

There are two outcomes of this comparison: either the outcome is the same as the first, or it is not (i.e., it is the reverse). If it is the same, the sample is accepted; but if it is reversed, the signal is not accepted. If the outcome of the first comparison shows the signal to be smaller than the reference signal, the aperture is subtracted from the reference signal and a second comparison is made. If the outcome is the same (the signal smaller than the reference minus the aperture), the sample is accepted, otherwise not.

To simplify the addition or subtraction, the aperture is assumed to be one of the binary integers 1, 2, 4, 8 or 16, etc. The operation then becomes a simple count-up or count-down operation with the entry at the appropriate bit for the ramp generator and for the ramp selection counter, a simple up-down counter clocked at sample time if the last sample was accepted.

To select the most likely ramp, the ramp selection counter is decremented if the accepted sample is above the upper boundary of the tolerance band and incremented if the sample is below the lower boundary.

The ramp generator is preset to the value of the sample. The more out of line the first arbitrary selection is, the more rapid the correction. When the correct ramp has been selected, fewer samples are accepted. The dynamic range of the ramp generator is assumed to be the same as for the ADC.

The qualitative comparator makes the decision as to whether the sample is accepted or rejected and the bidirectional ramp selection counter, operating through the ramp generator selection network, selects the proper ramp.

Note:

This development is in conceptual stage only and, as of date of publication of this Tech Brief, neither a model nor prototype has been constructed.

Patent status:

No patent action is contemplated by NASA.

Source: Tage O. Anderson
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
(NPO-10338)