S.

ではない 日本の

4042I

Interaction effects in the morpillary bubble migration

M. Meyyappan, William R. Wilcox, and R. Shankar Subramunian

Department of Chemical Engineering, Clarkson College of Technology Potsdam, New York 13676

Abstract

The interaction effects between two bubbles migrating along their line of centers under the influence of an imposed thermal gradient are considered in the quasi-static limit. Results are reported for representative values of the governing parameters.

A gas bubble, when placed in a liquid with a non-uniform temperature field, will move in the diretion of the temperature gradient. Such motion is a consequence of the dependence of surface tension on temperature. The temperature gradient at the bubble surface gives rise to a surface tension gradient. The resulting tangential stress at the interface will cause motion of the neighboring liquid in the direction of increasing surface tension, or toward the colder pole of the bubble. By reaction, the bubble will propel itself toward the warmer regions of the liquid. The thermocapillary motion of isolated bubbles has been the subject of much study. Both theoretical descriptions¹⁻⁶, and experimental observations in general agreement with theory^{1,3,5,6} have been reported.

Under conditions of creeping motion, the buoyant rise velocity of a gas bubble is proportional to the square of its diameter whereas its thermocapillary velocity (for negligible convective energy transport) is proportional to the diameter. Thus, it may be expected that on earth, very small bubbles will be influenced significantly by temperature gradients. In contrast, in reduced gravity processing, capillarity induced motion of bubbles and droplets will be important over a wide range of bubble sizes. Thus, there is renewed interest in this subject. At Clarkson, for instance, theoretical models of thermocapillary motion are being developed 7-10 and a reduced gravity experiment has been flown in collaboration with Westinghouse Research and Development Center on a NASA rocket in their SPAR (Space Processing Applications Rocket) program 1. More rocket experiments as well as Space Shuttle experiments are being planned 12,13.

The problem of the isolated bubble moving in a thermal gradient has received considerable attention as mentioned earlier. However, in many practical applications, interactions with neighboring bubbles and boundaries will be important. We have begun addressing such problems theoretically in the quasi-static limit. Work on bubble migration normal to a plane fluid or solid surface is reported in (8). Elsewhere in this Proceedings¹⁴, a brief discussion of the problem of thermocapillary motion of bubbles inside drops is presented in the context of applications to the containerless processing of glasses and other materials in free fall. The axially symmetric interaction problems for two unequal bubbles has been solved using bispherical coordinates, and a complete treatment is being reported elsewhere¹⁵ Details will be given in (16). Here, only a brief summary of the results will be presented.

When two bubbles, possibly of unequal diameters, are placed in a liquid possessing a linear temperature field such that the line of centers of the bubbles is parallel to the temperature gradient, the resulting problem is axially symmetric. Both bubbles will move in the direction of the temperature gradient. The problem, in general, is unsteady. However, when the Reynolds and Marangoni numbers are very small, the quasi-static assumption¹⁷ may be made in order to obtain first order results. This involves ignoring the unsteady accumulation terms as well as the convective transport terms in the equations of conservation of momentum and energy. In physical terms, in part, it is assumed that the vorticity and temperature distributions for a given spacing of the bubbles relax quickly to their steady representations for that configuration compared to the time scale for the bubbles to move an appreciable distance and change the configuration. Further, the convective transport terms are ignored compared to molecular transport. For the small bubbles in glass melts used in our rocket experiments, the quasi-static assumption is a good one.

General solutions of the quasi : atic equations in bispherical coordinates are available 18,13. They are specialized for the boundary conditions of the present problem, a straightforward, but tedious process 15. The quasi-static thermocapillary velocities of the two bubbles there are calculated by setting the net hydrodynamic force on the bubbles to zero.

It is convenient to discuss the results in the context of an interaction parameter Ω

which represents the ratio of the velocity of a given bubble in the presence of the second bubble to its velocity when isolated. Ω will depend on the ratio of bubble radii, λ

 $\frac{R_{II}}{B}$) and the scaled separation distance between the bubbles, D.

is the actual separation distance, $R_{\rm T}$, the radius of bubble I, and $R_{\rm TI}$, the radius of bubble II. Due to the nuglect of convective transport effects, the order of two bubbles in the temperature gradiert has no influence on the results. A representative set of values of $\Omega_{\rm I}$ and $\Omega_{\rm II}$ for the two bubbles as a function of scaled separation distance D is reported in Table I for a typical value of λ . λ = 1.5 represents the case of bubble II being larger than bubble I.

TABLE I

Interaction Parameters Ω_{I} and Ω_{II} as a Function of D for λ = 1.5

Ď	$\Omega_{\mathbf{I}}$	$\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbf{II}}$
2.6	1.1432	0.9662
3.0	1.0728	0.9832
8.0	1.0033	0.9993

From the results in Table I, it may be seen that the larger of the two bubbles (II) moves slightly slower than it would if isolated. In contrast, the smaller bubble (I) moves more rapidly than it would, when isolated. Also, as the bubbles are separated further, the interaction becomes less important, and Ω approaches unity. We might also observe that in view of the above behavior in the case of unequal bubbles, it is not surprising that when they are of equal size, both bubbles move at the same velocity that they would possess, if isolated.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Materials Processing in Space Program Office of NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center, through a subcontract from Westinghouse Research and Development Center to Clarkson College of Technology. Computer time for some of the calculations reported here was donated by Clarkson College of Technology.

References

- Young, N. O., Goldstein, J. S., and Block, M. J., J. Fluid Mech. 6, 350 (1959).
 Bratukhin, Y. K., Thermocapillary Drift of a Viscous Fluid Droplet, NASA Report No. TT F-17093, June 1976.
 - Thompson, R. L., Dewitt, K. J., and Labus, T. L., Chem. Eng. Commun. 5, 299 (1980).
 - Subramanian, R. S., A.I.Ch.E.J. 27, 646 (1981).

d.

į

大学者のことの あるまる あるい

- McGrew, J. L., Rehm, T. L., and Griskey, R. G., App. Sci. Res. 29, 195 (1974). Hardy, S. C., J. Colloid Interface Sci. 69, 157 (1979). Shankar, N., Cole, R., and Subramanian, R. S., Int. J. of Multiphase Flow 7, 581 (1981).
- 9. Meyyappan, M., Wilcox, W. R., and Subramanian, R. S., J. Colloid Interface Sci. 83, 199 (1981).
- 9. Jayaraj, K., Cole, R., and Subramanian, R.S., J. Colloid Interface Sci. In Press, 1981.
- 10. Shankar, N., and Subramanian, R. S., J. Fluid Mech., Submitted.
 11. Wilcox, W. R., Subramanian, R. S., Meyyappan, N., Smith, H. D., Mattox, D. M., and
- Partlow, D. P., Final Report to NASA Contract No. NAS8-33017.

 12. Subramanian, R. S., and Cole, R., "Experiment Requirements and Implementation Plan (ERIP) for Physical Phenomena in Containerless Glass Processing." NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Document 77FR010, August, 1979.
- 13. Annamalai, P., Shankar, N., Cole, R., and Suhramanian, R. S., Appl. Sci. Res., In Press.
- 14. Shankar, N., Annamalai, P., Cole, R., and Subramanian, R. S., This Proceedings.
 15. Meyyappan, M., Wilcox, W. R., and Subramanian, R. S., "The Slow Axisymmetric Motion of Two Bubbles in a Thermal Gradient" to be submitted for publication.
- 16. Meyyappan, M., Ph.D. thesis in Chemical Engineering, in progress, Clarkson College of Technology.
- 17. Happel, J., and Brenner, H., "Low Reynolds Number Hydrodynamics." Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.

 - Jeffery, G. B., Proc. R. Soc. A87, 115 (1912).
 Stimson, M., and Jeffery, G. B., Proc. R. Soc. A111, 110 (1926).