AMRL-TDR-64-47

brought to you by	RE
ided by NASA Technical Baparta Sar	vor

(THR')) 3 CODEI

MOTION SICKNESS SYMPTOMATOLOGY OF LABYRINTHINE DEFECTIVE AND NGRMAL SUBJECTS DURING ZERO GRAVITY MANEUVERS

ICILITY FORM

ROBERT S. KELLOGG, CAPTAIN, USAF, MSC BEHAVIOPAL SCIENCES LABORATORY AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES

ROBERT S. KENNEDY, LIEUTENANT, USN, MSC U.S. NAVAL SCHOOL OF AVIATION MEDICINE U.S. NAVAL AVIATION MEDICAL CENTER

ASHTON GRAYBIEL, CAPTAL, USN, MC U.S. NAVAL SCHOOL OF AVIATION MEDICINE U.S. NAVAL AVIATION MEDICAL CENTER

TECHNICAL DOCUMENTARY REPORT No. AMRL-TDR-64-47

JUNT 1964

BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES LABORATORY AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES AEROSPACE MEDICAL DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

FOREWORD

This report was prepared jointly by the Human Engineering Division, Behavioral Sciences Laboratory, 6570th Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Aerospace Medical Division, Wright-Fatterson Air Force Base, Ohio, and the U. S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine, U. S. Naval Aviation Medical Center-54, Pensacola, Florida. The report represents one phase of the research program being conducted by the Crew Stations Branch of the 6570th Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, under Project No. 7184, "Human Performance in Advanced Systems," Task No. 718405, "Design Criteria for Crew Stations in Advanced Systems." The research was conducted under the sponsorship of the Office of Life Science Programs, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Crant R-47).

The authors express appreciation to Captain John C. Simons, Chief, Crew Stations Branch, for stimulating interest in this research, to Dr. Melvin J. Warrick, Assistant Chief, Human Engineering Division, for his significant assistance, and to the L-D subjects who gave willingly of their time and energies to make this study possible.

0011

ABSTRACT

28562-

Labyrinthine defective (L-D) and normal subjects were flown through zero-gravity maneuvers and their motion sickness symptomatology observed. The L-D subjects showed no signs cf motion sickness, whereas 64 percent of the normal subjects developed symptoms. The absence of functional labyrinthine mechanisms appreciably decreased, and probably completely eliminated, susceptibility to motion sickness during zero-gravity maneuvers.

PUBLICATION REVIEW

This technical documentary report is approved.

Qualter F. thether

WALTER F. GRETHER Technical Director Behavioral Sciences Laboratory

INTRODUCTION

The primary objective of this investigation was to compare the functional symptoms of two groups of subjects during exposure to the force environment in a C-131B aircraft fiving through standardized Keplerian trajectories. One group of subjects was made up of persons with bilateral labyrinthine defects (L-D), while a second group had normal vestibular function (normal subjects). A secondary objective was to compare the findings obtained in this environment with those obtained earlier on some of the same subjects exposed to standardized acrobatics, wave action at sea (ref 6), coriolis acceleration in a slowly rotating room*, and centripetal force in a counterrotating room (ref 5).

A survey of the pertinent literature has not disclosed any report dealing with exposure of L-D subjects to weightlessness. There are a few reports describing their participation in other types of experimental flights, but no comment was made regarding symptoms of motion sickness (refs 7,9).

Persons with normal vestibular function have been exposed to weightlessness in a variety of experiments. The experiment which has been used most extensively is the parabolic flight which produces weightlessness periods of 10 to 60 seconds, preceded and followed by high positive-G loads. In these flights, subjects were either "restrained" (refs 3,16) or "free floating" (ref 8). Gerathewohl (ref 3) has summarized his experience at the USAF School of Aviation Medicine in which 16 subjects were exposed to a total of 300 parabolas, during which 6 of the subjects developed motion sickness. Von Beckh (ref 1), summarizing the experience at Holloman Air Force Base, reported that 6 of 18 subjects became motion sick during zero-gravity flights in a T-33 jet aircraft. Loftus (ref 8) has summarized the extensive experience of two groups of subjects at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. In the first group, 45 persons participated in zero-G flights and 23 vomited cn one or more flights. In the second group, 90 persons participated, 21 vomited and 23 others reported nausea. Of the total of 44 who vomited, 60% experience a recurrence of nausea in the evening of the day they were motion sick.

Three generalizations may be drawn from these experiences with parabolic flights: (1) the incidence of motion sickness was greater when subjects were "free floating" as compared with being "restrained," (2) susceptibility to motion sickness is generally lower with increased flight experience, and (3) weightlessness was not the only variable and the motion sickness produced may have been influenced by the other flight stresses, particularly the positive G's.

More prolonged exposures to weightlessness have been experienced in other types of flights; namely, the X-15 (ref 12), the suborbital, and the orbital flights.

*Graybiel, A., and Clark, B. A report on a comparison of the symptoms experienced by healthy persons and subjects with labyrinthine defects exposed to Coriolis acceleration in a rotating environment. In preparation at the Naval School of Aviation Medicine, Pensacola, Florida.

1

From the information available, only Cosmonaut Titov (refs 2,13,14) experienced symptoms characteristic of motion sickness. The fact that Glenn (ref 4) reported slight seasicknesses while in a life raft after impact points up the lack of transfer from whatever adaptation to unusual force environments he acquired in flying to the environment at sea.

METHODOLOGY

Subjects

The 6 L-D subjects tested ranged in age from 20 to 48 years. The principal clinical findings on these subjects are summarized in Table I. The tests of otolith function revealed sufficient variance to raise the question of residual function in some instances.

The 19 normal subjects were made up of two groups, student subjects and regular subjects. The former consisted of 9 healthy medical students, 21 to 25 years old. A 10th candidate was not allowed to participate because his susceptibility to motion sickness under other circumstances indicated undesirable complications might ensue. The regular subjects consisted of 10 enlisted men, 18 to 21 years old, who were assigned to the Naval School of Aviation Medicine for the express purpose of participating in experiments. All 19 subjects were free of functional disorder, defect or disease of the sensory organs of the inner ear as determined by history, audiogram, and the caloric test.

The Force Environment

Reference 18 describes in detail the force environment of the zero-gravity airplane. A typical flight procedure, for convenience termed a "maneuver," consisted of a shallow dive followed by a pullup generating 2.5 G and a pushover into a ballistic trajectory with approximately 10 to 12 seconds of weightlessness. Recovery involved a pullup generating about 2.5 G. Unless interrupted, a flight sequence consisted of 40 maneuvers.

Procedure

1

The subjects were thoroughly briefed regarding the nature of the experiment and were indoctrinated in safety procedures. They were seated in airline-type seats and restrained by seat belts. The information sought was obtained with the aid of four questionnaires. The first questionnaire dealt with the fitness of the subject to participate and with his estimate of his concern and expected performance in relation to others. The second questionnaire was used by the experimenter and consisted of a checkoff list with rating scales of the signs and symptoms of motion sickness. The third and fourth questionnaires were used to assist the subject and experimenter in the final evaluation immediately after the flight. Table I

Clirical Findings and Results of Functional Tests of Auricular Organs of Six Subjects with Labyrinthine Defects

Counterrolling Index (min. of arc)	117	89	зе 36	ie 82	ie 85	ie 90	
ic Test L	1 _{Ne}	3 _{Ne}	ION	Noi	ION	ION	
Calor: R	1 _{Neg}	2 _{Neg}	None	None	None	None	
ng hold L	135db	145db	130db	None	None	160db	
Hearj Thres R	130db	145db	135db	None	None	None	
History of Motion Sickness	Car, bus*	Nore	None	None	None	None	
Age of Onset	12-1/2 yrs.	4-1/2 Jrs.	3-1/2 yrs.	8 y rs.	3 yrs.	12 yrs	
Ŀtiology	Meningitis	Meningitis	Meningitis	Meningitis	Meningitis	Mastoidectomy	
Age	50	21	ନ୍ସ	2ε	22	43	
Subject	A	£	U	Q	ы	նպ	

* Slight nausea: "occasionally long trips"

No vertigo or observable nystagmus when tympanum irrigated with cold water (4.5° to 6°C). Numerals refer to rystagmograms obtained during irrigation with cold water for three minutes: 1. questionable vertical nystagmus, 2. questionable nystagmus, 3. minimal nystagmus.

Normal range (9 subjects) 286 to 465

RESULTS

As indicated in table 11, only two symptoms were reported for the entire group of L-D subjects and these symptoms were barely detectable. The L-D's as a group were essentially symptom free. They enjoyed the flight and grasped every opportunity to fly as an assistant or passenger. In these additional flights they appeared to enjoy the experience of free-floating.

The normal subjects were ranked in order of decreasing susceptibility to the functional symptoms of motion sickness. Four of the 10 regular subjects (table III) were regarded by the experimenter as less fit than normal although they rated themselves as "fit." All except one regular subject completed the series of 40 maneuvers. This subject requested termination because of severe discomfort. There were individual differences among the regular subjects but these differences were not predictable from their own estimate of concern or performance. Of particular interest were the effects in the case of L whose verbatim report follows:

"Immediately after the flight, I noticed no unordinary aftereffects except a little difficulty walking and a slight nausea. This lasted for about an hour after the flight. Everything was fine until late Friday (day of flight) night. At approximately 10:45, I noticed difficulty in walking when I was getting ready to turn in. (Since about 8, I was watching 'IV and noticed nothing.) Whenever I would take a step, my foot would seem to keep falling. When I lay in my bed I seemed to be tossing from side to side. I know I wasn't because I was holding on to my bed. Several times I got out of bed and walked to the bathroom and while in the bathroom would walk up and down seeing if it would stop. It would stop for a while and start up again. I went to sleep, and when I woke up I felt normal until approximately 10 when it started again. I noticed it the most when I would come from one extreme to the other, ie, from very bright light to a place of shade, from a warm space into a cold space or vice-versa, or when I would stard up rapidly. Saturday, around noon, I went to town, and it seemed to get worse. When I would sit down, it would have a strong effect. This lasted until that (Saturday) night, varying from a poir of strong effect to a weak one, and at times it would disappear entire-ly. When poing to sleep Saturday night, I felt fine. Sunday morning I noticed it very slightly every once in a while, then it seemed to clear up completely. Today, Monday, I have no feelings of unstableness at all. 10:30."

Careful inquiry revealed no explanation for the symptoms in t_{times} of medical history or associated symptomatology. Subsequently, this subject participated in a series of flights in which he was exposed to even longer periods of weightlessness without delayed aftereffects. This incident points up a troublesome deviation from the usual pattern of vestibular sickness.

The student subjects (table IV) also varied greatly in susceptibility but differed from the regular group in that more fell at one extreme or the other. One flight sequence was terminated after ten maneuvers at the request of two subjects. One of the two subjects, Q, felt sick after one maneuver, and both subjects manifested symptoms of anxiety, suggesting that the flight acted in part as a nonspecific stressor. Two other subjects who were on the same flight necessarily failed to

4

* Expressed in terms of 'usual fitness"

¥

(2) Av; abcve $Av = Av^+$

(1) Three-point scale, I = slight or minimal

				1			
Recovery	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
รตอปฏาชุร ราคปีปั	ç	Ū	0	0	0	0	
General Discomfort (1)	н	0	0	0	0	0	
та∎ауоМ тэіттгөй	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Pallor (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	ļ
(I) teew2	0	0	0	н	0	0	
Nauses (1)	0	υ	0	0	0	0	
(Х .ой) Азтэй	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vomit (No. X)	0	0	0	0	0	o	
bəjəlqmol inəminəqxA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
vjeixnA 10 emojqmy ²	ο	0	0	0	0	0	
etamits a'tseidu ² of Performance (2)	Åν⊹	Åv∔	Av+	Avt-	Av+	t AY	44
Subject's Estimate (1) mesuco lo	н	щ	н	₩i	н	, 14	
otamitaïs frontando Observer's Estando Observes	Slightly Less	Yes	Yes	Tea	Yes	Yes	
esentra Pupper esentra Pupper	Yes	Yes	Yea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Three w
tosîd <i>u</i> 2	A	æ	υ	ū	ы	ĨX.,	

Findings in Six Subjects With Labyrinthine Defects Exposed to Weightlessness in Parabolic Flights Table III

Findings in Ten Regular Subjects Exposed to Weightlessness in Parabulic Filghts

								'n	ble	ble	
Кесолегу	Slow	Rapid		Rapi-	Rapid	Deluyed	Карлd	Fast Fatigue	Not Applica	Not Applica	, uo
(7) smotqmy2 (2)	1,2, 4,5,8	1,3	1,2, 4,5,6	Ч	1,4.5	L L	С	1,3,7	7	0	ry mouth
General Fiscemfort (1)	III	щ	ч	н	н	5	⊷ 1	ы	н	н	n, 5. D cludes
toirtesA tnemevoM	tes t	Yea	Yes	No	Yes	No	110	Nc	No	No	d visio 7. Inc
(1). ₁₀₁₁₈ 9	ΤŢ		11	н	н	н	ы	0	0	ပ	Blurree Yawn
Sweat(1)	II	щ	н	н	н	н	н	0	н	₽-4	g, 4. che, 6 "usual
(ī) _{səsus} N	LJI	11	н	ΓĽ	н	H	н	н	0	0	eathin Heada me of
Кетећ (Nc. X)	н	0	н	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cf br s, y.
(X .cW) JimeV	ы	н .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	riness (
io smoigryč (5) visina (5)	1,3	0	1,3,5	JU	н	0	0	4	en en	ى	3. Awarer Diza
Experiat Completed	No	Yes	Хөs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Үев	shing, stress
Subject's Esti- mate of Ferform- ance (2)	Å۷	Av	AV	AV	Av	Av	Av	Åv	Avt	Av-	ninimal iuent sig 3. Drow
-ital a'tosidu? mate of Contern (1)	III	II	III		님	III	II	III	III	III	Light or I AV = AV 2, Tree increxia
-itsl s'revredO mate of Fitness	Yes	Slightly Lees	Slightly Less	Yes	Yes	Yes	Slightly Less	Slightly Less	Slightly Less	e r<	ale, I = sl Avr; below tics apprar reness, 2. h bowel moveme subject's r
-itaE s'foefdr2 *esentif lo etem	Yes	Yet	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	point sc ove Av = rauteris mach awa Desire ated at
9 3 Å	67	19	19	20	ρĽ	18	13	18	50	ដ	Three- Av; ab 1. Cha 1. Sto 1. Sto B.
toefdag	Ċ	н	н	5	К	ы	×	N	0	<u>م</u>	* EMPE

1	
1	
÷.	
3	
•	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	

Findings in Nime Student Subjects Exposed to Weightlessness in Parabolic Flights

Table IV

										mal	or mini	slight	scale, I =	e-point	Three	<u> </u>
Not Applicable	0	0	No	0	0	0	0	0	J	Yes	Avt	III	Yes	Yes	21	¥
Not Applicable	0	0	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	Yes	Avt	н	Yes	Yes	52	×
Not Applicable	0	0	No	0	II	o	0	0	0	Yes	Av	н	Slightl⊤ Less	Tes	21	м
Rapid	1,3	н	0	н	н	0	Э	0	ſ	Yes	Av	Ъ.	y Slightly Less	Slightl. Less	22	Δ
Rapid	0	Э	c	I	II	0	0	0	0	No	Av	н	Yes	Yes	25	n
Rapid	1, t	н	Νο	11	H	o	0	0	0	No	ΛV	ŢŢ	Slightly Less	Yes	57	E٩
Rapid	1,3,6	}- -ŧ	No	ы	} -1	н	0	0	3,5	Yes	Av	TIT	Tes	Yes	77	S
Rapid	1,2,4	III	No	II	II	III	0	0	1,2,3,5	No	Av	н	Ycs	Yes	21	e 4
Slow	1,2,3, 4,5,7	III	Yes	11	II	III	н	н	1,2,5	No	Αv	II	Yes	Yes	23	œ
Гесолецу	(4) emotqmv2 reat	General Discomfort (1)	тэйтлээ Мометет	^{Pallor} (1)	(I) _{JE9W} 2	(1) _{səzus} N	(Х .оИ) dэтэЯ	(Х .оИ) ЭйтоV	lo amotqmy2 (S) yjsixnA	Completed Experiment	etamitsïs's'toefdu? (2) errormance (2)	etern (1) of Concern (1)	-ital s'revresC Dserver fitness asentfi lo siam	ətsmitsE s'tostdu? * saenti 10	өзү	toetdu2

<u>E</u>QE

complete the predetermined number of maneuvers, but the early appearance of pa'lor and sweating suggested that they were to be included among those who were quite susceptible. At the other extreme were two "insusceptibles" who showed no symptoms of motion sickness.

The L-D subjects and the nine student subjects had been exposed to unusual force environments other than parabolic flight. A listing of the student subjects symptomatology is given in table V. Since the L-D subjects showed no symptoms in any of the force environments, these subjects are not included in the table. The student subjects, ranked in order of susceptibility to symptoms in the C-131 aircraft show the same general trend of susceptibility which occurred in the other force environments.

SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Subjects with bilateral vestibular defects not only failed to show or report symptoms of motion sickness in parabolic flight but actually enjoyed the experience. The likelihood of obtaining similar results in six normal persons with minimal flight experience is small. We assume the L-D subjects were representative of labyrinthine defective subjects in general and that loss of vestibular function in the L-D subjects was responsible for their lack of symptoms.

The incidence of symptoms in the normal subjects corresponds closely to the results reported by Loftus (ref ℓ). Although Loftus reported a 51% incidence of symptoms as compared to 54% in this study, he used vomiting as the only indicator of motion sickness. The percentages would very likely have been in even closer agreement if other symptoms had been considered.

Apparently symptoms such as the ones Titov experienced in orbital flight may be ascribed to vestibular function. That the other participants in orbital flight did not experience symptoms might have been due either (1) to low basic susceptibility, (2) to transfer of adaptation acquired in other types of flight or accelerative devices, (3) to the fact that weightlessness is not a strong precipitating factor, or (4) to a combination of these. Our findings indicate some persons are resistant to motion sickness when making transitions in and out of the weightless state, whereas the majority of naive persons with a normally functioning labyrinth are highly susceptible. Although there is some evidence that experienced pilots (ref 1) are resistant to vestibular sickness in weightlessness, there is little actual proof of transfer of adaptation. We believe if weightlessness is a factor in precipitating symptoms of motion sickness, it is not a strong factor.

⊳	
Table	

80
Environment
Force
Vai ious
\$
Exposed
Subjects
Student
Nine
Ę
Findings

Student Subject	Age	History of Motion Sickness	נ-י <u>ז</u> ו ¹	AD5 ²	Sea	SRR ⁴	CRR 5
G	23	Λ	Λ	IV	IV	>	111
ж	21	A	Λ	IV	Λ	ΛI	V
S	24	III	III	λT	1.1N	Λ	II
Ŀ	24	V	III	III	III	Δ	IV
n	25	1	III	III	TIN	1 []	ΪV
V	22	LÎN	 	II	LIN	Ιŗ	I
×	51	LIN	LîN	LŁN	TIN		I
X	8	LIN	TÌN	H	⊬.	н	N±J.
Y	21	LİN	LĮN	TIN	IIN	Н	1
Five-poi	nt scale, I =	slight or minimal					

9

Zero-gravity flights Standardized acrobatics for 30 minutes

Exposure in small boat Exposure in slow-rotation room (ref 5), which stimulates the semicircular canals in an unusual pattern Exposure in slow-rotating room (ref 6); absence of angular velocity permits avoidance of stimulation to the semicircular canals

- Coe, L. A., "Some Notes on the Reactions of Aircraft Pilots to Zero Gravity," J. Brit. Interplanet. Soc., 13:244, 1954.
- Gazenko, O., Genini, A., and Yazdovsky, V., "Physiological investigations on Vostok-2," <u>Aviatsiya i Kosmonavtika</u>, No. 7, pp 29-34, 1962. JPRS 15, 706, Joint Publications Research Service, Washington, D. C., 1962.
- 3. Gerathewohl, S. J., "Personal Experiences during Short Periods of Weightlessness Reported by Sixteen Subjects," <u>Astronautica Acta</u>, <u>2</u>:203-217, 1956.
- Glenn, J. H., "Pilot's flight report," <u>Interim Results of the First United</u> <u>States Orbital Space Flight, February 20, 1962</u>, pp 119-136, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Manned Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas, 1962.
- 5. Graybiel, A., and Johnson, W. H., "A Comparison of the Symptomatology Experienced by Healthy Persons and Subjects with Loss of Labyrinthine Function When Exposed to Unusual Patterns of Centripetal Force in a Counter-rotating Room," <u>Ann otol</u>. (In Press.)
- Kennedy, R. A., and Graybiel, A., "The Validity of Tests of Canal Sickness in Predicting Susceptibility to Airsickness and Seasickness," <u>Aerospace Med.</u>, <u>33</u>:935-938, 1962.
- 7. Lewis, E. R., and Horn, H., "Medical Studies on the Feel of the Airship," Deaf Mutes and Normals," Laryngoscope, 29:65-31, 1919.
- 8. Loftus, J. P., <u>Symposium on Motion Sickness with Special Reference to</u> <u>Weightlessness</u>, <u>AMRL-TDR-63-25</u>, 6570th Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, June 1963.
- McNally, W. J., and Stuart, E. A., "Physiology of the Labyrinth Reviewed in Relation to Seasickness and Other Forms of Motion Sickness," <u>War Med.</u>, <u>2</u>:683-771, 1942.
- 10. Parin, V. V., and Gazenko, O. G., "Soviet Experiments Aimed at a vestigating the Influence of the Space Flight Factors on the Organism of Animals and Man," presented at Third International Space Science Symposium and Fifth COSPAR Plenary Meeting, Washington, D. C., 1962.
- 11. Roman, J. W., Warren, B. H., and Graybiel, A., "The Function of the Semicircular Canals during Weightlessness," <u>Aerospace Med.</u>, (In Press).
- 12. Simons, J. C., and Gardner, M. S., <u>Weightless man: A Survey of Sensations</u> and <u>Performance while Free-floating</u>, AMRL-TDR-62-114, 6570th Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, March 1963.

- Sisakyan, N. M., and Yazdovsky, V. I. (Eds.), <u>Physiological Responses of</u> <u>Cosmonauts during Space Flight</u>, AID Report 62-202, Library of Congress, Washington, D. C., 1962.
- 14. Volynkin, Y. M., Yuzdovsky, V. I., et al. <u>The First Manned Space Flights</u>, Midiko-Biologicheskiye Issledovaniya, Moskva, 1962. Air Force Systems Command Report FTD-TT-6201619, Foreign Technology Division, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1962.
- 15. von Beckh, H. J., "A Summary of Motion Sickness Experiences in Weightless Flights Conducted by the Aeromedical Field Laboratory," <u>Symposium on Motion</u> <u>Sickness with Special Reference to Weightlessness</u>," AMRL-TDR-63-25, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, March 1960.
- 16. von Beckh, H. J., The Incidence of Motion Sickness during Exposures to the Weightless State," Astronautik, 2:217-224, 1961.
- 17. Warren, B. H., Roman, J. A., and Graybiel, A., Exclusion of Stimulation of the Semicircular Canals as the Cause of Apparent Displacement of a Real Target and Afterimage during Weightlessness. <u>Aerospace Med</u>., (In Press)
- Weiss, R., <u>Display Systems for Sub- and Zero-Gravity Flight</u>, AMRL-TDR-63-11, 6570th Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, January 1963.