ţ

 $\bar{V}$ 

ASE FILL

COPY

 $6 - 15 - 670$  Kett4 cl

 $10602$ 

CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS **NEW YORK UNIVERSITY** 

QUANTUM MECHANICAL

STUDY OF

ATOMS AND MOLECULES

**BY** 

R. C. SAHNI

The research reported in this document has been made possible through the support and sponsorship extended by the Office of Research Grants and Contracts of the Wational Advisary and Space Administration, Washington 25, D. C. Under contract No. NSG 76-60

#### ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF MOLECULES

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper, following a brief introduction, is divided into five parts. Part I outlines the theory of the molecular orbital method for the ground, ionized and excited states of molecules. Part II gives a brief summary of the interaction integrals and their tabulation. Part III outlines an automatic program designed for the computation of various states of molecules. Part IV gives examples of the study of ground, ionized and excited states of  $CO_2$ , BH and N<sub>2</sub> where the programs of automatic computation and molecular integrals have been utilized. Part V enlists some special problems of Molecular Quantum Mechanics which are being tackled at New York University.





 $\mathbf{i}$ 

Ť

undetermined coefficients, a's  $a_{1p}$  $\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{z}}$  and  $\mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{S}}$ Hermitian conjugate of the vector as matrices defined by equation (63)  $\mathbf I$ unit matrix  $3\pi g$ ,  $3\pi a$ ,  $3\leq a$ <sup>+</sup>  $3\leq a$ <sup>-</sup> excited states of N<sub>2</sub>  $2z_{u}^{2}$   $2z_{u}^{2}$ ionised states of N<sub>2</sub> molecular orbitals of  $\sigma^-$  symmetry for the ground state  $40 - 20 - 10$ of CO molecule  $\pi$ <sub>x</sub>,  $\pi$ <sub>x</sub> molecular orbitals of x symmetry  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$   $\sigma$ molecular orbitals of craymentry of BH molecule  $\sigma_{\rm g}$  ls,  $\sigma_{\rm g}$ 2s,  $\sigma_{\rm g}$ 2p<br> $\sigma_{\rm u}$  ls,  $\sigma_{\rm u}$ 2s,  $\sigma_{\rm u}$ 2p symmetry orbitals of  $N_{2}$  molecule defined in Table 7  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}}^2$ 2p,  $\pi_{\mathbf{g}}^2$ 2p  $\pi_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{x}}$ 2p,  $\pi_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{x}}$ 2p

LCAO SCF MO theory

abbreviation for "Linear combination of atomic orbital self-consistent field molecular orbital theory"

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Since molecules are built from two or more atoms, it is obvious that an understanding of the electronic states of molecules must be built upon a prior knowledge of the electronic states of atoms.

Just as each energy level of an atom corresponds to a certain electronic configuration, similarly molecular spectra are analysed into levels, each of which is analogous to an electronic level in an atom. With each electronic level is associated a group of neighbouring levels which are attributed to the quantized vibration of the molecule. Again each vibration level has associated with it a group of levels due to the quantized rotation of the molecule with the same electronic configuration and the same energy of vibration. Theory shows that the electronic, vibrational, and rotational levels may, to a first approximation, be considered separately and their respective contributions to the energy are to this approximation additive, thus:

# $E = E_{elec} + F_{vib} + F_{rot}$

Since the knowledge of electronic levels forms the basis for understanding of spectra and the structure of molecules, we shall, therefore, in this review discuss the electronic levels (states or wave functions) of molecules and will outline a procedure for their automatic computation on the electronic machine.

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

#### PART I MOLECULAR ORBITAL METHOD

#### THE ONE-ELECTRON APPROXIMATION.

The calculation of the electronic wave functions of atoms and molecules with more than two electrons, is based on the Hartree Model of the atom, which gives an approximate value of the total state function  $\Psi$  obtained by the product of n one-electron functions  $\mathbf{\Psi}^s\mathbf{s}$ . Thus

$$
\Psi(x_1y_1a_1,...x_ny_n a_n) = \Psi(x_1y_1a_1) \quad \Psi(x_2y_2a_2)... \quad \Psi(x_ny_n a_n)
$$
 (1)

Hartree<sup>(2)</sup> suggested on the basis of plausibility that each one-electron function  $\forall$  in equation (1) should satisfy a one-electron Schrödinger equation. in which the potential includes a term that takes into account the coulomb field of the other electrons as well as the field arising from muclei. He chose this term as the classical electrostatic potential of the n-1 normalised charge distributions  $|\Psi_4|^2 e^2$ .

Hence his equations for  $\forall$ ; are

$$
\frac{-k^2}{2a} A_1 \gamma_1^{(r_1)} \left[ r_1^{(r_1)} + \frac{1}{3} e^2 \int \frac{\gamma_1^{(r_2)}^2}{r_{12}} dr_3 \right] \gamma_1^{(r_1)} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_1^{(r_1)}(r_1)
$$
 (2)

where

 $\mathbf{r}_1$  denotes the space co-ordinates  $(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{z}_1)$  of the i<sup>th</sup> electron,  $\epsilon$  is the energy value of the i<sup>th</sup> electron,  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is the potential energy of the i<sup>th</sup> electron in the field of muclei alone,  $\text{d} \mathbf{T}_j$  is the integration over the entire space for the  $j^{\text{th}}$  electron, is the summation over all values of  $j = 1$ , 2... n except when  $j = i$ and  $\frac{-h^2}{h}$  is the kinetic energy operator of the i<sup>th</sup> electron.

Equations (2) have been further modified by  $Fock<sup>(3)</sup>$  so as to include exchange terms, and are now known as Hartree-Fock equations

 $\overline{c}$ 

$$
-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\Delta\varphi_{i}(\eta_{i})+\left[V(\eta_{i})+\sum_{j}\epsilon^{2}\int\frac{\varphi_{j}(\eta_{2})}{\eta_{2}}d\tau_{2}\right]\varphi_{i}(\eta_{i})
$$

$$
-\sum_{i}\left[\epsilon^{2}\int\frac{\varphi_{i}^{*}(\eta_{2})\psi_{i}(\eta_{2})}{\eta_{2}}d\tau_{2}\right]\psi_{j}(\eta_{i})=\sum_{j}\lambda_{ij}\psi_{j}(\eta_{i})
$$

in which  $\sum_{i,j} = \frac{1}{3}$ 

The general procedure for solving Hartree-Fock equations is one of trial and error. One assumes a set of  $\forall$ <sup>1</sup>s, solves the equations for the required  $\epsilon$  <sup>*e*</sup>s and  $\gamma$ <sup>*e*</sup>s and compares the resulting  $\gamma$ <sup>*e*</sup>s with the assumed ones. Guided by this comparison a new set of  $\forall$ 's is chosen and the procedure is repeated. This process is then continued until the *assumed* and calculated  $\psi$ <sup> $\theta$ </sup>s agree. This iterative method of solving equations (3) is called the Hartree-Fock aelf-consistent field method.

For atoms, the problem of solving Hartree-Fock equations is greatly simplified by the central symmetry. For molecules because of the absence of central symmetry the numerical solution of these equations is a very difficult problem. This difficulty is overcome by using the molecular orbital approximation, described in the next section.

#### **MOLTCULAR ORBITAL METHOD**

**The** molecular orbital method **ia** essentially an extension of the **Bohr**  theory of electron configurations from atoms to molecules. Each electron ie aasigned to a oneelectron wave f'unction, **or** molecular orbital, which i8 the quantum mechanical analogue of an electron orbit. Molecular orbitals **(MC?)** are generally built up a8 linear combinations of atanic orbital8 **(ICAO).** 

The **molecular orbital** theory, based on **e** single-dstenninantal wave function for the ground state of molecules **having** doubly occupied orbitals, **has** been applied to molecules, on the lines similar to Hartree-Fock treatment for atoms, by a number of workers  $(4, 5, 6)$ . Lennard-Jones<sup>(7)</sup> has also considered **a** deteminsntal **wave** function in which molecular orbitals

 $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$  ...  $Y_p$  are associated with two electrons of opposite (  $d$  and  $\beta$ ) spins and  $\forall_{p+1}$ ,  $\forall_{p+2}$  ...  $\forall_{n+q}$  with only one electron (of  $\lambda$  or  $\beta$  spin). **Such a** wave function can **be** written

$$
\Psi = [(\lambda \rho + \gamma) \cdot \overline{\gamma} \sum_{p} (-1)^{p} \big[\Psi_{1}(\cdot) d(1) \cdots \Psi_{p}(\lambda p) \big] \beta(\lambda p) \Psi_{p+1}(\lambda p+1) d(\lambda p+1)
$$
\nwhere Pruns over the (2p+q)! permutations of the (2n+q) variables and  
\n
$$
(\frac{1}{2})^{p}
$$
 is the parity of the p<sup>th</sup> permutation. Considering all the  
\nfunctions as orthogonal, he has also deduced e set of differential equa-  
\ntions for their optimum forms. These equations for the paired spins can  
\nbe written

$$
(H + J - K) Y'_n = \sum_{m} E_{\lambda, m} Y_m
$$
 (5)

where **H,** J **and K** are the barerunclear **field** H8lailtonian operator, **Coularb**  operator and **hhange** operator reapectively. **is** defined **by** 

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2} \int \widetilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}_{\mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{n}} d\mathbf{T} \tag{6}
$$

and the Coulomb operator  $J_i$  and the E-change operator  $K_i$  are defined by

$$
J_{1}(1)\Psi(1) = (\int \overline{\Psi}_{1}(2) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \Psi_{1}(2) d\mathbf{r}_{2}) \Psi(1)
$$
  

$$
K_{1}(1)\Psi(1) = (\int \overline{\Psi}_{1}(2) \frac{1}{r_{12}} \Psi_{1}(2) d\mathbf{r}_{2}) \Psi_{1}(1)
$$
 (7)

**and** 

**so** that they can **be expres8ed** *a8* one-electron Integrals involving the operators  $J_i$  and  $K_i$ .

Quatiom *(5)* do not **deflne** the **orbitals** uniquely **so** that the motion of **the** electrons can **be** described **wlth equal** accurecy **using** several types of orbitals. One possible type is the molecular orbital description which is defined **by** the **condition** that

*L<sub>n'</sub> n f</del> n (8) <i>n f n* **(8)** 

From this definition It can be **proved** that each molecular orbital **belongs** to **one** or other of the **irreducible repreeentations** of the symmetry maup of the molecule. **This means that** they cannot **be** localized in a certain part of the mdecule, but are spread throughout it.

#### SINGLE DETERMINANT WAVE FUNCTION

 $\mathcal{L}$  .

 $\frac{1}{2}$  .

The wave function  $(l_1)$  is not the most general form of a single determinant wave function. If the number of  $d$  electrons  $(N_i)$  is not equal to the number of  $\beta$  electrons  $(\aleph_{\beta})$ , it can be shown  $(8,9)^{\top}$  that there is no a priori reason why any of the orbitals containing  $d$ . electrons should be identical with any of the rest. In the most general form we may introduce  $N_d$  +  $N_\beta$  molecular orbitals, all of which may be varied independently in the Ritz variational process. This wave function can be written as  $\Psi = [(v_x + v_0)! \bar{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi^{(1)} P [\psi_{(1)} d1] \psi_2(a) d2] \cdots \psi_{N_k}(x) d(N_k)$  $\cdots \vee_{N_1+N_0} (N_1+N_1) \beta (N_1+N_2)$ 

In addition to being more general than  $(l_1)$ , the wave equation (9) has the additional advantage of simplifying the variational problem. By carrying out linear transofrmation within the determinant, we may take the  $\mathcal A$ orbitals to be an orthogonal set; the same applies to the  $\beta$  orbitals. However, there is no need for any of the  $\lambda$  orbitals to be orthogonal to any of the  $\beta$  orbitals, since the complete one-electron functions are orthogonal on acouunt of spin.

The total electronic energy for such a wave function is given by the formula

$$
E = \int \bar{\Psi} \, H \, \Psi \, dT \tag{10}
$$

where H, the complete many electron Hamiltonian is

$$
H = \sum_{i} H_i + \sum_{i>j} \frac{1}{\gamma_{ij}}
$$
 (11)

 $H_i$  is the Hamiltonian operator for the i<sup>th</sup> electron in the field of the muclei alone.

For the wave function of equation  $(9)$  we have, therefore,

 $\mathsf{S}$ 

$$
E = [(N_{4} + N_{\beta})!^{\frac{-1}{2}}] \int \xi^{(-1)^{\beta}} P[\psi_{1}(\theta) d\theta] \cdots ] H \xi^{(-1)^{\beta}} P[\psi_{1}(\theta) d\theta] \cdots ] d\tau
$$
  
= 
$$
\int [\psi_{1}(\theta) d\theta] \cdots ] H \xi^{(-1)^{\beta}} P[\psi_{1}(\theta) d\theta] \cdots ] d\tau
$$
 (12)

where H is totally symmetrical in all its variables. Most of the permutations in (12) lead to vanishing terms on account of orthogonality. The only ones that do not vanish are the identical permutation and all single permutations of the same spin. These lead to the following expression for the energy

$$
\mathbf{E}^{\prime}
$$

$$
B = \sum_{i=1}^{d+\beta} H_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d+\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{d+\beta} J_{i,j} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} K_{i,j} - (13) \right)
$$

Here  $\sum_{4}^{d}$  and  $\sum_{4}^{f}$  indicate summation over suffixes corresponding to molecular orbitals  $\bigvee_i$  that are occupied by  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$  electrons respectively.  $d+1$ is used for summation over all orbitals.  $\sum_{i}$ 

If we are dealing with a close shell ground state in which the two sets of orbitals are identical, the energy expression (13) reduces to the usual form

$$
E = 2 \xi H_1 + \sum_{i,j} (2J_{ij} - K_{ij})
$$
 (1h)

#### ICAO SELF-CONSISTENT FIELD ORBITALS

In the LCAO MO method all the electrons of the molecule are represented by linear combinations of atomic orbitals.

$$
\Psi_{i} = \sum_{p} \alpha_{i} \chi_{p} \tag{15}
$$

where i specifies the MO's,  $\psi$ ,

p specifies the atomic orbitals,  $\chi$ ,

and  $a_{\text{in}}$  specifies the undetermined coefficients, a's.

Equation  $(15)$  can be written in the matrix notation as

$$
\Psi_i = \Omega_i \times \tag{16}
$$

The condition that the MO's be orthogonal then reduces to

$$
\int \psi_i \psi_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} d\tau = \underline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_i^* \underline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \underline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} = \delta_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}.
$$
 (17)

where the elements of the overlap matrix  $S$  are defined by

$$
S_{pq} = \overline{S}_{qp} = \int \overline{\chi}_p \chi_q dT
$$
 (18)

and  $\mathbf{a}_1^{\mathcal{R}}$  is the Hermitian conjugate of the vector  $\mathbf{a}_1$ .

If we write the terms in the energy expression of equation (13) in the matrix form

$$
H_{1} = \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{1}^{*} \underline{H} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{1},
$$
  

$$
J_{1j} = \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{1}^{*} \underline{J}_{j} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{1} = \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{j}^{*} \underline{J}_{1} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{J},
$$
  

$$
K_{1j} = \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{1}^{*} \underline{K}_{j} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{1} = \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{j}^{*} \underline{K}_{1} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{J},
$$
 (19)

and vary the coefficients  $\underline{s}_i$  by an amount  $\delta \underline{s}_i$ , the resulting variation

in E is found to be  $x + \beta$ <br>  $\{\varepsilon * \xi\}$   $\{\alpha_i + \xi\}$ 

$$
=\sum_{i}^{d} \underbrace{\delta a_{i}^{*}}_{i} (H+J)a_{i} - \sum_{i}^{d} \underbrace{\delta a_{i}^{*}}_{i} Ka_{i} - \sum_{i}^{d} \underbrace{\delta a_{i}^{*}}_{i} Ka_{i} + \text{Complex Conjugate} \quad (20)
$$

Where  $\underline{J}$ ,  $\underline{K}^{\prime}$ ,  $\underline{K}^{\beta}$ , the total coulomb and exchange matrices are defined as

$$
J = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} J_i
$$
  

$$
J = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} J_i
$$
  

$$
J = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} J_i
$$
 (21)

 $\delta_{2}$  is subject to the orthonormality restriction (17)

J

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\left\{\alpha_{i} & \sum \alpha_{j} + \alpha_{i} & \sum \beta \alpha_{j} = 0 & (i, j in same set) & (22) \\
\text{Multiplying equation (22) by Lagrangian multipliers - E and adding to equation (20) we obtain the minimization condition\n\end{array}\right.\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\sum \beta \alpha_{i} & (H + J - K) \alpha_{i} - \sum_{j} \sum \alpha_{j} \epsilon_{j} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\sum \beta \alpha_{i} & (H + J - K) \alpha_{i} - \sum_{j} \sum \alpha_{j} \epsilon_{j} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\alpha & \beta & \beta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{m} & \beta^{m} & \beta^{m} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n

 $\overline{7}$ 

**From (23) we conclude** that **the coefficients 4, mat setia** 

$$
(\underline{\mathbf{H}} + \underline{\mathbf{J}} - \underline{\mathbf{K}}^d) \underline{\mathbf{g}}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \underline{\mathbf{g}} \underline{\mathbf{g}}_j \ \epsilon_{j1} \tag{2l}
$$

or

either

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$
(\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K})\mathbf{a}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \mathbf{B}_{j} \mathbf{A}_{j}
$$
 (25)

**according as**  $Y'$ **, be associated with**  $d$  **or**  $\beta$  **electrons. We can further diagonalise the matrices**  $\epsilon_{j1}$  **by the orthonormal transofrmation of the ofiitals reducing equations (a) and (25) respectively to** 

$$
(\underline{\mathbf{H}} + \underline{\mathbf{J}} - \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{d}}) \underline{\mathbf{a}}_1^{\mathbf{d}} - \epsilon_1^{\mathbf{d}} \underline{\mathbf{S}} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_1^{\mathbf{d}}
$$
 (26)

and 
$$
(\underline{H} + \underline{J} - \underline{K}^{\beta}) \underline{a}_1^{\beta} - \underline{\epsilon}_1^{\beta} \underline{s} \underline{a}_1^{\beta}
$$
 (27)

The elements of the matrices **B**, **J** and  $\int_{\text{BQ}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{BQ}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{BQ}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{BQ}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{C}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{C}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{C}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{C}}^{\text{d}} \frac{d}{r} \int_{\text{$ and  $K = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\sum_{i}^{\alpha \in \Lambda} \overline{a}_{t,i}^{\alpha} u_i) \iint X_{\mu}(x) X_{\mu}(x) \frac{1}{\gamma_{12}} X_{\mu}(x) X_{\mu}(x) dT_{\mu} dT_{\mu}(28b)$ 

**Tmh equations** *(26)* **and (27) deacrfbe two atreightfomld eigarwalue problems,** th8 **calmlatian of the elmenta of matrix J, which is camon** *to*  both, involves the e<sub>d</sub> coefficients which are assumed for the first cycle but for the subsequent cycles they have to be obtained from the solution of **both the eigen-valuo problaas. The equations** *are,* **therefore, beet solved**  by a cyclic process:

1. **to begin with, values of**  $\underline{a}_1$  **are assumed consistent with the** 

**orthonormality condition of equation (17),**<br>2. these  $\underline{a}_1$  are used to calculate the matrices  $\underline{J}$ ,  $\underline{K}$  and  $\underline{K}$ <sup>(3</sup>)  $2.$ **the detenninante (equation** *29)* **of secular equationa** *(26)* **and** *(27)* **are 3. example to the conduction of**  $\epsilon$  **is and**  $\epsilon$  **and for their coefficients**  $\underline{a}_1^d$  **and**  $\underline{a}_1^d$ **,** *d Q* 

 $\boldsymbol{8}$ 

 $|r^{3} - \epsilon s| = 0;$  $|F^{\alpha} - \epsilon s| = 0;$  $(29)$  $\mathbb{E}^d$  and  $\mathbb{E}^{\beta}$  are defined by  $F^d = H + J - K^d$ and  $\underline{F}^{\beta} - \underline{H} + \underline{J} - \underline{K}^{\beta}$  $(30)$ 

the new coefficients  $\mathbf{a}_1^d$  and  $\mathbf{a}_1^B$ , thus obtained, are used to calculate 4. matrices  $I, \underline{K}$ ,  $\underline{K}$  and the process is repeated till self-consistency is attained; that is, the coefficients  $\frac{d}{d_1}$  and  $\frac{3}{4}$  obtained from the n<sup>th</sup> cycle are in agreement with those obtained from the  $(n-1)$ <sup>th</sup> cycle, within predetermined limits.

After the self-consistency is obtained, the eigenvectors  $\underline{a}_i$  of the secular equations give the LCAO MO<sup>2</sup>s and the eigen-values  $\epsilon$ <sub>4</sub> the vertical ionisation potentials.

We shall describe in the following section the computation of atomic and molecular integrals required in the calculation of S, H, J and K matrices.

#### **FART II. ATOMIC AND MOLECULAR INTEGRALS**

#### **TABLES OF MOLECULAR INTEGRALS**

**A variety of** pbpsical **properties** of molecules such **8s** energies, **polpriae**bilities, susceptibilities, and transition moments can be calculated by use **of** quantum mechanics fran ths **knowledge of roleoular** *wave* functions. The calculation **of theme** functions **by** any of the **standard methods,** such as **valence bod** or molecular **orbital,** involves **the** calculation of **molecular** integrals which **is extranely** tedious and **requires** coneiderable mathematical **underetandirg,**  Until recently only **upproxhafs methods** *were* **uaed** to **waluate** these integrals; however, **progress ha8** been made **In** the **past few para** in the evaluation **of** the basic integrals. berical **valaes** of **sumo** *of* these integralr  $(13)$ have been tabulated by some investigators; however, the available values are not sufficient to provide all the integrals required in the calculation of molecular wave functions.

It **has therefore** been consfdered **worthwhile** to **study a** number **of** these integrals and **tebnlate** their values. **In** camying **out these** caqmtationa **<sup>a</sup>** number **of** factors **were considered** and material tabulated for:

(1) **Integrals** which contain **all** the interaction integrals arising **in** the calculation **of** the **pruperties of** diatauic **molecules** containing eleatrons **of**  ls, 2s, and 2p atomic orbitals.

**(2) Integrals or their auxiliary functions as functions of one or two** variables over wide ranges of internuclear distance.

(3) **Integmla** which *am* **f'unctiona of more** than **two variables** which **have**  been **axpmssed** as a linear combination **of auxiliary** functions of two **variables**  f **and** '? The values **of'\*! may** range from 0 to 1, and all the required **values at suitable intervals are tabulated, while the values of**  $f'$  **may range** from 0 to  $\infty$ . The upper limit of the values of  $\beta$  is chosen to have integrals for values of the internuclear distance even beyond the dissociation distance.

Tables which contain one-center one-electron and two-center two-electron

integrals have been prepared automatically on an electronic computer. The monocenter integrals involving ls, 2s, and 2p atomic orbitals are special cases of two-center integrals for  $\beta = 0$  and can also be obtained from these tables.

The tables of integrals<sup>\*</sup> are divided into four parts and will appear in six volumes.

Volume 1 (designated as Part K) contains two-center two-electron and monocenter one-electron-integrals.

Volume 2 (designated as Part II) contains two-center two-electron and monocenter two-electron Coulomb integrals.

Volumes 3,  $\mu$  and 5 (designated as Parts III (a), III (b) and III (c) contain  $C_{\alpha\beta}^{Y\delta\epsilon}$  ( $\beta\gamma$ ),  $C_{\beta\alpha\beta}^{Y\delta\epsilon}$  ( $\beta\gamma$ ) and  $C_{\alpha\beta}^{(P,\tau)}$  auxilliary functions of the hybrid integrals.

Volume 6 (designated as Part IV) contains exchange integrals and the surilliary functions, W and G, required for the computation of some exchange integrals.

### CHOICE OF ATOMIC ORBITALS

For the Computation of the different types of integrals given below, Slater-type atomic orbitals (AO's) were used for the computations. For quantum numbers n=1 and 2 the normalized Slater AO's are

$$
1s = \left(\frac{\mu^{3}}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\mu \gamma}
$$
  
\n
$$
2s = \left(\frac{\mu^{5}}{3\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma e^{-\mu \gamma}
$$
  
\n
$$
2s = \left(\frac{\mu^{5}}{3\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma e^{-\mu \gamma}
$$
  
\n
$$
2s = \left(\frac{\mu^{5}}{3\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma e^{-\mu \gamma}
$$
  
\n
$$
2p_{y}
$$
  
\n
$$
2p_{
$$

 $(31)$ 

\* The tables of integrals are being published by NASA in six volumes (four parts and contain over two million values and cover over 5000 pages. Volumes I and II have already been published and distributed to different libraries and scientists upon request to NASA. Copies of these tables can be made available, free of charge, to accredited workers upon request to NASA, 1520 H Street Northwest, Washington 25, D.C., Attention Mr. Carl B. Palmer, Deputy Director,  $A = A$ mibina ba ان مساح -

where the effective charge  $\mu$  is arbitrary.

## TYPES OF INTEGRALS

The following types of integrals or their auxiliary functions are tabulated in Parts 1, II, III And IV. The notations  $\chi_a$ ,  $\chi'_a$ ,  $\chi'_a$ are used for the various atomic orbitals on atom a and, similarly, the notations are used for the various atomic orbitals on atom b.  $X_{\ell}$ ,  $X_{\ell}$ ,  $X_{\ell}$ 

Overlap integrals:

$$
\int \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(t) \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(t) dV_{\mathbf{a}} \tag{32}
$$

Nuclear-attraction integrals

$$
\int \chi_{a^{(1)}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{a}} \chi_{a^{(1)}} d\nu_{i}
$$
\n
$$
\int \chi_{a^{(1)}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{a}} \chi_{a^{(1)}}' d\nu_{i}
$$
\n(33)

Potential-energy integrals:

$$
\int \chi_a(t) \frac{1}{\gamma_a} \chi_a(t) d\mathcal{U}_1
$$
 (3h)

$$
\int \chi_{a^{(1)}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{a}} \chi_{a^{(1)}} d\nu_{1}
$$

Kinetic-energy integrals:

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\int \chi_{\alpha}^{(1)} \Delta \chi_{\alpha}^{(1)} d\nu_{\beta} \tag{35}
$$

Coulomb integrals:

$$
\iint_{a} x_{a}^{(1)} x_{a}^{(1)} \frac{1}{r_{12}} x_{a}^{(2)} x_{a}^{(2)} d\mu d\nu_{2}
$$
 (36)

Hybrid integrals:

$$
\iint \chi_{a}^{(1)} \chi_{a}^{(1)} \frac{1}{\tau_{12}} \chi_{a}^{(2)} \chi_{a}^{(2)} \chi_{a}^{(1)} d\mu_{1}^{(2)} \frac{1}{\tau_{2}} \tag{37}
$$

**Exchange integrals:** 

$$
\iint \chi_{\alpha}(\cdot) \chi_{\alpha}(\cdot) \xrightarrow{\prime} \chi_{\alpha}(\alpha) \chi_{\alpha}(\alpha) d\mu_{\alpha} d\nu_{\alpha}
$$
 (38)

Tables of Molecular Integrals (Part 1) TWO-CENTER ONE-ELECTRON NUCLEAR-ATTRACTION. OVERLAP. AND POTENTIAL- AND KINSTIC-ENERGY INTEGRALS\*

The tables in Part I deal with the two-center one-electron and monocenter one-electron integrals and are presented in two parts. The first part, table 1(a), contains nuclear-attraction integrals and the second part, table 1(b), contains all the functions necessary for two-center one-electron overlap and potential- and kinetic-energy integrals.

#### NUCLEAR-ATTRACTION INTEGRALS

The nuclear-attraction integrals are

$$
\left(\chi_{a}|\frac{1}{\gamma_{a}}|\chi_{a}'\right) = \int \chi_{a}^{(1)} \frac{1}{\gamma_{a}} \chi_{a}^{(1)} d\nu_{1}
$$
\n
$$
\left(\chi_{a}|\frac{1}{\gamma_{a}}|\chi_{a}'\right) - \int \chi_{a}^{(1)} \frac{1}{\gamma_{a}} \chi_{a}^{(1)} d\nu_{1}
$$
\n(39)

These integrals can be expressed in the form<br>  $\mu^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\mu^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $f(\rho)$ <br>  $\frac{\mu^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}+\ln^2}$ ,  $f(\rho)$  $(10)$ 

where  $\overline{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu + \mu')$ . The function  $f(\rho)$  is a function of the single parameter.

$$
\rho = R \mu \tag{41}
$$

and is given for each atomic-orbital pair in table 1(a) for values of p from 0 to 14.95 in 0.05 steps.

For sufficiently high values of p, the mmerical entries are given by the following expressions:

<sup>\*</sup> An expanded version of the information presented in this section is included in "Part 1 - Tables for Two-Center One-Electron Nuclear-Attraction, Overlap, and Potential- and Kinetic-Energy Integrals."

$$
(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \approx \frac{1}{2}
$$
\n
$$
(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \approx \frac{13}{20}
$$
\n
$$
(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \approx \frac{13}{20}
$$
\n
$$
(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \approx \frac{13}{20}
$$
\n
$$
(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \approx \frac{1}{20}
$$
\n
$$
(\
$$

In every case, formulas ( $\mu$ 2) yield better than 7-digit accuracy for values p beyond the range of the tables.  $of$ 

#### OVERLAP and POTENTIAL- and KINETIC-ENERGY INTEGRALS

The numerical values for overlap integrals

$$
(\chi_{\mathbf{a}} | \chi_{\mathbf{a}}) = \int \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(t) \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(t) d\nu_{\mathbf{a}}
$$
 (h3)

are contained in table 1(b) along with some additional functions necessary for two-center one-electron potential-energy integrals

$$
(\chi_{a} \mid \frac{1}{\gamma_{a}} \mid \chi_{a}) = \int \chi_{a} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_{a}} \cdot \frac{1}{\
$$

and kinetic-energy rucegrars

$$
(\begin{array}{c|c}\n\chi & -\frac{1}{2} & \Delta & \chi_{\ell}\n\end{array}) = -\frac{1}{2}\int \chi_{a}^{(1)} & \Delta \chi_{a}^{(1)} \, d\nu_{1} \qquad (45)
$$

These additional functions are the overlap integrals of the fictitious orbitals given by the following equations:

$$
OS = \left(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-1} e^{\mu x}
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h_2 \\ 1 & h_3 \\ 1 & h_4 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\frac{\mu^3}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\mu \pi} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & & (h_6) \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta & \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}
$$

The Os and lp orbitals used here are actually  $\int$  2 and  $\frac{1}{3}$ , respectively, times those given by Slater's general formula.

**All the** overlap **integrab including** those of **the** fictitious orbitela are **given in** table l(b) **as** functions **of** *two* **paraaaeters.** 

$$
\rho = \frac{R}{2} (\mu_a + \mu_e)
$$
  
\n
$$
T' = \frac{\mu_a - \mu_e}{\mu_a + \mu_e}
$$
 (h7)

**The range8 and** latervale of the overlap **integrals are aach** tbot **ell**  fntegrals *can* **be obtained for** 

$$
\Upsilon' = 10.0(p.02)0.9h^*
$$

**and** for

$$
p = 0.0(0.1)50.0(0.2)60.0(0.4)79.6 \text{ if } n_a = n_b = 1
$$
  

$$
p = 0.0(0.1)30.0(0.2)h0.0(0.4)59.6 \text{ if } n_a = 1, n_b = 2 \text{ or } n_a = 2, n_b = 1
$$
  

$$
p = 0.0(0.1)20.0(0.2)30.0(0.4)h9.6 \text{ if } n_a = n_b = 2
$$

**The numerical valws** of the **overlap** integral8 **are given in the fom**  of **7-digit mantissee (between -1** *ad* **1)** followed **by an** exponent **giving** the **per** of 10 **by** wbich **the mantissas** are to **be** nmltiplied.

For example, the value of (ls|ls) for  $p = 25.0$  and  $T' = 0.70$ **is given** in **tbe** fable **as** 

$$
3.434471, -4
$$

**(8- sample page bound). TMs due is to be interpreted as** 

$$
3.434471 \times 10^{-4}
$$

The overlap integrals  $(\times | \chi)$  listed in the tables are defined so that **tb fimt rtania orbital** in **ths** parentheses **is a** atan *a* **and** the **swond** is *on* **etam b.** *Only* positive **values** of **7** are listed **so** that if **an** integral **wiLh a** negative value of  $\tau'$  is needed one must interchange the **a** and **b** orbital functions and then obtain the value from the appropriate table; that is, for  $(0s|1s)$  for  $\Upsilon = -0.8$  one should use  $(1s|0s)$  for  $\Upsilon = 0.8$ .

<sup>\*</sup> This notation indicates "values of from 0.0 to 0.9h in 0.02 steps." Likewise the notation **for** p indicates **"values of** p **from** 0.0 to 50.0 in 0.1 steps, **values from** *50.0* **to** *60.0* **in** *0.2* **Step88 and values from 60.0** to *79.6* in 0.4 steps," and so forth.

# Tables of Molecular Integrals (Part II) TWO-CENTER TWO-ELECTRON COULOMB INTEGRALS<sup>\*</sup>

The general expression for two-center two-electron Coulomb integrals is given by

$$
\iint \chi_{a}^{(1)} \chi_{a}^{(1)} \frac{1}{r_{12}} \chi_{a}^{(2)} \chi_{a}^{(2)} d\chi_{a}^{(3)}
$$

where  $X_{a}$ ,  $X_{a}$ <sup>t</sup> and  $X_{b}$ ,  $X_{b}$ <sup>t</sup> are atomic orbitals on centers A and B, respectively, and where 1 and 2 refer to coordinates of electrons 1 and 2.

The Coulomb integrals are obviously functions of five parameters, namely, the four orbital exponents  $\mathcal{M}_a$   $\mathcal{M}_b$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_b$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_b$ ' and the internuclear distance R. However, a product of two atomic orbitals on the same center is equal to a radial function times the product of two spherical harmonics. The exponent  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  of the radial function is the average orbital exponent of the two constituting atomic orbitals. It is thus clear that these Coulomb integrals can be expressed as a function of three variables  $\mu_{a}$  = 1/2 ( $\mu_{a}$  +  $\mu_{a}$ <sup>+</sup>),  $\overline{\mu}_{b}$  = 1/2( $\mu_{b}$  +  $\mu_{b}$ <sup>+</sup>) and R. Replacing these parameters by  $P = R \over 2} (\overline{\mu}_a + \overline{\mu}_a)$ 

$$
\tau = \frac{\overline{L}_a - \overline{L}_L}{\overline{L}_a + \overline{L}_L}
$$

these integrals can be represented as functions of  $\rho$  and  $\gamma$ . The complete integral  $(11)$  is then

$$
\iint \chi_{a}^{(1)} \chi_{a}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\prime} \chi_{a}^{(2)} \chi_{a}^{(2)} \chi_{a}^{(2)} d\gamma d\gamma_{2} = Kf(\rho \gamma)
$$

where

$$
K = \frac{\mu_{a}^{n_{a} + \frac{1}{2}} \mu_{a}^{n_{a} + \frac{1}{2}} m_{e}^{n_{e} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\mu_{a}^{n_{a} + n_{a} + 1}} \frac{m_{e}^{n_{e} + \frac{1}{2}} \mu_{e}^{n_{e} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\mu_{e}^{n_{e} + n_{e} + 1}} \frac{\mu_{a} + \mu_{e}}{2} (119)}
$$

\* An expanded version of the information contained in this section is included in Supplement 11 - Tables for Two-Center Two-Electron Coulomb Integrals.

2G

For a given set of orbitals,  $f(\ell, \gamma')$  is listed in the tables in Supplement 11 headed by the four orbital functions  $\chi_{a}$ ,  $\chi_{a}^{\prime}$ ,  $\chi_{b}$ ,  $\chi_{b}^{\prime}$ .

The principal quantum numbers of the four orbitals are  $n_a$ ,  $n_a$ <sup>9</sup>,  $n_b$ , and  $n_b$ <sup>9</sup> where  $n = 1$  for 1s and  $n = 2$  for 2s and 2 p.

The range and spacting of  $\rho$  depend upon the value of  $N = n_a + n_a' + n_b + n_b'$ . They are as follows in the same notation as that used previously:

> $N = l_1$ :  $p = O(0.1)50(0.2)60(0.4)79.6$  $N = 5$ :  $p = 0(0.1)10(0.2)50(0.1)69.6$  $N = 6$ :  $p = 0(0.1)30(0.2)40(0.4)59.6$  $N = 7$ :  $p = O(0.1)$  25(0.2)35(0.4)54.6  $N = 8$ :  $p = O(0.1)20(0.2)30(0.4)49.6$

The range and spacing of  $\gamma'$  depend upon  $N_a = n_a + n_a'$  and  $N_b = n_b + n_b'$  as follows:

$$
N_{a} \leq N_{b} \qquad \qquad T = 0(0.02)0.94
$$
  

$$
N_{a} = N_{b} + 1 \qquad \qquad T = 0(0.02)0.78
$$
  

$$
N_{a} = N_{b} + 2 \qquad \qquad T = 0(0.2)0.62
$$

Some integrals for the orbitals involving  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  are not listed since these can be obtained by using the relation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\n\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha}' & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha}' & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} & | & 2 & \downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} &
$$

$$
(2p_12p_2p_1^2) = \frac{1}{4}[9(202012020) - 3(202012p_12p_2^2)]
$$
  
\n $-3(2p_22p_2^2+2p_2^2+2p_2^2p_1^2+2p_2^2p_2^2+2p_2^2p_2^2)]$   
\n $-(2p_22p_2^2+2p_2^2+2p_2^2)$ 

## Table of Molecular Integrals (part III (a), Part III (b), and Part III  $(c)$

TWO- CENTER TWO-ELECTRON HYBRID INTEGRALS\*

Έŝ

The general expression for two-center two-electron hybrid integrals is given by

$$
\iint \chi_{a^{(l)}} \chi'_{a^{(l)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{a}} \chi''_{a^{(2)}} \chi_{a^{(2)}} \chi_{a^{(2)}} d\nu_{l} d\nu_{2} \qquad (53)
$$

where  $\chi_{a}$ ,  $\chi_{a}$ <sup>e</sup>,  $\chi_{a}$ <sup>e</sup> and  $\chi_{b}$  are AO<sup>s</sup>s on centers A and B, respectively, and when 1 and 2 refer to coordinates of electrons 1 and 2.

For the hybrid integrals, electron 1 belongs wholly to atom a while electron 2 is exchanged between atoms a and b. The same method as that for the Coulomb integrals can be applied, that is, integration over the coordinates of the second electron in the potential field of the first. This gives a finite series of the overlap integrals discussed earlier when the potential due to electron 1 is spherically symmetrical, that is, due to (1s) (1s), (1s) (2s), or (2s) (2s). For the electron 1 with 2p  $A0's$  the same treatment as for the Coulomb integrals can be carried out.

Unlike Coulomb integrals these hybrid integrals are not generally functions of two variables. They can, however, be expressed as a linear combination of euxiliary functions C and C' . These functions are functions of two variables. For the C function these variables are

> $\beta = (\mu_a + \mu_a' + \mu_a'' + \mu_R)R_{12}$  $\Upsilon = \frac{\mu_a + \mu_a^{'} + \mu_a^{''} - \mu_a}{\mu_a + \mu_a^{'} + \mu_a^{''}}$  $(5h)$

and C' is a function of the two variables

$$
\rho' = (u'_a + u_a) R'_2
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho' = \frac{u''_a - u_a}{u'_a + u_a}
$$
 (55)

\* An expanded vertical of the information contained in this section is included in "Supplement III-Tables for Two-Center Two- Electron Hybrid Integrals."

The C and C are tabulated as functions of two variables for intervals and ranges **such** that all **Q-bris** *integrals* **between Is, 2s,** and *2p* orbitals **can be obtained from the tables of supplement III.** 

**Tables of Molecular** Integrals ( **Part** *N* ) *~O-~* **!rWO-~O~** ExmANGE INTM3RAIs

**In the two-center two-electron** exchange **integrals each of the electrons is**  *exchanged* **between two atans. They are represented by the formula:** 

$$
\iint_{\mathcal{X}_{\alpha}^{(1)}} \chi_{\alpha}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \chi_{\alpha}^{'}(x) \chi_{\alpha}^{'}(x) d\gamma d\gamma_{\alpha} \qquad \qquad \ldots \qquad \qquad \qquad \ldots \qquad \qquad \qquad \ldots \qquad \qquad \ldots
$$

*I* **'Phese integrals** *can* **be evaluated by using** *the* **elliptical coordinates** *snd expmdhg*in the **Neumann** series

$$
\frac{1}{T_{12}} = \frac{2}{R} \sum_{T=0}^{\infty} \sum_{V=0}^{T} D_{TV} \left[ Q_{T}^{V}(\xi) P_{T}^{V}(\xi) P_{T}^{V}(\eta_{1}) P_{T}^{V}(\eta_{2}) \right] (6.5 \vee (9.79))
$$

**where** 

$$
D_{T0} = 21 + 1
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{T0} = (1)^{2} (2T+1) \left[ \frac{(T-1)!}{(T+1)!} \right] \qquad (12)
$$

is the larger and  $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$ , and  $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$  . Intergration with and  $\sum_{i}$ : **respect to**  $\int_1^1$ 

$$
W_{T}^{V}(m, m, p, p^{\prime}) = \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} Q_{1}^{V}(\xi) P_{T}^{V}(\xi) e^{-\beta \xi_{1} - \beta \xi_{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{2}^{\infty} (\xi_{1}^{2} - 1) (\xi_{1}^{2} - 1)^{2} d\xi_{1} d\xi_{2}(\xi_{1}^{2} - 1)
$$

while the integrations with respect to  $\eta$  and  $\eta$  give

$$
G_{T}^{v}(m \sigma) = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{\sigma} P_{T}^{v}(n_{1}) n_{1}^{m}(1 - n_{1}^{2})^{v/2} d n_{1}
$$
  
\n
$$
G_{T}^{v}(m \sigma) = \int_{1}^{1} e^{\sigma} P_{T}^{v}(n_{2}) n_{2}^{m}(1 - n_{2}^{2})^{v/2} d n_{2}
$$
 (60)

 $\int$  where  $\int$ ,  $\int$   $\int$  and  $\int$  of equations (59) and (60) are given as

*-0* \* **An** ypanded **version of the informtion contained in this section wiu. be included**  An expanded version of the information contained in this section will be include in "Supplement IV -- Tables for Two-Center Two--Electron Exchange Integrals.''

$$
P = \frac{R}{2}(\mu_{a} + \mu_{a})
$$
  $G = \frac{R}{2}(\mu_{a} - \mu_{a})$   
\n $P = \frac{R}{2}(\mu_{a} + \mu_{a})$   $G = \frac{R}{2}(\mu_{a} - \mu_{a})$  (61)

where R is the internuclear distance and  $\mu_a$ ,  $\mu_c$ ,  $\mu_d$  and  $\mu_a$  are the screening constants of the atomic orbitals  $\chi_a$ ,  $\chi_{\mu}$ ,  $\chi_{\alpha}$  and  $\chi_{\mu}$ 

In case  $p = p'$ , these integrals are functions of two variables and can be easily tabulated. When  $p_{\gamma}$ , these integrals are functions of more than two variables and cannot be easily tabulated. However, these integrals can be expressed as a linear combination of the auxiliary integrals W and G given in equations (59) and (60). These auxiliary integrals can be expressed as functions of two variables.

Still another method of computing these integrals has been developed which is an extension of the Coulson and Barnett method for Coulomb and hybrid  $(15)$ This method can also be extended to three- and four-center integrals. integrals.

The tables in Part IV contain the numerical values of exchange integrals of  $P = P$  along with W and G functions for the exchange integrals of  $P \neq P$  fof intervals and ranges such that all exchange integrals between 1s, 2s, and 2p orbitals can be obtained for diatomic molecules even beyond the dissociation limit.

A photographic copy of a page from table  $l(b)$  is attached dong with this proposal to acquaint the reader with the type of information contained in each table.

#### PART III. PROGRAM FOR COMPUTING WAVE FUNCTIONS USING MOLECULAR **INTRORALS**

The two essential stages in the calculation of LCAO MO's and their ionization potentials are the calculation of the  $\underline{F}$  and  $\underline{F}$  matrices, and the calculation of the LCAO MO's from these matrices.

It was not found possible to construct a programme, which could cover both these stages of computation in a single run, because of the limitation of the available storage capacity of the computer. However, it was found possible to construct two master programmes, A and B, prepared in the usual manner punched on I.B.M. cards, requiring less than the available storage space of the machine. The programme A was so constructed as to carry out the following: 1. to normalize the vectors  $g_4$  according to the equation

$$
\underline{\mathbf{a}}_1 \underline{\mathbf{S}} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = \delta_{ij} \tag{62}
$$

2. to multiply  $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_1$  with integrals  $J_{i,j}$  and  $K_{i,j}$  to give matrices  $\underline{\mathbf{J}}$ ,  $\underline{\mathbf{K}}'$ , and  $\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{\beta}$ 3. to evaluate matrices  $f$  and  $f$  according to the equations  $H + J - K = F<sup>d</sup>$ and  $(63)$  $H + J - K^{\beta} - F^{\beta}$ 

to punch out matrices  $F^{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $F^{\mathcal{B}}$  in the binary form on I.B.M. cards which h. serve as the input data for programme B.

The programme B uses the matrices  $\underline{F}$  and  $\underline{S}$  as the input data together with a card which contains some instructions in the form of constants, which depend on the order of the matrices. The programme solves the eigenvector equations

> $(E^{\alpha} - \epsilon_{iS})_{B_i} = 0$  $(6h)$  $(E^{3} - \epsilon_{1}S)_{\bar{g}_{1}} = 0$

and

both for the LCAO coefficients and  $\epsilon_i$  values in a single run by the iterationrotation process described in appendices I and II.

The programme **B**, at the end of every run, punches out the coefficients **a**<sub>1</sub> **end**  prsvious **runy** and also in the **binary form.** The *si* coefficients, pouched out in **the binarp form,** *save* **a8** the **input** date **for** the aecond **run** of **the** progmme **A.**  Progremme A in return punches out matrices *E* and *F* which are used as the input data for programme B. This is continued till the required self-consistency is obtained. In all about 15-20 cycles, requiring about  $+\frac{1}{2}$ , hour of computation **on the machine,** are **generally** needed **for the** self-consistent calculation **of an**   $\epsilon$ , both in the decimal form, for comparison with the values of the  $\beta$  and  $\beta$ electronic **state of** a **moleaule.** 

#### **THE SCOPE AND USE OF THE PROGRAMME**

The programme for the calculation of molecular orbitals is naturally divided into two parts:

(a) **evaluation of the matrices**  $\int_a^b$  **and**  $\int_a^b$  **from the molecular integrals of Part II.** 

**(b)** aalculation of the **LCAO** Hots and *their* energy values.

The **programme** for part **A has** been **so** constructed that it can be **used** for the calculation **of the matrices for** *the* ground state as well **as** those **for** the excited **and** ionic **State80** In fact, it can **be used** even for **doubly** and **triply** ionigad **states** of molecules; a card has been made in the programme with the necessary inatruotiona **pmched out** in **certain .locations, which can be** modified **80 a8 to exclude** the contributiona to the energy value **fran** those orbitals, which remain unoccupied in **any** state **of the molecule.** 

Both the programmes, A and B, are constructed, at the present stage, to **d**  cape **with** the *E* **ad 3** *0* matrices up to **the** *order* **10.** his **ia due** to the fact that nearly every calculation in the molecular orbital theory can be carried out with a matrix of the order less than 10, if group representation is taken into consideration (see appendix III). However, if it becomes necessary

**to we matrices of the order higher than 10, ths programes can be eaailymdified.** 

..

**The programmes are also useful in the more accurate treatments of the uave ,%nctions of atoms and molecules; such a8 the configuration interaction, and the use of codetors (linear cabinations of determinants), which involve the use of equations of the type** 

$$
(\underline{F} - \epsilon \underline{I}) \underline{a}_1 = 0 \tag{65}
$$

**containing the unitary matrix 2. The equations** *(65)* **ere** *a* **specie1 case of equations (a), in which the diagonal elementa of the overlap matrix g are unity and the off-diegonal elements are zero.** 

#### COMPUTATION OF WHEEL FUNCTIONS PART IV.

The above programmes have been applied to calculate the electronic wave functions and some of the properties of the following molecules:

(ground state)

(ground state) molecule BH  $3.^3P_9$ ,  $^3P_9$ ,  $^3 \leq \frac{1}{9}$  and  $^3 \leq \frac{1}{9}$  states of N<sub>2</sub> molecule (excited states)<br>4.  $8^2 \leq \frac{1}{9}$  and  $\times \frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{1}{9}$  of N<sub>2</sub> molecule (ionized states) The calculations are now being extended to the ground, excited and ionized states of a number of other molecules and, at the same time, techniques are being developed to improve these wave functions. It is hoped that these calculations, a brief summary of them will be given in the following sections, will form the basis of further work in the field and its application to other problems of molecular physics and spectroscopy.

# THE STRUCTURE OF CARBON MONOXIDE<sup>(6)</sup>

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

 $Co$ 

molecule

THE MOLECULAR ORBITALS OF CARBON MONOXIDE. The most practical form of expression of molecular orbitals at present is to use linear combinations of atomic This method is adopted here for carbon monoxide, atomic orbitals of orbitals. the Slater type being used for carbon and oxygen, the only modification of the original Slater functions being to form orthogonal functions from the 1s and 2s orbitals. This ensures that all orbitals on the same atom are orthogonal to each other. All the orbitals will be taken in their normalized form. The convention to be adopted for the sign of the  $2p_z$  orbitals is to use the oxygen nucleus as origin, to denote the OC axis as the positive direction and to make the p-orbitals positive on the side of increasing z. The atomic orbitals of oxygen and carbon are distinguished by the suffixes o and c.



**CARBON MONOXIDE** 

Table 1.

MOLECULAR ORBITALS OF  $T$  SYMMETRY FOR THE GROUND STATE OF

The two most tightly bound molecular orbitals are taken to be the same as the atomic orbitals  $(1s)_{0}$  and the  $(1s)_{c}$ , their computed energies (negative) being 562.76 eV and 308.52 eV. From the four atomic orbitals of  $\sigma$  symmetry, viz.  $(2s)_{0}$ ,  $(2p_g)_{0}$ ,  $(2s)_{c}$  and  $(2p_x)_{c}$ , four orthogonal molecular orbitals can be constructed. Those that satisfy most nearly the equations for the molecular orbitals and give self-consistent results have the linear coefficients given in table 1, the nomenclature being that used by Mulliken.



Fig. 1. to bonding molecular orbital of CO

It is instructive to examine the distribution of the three occupied molecular orbitals, which are given in fig. 1, 2 and 3. The most tightly bound one,  $t\sigma$ , is concentrated mainly between the two nuclei where the potential field is strong. The energy of bonding is accordingly large. It is evident from the countours of equal density, plotted in the figure, that the greater

field of the oxygen pulls the electron distribution towards it. This represents a form of polarization, or induction. If the two nuclei were equal, as in the nitrogen molecule, the distribution would be symmetrical about the midplane. The asymmetry in the CO molecule produces a shift towards the oxygen and at the same time there is a distortion of the pattern near the oxygen nucleus corresponding to a contraction of the distribution; there is a corresponding expansion of the distribution round the carbon mucleus.



Fig. 2. (06) non-bonding molecular orbital of CO

The next orbital in order of energy is the  $\curvearrowright$  one and this is distributed mainly on the reverse side of the oxygen. This is like a localized oxygen atomic orbital, made up of  $(2s)_{0}$  and  $(2p_{g})_{0}$ , directed away from the carbon, though polarized to some extent towards it. This distribution, which puts a pair of electrons away from the carbon and so in a region where the field is not so strong, is due to the powerful influence of the exclusion principle, for the orbital must be orthogonal to the to orbital. This important effect is illustrated also in the next orbital,  $\cup$   $\sigma$ , which is mainly concentrated on the side of the carbon remote from the oxygen, for it must be orthogonal to both  $\tau$  or and  $\sim$ 

We thus see that when electrons are assigned in pairs to the  $\sigma$  orbitals in accordance with the exclusion principle, they are distributed mainly in three regions, viz. between the muclei, on the outer side of the oxygen and on the outer side of the carbon respectively.

field of the oxygen pulls the electron distribution towards it. This represents a form of polarization, or induction. If the two nuclei were equal, as in the nitrogen molecule, the distribution would be symmetrical about the midplane. The asymmetry in the CO molecule produces a shift towards the oxygen and at the same time there is a distortion of the pattern near the oxygen mucleus corresponding to a contraction of the distribution; there is a corresponding expansion of the distribution round the carbon nucleus.



Fig. 2. (06) non-bonding molecular orbital of CO

The next orbital in order of energy is the  $\curvearrowright$  one and this is distributed mainly on the reverse side of the oxygen. This is like a localized oxygen atomic orbital, made up of  $(2s)_{0}$  and  $(2p_{g})_{0}$ , directed away from the carbon, though polarized to some extent towards it. This distribution, which puts a pair of electrons away from the carbon and so in a region where the field is not so strong, is due to the powerful influence of the exclusion principle, for the orbital must be orthogonal to the  $t\sigma$  orbital. This important effect is illustrated also in the next orbital,  $\cup$   $\sigma$ , which is mainly concentrated on the side of the carbon remote from the oxygen, for it must be orthogonal to both  $^{\mathsf{L}}$  of and  $\circ$ 

We thus see that when electrons are assigned in pairs to the G" orbitals in accordance with the exclusion principle, they are distributed mainly in three regions, vis. between the muclei, on the outer side of the oxygen and on the outer side of the carbon respectively.



Fig. 3.  $(U \n\sigma)$  non-bonding molecular orbital of  $C0$ .

There are two atomic orbitals each of  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  symmetry and from them two molecular orbitals each of  $n_x$  and  $n_y$  symmetry are derived. Those for  $n_x$ are given in table 2, and the coefficients for  $\pi_{\mathbf{y}}$  are the same. The bonding  $\pi_X$  molecular orbital is shown in fig. 4. The distribution is seen to be mainly concentrated in the neighbourhood of the oxygen nucleus but with some extension of the pattern in the direction of the carbon. If, as suggested by Mulliken, the electrons to be associated with each nucleus are calculated from coefficients in Figiven in table 2, allowing half the overlap contrithe squares of the bution to each, the four electrons assigned to the  $n_x$  and  $n_y$  orbitals may be regarded as distributed between the oxygen and the carbon in the ratio of approximately three to one.



Fig. 4. A w-bonding molecular orbital of CO.

THE FLECTRON DISTRIBUTION IN CO and CO<sub>2</sub>. - The contours of the total electron density in CO are shown in fig. 5, these being obtained by summing the squares of the cocupied orbitals  $\cup$   $\sigma$ ,  $\cap$   $\sigma$ ,  $t$   $\sigma$ ,  $n_x$  and  $n_y$ . A similar diagrem was constructed for CO<sub>2</sub>, based on Mulligan's calculations of the occupied orbitals, in order to find out what modification was made in the CO distribution by the removal of an oxygen atom. A comparison of the electron density for CO and CO<sub>2</sub> as integrated over planes through points on the nuclear axis is given in fig. 6. It appears that the main effect is on the lone pair side of the carbon atom, the distribution between the carbon and oxygen not being greatly changed. Whereas the distribution round the carbon in carbon dioxide is, of course, symmetrical, that in carbon monoxide projects out alightly on the remote side from the bond.





This no doubt is the major factor in contributing to the dipole moment of the molecule. It means also that the molecule is electron-rich in that region and so is likely to be attracted to electrophilic groups. This may facilitate attachment to other molecules and be a primary step in the formation of complexes as in the carbonyl compounds.



Fig. 5. The charge density contours of CO.



Fig. 6. The charge density at points along the nuclear axes for CO and  $CO_2$ . (The full line curve shows the charge distribution of the outer valence shell electrons of CO<sub>2</sub>; the dotted line curve shows the charge distribution of the outer valence shell electrons of CO.)

# ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF BH (16)

This calculation presents the SCF LCAO MO treatment of the BH molecule in which no approximations excepting those inherent in the theory have been applied. Two different treatments, A and B, have been carried out to compute the wave function, ionization potentials, total energy, binding energy, and the dipole moment of BH. In one of the treatments, called A, the interactions of all the electrons have been included explicitly. In the second treatment, called B, the inner shell  $\sim$  outer shell mixing is neglected but all the orbitals are made orthogonal to one another and to the inner shells. This is done to find how far

the neglect of inner shell -- outer shell mixing affects the results of the calculation. For if this mixing can be neglected, the eigenvector problem becomes simpler and the evaluation of some of the integrals is also not required.

The wave functions of the BH molecule, calculated by both the treatments, are further utilised to calculate the total energy, binding energy, dipole moment, and ionisation potentials of the molecule. All the integrals used in these calculations have been computed at the observed equilibrium internuclear separation, 1.2325 A (or 2.329 atomic units), of BH.

The electron distribution analysis, suggested by Mulliken, has also been carried out to get intimate insight into the distribution of charges around and between the nuclei, and to get such information as the degree of hybridisation, and the bonding or antibonding nature of MO's.

#### ATOMIC ORBITALS AND LCAO MO'S OF BH

The molecular orbitals are built up from the Slater AO's. The notation b, s, and s is adopted for the ls, 2s, and 2p, AO's of boron; h denotes the 1s AO of hydrogen. The 2p<sub>z</sub> AO is directed along the internuclear axis having the boron nuoleus as the origin, and the positive z direction pointing toward the hydrogen nucleus.

The atomic orbitals on each atom are normalized and mutually orthogonal except that the nodeless 2s AO is not orthogonal to 1s. The orthogonal 2s AO is therefore formed from the 1s and 2s AO's of boron. These normalized AO's are then orthogonal to all the orbitals of the same atom.

On inserting the necessary integrals the matrices  $S$  and  $H$  were determined for both the treatments, A and B. The elements of the G matrix depend on the undetermined coefficients a<sub>i</sub> and contain contributions from all the occupied orbitals of the closed-shell ground state. For BH, these orbitals are here denoted by  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$ .

The self-consistent calculations, A and B, were performed using programs of Part III. After the self-consistency was obtained the eigenvectors a<sub>j</sub> and the

 $30<sub>o</sub>$ 

eigenvalues  $\epsilon_1$  gave the LCAO coefficients and LCAO orbital energies which are given in Table 3 (a) for treatment A and in Table 3 (b) for treatment B.

	<b>LCAO</b> NO's	Energy values in atomic units				
σī	$1.000 \text{ } \frac{1}{10}$ + 0.017 7(s) + 0.006 2(s) - 0.007 1(h)	$-7.699$ $7$				
$\sigma$ <sub>2</sub>	$-0.048$ 3(b) + 0.558 3(s) + 0.217 1(z) + 0.481 4(h)	$-0.6466$				
$\sigma$ ,	$-0.027$ 6(b) - 0.799 9(s) + 0.552 9(s) + 0.446 6(h)	$-0.346$ 8				
Unoccupied orbitals						
	$\frac{4}{\sqrt{1}}$ -0.103 0(b) - 0.906 h(s) - 1.1h5 9(s) + 1.h22 2(h)	$0.467$ 1				

Table  $\overrightarrow{3}$ . (a)





All the  $M0$ 's in Table 3 (a) and (b) satisfy the orthonormality condition.

#### THE CALCULATION OF THE DIPOLE MOMENT OF BH

The dipole moment was determined by finding the center of the charge for each molecular orbital using the well-known formula

$$
\overline{Z} = \int \psi_i Z \psi_i dT / \psi_i^2 dT
$$
 (66)

The integrals were evaluated by using the formulas given by Sahni. The moment was actually computed from both the origins. The value of the moment was found to be 0.389 au (0.989 D) for each of the treatments, A and B.



Table 4. Comparison of calculated and observed total energies.

#### THE TOTAL ENERGY OF THE BH MOLECULE

The total energy was calculated by using the formula

$$
E = \sum_{n} (E_{n,n} + H_{n,n}) + \sum \frac{Z_{d} Z_{\beta}}{Y_{d} \beta}
$$
 (67)

where  $E_{nn}$  is the sum of the orbital energies of the occupied orbitals, and

$$
E_{nn} = \int \psi \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta - \frac{z_{\text{borip}}}{z_{\text{boron}}} - \frac{z_{\text{hydrogen}}}{z_{\text{hydrogen}}} \right) \psi_{n} d\mathcal{T}
$$
 (68)

The total energies for both the treatments, A and B, are compared in Table 4.

#### THE BINDING ENERGY OF THE BH MOLECULE

For the comparison of the observed binding energy with the calculated one, we have calculated the energy of the boron atom, using the same Slater AO's as used for the calculation of the energy value of BH. The total energy for boron was found to be

$$
E = -666.4
$$
 electron volts.

The calculated binding energy of the BH molecule is given for both the calculations A and B, together with the experimental value, in Table 5.



#### TABLE 5. Calculated and observed binding energies in electron volts

#### ELECTRON DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

If a normalized MO  $\Psi$  of a diatomic molecule is written as a linear combination of normalized  $A0^{\dagger}B \not\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbf{S}}$  of the two respective atoms k and  $1$ ,

$$
\Psi = C_{\gamma} \chi_{\gamma} + C_{\gamma} \chi_{\gamma} \tag{69}
$$

then the atomic population for atoms k and l is given by the following equations respectively:

$$
NC_{\lambda}^{\lambda} + NC_{\lambda}C_{\lambda}S_{\lambda}^{\lambda}
$$
 (70)

and

$$
N C_2^2 + N C_1 C_2 C_3 + N C_4 C_5
$$
 (71)

where  $S_{rs}$  is the overlap integral  $\int \chi_{\gamma} \chi_{\rho} dT$ , N is the number of electrons in each MO,  $C_n$  and  $C_8$  are the ICAO coefficients. The overlap population is given by 2NC<sub>r</sub>C<sub>s</sub>S<sub>rs</sub>.

The atomic population  $N(1, x)$  thus calculated is given in Table 6.



TAHLE 6. AO population N (i,x) in BH

IONIZED AND EXCITED STATES OF N<sub>2</sub> MOLECULE<sup>(17)</sup>

ATOMIC ORBITALS AND LCAO MO's

For the construction of LCAO MO<sup>x</sup>s of different states of  $N_2$  the following set of Slater AO's was adopted.

18 = 
$$
(\frac{21}{\pi})^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$
  $e^{-2}$   
\n28 =  $(2\frac{5}{4}\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$   $e^{-2}$   
\n29 =  $(2\frac{5}{4}\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$   $\pi e^{-2\pi}$   
\n29<sub>2</sub> =  $2\pi$   
\n20<sub>2</sub> =  $2\pi$   
\n21 = 6.7, 2<sub>2</sub> = 1.95  
\n21 = 6.7, 2<sub>2</sub> = 1.95  
\n22<sub>2</sub> = 6.7, 2<sub>3</sub> = 1.95

for nitrogen

The atomic orbitals of each atom are normalized and mutually orthogonal to all the orbitals of the same atom. The notation  $n$ ,  $s$ ,  $s$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$  is adopted for the nitrogen 1s, 2s, 2p<sub>z</sub>, 2p<sub>x</sub>, and 2p<sub>y</sub> orbitals with n, s, s, x, y for the corresponding orbitals of the second nitrogen atom. The 2p<sub>x</sub> orbitals are directed along the internuclear axis with the positive Z-directions towards each other.

### SYMMETRY ORDITALS

From the 10 atomic orbitals 10 molecular orbitals were formed according to equation  $(76)$ . These LCAO MO's were chosen so that they belonged in sets to irreducible representations of the symmetry group of  $N_{2}$ . To obtain the proper symmetry for these MO's, it was convenient to introduce symmetry orbitals. The symmetry orbitals of  $N_{2}$  were obtained from the atomic orbitals by the following transformation

$$
\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times} \tag{73}
$$

where

represents symmetry orbitals  $\mathcal{L}$ 

represents atomic orbitals  $\hat{Z}$ 

and

is a real orthogonal matrix. U

The resulting symmetry orbitals and the irreducible representations of **to which they belong are given in Table 7. It the sylmnetrg** *grapp* **D aoh**  should be noted that the symmetry orbitals are not normalized.

Symmetry species	ሚ		$\mathbf{u}$	
	$\sigma_{\rm g}$ 2p = 1/2(2+s') $\sigma_{\rm u}$ 2p = 1/2(s-s')	$\sigma_{\rm g}$ ls = 1/2(n+n') $\sigma_{\rm i}$ ls = 1/2(n-n') $\pi_{\rm u}$ 2p=1/2(x+x') $\pi_{\rm g}$ 2p=1/2(x-x') $\sigma_{\overline{g}}$ 2s = 1/2(s+s') $\sigma_{\overline{u}}$ 2s = 1/2(s-s') $\pi_{\overline{u}}$ 2p = 1/2(y+y') $\pi_{\overline{g}}$ 2p=1/2(y-y')		

**Table 7.**  Synmetry Orbitals of N<sub>2</sub>

There are three  $\sigma_{g}$ , three  $\sigma_{\overline{u}}$ , two  $\pi_u$  and two  $\pi_g$  symmetry orbitals. By taking **linear combinations of these orbitals, a like number of molecular orbitals of the same symmetry was formed. These**  $M0$ **'s were related to the symmetry orbitals**  $\sigma_{\overline{n}}$ by the transformation

$$
\Psi_{i} = \sum_{n} \mathcal{L}_{i,n} \mathcal{F}_{n} \tag{76}
$$

which reduces to

 $1\sigma_g$  =  $b_{11}$   $\sigma_g$  1s +  $b_{12}$   $\sigma_g$  2s +  $b_{13}$   $\sigma_g$  2s  $g$  $2\sigma_{g}$  =  $b_{21}$   $\sigma_{g}$  1s +  $b_{22}$   $\sigma_{g}$  2s +  $b_{23}$   $\sigma_{g}$   $2p_{g}$  $3\sigma_{\overline{g}} = b_{31} \sigma_{\overline{g}}$  18 +  $b_{32} \sigma_{\overline{g}}$  28 +  $b_{33} \sigma_{\overline{g}}$  2p<sub>2</sub>

$$
16\frac{1}{10} = b_{111} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 18 + b_{115} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 28 + b_{116} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 2p_g
$$
  

$$
26\frac{1}{10} = b_{511} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 18 + b_{55} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 28 + b_{56} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 2p_g
$$
  

$$
36\frac{1}{10} = b_{611} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 18 + b_{65} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 28 + b_{66} \cdot 6\frac{1}{10} \cdot 2p_g
$$

n<sub>n</sub> and n<sub>g</sub> each belong to a one-membered class. The LCAO coefficients for such a class are completely determined by the normalization condition; thus in the present case the  $\pi$  MO's are uniquely determined.

## DETERMINATION OF LCAO MO's

The LCAO MO's of the following states of  $N_{p}$  were constructed by assigning the electrons to the orbitals in the manner given in Table 8. Using Tables of Molecular Integrals S, H, and G matrices were constructed. Two different treatments were carried out to obtain the  $G^{\text{d} \text{ } \text{ } \text{ } G}$  matrix. In one of the treatments called the generalised treatment, the  $J_{pq}$  and  $K_{pq}$  or  $\beta$  elements of the matrix were calculated using equations (28a) and (28b). In the second treatment called the restricted treatment, the  $g_i$  for the  $\beta$  orbitals were taken to be the dealer as those for the d orbitals to calculate the  $\int_{pq}$  and  $\kappa$  for  $G$  density of  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  $G^{\alpha\alpha\beta}$ matrix. The LCAO MO's and orbitals energies were then calculated using program described in Part III.



OCCUPIED LCAO MO's OF DIFFERENT STATES OF  $N_2$ 

TABLE 8



(Generalized Treatment)

#### THE TOTAL ENERGY OF DIFFERENT STATES OF No

The total energy for each of the states was calculated by using the equation

$$
E = 1/2 \quad \sum_{n} (E_{nn} + H_{nn}) + 1/2 \quad \sum_{m} (E_{nm} + H_{nm}) + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta}}{\gamma_{\alpha \beta}}
$$
 (75)  
represents the internuclear repulsion.

 $E_{mn}$  is the sum of the orbital energies of the orbitals occupied by  $\sim$ electrons, and

$$
H_{nn} = \int \psi_{n} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} - \frac{2}{\gamma_{n}} - \frac{2}{\gamma_{n}} \right) \psi_{n} d
$$

or

vher

$$
\sum_{n} H_{nn} = \sum_{i,j} h \left( \sum_{i,j} a_{ni} A_{nj} \right) \tag{76}
$$

 $E_{mm}$  and  $E_{mm}$  have the same meaning for the orbitals occupied by  $\beta$  electrons, as  $E_{nn}$  and  $H_{nn}$  for the orbitals occupied by  $\triangle$  electrons.

The calculated total energies for the  $X^2 \n\mathcal{L}_g$  and  $B^2 \n\mathcal{L}_g$  ionized states of  $\overline{N}_2^*$  are given in Table 9A. The difference between the calculated energies of the ionized states and that of the ground state  $X'_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}$  of  $N_{\gamma}$  are given in Table 9b along with the experimental ionization potentials (reference 18) and the ionisation energies obtained from the calculation of the ground state  $(X^{\dagger} \Sigma_{g^+})$  of  $N_2$  Molecule.

The energy of an excited state is calculated by finding the difference between the energy of the excited orbitals and the energy of the original orbital, from which the electron is excited, of the ground state. The energies of the excited states  $C^3n_{\text{u}}$ ,  $B^3n_{\text{g}}$  thus calculated, are given in Table 10 along with the experimental values (reference 18) and the energies of  $A^3\pi_0^+$ and  $^{1,3}$  $\pi_{\text{u}}$  are given in Table 11, along with the experimental values (reference 18). Table Sa

TOTAL ENERGIES<sup>\*</sup> OF  $X \overset{1}{\geq g}^*$   $X \overset{2}{\leq_g}^*$  AND B  $^2 \leq_g^*$  STATES OF N<sub>2</sub>



# In atomic units (1 atomic unit = 27.204 e.v.)

## Table 9b

### IOMIZATION POTENTIALS

	<sup>F</sup> ionic Eground $\bullet$		Calculation from the	
	Restricted <b>Treatment</b>	<b>Generalized</b> Treatment	ground state wave function	<b>Experimental</b> values
$2\zeta_{\rm u}^+$		$ -20.267$ e.v. $ -20.267$ e.v.	$-19.868$ e.v.	$-18.72$ e.v.
$2\xi_g^+$		$ -11.399$ e.v. $ -11.151$ e.v.	$-11.807$ e.v.	$-15.602$ e.v.

**Table 10**<br>EXCITATION ENERGIES OF  $C_{}^{J}$  $\pi_{u}$  and  $B_{}^{3}$  $\pi_{g}$  STATES OF  $N_2$ 



#### Table 11

#### **EXCITATION ENERGIES**



#### DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The results of the above calculation of the different states of  $\aleph$ <sub>2</sub> show that the ICAO MO method gives the results of the same order of accuracy for the excited and ionised states as that for the ground state of molecules. It is further apparent from the calculations that both the generalised and restricted treatments give nearly the same results for both the ionised and excited states. Since the calculations have been carried out for both g and u states, it appears the method holds for the states (ground, ionised and excited states) of molecules which can be represented by a single determinant. This is the first calculation where the quantum mechanical treatment of ionised and excited states has been carried out without using any approximations, within the rigorous framework of the LCAO SCF MO theory. It is hoped that this calculation will form the basis of the much needed work on the ionised and excited states of molecules.

**LO** 

## PART V. SOME SPECIAL PROBLEMS IN MOLECULAR QUANTUM MECHANICS

Theoretical study is being carried out by R. C. Sahni and collaborators dealing with the various problems involving molecular wave functions that arise in determining the properties of air at high temperatures. The specific problems and computations which are now being tackled by the group are with a view to studying the following:

1. Transport Properties of air to 12000°K. (Of immediate interest is the temperature range  $3000^{\circ}$ K to  $8000^{\circ}$ K.) Calculations will include the various excited states including possible quadrupole moments.

The important diatomic molecules involved are  $N_2$ ,  $0_2$ , NO including ions and low-lying excited states. Of some interest are the triatomic molecules  $NO_2$ ,  $N_2O_2$  and  $O_3$ .

The transport properties referred to here are diffusion, viscosity, thermal conductivity, and thermal diffusion.

- 2. Rate Processes. How fast does a non-equilibrium mixture approach equilibrium
	- **Exchange processes** a.
		- $N_2 + 0 = NO + N$  $e. *g*.$
	- b. Displacement reattions

$$
N_2 + Q_2 = 2NO
$$

c. Ionization reactions

 $e.g.$ 

$$
\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{g} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{NO} + \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{NO} + \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{X}
$$

- 
- **Excitation reactions** d.
	- $NO + X = NO^+ + X$  $e.g.$

(Of particular interest is the rate at which the NO  $\beta$  -bands are filled.)

Dissociation rates: rates of transfer  $\mathbf{e}$ . of rotational, vibrational, and electronic energies.

3. Electron-Molecule Reactions

 $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ 

- a. Elastic scattering  $\sim$  scattering from ground, excited, and ionic molecular states.
- Inelastic scattering. Ъ.
- Ionization reactions.  $c_{\star}$
- d. Coulomb scattering.
- The reaction  $NO^+ + e = N + O$  is of importance in determining  $\mathbf{e}$ . whether the electrons are in equilibrium with the rest of the plasma.
- 4. Rediation. Of primary interest is radiation from  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  bands of NO and from excited states of  $N_2$ . To estimate the transition probabilities accurately the potential curves for the various excited and ground states are needed.
- 5. Non-sir Molecules. Some of these are C<sub>2</sub>, CN, CH, OH, and various metallic oxides.
- 6. Computation of Potential energy curve.

The potential energy curves of different states of a number of molecules will be computed by calculating electronic wave functions and their energy values at a number of internuclear distances  $(R)$ and drawing the curve with the electronic energy  $E(R)$  as the ordinate and internuclear distance  $(R)$  as the abscissa.

#### APPENDIX I

### THE DIAGONALISATION OF MATRICES

The iteration-rotation method for the diagonalisation of a matrix is based on the fact, that by an orthogonal transformation from variables x, y to variables  $x^t$ ,  $y^t$ , which can be described in the forms

$$
\begin{vmatrix} x^{\prime} \\ y^{\prime} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x \\ y \end{vmatrix}
$$
 (77)

One can express the quadratic form

$$
ax^2 + 2bxy + by^2 = 0
$$
 (78)

as a sum of squares

$$
\mathbf{A_x^*}^2 \cdot \mathbf{b_y^2} = 0 \tag{79}
$$

 $\theta$  is chosen such that

$$
\tan 2_{\theta} = 2h (a - b)^{-1}
$$
 (80)

where h is the largest off-diagonal element, a and b being the corresponding diagonal elements.

For example, if one takes the matrix,



 $h = -0.8h1.$ 

$$
\tan 2\theta = \frac{-2 \times 0.801}{2.879 - 3.369}
$$
\n
$$
\tan \theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

then the element in  $T_{\theta}^{-1}$   $A$   $T_{\theta}$  ( $A_1$ ) corresponding to  $-0.8$ ll will be zero.

 $\mathbf{h}$ 3

The matrix,  $A_1$ , thus obtained, is again subjected to a similar operation  $T_{\varphi}^{-1}$   $A_1$   $T_{\varphi}$  for its largest off-diagonal element h.

When all the off-diagonal elements are reduced to sero, or to negligibly small values, the final matrix will take the following form

$$
T_{\varphi}^{-1} A_{n-1} T_{\varphi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.005 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 1.000 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.005 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.990 \end{bmatrix}
$$

suggesting that the characteristic roots, which are invariant under the above orthogonal transformations are approximately 4.005, 4.000, 1.005 and 1.990.

#### **APPENDIX II**

#### EQUIVALENT FORMS OF MO EQUATIONS

The molecular orbital equations

 $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ 

$$
\underline{F} - \underline{E} \underline{S} \underline{a} = 0 \tag{81}
$$

can be obtained in the following equivalent forms,

$$
\left(\mathbf{F} - \epsilon \mathbf{S}\right) \mathbf{A}_1 \tag{82}
$$

$$
= (\mathbf{F} - \epsilon \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{T}) \mathbf{a}_1 \tag{83}
$$

$$
T^{-1} (T T T^{-1} - \epsilon L) T \qquad (84)
$$

$$
r^{-1} L^{2} (L^{-2} r F T^{-1} L^{-1} - \epsilon I) L^{+} r (85)
$$

where  $\underline{L}$  and  $\underline{I}$  are the diagonal and unitary matrices.

Equations (83) are obtained from equations (82) by replacing  $S$  by its equivalent

$$
\mathbf{S} \equiv \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{T} \tag{86}
$$

where L is the diagonal matrix obtained from S by the iterationrotation process described in appendix I.

The matrix  $\overset{\bullet}{B}$  (=  $\overset{\bullet}{L}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\sim}$  T F  $T^{-1}$   $\overset{\bullet}{L}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\sim}$  -  $\in$   $\overset{\bullet}{\Box}$ ): of equations (85) is also diagonalized by the iteration-rotation process using the transformation

$$
y^{-1} \stackrel{\bullet}{B} y = \underline{B} \tag{87}
$$

The elements of the diagonal matrix B, thus obtained, will give the ionization potentials (refer to appendix I) while the vectors  $T$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $T$  $T$ 

give the coefficients for the LCAO MO's.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Born, M. and Copenheimer, R., Ann. d. Physik, 84, 457, 1927.
- Hartree, D. R., Cambridge Phil. Soc.,  $24$ , 89, (1928).  $2.$
- 3. Fock, V., Z. Physik,  $61$ , 126, 1930.
- h. Roothan, C.C.J., Mev. Mod. Phys., 23, 69, (1951).
- 5. Mulligan, J. F., J. Chem. Phys., 19, 347, 1951.
- 6. Sahni, R. C., Trans. Faraday Soc.,  $\underline{19}$ , 12 $\underline{16}$ , 1953.
- 7. Lennard-Jones, J. I., Proc. Roy. Soc. (London),  $\triangle 198$ , 1, 1949
- 8. Pople, J. A. and Nesbet, R.K., J. Chem. Phys., 22, 571, 1954
- 9. Sahmi, R.C., Scientilic Report 19 Contract No. AF 19 (122)-470 Geophysics Research Division, Cambridge Research Center
- 10. Mulliken, R. S.; Rieke, C.A.; Orloff, D.; and Orloff, H.: Formulas and Numerical Tables for Overlap Integrals. Jour. Chem. Phys., vol. 17, no. 12. Dec. 1949, pp. 1248-1267
- 11. Kotani, M.; Ameniya, A.; Ishiguro, E.; and Kimura, T.: Tables of Molecular Integrals. Tokyo Marusen Co., Ltd., 1955.
- 12. Roothen, C.C.J.: Tables of Two-Center Coulomb Integrals Between 1s, 2s, and 2p Orbitals. Special Tech. Rep., Contract Voori-20, Task Order 1X, Office of Naval Res. and Lab. of Molecular Structure and Spectra, Univ. of Chicago, 1955.
- 13. Preuss, W. H.: Integral l'afeln sur Quantenchemi. Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, 1956
- 14. Ruedenberg, Klaus; Roothan, C.C.J.; and Jaunsemis, Walter: Study of Two-Center Integrals Useful in Calculations on Molecular Structure. III. A Unified Treatment of the Hybrid, Coulomb, and One-Electron Integrals. Tech. Rep. 1952-1953, pt. 2, Contract N6Ori-20 Task Order 1X, Office of Naval Res. and Lab. of Molecular Structure and Spectra, Univ. of Chicago.
- 15. Sahni, R. C.; and LaBudde, C.D.: Evaluation of Two- and Three-Center Integrals Arising in Calculations on Molecular Structure. Res. Rep. No. CX-35, Contract AF 19(604)1705, Geophys. Res. Div. of Cambridge Res. Center, U.S. Air Force, and New York Univ., Sept. 1958. Also see J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1015, 1022, 1960.
- 16. Sahni, R.C.; J. Chemical Phys. 25, 332, 1956.
- Sahni, R.C.; Scientific Report 21 Contract No. AF 19(122)-470 Geophysics 17. Research Division, Cambridge Research Center.
- Herzberg, G. Spectra of Distomic Molecules, D. van Nostrand Co., 18. New York, 1950, pp. 552-554.