N 78 - 10 169

Contents

1. Introduction	~o#
2. Measurement Setup and Procedure	440
3. Coatings	008 21 1
4. Results and Analysis	6091
5. Conclusions	807
Acknowledgments	807
References	6097

8. Investigation of Conductive Thermal Control Coatings by a Contactless Method in Vacua

W. Vishmann, C.M. Shai, and E.L. Sanford Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA Greenbelt, Maryland

Abstract

A technique for determining the conductance per unit area of thermal control coatings for "electrostatically clean" spacecraft is described. In order to simulate orbital conditions more closely, current-density-voltage (j-V) curves are obtained by a contactless method in which the paint on an aluminum substrate is the anode of a vacuum diode configuration with a tungsten filament cathode. Conductances per unit area which satisfy the International Sun Earth Explorer (ISEE) requirement of $j/V \ge 10^{-9} A/V$ cm² have been observed on black paints containing carbon and in "white" and green paints filled with zinc oxide which has been "fired" in order to induce defect conductivity. Because of surface effects and the non-homogeneous nature of paints, large discrepancies are found between measurements with the contactless method and measurements employing metallic contacts, particularly at low current densities. Therefore, measurements with metallic contacts are considered to be of questionable value in deciding the suitability of coatings for electrostatic charge control.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to minimize interference of spacecraft charging with low energy plasma and electric field measurements on the ISEE missions, potential differences between dark and illuminated sections of the spacecraft surface are to be kept to less than 1 volt. Under net current densities (j) of about 10^{-9} A cm⁻² resulting from photoelectrons and plasma electrons in ISEE orbits, conductance per unit area of $\Sigma/A = j/V \ge 10^{-9}$ A cm⁻² V⁻¹ are therefore required of thermal control coatings over metal surfaces. In terms of meterial parameters, this requirement can be expressed as

$$\frac{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{d} \le 10^9 \, \Omega \mathrm{cm}^2 \tag{1}$$

where ρ is the specific resistivity in Ω cm and d the thickness of the coating in cm. For their product the term "area resistance" seems to be appropriate, since it is also the product of a resistance, R, and the area, A, over which it is measured.

Thermal control paints are typically $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ to 10^{-2} cm thick, and resistivities of $< 10^{11} \Omega$ cm are therefore required. Inorganic paints, particularly those based on zinc oxide and alkali silicates, were considered as promising candidates with "semiconducting" zinc oxide as pigment. Their preparation, optical properties, and environmental stability are described in a previous paper.¹

By expressing the resistivity requirement in the form of Eq. (1), one tacitly assumes that—at constant temperature—p is a true material parameter, independent of voltage, that is, the conduction mechanism is ohmic in nature. In wide gap semiconductors and insulators, however, ohmic conduction is the exception, rather than the rule, because it occurs only if the concentration of (thermally generated) free, or conduction, electrons far exceeds that of injected (space charge) electrons. Since the concentration of thermal electrons in insulators is small and injected and trapped charge densities are strongly field dependent, conduction in thin films of insulators or semiconductors is strongly voltage dependent with the ohmic (linear) portion of the j-V curve occurring at low voltages, where it is easily obscured by contact effects, that is, by interface potentials at the semiconductor-contact interface. Recognizing that conductivity is both voltage and electrode dependent, we prefer to express the "conductivity specification" as a conductance-per-unit-area requirement in the form

$$\frac{1}{V} > 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{A \, cm^{-2} \, V^{-1}}$$
 for $V \le 1$ Volt (2)

with the added stipulation that such conductance be measured under conditions closely simulating those of the actual application, that is, with a "free"-or contactless-surface in an electron plasma. Such a contactless technique has been described by Bentlage et al,² and applied to determine the changes in conductance resulting from surface contamination of conductive coatings. We have adapted this method to the measurement of thermal control paints and other spacecräft coatings in the course of developing conductive paints for the iSEE program.

2.....MEASUREMENT SETUP AND PROCEDURE

The test setup as schematically shown in Figure 1 is a vacuum diode configuration consisting of a tungsten filament cathode and 2 symmetrically arranged anodes. Both anodes are 2.5 cm diameter aluminum dir 4, one of which is covered on one side with the coating to be measured and the other serves as reference diode. They are mounted in a copper block, which can be heated and cooled by means of copper coils through which liquid N₂ or hot air is passed. Electrical insulation of the anodes against the copper block is provided by a Teflon mount and an effective anode surface of 1 cm² area is defined by an aperture in the form of a copper ring preceding the anode. This aperture is directly attached to the copper block, which is at ground (cathode) potential.





Filament power is supplied by a current regulated dc power supply^(a) and anode voltage by either a bipolar^(b) or positive regulated power supply.^(c) Anode voltage and current are measured by means of a high impedance $(>2 \cdot 10^{10} \Omega)$ digital voltmeter^(d) and digital picoamp-meter, ^(e) respectively. All electrical connections are made by means of shielded cables, permitting current measurements above a noise current of approximately $2 \cdot 10^{-11}$ amperes.

The equivalent circuit of the measurement setup can be represented by a resistance, R_p , in series with the internal resistance of the diode and an EMF, $\Delta \psi$, equal to the work function difference between the paint and its substrate (aluminum). Accordingly, the conductance per unit area of the paint is determined from the difference in slope of the j-V curves of the reference diode, and of the (painted) measurement diode of equal area, A, as illustrated in Figure 2. For a given current density, j, the anode voltage V_A , across the measurement diode is higher by $\Delta V_A = jR_p \cdot A + \Delta \psi$ than that across the reference diode. For $j \rightarrow 0$, this difference becomes equal to $\Delta \psi$ and the conductance per unit area of the paint can be determined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ \overline{A} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{paint}} = \frac{j}{\Delta V_A - \psi} (A \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ v}^{-1})$$
(3)

The conductance of the reference diode at current densities of 10^{-9} Å cm⁻² $< j < 10^{-7}$ is of the order of $5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ A cm⁻² V⁻¹, which determines the upper limit of conductance values that can be reliably measured as approximately 10^{-7} A cm⁻² V⁻¹. The lower limit is set by the noise current and a maximum anode voltage of ~ 200 V as ~ 10^{-13} A cm⁻² V⁻¹.

⁽a) Kepco Model Ck8 - 5 MHS

^(b)Kepco Model BOP 72, -70 V < V_A < +70 V

⁽c) Kepco Model HB 4 AM, for $0 < V_A < 300$ V

⁽d) Multimeter H. P. 34

⁽e) Keithly Model 440



Figure 2. Typical Current-density vé Voltage Curves for the Reference Anode (Aluminum) and Anodes Painted with Vurious Zinc Oxide-Silicate Paints (Solid Curvés). Calculated curves for "ohmic" coatings having area registances of 107 Ω cm², 108 Ω cm² and 108 Ω cm² and the same work function as the reference anode (Al) are shown for comparison. Real paints are characterized by a change in work function ($\Delta \psi$) and a voltage-dependent conductance per unit area

3. COATINGS

The inorganic coatings investigated here are modifications of a previously developed stable, white paint, designated as MS-74. This flight-proven coating is formulated with calcined zinc oxide pigment of the type SP-500 (New Jersey Zinc) and potassium silicate as vehicle. By substituting "conductive" zinc oxide for SP-500, electrically conductive coatings can be prepared. Commercially available conductive oxides as well as "fired" SP-500 have been investigated with potassium silicate, sodium silicate, and a mixture of lithium and potassium silicate as binders. The "firing"process consists of heating the SP-500 to 1150°C for 15 min in air. The process employed for the commercial oxides HC 016 and HC 238 are proprietary to the manufacturer. The lattice defects introduced by these processes result in donor, acceptor, and trapping centers that not only govern the charge transport but also act as color centers and therefore affect the optical properties as well. Consider= able effort was, therefore, expanded to arrive at coatings which meet both the conductance requirement of $j/v > 10^{-9}$ A cm⁻² v⁻¹ and solar absorptance requirement of $\alpha_S \lesssim 0.3$ of the "white" areas of the ISEE spacecraft. For the main body of the satellite, thermal design called for a green paint of $\dot{\alpha}_{\rm S} \simeq 0.6$, which has been achieved by adding ~ 2 percent of cobalt oxide to the zinc oxide before firing. For black surfaces, a commercial, carbon filled polyurethane paint (Chemglaze H322) with $\alpha_{\rm S} = 0.98$ and $\epsilon_{\rm n} = 0.86$ was recommended. We include measurements of this

paint because it exhibits the most marked difference between contactless conductance values and conductance measured with metallic (Ag-paint) contacts.

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Contactless Measurements.

Typical conductance vs voltage curves are shown in Figure 3. At low voltages current density increases linearly with voltage (Ohm's Law) which can be expressed as

 $j = n_0 e \mu_0 \frac{V}{d}$ (4)

where n_o is the equilibrium carrier density, e the electronic charge, μ_0 the mobility and d the thickness of the sample. With paint thicknesses of approximately 10^{-2} cm, conductivities, $\sigma = n_0 t \mu_0$, range from $\sim 10^{-12} (\Omega \text{ cm})^{-1}$ for calcined SP-500 in K-silicate to $\sim 10^{-9} (\Omega \text{ cm})^{-1}$ for fired SP-500 in the Na-silicate; HC-016 yields conductivities comparable to those of fired SP-500, whereas HC 238 is only marginally higher than (unfired) SP-500. The vehicle-or binder-also affects the conductance per unit area; Na-silicate based coatings are more conductive than Ksilicate coatings and mixed Li-K-silicate paints give intermediate values.



Figure 3. Conductance Per Unit Area as a Function of Voltage for Various Zinc-Oxide/Silicate Combinations and for Carbon (Chemglaze H-322) and Conductive-Zinc Oxide in Polyurethane When the voltage is increased, current density increases quadratically with voltage (Child's Law), or the conductance increases linearly with voltage. This characteristic is typical of Space Charge Limited Conductance (SCLC), that is, of charge transport governed by the interaction of injected (space) charge with trapping centers of the material, whose energy levels lie between the steady state Fermi level and the conduction band. According to the theory of SCLC, summarized in Figure 4, the relationship between current density,...j, and voltage, V, is given by

$$j = \frac{9\mu\theta\epsilon\epsilon_o}{8d^3} \cdot v^2$$
(5)

where $\mu\theta$ is an effective mobility, ϵ the dielectric constant and d the thickness of the material. The trapping factor, θ , is the ratio of free space charge, $\rho_{\rm f}$, (in the conduction band) and the trapped space charge $\rho_{\rm t}$, under equilibrium conditions and is given by

$$\theta = \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_t} = \frac{N_C}{N_t} \exp - \frac{E_C - E_T}{kT}$$
(6)

where N_C is the density of states in the conduction band and N_T the density of electron traps at an energy E_T below the conduction band, situated at energy E_C .



Figure 4. Space Charge Limited j-V Characterístic for an Insulator Containing Shallow Traps

4.2 Temperature Dependence

It is apparent from Eqs. (5) and (6) that the temperature dependence of the effective mobility, or of the conductivity, will give the position of the trap level in the band gap. For our standard paint MS-74 (SP-500 in K-silicate), the temperature dependence is plotted in Figure 5, * from which the energy of the trap level is obtained as $(\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{C} - \mathbf{E}_{T}) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0.75 \pm 0.05 \text{ eV}$ in good agreement with published values for high-resistivity, Li-doped ZnO.³ It is important to note here that conductivity variations from sample to sample of the same batch are of the order of a factor 3 to 5, and to point out that measurements on a different batch showed the same temperature dependence and variation within the batch, but conductivity was about 10 times higher overall. We attribute these variations to the heat treatment inherent in the measurement procedure, in which the samples are heated to ~ 200°C for various lengths of time and measured while cooling. Room temperature conductivities of MS-74 which has never been heated are about 100 times higher than... those shown in Figure 5. After heating to 300°C for 30 min, a decrease in conductivity by a factor of 10 to 20 was noted. Preliminary experiments on fired SP-500 in____ Na-silicate did not reveal any dependence on heat treatment, but further work is required to establish the mechanism responsible for this effect.





^{*}These data in Figure 5 were obtained at DFVLR, Braunschweig, Germany, with liquid gallium contacts.

4.3 Trade-off Between Solar Absorptance and Conductance

As was alluded to earlier, the "firing" process which results in increased electrical conductivity of zinc oxide, also induces color centers. In the case of SP-500, the oxide turns yellow and solar absorptance increases from 0.2 to 0.4. The conductive commercial oxide HC 016 also has an α_S of ~ 0.4. In attempts to produce whiter paints while staying within the ISEE conductance specification, we investigated mixtures of the highly conductive formulation (3, a = HC 016 in Nasilicate) and our standard white paint MS-74 (1, c = SP-500 in K-silicate). The results are summarized in Figure 6 from which it is apparent that paints having conductance-per-unit-area of $\geq 10^{-9}$ A cm⁻² V⁻¹ and solar absorptances of < 0.3 cannol, be obtained by this approach. Whether a better trade-off can be achieved by variations of the temperature, time, and atmosphere of the zinc oxide firing procese remains to be investigated.



4.4.....Comparison of Contact and Contactless Measurements

In general, conductance obtained with silver paint contacts show the same strong voltage dependance, but are higher by up to several decades than those obtained by the contactless method and they are higher in air than in vacuum. The differences between the two methods in vacuum is most pronounced for paint formulations in which the conductivities of pigment and binder are orders of magnitude apart, as illustrated in Figure 7 for carbon and conductive zine oxide in polyurethane. The commercial black paint Chemglaze H-322 (5 weight % carbon) appears about 10⁵ times more conductive with metal electrodes than with the



Figure 7. Comparison of Conductance Values of Carbon/Polyurethane and Zinc-Oxide/Polyurethane Paints Obtained by Measurements with Ag-Paint Contacts (Solid Lines) and by Contactless Measurements (Broken Lines)

electron plasma as surface contact. In the case of the more heavily loaded zinc oxide/polyurethane paint, the difference is about a factor of 10³ which, at least in part, is due to the lower conductivity of zinc oxide as compared to carbon. The different results obtained by the two contacting techniques can perhaps be qualitatively understood as being due to the heterogeneous nature of paints on a microscopic scale. As a dispersion of a "conductive" phase (the pigment) in a "nonconductive" dielectric (the binder), the paint surface, consisting of conductive islands in a non-conductive matrix charges to a highly non-uniform surface potential, with insulating areas essentially at cathode potential and conductive areas at anode potential, respectively. The effective potential, which governs the anode current, is a complex function of absolute and relative island geometry, that is, of particle size and concentration of the pigment. With a metallic contact, on the other hand, the surface becomes an equipotential surface. The resistive areas are "shorted out" and the measured conductance is the sum of the conductances of all conductive paths through the sample, and therefore mainly a characteristic of the conductive component alone, rather than of the paint as a whole.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Thermal control paints which meet the ISEE conductances specification of $j/V \ge 10^{-9}$ A cm⁻² V⁻¹ at V = 1 volt and T ~ 300 K have been formulated with semiconducting zinc oxide pigment and alkali silicate binders. As in semiconductors, their charge transport properties are strong functions of voltage and temperature and depend on both pigment and binder. Because of surface effects and the heterogeneous nature of paints, order-of-magnitude discrepancies are found between conductance values measured with metallic contacts and those obtained with a contactless method employing a thermal electron plasma in vacuum. In the evaluation of surface coatings for electrostatic charge control on spacecraft, careful definition of measurement parameters and of appropriate measurement techniques are therefore essential.

Acknowledgments

The suthors thank Mr. Walter Wilkens and co-workers of DFVLR-Braunschweig/ Germany for the data presented in Figure 5 and for valuable personal communications and discussions.

References

 Shai, M. C. (1976) Formulation of electrically conductive thermal control coatings, Paper No. IV-4 in this publication.

*

.

07 10

۹...

.

,

- Bentlage, H., Spanier, H. P., and Wilkens, W. (1975) <u>Internal Report</u> <u>IB 152-75/04</u>, Deutsche Forschungs und Versuchsanstalt füer Luft und Raumfahrt e.V. (DFVLR).
- 3. Seitz, M.A., and Whitmore, D.H. (1968) Electronic drift mobilities and space charge limited current in lithium doped zinc oxide, <u>J. Phys. Chem. Solids</u> 29:1033-1049.