve $x_{2 *}\left(x_{1}\right)$ : Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma(x)=\gamma\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left|x_{2}-x_{2}{ }^{*}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|, \\
g(x ; z)=f(x)+\theta\left(x_{1}\right) \gamma(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\theta$ may depend on $x_{1}$. Then

$$
\left.\left.\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}\right]_{x_{2}=x_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)^{*}-}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}\right]_{x_{2}=x_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)^{*} .}=2 \theta\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

If, for example

$$
\theta\left(x_{1}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_{j} q_{j}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

where the $q_{j}$ 's are given, then

$$
\Psi_{j}(x ; z)=q_{j}\left(x_{1}\right) \gamma(x)
$$

This fits right into the partial spline setup, and GCVPACK may be used to compute the estimate. A generalization to $d=3$ with a jump in the first derivative with respect to $x_{3}$
along a surface $x_{3}{ }^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is straigtforward. For details, and a description of an application to the three dimensional modelling of the tropopause in the atmosphere and the thermocline in the ocean, see Shiau, Wahba, and Johnson (Dec. 1985).
7. Linear inequality constraints

Expressions (2.2), (3.2), (4.4), etc. can be minimized subject to finite families of linear inequality constraints. See Villalobos and Wahba (March 1985).

## 8. Main effects and interaction splines

The thin plate spline is defined on Euclidean $d$ space for any $d$ with $2 m-d>0$, provided there are enough data points for $m$ th degree polynomial regression, but unless there are very large data sets, in many applications will be desireable to reduce the amount of structure involved. Several authors have suggested modelling $f$ as a linear combination of functions of one variable, that is,

$$
f(x)=f_{0}+\sum_{a l=1}^{d} f_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$, and $\int_{0}^{1} f_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) d x_{\alpha}=0$. (Note the switch to the unit cube.) See Friedman, Grosse, and Stuetzle (1983), Stone (1985), Burman (June, 1985). We have been working on generalizations of this idea, whereby $f$ is modelled successively as linear combinations of functions of one variable, functions of one and two variables, functions of one, two and three variables, etc. The resulting estimates may be called main effects splines, first order interaction splines, second order interaction splines, etc., by analogy with analysis of variance. We consider here two quite different but interesting penalty functionals which we will refer to as TEPR (for "tensor product"), and THPL (for "thin plate"). We will briefly sketch some early results of some work in progress, by describing the simplest examples.

The main ideas are most easily explained by first considering only spaces of periodic functions on the unit $d$ dimensional hypercube, that satisfy certain linear equality or boundary condtions, and then removing these conditions. Let $\phi_{v}\left(x_{j}\right)=\cos 2 \pi v x_{j}$ or $\sin 2 \pi v x_{j}$ (with some abuse of notation), and let $\theta_{0}=1, \theta_{v}=2 \pi v, v>0$, and let $H_{\text {TEPR }}^{\text {per }}$ and $H_{\text {Per }}$ PL be, respectively, the collections of all functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)= \\
& \quad \sum_{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d x}}^{\infty} c_{v_{1}} \cdots v_{d} \phi_{v_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{v_{d}}\left(x_{d}\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v_{1}, \ldots v_{d-\infty}}^{\infty}\left[\theta_{v_{1}} \cdots \theta_{v_{1}}\right]^{2 m} c_{v_{1}}^{2} \cdots v_{4}<\infty, H_{T E P R}^{q e r} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}=0}^{\infty}\left[\theta_{v_{1}}^{2}+\cdots+\theta_{v_{d}}^{2}\right]^{m} c_{v_{1}}^{2} \cdots v_{d}<\infty \quad H_{\text {HIPL }}^{\text {Per }}(8.3)
$$

It can be shown that $H_{\text {fePR }}^{\text {per }}$ will be a reproducing kemel hilbert space with (8.2) as squared norm for any $m>1 / 2$, and $H_{T H P L}^{p e r}$ will be a reproducing kernel space with the squared norm (8.3) for any $m>d / 2$. These spaces are not equivalent, and reflect different ideas of what is "smooth". However, each can be written as the direct sum of $2^{d}$ orthogonal subspaces, namely, $H_{o}$, the $\left[\begin{array}{l}d \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ "main effects" subspaces of the form

$$
H_{\alpha}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{v_{a}}\left(x_{\alpha}\right), v_{\alpha}=1,2, \ldots\right\} \alpha=1, \ldots, d
$$

the $\left[\begin{array}{l}d \\ 2\end{array}\right]$ first order interaction spaces of the form

$$
H_{\alpha \beta}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{v_{\alpha}}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{v_{\beta}}\left(x_{\beta}\right), v_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}>0\right\}, 1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq d,
$$

and so on.

## Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{o}(f)=\left[\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \prod_{\alpha} d x_{\alpha}\right]^{2} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the squared norm (8.2) on $H_{F E P R}^{p e r}$ can be shown to be equal (in $H_{T E P R}^{P e r}$ ) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{o}(f)+J^{T H P L}(f) \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{T H P L}(f)=\sum_{\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{d}}^{\sum} \frac{m!}{\alpha_{1}!\cdots \alpha_{d}!} \times \\
& \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\partial^{m} f}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{d}^{\alpha_{d}}}\right]^{2} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{d} \tag{8.6}
\end{align*}
$$

is the thin plate penalty functional.
For lack of space we will not discuss the thin plate spaces further, but analyses similar to but slightly more complicated than those below can be carried out. In what follows, we will only consider the tensor product case and sub or superscripts TEPR are to be understood.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\alpha}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} d x_{\alpha}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial^{m} f}{\partial x_{\alpha}^{m}} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} d x_{\beta}\right]^{2} \\
& J_{t \alpha \beta}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{\alpha} d x_{\beta}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sigma^{-2 m} f}{\partial x_{1}^{\prime m} \partial x_{\beta}^{m}} \prod_{\psi \neq \alpha \beta} d x_{\gamma}\right]^{2}(8.7 \mathrm{~b}) \\
& J_{1, \ldots, d}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2 m d} f}{\partial x_{1}^{m} \cdots \partial x_{d}^{m}}\right]^{2} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{d}(8.7 \mathrm{c})
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the squared norm (8.1) on $H_{\text {TEPR }}^{\text {Per }}$ can be shown to be equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{o}(f)+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} J_{\alpha}(f)+\sum_{\alpha<\beta} J_{\alpha \beta}(f)+\cdots+J_{1 \ldots d}(f) . \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an example, we will consider below $f \varepsilon H_{F E P R}^{P e r}$ which consists only of a mean, all $d$ main effects and the first order interaction between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Thus $f$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=f_{0}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} f_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+f_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{0}$ is a constant, $f_{\alpha} \varepsilon H_{\alpha}$, and $f_{12} \varepsilon H_{12}$. We can now define the periodic interaction smoothing spline as that function $f_{\lambda}$ of the form (8.9) which minimizes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-f(x(i))^{2}+\lambda\left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} J_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)+J_{12}\left(f_{12}\right)\right],(\right. \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(i)=\left(x_{1}(i), \ldots, x_{d}(i)\right)$.
Using Lemma 5.1 in KW it can be shown that there is a unique minimizer of (8.10) in $H_{o} \oplus \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{12}$. An explicit representation for it may be found using this lemma and the fact that the reproducing kernel $K(x, z)$ for ${\underset{\alpha}{\alpha}} H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{12}$ is given by

$$
K(x, z)=\sum_{\alpha} B_{m}\left(x_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha}\right)+B_{m}\left(x_{1}, z_{1}\right) B_{m}\left(x_{2}, z_{2}\right)_{(8.11 \mathrm{a}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{m}(s, t)= \\
& \quad \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \theta_{v}^{-2 m}[\cos 2 \pi v s \cos 2 \pi v t+\sin 2 \pi v s \sin 2 \pi v t]\langle \tag{8.11~b}
\end{align*}
$$

A closed form expression for $B_{m}$ may be found in Craven and Wahba (1979). GCVPACK may be used to compute $f_{\lambda}$. In principle, ${\underset{\alpha}{\alpha}}^{J_{\alpha}}\left(f_{\lambda}\right)$ can be replaced by $\sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(f_{\lambda}\right)$, where the $w_{\alpha}$ are positive weights, but problems concerning their estimation from the data have not been studied to date.

We will now sketch how to remove the rather restrictive periodicity conditions from $H_{T E P R}^{\text {Per }}$. For $g$ a function of one variable, let

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{0} g=\int_{0}^{1} g(u) d u  \tag{8.12a}\\
L_{\mathrm{v}} g=\int_{0}^{1} g^{(v)}(u) d u=g^{(v-1)}(1)-g^{(v-1)}(0), \tag{8.12b}
\end{gather*}
$$

and let $L_{v\left(x_{a}\right)} f$ mean $L_{v}$ applied to $f$ as a function of $x_{\alpha}$. Then $L_{v\left(x_{\alpha}\right)} f=0$ for $v=0,1, \ldots, m, \alpha=1,2, \ldots, d$, any $f$ in $H_{\text {TEPR }}^{\text {per }}$. Now, it can be shown that $H_{\alpha}$ is that subspace of the Sobolev space

$$
W_{2}^{m}[0,1]=\left\{g: g, g^{\prime}, \ldots, g^{(m-1)} a b s . c o n r ., g^{(m)} \varepsilon L_{2}\right\}
$$

of co-dimension $m+1$ which satisfies the $m+1$ conditions
$L_{v} g=0, v=0,1, \ldots, m$. Let $k_{v}=\frac{b_{v}}{v!}, v=0,1, \ldots, m$, where the $b_{v}$ are the Bernoulli polynimials, we have $L_{v} k_{\mu}=0, \mu \neq v, L_{v} k_{v}=1, \mu, v=0,1, \ldots, m$, and thus $k_{v}$ is not in $H_{\alpha}$. Let $W^{0}=\operatorname{span}\left\{k_{0} \ldots, k_{m-\nu}\right\}$ and let $W^{1}$ be isomorphic to $H_{\alpha} \not\left(k_{m}\right)$. Then it can be shown that $W_{2}^{m}$ endowed with the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
<g, h>_{W_{\overline{2}}}=\sum_{v} L_{v} g L_{v} h+\int_{0}^{1} g^{(m)}(u) h^{(m)}(u) d u(8 \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}^{m}=W^{0} \oplus W^{1} . \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $g \varepsilon W_{2}^{m}$ with $g=g_{0}+g_{1}, g_{0} \varepsilon W_{0, g_{1}} \mathrm{EW} W_{1}$ we can call $g$ the polynomial part of $g$, and $g_{1}$ the "smooth" part. Now let

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{T H P L}=W_{2}^{m} \cdots \otimes W_{2}^{m} d \text { times }  \tag{8.15}\\
=\left(W^{0} \oplus W^{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(W^{0} \oplus W^{1}\right) . \\
=\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{d} W_{\alpha}^{0}\right) \oplus\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} W_{\alpha}^{1} \otimes \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\
\beta \neq \alpha}} W_{\beta}^{0}\right) \oplus \\
\left(\sum_{\alpha<\beta} W_{\alpha}^{1} \otimes W_{\beta}^{1} \otimes \prod_{\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta} W_{\alpha}^{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus\right. \\
\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{d} W_{\alpha}^{1}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where the Greek subscripts make explicit which variables are involved. We can now identify the "polynomial" subspace

$$
H_{0}=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{d} W_{\alpha}^{0}
$$

the main effects subspaces

$$
H_{\alpha}=W_{\alpha}^{1} \otimes \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq \alpha}}^{d} W_{\beta}^{0}, \alpha=1, \ldots, d,
$$

the first order interaction spaces

$$
H_{\alpha \beta}=V_{\alpha}^{1} \otimes V_{\beta}^{1} \otimes \prod_{\forall \alpha \beta} W_{\alpha}^{0}
$$

etc.
The induced tensor roduct inner product in $H_{\text {TEPR }}$ is a natural extension of the inner product of (8.7) and (8.8). Letting $J_{\alpha}$ be the induced norm on $H_{\alpha}$, etc., we can now seek $f_{\lambda}$ in the new, non 1 eriodic version of, for example $H_{0} \oplus \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{12}$ to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-f_{\lambda} x(i)\right)^{2}+\lambda\left[\sum_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)+J_{12}\left(f_{12}\right)\right] . \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Existence and uniqueness for any $\lambda>0$ can be shown via Lemma 5.1 in KW provided the design points $x(i), i=1, \ldots, n$ are such that least squares regression in
$H_{0}$ is unique. The reproducing kernels for the various subspaces then follow:- The r. k.'s $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}$ for $W^{0}$ and $W^{1}$ can be shown to be

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{0}(u, v)=\sum_{v=0}^{m-1} k_{v}(u) k_{v}(v), \\
R_{1}(u, v)=k_{m}(u) k_{m}(v)+B_{m}(u, v)
\end{gathered}
$$

and the r. k. for $H_{T E P R}$ with the inner product induced by (8.13) is

$$
\prod_{\alpha=1}^{d}\left(R_{0}\left(x_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha}\right) \oplus R_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha}\right)\right)
$$

thus, for example the r. k. for $\sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \oplus H_{12}$ is now

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(x, z)= & \sum_{\alpha} R_{1}\left(x_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha}\right) \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} R_{0}\left(x_{\beta}, z_{\beta}\right)+ \\
& R_{1}\left(x_{1}, z_{1}\right) R_{1}\left(x_{2}, z_{2}\right) \prod_{\beta \neq 1,2} R_{0}\left(x_{\beta}, z_{\beta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given the r. k. an explicit representation for $f_{\lambda}$ can be given, and, again GCVPACK can be used to calculate $f_{\AA}$. For $m=1, R_{0}\left(x_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha}\right)=1, H_{0}$ is one dimensional as before, and we only replace $B_{m}$ in the discussion of periodic spaces by $R_{1}$ and the same expressions hold. For $m>1$, a typical element of $H_{\alpha}$ with, say $\alpha=1$ is now of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)= \\
& \quad \sum_{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}=0}^{m-1} f_{v_{2}} \cdots v_{d}\left(x_{1}\right) k_{v_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots k_{v_{d}}\left(x_{d}\right) . \tag{8.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The $v_{2}=\cdots=v_{d}=0$ term depends only on $x_{1}$ but the other terms do depend on the other variables albeit in a parametric (i. e. polynomial) way. The case $m=2$ is probably of special interest, then $x_{\beta}$ with $\beta \neq \alpha$ enters at most linearly in functions in $H_{\alpha}$.

There are now many interesting questions. Some of the major ones are - the development of good methods for choosing which interactions to include (GCV?), numerical methods for vary large data sets, methods for interpreting the results, development of confidence intervals, and so on.
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