https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19820014829 2020-03-21T16:25:58+00:00Z

N82 22703

· ,

. . 1. •0 *****

2 - 2 . .

SPS STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS AND CONTROL WORKSHOP: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS R. C. Ried - NASA/JSC, Houston, Texas

D. L. Mingori - University of California, Los Angeles, California

Recently a technical workshop was held at the Johnson Space Center to examine issues related to the structural dynamics and control of the Solar Power Satellite (SPS), a concept which holds promise for meeting a portion of the energy needs of the United States beyond the year 2000. The panel members, listed in Figure 1, represent some of the nation's leading experts in controls, structural dynamics, structures and materials. As listed in Figure 2, the objectives of the workshop were for this panel to: 1) assess and critique the assumptions, methodologies and conclusions of existing SPS studies in the areas of structural dynamics and control (with structural design and materials also being considered) and 2) identify critical issues in these areas and make recommendations for future work. Within the time and resources available it was not possible to provide the panel with a comprehensive review of the overall SPS system characteristics or to penetrate into the intersystem design issues and tradeoffs. In fact the workshop was only able to highlight the activities in structures, control and materials. In spite of these limitations the panel has afforded an excellent review and developed a valid perception as to the status of the SPS work in their areas of expertise. This paper is based on preliminary inputs from the panel members. The official panel findings are expressed in the panel's final report.

Comments and recommendations given include six categories as briefly addressed in:

Figure 3. Modeling/Dynamic Analysis of the Uncontrolled System

Figure 4. Structural Design

Figure 5. Control System Analysis/Design

Figure 6. Construction in Space

Figure 7. Structural Materials

Figure 8. Experiments

A seventh category, manned safety, was pointed out by the panel as an important factor to all aspects of system design, construction, maintenance and operation.

After considering each of these areas, the panel would like to have stated with some confidence that all of the problem areas had been brought to light and shown to be resolvable. In fact, they are generally optimistic that if sufficient resources are devoted to this effort, the same kind of technical know-how that has served us in the past will find ways to meet the challenges presented by the SPS. At the present time, however, such optimism would be based more on wishes and past success than on hard evidence. The work to date has simply not gone far enough or looked deep enough to provide real confidence in the ultimate viability of the SPS. A substantial amount of work must be done in areas like modeling, developing techniques for the active control of uncertain systems, and studying the long term physical properties of composites before this confidence will be warranted. Meanwhile, optimism must be balanced by a certain amount of caution combined with the determination to develop the tools and knowledge necessary to see if this much needed dream can be turned into reality.

Since the SPS system cannot be tested in the terrestrial environment, many types of experimental verification techniques possible for more conventional engineering projects are ruled out. Thus, the successful design, development and con-

struction of the SPS will rely to an unusually high degree on modeling and dynamic analysis. The panel feels that substantial further work is required in the areas of modeling the system components and environment. These models are required to study the uncontrolled behavior of the spacecraft and to provide a basis for the control system design, development, and evaluation. It may be necessary to predict reliably hundreds or thousands of structural frequencies, mode shapes and damping ratios. Currently modeling procedures for structural dynamics are not so clearly established as to be able to estimate the reliability of a particular eigenvalue and eigenvector. Environmental disturbances and control hardware must also be modeled to assess system behavior and for suitable control system design.

٢,

- -

....

•

....

•

Current SPS structural designs utilize forms which basically derive from 19th century bridge-building technology (not necessarily bad). As the overall concept evolves, as communication is developed between structures, materials and control specialists, and as an understanding of construction in space is developed, it is anticipated that more advanced concepts which exploit the potential of the nearly benign environment will emerge.

To approach this evolution, however, the panel felt that the controls problem had received disproportionately little attention. This included: recognition of modeling limitations as a key issue, tradeoffs among active surface control, tradeoffs between the bounds of structure and control, tradeoffs between electronic phasing and active figure control, analyses which penetrate to adequate depth for specific controls hardware considerations, and means to accomplish verification of the controlled system design. The controls problem for construction is compounded by the additional parameters of transient geometry and performance requirements.

A feature of the SPS which sets it apart from all spacecraft launched to date is the fact that it must be constructed automatically in space. Our lack of experience with systems of this type merits careful consideration of this feature. The construction phase may in fact be critical in terms of establishing structural and control system design requirements.

The panel felt that much additional work was required to provide a confidence level necessary for the selection of graphite composite as the SPS structural material. There are a number of design/structure/material tradeoff studies which should be performed. The basic question of the long term stability of materials and coatings in the space environment is crucial.

As outlined in Figure 8, the nature of the SPS is such that the design and proof of feasibility will rest primarily on a foundation of analysis. However, experiments are needed to verify the results of analysis insofar as possible. These experiments should be directed toward verification of modeling techniques, validation of control policies, and determination of material properties.

SPS STRUCTURES & CONTROL NORICSHOP

ALECTIVES

MSA, JSC JANUARY 22, 23, 1900

CUALINNUI, D. L. AINGORI - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, L. A. PANEL NEWBERSI, K. T. ALFRIEND - IMVAL RESEARCH LABS

R. G. LOENY - RENSSELAER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

M. LYONS - LOCIDEED RESEARCH LABS

5. SELIZER - CONTROL DYNMICS COPPAN

A. E. SIELTON - PUIDUE UNIVERSITY

K. SOGMA - C. S. DAVEN LUBS

SUPPARY OF ELINDINGS

DRK

IDENTIFY CRITICAL ISSUES IN THESE AREAS AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE

ASSESS & CRITICLE ASSUMPTIONS, RETHONOLOGIES & CONCLUSIONS OF EXISTING SPS

STUDIES IN THE AREAS OF STRUCTUAL DYNAMICS & CONTROL

(STRUCTURAL DESIGN & MATERIALS ALSO CONSIDCRED)

- GENERALLY OPTIMISTIC THAT WITH SUFFICIENT RESOUNCES TECHNICAL NON-HON THAT has served us in the past will find mays to reet sps challanges. Bull work to date has not penetaated enough to provide real confidence in the ultimate viability of sps from the standpoint of structures and controls. Nork required in:
 - NUCLING
- DEPENDING TECHNIGUES FOR ACTIVE CONTROL OF INCERTAIN SYSTEMS STUDY OF LONG TEAM PROPERTIES OF CONVOSITES

FIGURE 2 - NONSHOP CALECTIVES & FINDINGS

FIGUE 1 - 95 STRUCTORES & CONTROL NORSKOP PAREL

ISSUES

successful design a operation of SPS will nely neavily on poorling a drivnic Amalysis

- PANEL CORRENSES SUPPORTED STRUCTURAL FEASIBILITY
- CONCERN EXPRESSED AS TO INFETHER THE EXPECTED LON STRUCTIONAL INSS FANCTION IS DISCID ON A REALISTIC STRUCTURAL CONCEPT AND PROPER ANALYSIS

ECOVERDATIONS:

- EXPLORATION OF MORE ADVANCED CONCEPTS MAICH UTILIZE FULL POTENTIAL OFFENED BY HEARLY BEN(GA ENVIRONMENT
- MORE CONFRENSIVE STRUCTURAL ANALYSES INCLUDING CONTROL ACTUATORS AND THAL-SIENT, NON-UNIFORN TREMAL ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRORMENTAL DISTURDANCE, & CONTROL HARDWARE MODELING REQUIRED FOR DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE & CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN NODE SHAPES, FREQUENCIES •

NEED DETTER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW MODELING CRITERIA AFFECT RELIABILITY OF

•

ADEGUATE MODAL ANALYSIS WILL REGUIRE DEVELOPHENT OF - HIGHER ACCURACY FINITE ELEMENTS

STREAM AND TANK

- INPROVED EIGENVALUE EXTRACTION - CAREFUL ANALYSIS OF ERROR SOURCES

- Exterd Finite Element Analysis to Include non-Linear Bennvior For. EG. Potential Large Solar Blancet deflections Near Buckling Addrear Bennvior •

FIGURE 3 - MODELING/DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF THE UNCONTROLLED SYSTEM

FIGURE 4 - STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Isues	 UKK OF EXPERIENCE NITH SYSTEMS OF THIS TYPE HENTTS CAREFIL CONSIDERATION NOBELING AND CONTROL OF TRANSIENT PROFERTIES EFFECT OF THERMAL DEFORMATIONS ON ASSEMBLY EFFECT OF THERMAL DEFORMATIONS ON ASSEMBLY EEDPENDATIONS: CONTROL UNDER CONSTRUCTION SHOULD BE ADDRESSED ALTERNATE REASE FOR INMULTING TREMML DISTORTION BESIDES LON GTE MITEUAL SHOULD BE STUDIED 	FIGURE 6 - CONSTRUCTION IN SPACE	 Indexident and the second of a marker of a marker of an analysis and nodeling. Interior and the second of the second of analysis and nodeling. Interior experimental approaches for verification of analysis and nodeling (group experiments). Interior experimental approaches for verification of analysis and nodeling (group experiments). Interior experimental approaches for verification of analysis and nodeling (group experiments). Interior experiments for analysis and the scale to the second experiments. Interior of primering and finded scale to the scale provided in the scale provided in scale profile and the nation of primerical transmission. Interior experiments experiments. Interior experiments.
<u>SUES.</u>	 SIGNIFICANCE OF MORCHING ERRORS ON FERFORMANCE IS A KEY ISSUE FON CONTINOL SYSIEM ANALYSIS & DESIGN TRUDGFFS ANONG ACTIVE SURFACE CONTINOL MY LEAD TO NORE EFFICIENT DESIGN (POIENTIAL DYNAMIC/MICRONNYE PINSING INTERACTION) SPECIFIC CONTROL & MIERIALS DESIGN AND REVELOPHENT SHOULD BE CARRIED ON IN M- RALLEL WITH ADEOMNTE CONFINITION, COMMULICATION AND FUNDING, CONTINOL MS NOT RELETIVED APPROPRIATE ATTENTION STRUCTURE, CONTROL & MIERIALS DESIGN AND REVELOPHENT SHOULD BE CARRIED ON IN M- RALLEL WITH ADEOMNTE ATTENTION STRUCTURE, CONTROL & MIERIALS DESIGN AND FUNDING, CONTROL MS NOT RECEIVED APPROPRIATE ATTENTION RELEL WITH ADEOMNTE ATTENTION RETER ANTHEMATICAL RETINGNA RETER ANTHEMATICAL RETINGNA RETER MITHEMATICAL RETINGNA REALEL MITHAGE RED DEVELOPHENT FOR ROBUST CONTROL POLICIES RETER MITHEMATICAL RETINGNA REALEL MITHAGE RED DEVELOPHENT FOR ROBUST CONTROL POLICIES RETER MITHEMATICAL RETINGNA REALEL MATHEMATICAL RETERICATION OF CONTROLLED SYSTEM REALEL MATHEMATICAL REPORT ON AND FUNDALED SYSTEM REALEL MATHEMATICAL REPORT ON AND FUNDALED CONTROL POLICIES REATERTAL MATHEMATICAL REDED ON CONTROL POLICI 	FIGURE 5 - CONTROL SYSTEM ANALYSIS/DESIGN	 LINELAL CURRENT FAVOR OF CONFOSITES MY ULTIMITELY DE CONECCT DUT NUCH ADDITIONAL NORK REQUIRED TO MARE THIS DECISION NITH CONFIDENCE CURE TEM STAULLITY OF INTERNAL IN SOCE ENVIRONMENT ESSIGN/STRUCTURE/MATERIAL TRAUEOFFS ESSIGN/STRUCTURE/MATERIAL TRAUEOFFS ESSIGN/STRUCTURE/MATERIAL TRAUEOFFS ESSIGN/STRUCTURE/MATERIAL TRAUEOFFS ENVIRONMENT INVESTIGATE DESTOR SOLUTIONS TO EASE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS (INCLUDING THERMAL CONTROL) INVESTIGATE DESTOR MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS (INCLUDING THERMAL CONTROL) ENPLORE USE OF OTHER MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR GLOUDS STRUCTURAL ELPHENTS FOR GLOUDS ST

192

RECOMPENDALLONS

ISSUES