



National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Washington, D.C.
20546



Reply to Attn of GP-4

TO: NST-44/Scientific and Technical Information Division
Attn: Shirley Peigare

FROM: GP-4/Office of Assistant General Counsel
for Patent Matters

SUBJECT: Announcement of NASA-Owned U.S. Patents in STAR

In accordance with the procedures agreed upon by Code GP-4 and Code NST-44, the attached NASA-owned U.S. Patent is being forwarded for abstracting and announcement in NASA STAR.

The following information is provided:

U.S. Patent No. : 4,371,873
California Institute of Technology
Government or Contractor Employee: 1201 E. California Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 90406
NASA Case No. : NPO-14,035-1

NOTE - If this patent covers an invention made by a contractor employee under a NASA contract, the following is applicable:

YES

NO

Pursuant to Section 305(a) of the National Aeronautics and Space Act, the name of the Administrator of NASA appears on the first page of the patent; however, the name of the actual inventor (author) appears at the heading of Column No. 1 of the specification, following the words "...with respect to an invention of...."

(NASA-Case-NPO-14035-1) CLUTTER FREE N83-19968
SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR CORRELATOR Patent
(NASA) 4 p CSCL 17I

00/32 Unclas
11058

United States Patent [19]

[11] 4,371,873

Jain

[45] Feb. 1, 1983

[54] CLUTTER FREE SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR CORRELATOR

3,953,822	4/1976	Vilkomerson	343/17 X
4,019,184	4/1977	Dorey	343/100 CL X
4,042,928	8/1977	Altes	343/100 CL X

[76] Inventor: **Robert A. Frosch**, Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, with respect to an invention of **Atul Jain**, Pasadena, Calif.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Speckle Removal by a Slowly Moving Diffuser Associated with a Motionless Diffuser", by S. Lowenthal et al., Journal of Optical Society of America, vol. 61, No. 7, p. 847, (1971).

[21] Appl. No.: 858,767

"A Speckle-Free Rear-Projection Screen Using Two Closed Screens with Slow Relative Motion", J. Optical Society of America, vol. 66, p. 176, (1976).

[22] Filed: Dec. 8, 1977

[51] Int. Cl.³ G01S 13/89; G01S 13/90

[52] U.S. Cl. 343/9 PS; 343/5 CM; 343/100 CL

[58] Field of Search 343/5 CM, 100 CL, 17, 343/9 PS

Primary Examiner—Malcolm F. Hubler
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Monte F. Mott; John R. Manning; Paul F. McCaul

[56] References Cited

[57] ABSTRACT

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

A synthetic aperture radar correlation system including a moving diffuser located at the image plane of a radar processor. The output of the moving diffuser is supplied to a lens whose impulse response is at least as wide as that of the overall processing system. A significant reduction in clutter results.

3,344,419	9/1967	Lund	343/5 R
3,355,579	11/1967	Robertson	343/100 CL X
3,398,269	8/1968	Williams	343/100 CL X
3,483,557	12/1969	Skenderoff et al.	343/100 CL
3,526,893	9/1970	Skenderoff et al.	343/100 CL
3,560,973	2/1971	Kazel	343/5 CM
3,889,226	6/1975	Hildebrand	343/17 X

6 Claims, 2 Drawing Figures




FIG. 1.





FIG. 2.

CLUTTER FREE SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR CORRELATOR

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The subject invention relates to a synthetic aperture radar correlator that allows high resolution processing of synthetic aperture radar data using the image capabilities of the full azimuth and doppler bandwidth without the clutter noise degradation.

Clutter or speckle has been long known to limit the working resolution of images obtained with coherent radiation. Images obtained by synthetic aperture radar systems suffer from the same drawback and it has, therefore, been of considerable interest to explore various techniques for the reduction of the radar speckle in these images. The conventional technique of achieving incoherent averaging of the radar speckle, which involves adding images obtained from different sections of the radar bandwidth, has the disadvantage of a limited number of possible looks due to the loss of resolution associated with the narrower doppler bandwidth used for each independent look.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore an object of the invention to improve synthetic aperture radar systems.

It is another object of the invention to substantially increase the number of looks possible in a synthetic aperture radar system without loss of resolution.

These and other objects and advantages are accomplished according to the invention by using a diffuser in motion at the image plane of the radar processor and reimagining this diffuser with a lens whose impulse response is at least as wide as the impulse response of the radar system. While each independent position of the diffuser corresponds to an independent look in the speckle averaging process, the final resolution of the radar system is determined by the impulse response of this output lens. With this arrangement, the number of looks can be made very large with a limited loss of resolution.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating the use of a moving diffuser to reduce speckle according to the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 2 illustrates an optical system simulating that of FIG. 1.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

FIG. 1 illustrates a radar system including a conventional radar processor 11, a moving diffuser 13 at the image plane of the radar processor, an output lens 15, and a squarer 17 which represents the recording process of the film. The construction and operation of a moving diffuser 13, as an individual element, is well-known to those skilled in the art. By using such a diffuser 13 according to the inventive embodiment of FIG. 1, a surprising improvement in the radar image occurs.

The radar processor 11 provides a radar image field plane. The moving diffuser 13 is located at the image field plane of the processor 11. As the diffuser 13 moves, it provides an output image to the lens 15, which has an impulse response at least as wide as the impulse response of the overall radar system including processor 11, diffuser 13, lens 15 and squarer 17. The lens 15

reimages the diffuser output image and outputs to the film processing apparatus represented by the squarer 17. The following discussion illustrates in more detail the operation of the radar system of FIG. 1.

In FIG. 1, the terrain reflectivity is $\rho(x,y)$ and generally consists of a real term $\alpha(x,y)$ and a pure phase function $\exp[i\phi(x,y)]$ arising from the surface roughness of the terrain. The reflectivity $\rho(x,y)$ is convolved by the radar impulse response $\psi(x,y)$ to give the results field in the radar image plane. This result is operated on by the diffuser phase transmittance $\exp[i\theta(x,y)]$ and then convolved by the impulse response of the output lens $z(x,y)$ and the output is amplitude squared by the recording process of the film. Each independent position of the diffuser corresponds to an independent $\theta(x,y)$, and the corresponding images in the output plane are added together to provide the resulting speckle averaging.

To show that this arrangement does not lead to a blurring of the radar image, consider $\rho(x,y)$ to be the delta function $\delta(x-x_i, y-y_j)$. Then the output image for each $\theta_4(x,y)$, is $\psi(x-x_i, y-y_j) \exp[i\theta_4(x,y)] * z(x,y)$. The location and width of this function is independent of the value of $\theta_4(x,y)$. To show that independent values of $\theta_4(x,y)$ result in independent speckle patterns, one notes first that the electric field for one particular value of $\theta_4(x,y)$ in the output plane is

$$e_4(x,y) = [\rho(x,y) * \psi(x,y)] \exp[i\theta_4(x,y)] * z(x,y) \quad (1)$$

and the corresponding image intensity is $u_4(x,y) = e_4(x,y) e_4^*(x,y)$. If $z(x,y)$ is wider than $\psi(x,y)$ and $\theta(x,y)$ and $\phi(x,y)$ have white noise Gaussian statistics then $e_4(x,y)$ may be assumed to have Gaussian statistics. We can, therefore, write the cross correlation of the intensities $u_4(x,y)$ and $u_m(x,y)$, recorded for the two independent descriptions of the diffuser, $\theta_4(x,y)$ and $\theta_m(x,y)$ respectively, as,

$$\langle u_4(x,y) u_m^*(x,y) \rangle = \langle u_4(x,y) \rangle \langle u_m^*(x,y) \rangle + | \langle e_4(x,y) e_m^*(x,y) \rangle |^2 \quad (2)$$

where the brackets $\langle \rangle$ denote the expectation value. By definition, therefore, the two intensities may be considered uncorrelated if $\langle e_4(x,y) e_m^*(x,y) \rangle$ is negligible. By substituting (1) for $e_4(x,y)$ and $e_m(x,y)$ and rearranging terms, we obtain the result

$$\langle e_4(x,y) e_m^*(x,y) \rangle = [\langle \rho(x,y) \rho^*(x,y) \rangle * R_\psi(0,0)] \langle \exp[i(\theta_4(x,y) - \theta_m(x,y))] \rangle * R_z(0,0) \quad (3)$$

where $R_\psi(0,0)$ is the auto correlation of $\psi(x,y)$ for the value (0,0) and $R_z(0,0)$ the corresponding auto correlation of $z(x,y)$ and the symbol * is the convolution symbol. If $\theta_4(x,y)$ and $\theta_m(x,y)$ are independent, then $\langle \exp[i(\theta_4(x,y) - \theta_m(x,y))] \rangle$ is zero and the expression (3) is approximately zero. Thus, the speckle patterns from the two independent positions of the diffuser will be uncorrelated and a superposition of the intensities from independent positions of the diffuser will result in an effective smoothing of the speckle noise.

An optical illustration of the concept of the invention is shown in FIG. 2. In this system, a rough target 19 is illuminated by a laser system 21 and imaged by two lenses 23, 25. The lens system comprising lenses 23, 25 images the rough object 19 into the moving diffuser 27 at plane II. The image provided by the diffuser 27 is then reimaged by another lens 29. Such a system can be used to optically simulate the preferred embodiment.

In conclusion, by placing a diffuser in the image plane of the radar processor, and reimagining this with a lens whose impulse response is not narrower than the impulse response of the radar system, an effective speckle averaging takes place if independent images obtained for independent positions of the diffuser are added together, with resulting improvement in feature discrimination capability. The foregoing technique can be implemented in both the optical and the digital or analog electronic processors.

As may be appreciated, the just described preferred embodiment is subject to many adaptations and modifications without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

It is therefore to be understood that, within the scope of the appended claims, the invention may be practiced other than as specifically described herein.

What is claimed is:

1. A radar processing system supplied with terrain reflectivity and radar impulse response indications comprising:
 - a radar processor means for providing a radar image field plane;
 - a moving diffuser located at the image field plane of said radar processor and providing an output image; and
 - a lens means having an impulse response at least as wide as the impulse response of the radar system, for reimagining said output image.
2. The system of claim 1 further including means for squaring the output of said lens means.
3. The system of claim 1 wherein said lens means has an impulse response wider than the impulse response of the radar system.
4. The system of claim 1 wherein said radar processor means is an optical processor.
5. The system of claim 1 wherein said radar processor means is an electronic digital processor.
6. The system of claim 1 wherein said radar processor means is an electronic analog processor.

* * * * *

25
30
35
40
45
50
55

4371873 U 126 BOX 282

COUPON# 0472047
ATTN: BRUNO/STAR

02/24 HL U953

M