

Sawyer, A., Ayers, S. & Smith, H. (2010). Pre- and postnatal psychological wellbeing in Africa: A systematic review. Journal of Affective Disorders, 123(1-3), 17 - 29. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2009.06.027 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2009.06.027">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2009.06.027</a>



City Research Online

Original citation: Sawyer, A., Ayers, S. & Smith, H. (2010). Pre- and postnatal psychological wellbeing in Africa: A systematic review. Journal of Affective Disorders, 123(1-3), 17 - 29. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2009.06.027 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2009.06.027">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2009.06.027</a>

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/1994/

#### Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

#### Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

## **Enquiries**

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at <a href="mailto:publications@city.ac.uk">publications@city.ac.uk</a>.

Running head: POSTTRAUMATIC GROWTH AND ADJUSTMENT

# Posttraumatic Growth and Adjustment among Individuals with Cancer or HIV/AIDS: A Meta-Analysis

Alexandra Sawyer\*, Susan Ayers, Andy P. Field

## Citation

Sawyer, A., Ayers, S., & Field, A. P. (2010). Posttraumatic growth and adjustment among individuals with cancer and HIV/AIDS: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *30*, 436-447.

\*Corresponding author: Alexandra Sawyer

Psychology Department, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QH, UK. Telephone +44 (0) 1273 872547; Facsimile: +44 (0) 1273 678058; E-mail: A.E.Sawyer@sussex.ac.uk

Posttraumatic growth and adjustment

2

**Abstract** 

There is increasing research on posttraumatic growth after life-threatening illnesses such as

cancer and HIV/AIDS, although it is unclear whether growth confers any psychological or

physical benefits in such samples. Consequently, this meta-analysis explored the relationship

between posttraumatic growth and psychological and physical wellbeing in adults diagnosed

with cancer or HIV/AIDS and examined potential moderators of these relationships. Analysis

of 38 studies (N = 7927) of posttraumatic growth after cancer or HIV/AIDS revealed that

growth was related to increased positive mental health, reduced negative mental health and

better subjective physical health. Moderators of these relationships included time since the

event, age, ethnicity, and type of negative mental health outcome. It is hoped that this

synthesis will encourage further examination of the potentially complex relationship between

posttraumatic growth and adjustment in individuals living with life-threatening medical

conditions.

Keywords: Posttraumatic growth, Benefit finding, Adjustment, Cancer, HIV/AIDS, Illness

The diagnosis and treatment of a life-threatening illness is a major stressor for most individuals. Cancer and HIV/AIDS have shown to parallel other traumatic stressors in many ways. The diagnosis may be sudden and unexpected, the disease and treatment may pose threats to one's life, and the experience may evoke intense emotional responses of fear and helplessness. At the same time living with a life-threatening illness is not an acute, singular stressful experience, but rather a series of unfolding threats and stressors (Cordova, 2008). Cumulatively, these experiences can constitute a traumatic stressor for many individuals with cancer or HIV/AIDS. Experiencing a life-threatening illness was first recognised as an event that could precipitate posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in the DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 1994). Rates of PTSD in cancer patients range from 5% to 35% (Kangas, Henry, & Bryant, 2002) and in HIV/AIDS patients from 30% to 64% (Botha, 1996; Kelly et al., 1998; Martinez, Israelski, Walker, & Koopman, 2002).

Over the past decade there has been an important shift in emphasis of research from a nearly exclusive focus on the negative aftermath of such events to consideration of possible positive outcomes (Linley, 2003). Researchers have used a number of different terms to describe individuals' reports of benefits in the face of adversity, including posttraumatic growth, adversarial growth, benefit-finding, and thriving. Throughout this paper Tedeschi, Park, and Calhoun's (1998) term posttraumatic growth (PTG) will be used to describe a positive change in one's previous level of functioning as a result of the struggle with highly challenging life circumstances. This term differs from resilience, optimism, hardiness, which describe individuals who have adjusted successfully despite adversity (O'Leary & Ickovics, 1995), whereas individuals experiencing PTG are transformed by their struggle with adversity.

A rapidly increasing literature now testifies to the prevalence of positive life changes and personal growth following cancer and HIV/AIDS. Equally high rates of positive changes have been reported across both illnesses. Between 59% and 83% of people living with HIV/AIDS have been shown to report positive changes since diagnosis (Milam, 2004; Milam, 2006a; Siegel & Schrimshaw, 2000). Likewise, data suggest that between 60% and 90% of cancer survivors also report positive changes (Collins, Taylor, & Skokan, 1990; Fromm, Andrykowski, & Hunt, 1996; Petrie, Buick, Weinman, & Booth, 1999; Rieker, Edbril, & Garnick, 1985). Within the general PTG literature three common categories of growth outcomes have been identified (Joseph & Linley, 2006; Tedeschi, Park, & Calhoun, 1998) First, individuals often report that their relationships are enhanced in some way. For example many individuals with cancer or HIV/AIDS require practical and emotional support, and positive interpersonal experiences may strengthen a person's appreciation of some relationships. Second, people change their views of themselves in some way. For example patients may develop a greater sense of personal resilience and strength, an acceptance of their vulnerabilities and limitations, which are typified by a heightened awareness of their own mortality and the fragility of life. Third, there are often reports of changes in life philosophy. For example people diagnosed with cancer or HIV/AIDS are faced with the concern that their disease might progress and shorten their life and these concerns may lead to a shift in priorities and values, and to a different appreciation and approach to day-to-day life. Together these positive changes in psychological well-being can lead to a whole new way of living. Finally certain changes have been identified specific to individuals facing a serious illness. A recent focus of the PTG research has been the relationship between PTG and health behaviours (Milam, 2004; Milam, Ritt-Olsen, & Unger, 2004). Luszczynska, Sarkar and Knoll (2007) found that PTG significantly predicted adherence to antiretroviral therapy in individuals diagnosed with HIV. Furthermore, women with breast cancer have

described making positive changes in health related behaviours and engaging in more careful cancer surveillance as a result of their experience (Sears, Stanton, & Danoff-Burg, 2003). Studies that compare PTG in cancer and HIV/AIDS patients suggest that growth is experienced in the same multidimensional manner across both illnesses (Lechner & Weaver, 2009; Weaver, 2006). Therefore, alongside psychological, interpersonal, and life orientation changes, positive changes in health behaviours may also occur following a life-threatening illness diagnosis.

Several models have now been proposed regarding the occurrence of PTG. The three most detailed models to date include Tedeschi and Calhoun's (1995, 2004) Functional Descriptive Model, Linley and Joseph's (2005) Organismic Valuing Theory and Christopher's (2004) Biopsychosocial-Evolutionary Theory. Although with some variation, most models hypothesize that the experience of a highly stressful or traumatic event violates an individual's basic beliefs about the self and the world and that some type of meaning making or cognitive processing to rebuild these beliefs and goals occurs, resulting in perceptions that one has grown through the process (Horowitz, 1986; Janoff-Bulman, 2004; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004). Although offering different levels of explanation at both the social cognitive and biological evolutionary levels, they are complimentary in that they are underpinned by the notion that people are intrinsically motivated towards growth (Joseph & Linley, 2006).

An important issue to be addressed in the literature is whether PTG following the diagnosis of a life-threatening illness is associated with psychological and physical benefits (Zoellner & Maercker, 2006). However, the current literature is unclear. For example some studies report there is no significant relationship between PTG and distress (Cordova, Cunningham, Carlson, & Andryowski, 2001; Schulz & Mohamed, 2005), and other studies suggest distress and PTG can co-exist (Tomich & Helgeson, 2004). For example Barakat,

Alderfer, and Kazak (2006) found that PTG and posttraumatic stress symptoms were positively correlated in adolescent survivors of cancer. However, other studies have reported an inverse relationship between measures of PTG and psychological distress (Linley & Joseph, 2004; Updegraff, Taylor, Kemeny, & Wyatt 2002; Uruchyo, Boyers, Carver & Antoni, 2005).

Therefore, it remains to be established whether the experience of PTG in relation to a life-threatening illness confers any benefit in terms of psychological or physical health. Given the discrepant findings on this relationship a systematic integration of the literature is needed, and a meta-analysis is an ideal tool to do this. A previous meta-analysis conducted by Helgeson, Reynolds, and Tomich (2006) investigated the association between PTG and adjustment after a wide range of events such as sexual assault, natural disaster, bereavement, childhood abuse and illness. They found that PTG was related to more positive affect and less depression, but also to more intrusive thoughts about the event. PTG was unrelated to anxiety, distress, quality of life and subjective physical health. As such the aim of the current paper is to present a meta-analysis of the existing literature that will aim to objectively summarize PTG and its relation to adjustment in individuals living with a life threatening illness (cancer or HIV/AIDS) and to examine potential moderators of this relationship.

One possible explanation for the inconsistency between PTG and adjustment is that the relationship is moderated by other variables. Therefore five possible moderators will be examined that might attenuate or accentuate the growth-adjustment relationship. These were chosen because they are commonly assessed within the literature, and have prior empirical and theoretical foundations. The first variable that might moderate the relationship between PTG and adjustment is the length of time since the diagnosis. Research and theory suggest that PTG is unlikely to occur shortly after the critical event, but rather takes time to occur and

is more likely to be reported in hindsight (Joseph & Linley, 2005; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1995, 2004). Therefore it is hypothesized that PTG is associated with positive adjustment when a longer time since the health event has elapsed. Three characteristics of the sample will also be examined as moderators: age, gender, and ethnicity. Past research has indicated that women (Bellizzi, 2004; Milam, 2004), younger participants (Kinsinger et al., 2006; Linley & Joseph, 2004; Milam, 2004; Widows, Jacobson, Booth-Jones, & Fields, 2005), and ethnic minorities are more likely to report PTG. However, it is not clear if and how these individual differences differentially relate to PTG and adjustment (Helgeson, Reynolds, & Tomich, 2006). Therefore no specific predictions about directionality regarding how these variables might moderate the growth-adjustment relationship will be made. It is also possible that the quality of the study might moderate the relationship between growth and health. For example studies that use a valid measure of growth should reflect actual PTG, and distinguish from other processes such as self-enhancement, positive illusion, and "pseudo-growth" (Lechner & Antoni, 2004; Park & Lechner, 2006). Less validated measures may fail to capture PTG, and therefore account for some of the variation in the research. Through examination of these moderators it is hoped that the meta-analysis will identify subgroups of adults whose experience of PTG is likely to be positively or negatively related to mental and physical health.

In summary, the purpose of the present study is two-fold. Primarily it is concerned with estimating the overall effect size of the relationship between PTG following a life threatening illness (cancer and HIV/AIDS) and various indicators of adjustment. Secondly, this analysis hopes to identify the variability amongst studies and explore potential moderators of the growth and adjustment relationship. It is hoped that such a review of the extant literature will lead to an enhanced understanding of the impact of PTG on the adjustment process in individuals living with life-threatening illnesses.

#### Method

Selection of Studies for the Meta-Analysis

A systematic search was conducted to identify studies of PTG in individuals following cancer or HIV/AIDS. The primary search method for the selection of studies was a review of the psychological and medical literature using the following computerized databases up to October 2009: Medline, PsychArticles, PsychInfo, PubMed, and Web of Science. Relevant key words were used to search for articles within these databases. Search terms included key words related to PTG: posttraumatic growth, post-traumatic growth, benefit finding, stress related growth and adversarial growth. These terms were crossed with the following health-related key terms: health, illness, disease, life-threatening, chronic, medical, terminal, cancer, HIV, AIDS. Additional studies were located through the inspection of the reference sections of obtained papers and reviews. Relevant journals were also manually searched to locate papers that may not have been identified in the databases. These journals were: Psycho-Oncology, Psychology and Health, Journal of Traumatic Stress, British Journal of Health Psychology and Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. In addition, active researchers in the field of psychological growth in health samples were contacted to ask for recent papers in the field and for unpublished research to reduce the effect of publication bias. A search of abstracts from relevant conferences was also conducted to locate additional unpublished work in the area. However, no unpublished studies were retrieved. This literature search yielded a preliminary database of 193 published papers.

## Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

These 193 papers were examined to determine eligibility for inclusion in the metaanalysis. Studies had to meet seven criteria for inclusion. First, studies were included only if the sample were adults aged 18 or over. This decision was made because the current literature is unclear whether children or adolescents differentially experience PTG in comparison to adults (Ickovics et al., 2006; Milam, Ritt-Olsen, & Unger, 2004), and also only a small number of studies have explored PTG in children and adolescents following illness (too few to include adult vs. child as a moderator variable). This resulted in the exclusion of nine studies. Second, the studies had to use a quantitative measure of PTG, which was assessed in relation to a measure of positive psychological adjustment, negative psychological adjustment or physical health. Studies that included a purely qualitative assessment of PTG, or papers that were reviews of the literature were excluded from the analysis. This resulted in the exclusion of 87 studies. Third, PTG must be measured in response to either cancer or HIV/AIDS that has been personally experienced by the participant. This criterion resulted in the exclusion of 16 studies. Fourth, intervention studies were generally excluded from the analysis unless they measured PTG at baseline prior to manipulation and effect sizes could be extracted. This resulted in the exclusion of 20 studies. Fifth, controlled comparison studies that did not report relevant data for the patient sample were excluded. This resulted in the exclusion of nine studies. Longitudinal studies which measured PTG at different time points to adjustment measures were excluded. However, when longitudinal studies reported crosssectional relationships these were included in the analysis. This resulted in the exclusion of seven studies. Studies needed to include the relevant effect sizes (namely the correlation coefficient r) or sufficient statistical information that could be used to compute this statistic. Authors of papers with unclear statistical information were contacted to enquire about further information and if this was unable to be provided these papers were excluded from the analysis. Only two papers were excluded as a result of this criterion. Finally, the authors of

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The authors of the following studies who did not report the results of analyses testing the relationship between growth and adjustment were generous enough to provide this information when contacted: Ickovics et al. (2006), McGrath & Linley (2006), Powell, Ekin-Wood, & Collin (2007), Tallman, Altmaier, & Garcia (2007), Tomich & Helgeson (2004) and Yanez et al. (2009).

five non-English articles were contacted for copies of their papers but these were not provided. Figure 1 summarizes the results of the systematic search.

# Data Coding

Of the 193 articles yielded by the literature search 38 studies met all of the requirements for inclusion and were therefore used in the meta-analysis. Studies included in the meta-analysis are identified with an asterisk in the reference section and a detailed list of the studies is provided in Table 1. From these papers a number of variables were extracted for analysis: i) sample size, ii) sex composition, iii) ethnicity, iv) mean age, v) time since event, vi) health event vii) adjustment outcome, and viii) effect sizes for these relationships. The methodological quality of each study was also assessed based on a checklist developed by Mirza and Jenkins (2004). The five criteria that were assessed were: 1) clear study aims, 2) sample representative of population, 3) clear inclusion and exclusion criteria, 4) validated measure of PTG, and 5) appropriate statistical analysis. The studies were then given a total score of quality with the highest possible being eight (1 = Yes, 0 = No). Table 1 displays the quality scores for each individual study. Quality scores ranged from 2 – 5; however most studies were of good quality with over 50% of studies scoring 4 or more.

As expected, the concept of adjustment was operationally defined in a number of ways across individual studies. In our analysis measures were combined and a separate analysis was conducted for positive psychological adjustment, negative psychological adjustment and subjective physical health. Psychological adjustment was defined in this paper as the psychological outcome, either positive or negative, following illness. Specific adjustment measures associated with each adjustment outcome were also examined as moderators to explore how they might explain variability within the growth-adjustment relationship. These adjustment measures were coded as follows: a) positive psychological

adjustment was coded either as psychological health (e.g. positive affect, mental health) or general well-being (e.g. life satisfaction), b) negative psychological adjustment was coded as specific symptoms (e.g. depression, anxiety, PTSD) or general distress, and c) subjective physical health was coded as either general physical health, physical symptoms, or functional ability.

To examine the role of possible moderators in the growth-adjustment relationship, the following information in each paper was coded and used in the analysis as follows: (i) time since diagnosis was examined as a continuous moderator by using the mean time in months, (ii) sample gender composition was examined as continuous variable coded as percentage of female participants, (iii) sample age was examined as a continuous moderator by using the mean time in years, (iv) it was decided to code ethnicity as a categorical variable, either as <75% White or  $\geq75\%$  White, as this strategy minimized data exclusion, and (v) the methodological quality of each study was examined as a continuous moderator. Computation and Analysis of Effect Sizes

All analyses in this paper were carried out on SPSS (Version 15) using syntax specified in Field and Gillett (in press). A separate meta-analysis was carried out for each adjustment outcome. In the present study the correlation coefficient (r) was chosen as the effect size estimate for a number of reasons. First, this was a common metric for which the greatest number of effect sizes could be reported or converted; second, it is easily computed from either chi-square, t, F, and d; and third it is readily interpretable (Rosenthal & DiMatteo, 2001).

A number of papers reported correlation coefficients only for the subscales of PTG.

Therefore to guarantee the independence assumption among effect sizes the coefficients were averaged to produce a single effect size associated with overall PTG. When a study did not report the effect size or probability value but stated only the relationship was nonsignificant

an effect size of zero was assigned to that relationship. This is a conservative strategy because it generally underestimates the true magnitude of effect sizes (Durlak & Lipsey, 1991; Rosenthal, 1995). However, this approach is preferable to excluding nonsignificant results from the meta-analysis, because this would result in an overestimation of combined effect sizes (Rosenthal, 1995). The authors of these papers were contacted for further information and there was only one study where an effect size of zero assumed<sup>2</sup>. In meta-analysis two common statistical procedures are used: fixed- and random-effect models (Hedges, 1992; Hedges & Vevea, 1998; Hunter & Schmidt, 2000). Real social science data have been shown to contain variability in effect sizes as the norm, which indicates variable population parameters (Field, 2003; Field, 2005; Field & Gillett, in press; Hunter & Schmidt, 2000). For this reason, and so the results can be generalized beyond the studies included in the metaanalysis, a random effects model was carried out. Hedges and Vevea's (1998) method was applied using Fisher-transformed correlation coefficients with results reported after the back transformation to the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (see Field, 2005 and Overton, 1998). Using this method, each effect size is weighted by a value reflecting both the within study variance (1/n-3) for correlation coefficients in which n is the sample size) and the between study variance ( $\tau^2$ ). The exact weight function for each effect size is  $w_i^* = \left(\frac{1}{n_i - 3} + \hat{\tau}^2\right)^{-1}$  (See Field & Gillett, in press for a guide to using Hedges and Vevea's Moderator analyses were conducted also using a random-effects general linear method). model in which each z-transformed effect size can be predicted from the transformed moderator effect (represented by regression coefficient,  $\beta$ ). The moderator effect,  $\beta$ , is estimated using generalised least squared (GLS). In both the main analysis and moderator analyses, between study variance was estimated noniteratively (e.g. Dersimonian & Laird,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The analysis (PTG and positive mental health) was re-run without this study and the results remained unchanged.

1986). For a technical overview of the GLS moderator analysis that we employed see Overton (1998) or Field and Gillet (in press).

#### Publication Bias

In any meta-analysis publication bias is a concern. This bias refers to the tendency that the decision to publish a paper is determined by the results of the study (Begg, 1994). For example studies with nonsignificant findings are less likely to be published than those with significant outcomes, which could result in a positive bias within the literature. There are different approaches to estimating publication bias: Rosenthal's (1979) fail-safe N, funnel plots and sensitivity analysis. The fail safe N estimates the number of unpublished, nonsignificant studies that would have to exist for the obtained probability value of the population effect size estimate to be rendered nonsignificant. This measure is problematic because its emphasis is on significance testing the population effect size rather than estimating the population effect size itself. Therefore, we have chosen to report measures that specifically address bias in the population effect size estimate. First, we produce funnel plots of the effect found in each study against the standard error (Light & Pillemer, 1984). An unbiased sample will show a cloud of data points that is symmetric around the population effect size and has the shape of a funnel (reflecting greater variability in effect sizes from studies with small sample sizes/less precision). Second, we performed a sensitivity analysis, which is a method that uses weights to model the process through which the likelihood of a study being published varies (usually based on a criterion such as the significance of a study). We applied the methods proposed by Vevea and Woods (2005) because they can be applied to relatively small samples of studies such as we have.

- insert Table 1 about here -

## Results

## Study Characteristics

There were 38 studies included in the meta-analysis; with a total of 7927 participants. Sample sizes from individual studies ranged from 52 to 835. 78.0% of the studies focused individuals with a cancer diagnosis and 21.1% included individuals with a HIV/AIDS diagnosis. Length of time since treatment/diagnosis varied and ranged from 0 to 108 months (M = 41.65, SD = 31.86). Mean age of the sample was 50.66 (SD = 9.9). Of the studies that provided information on ethnicity, the majority (n = 15) included samples predominantly composed of white participants.

## Growth and Adjustment

Tables 2, 3, and 4 graphically represent the effect sizes included in each adjustment meta-analysis by means of a stem and leaf plot. The stem identifies the first digit of an effect size and the leaf identifies the final digit of an effect size. For positive mental health (Table 2), the bulk of effect sizes were in the range of 0 to .26, but the range was quite wide (-.23 to .49) suggesting the influence of moderator variables. For negative mental health (Table 3), the distribution of effect sizes is relatively symmetrical and is centered around 0 to -.1. Again, the range of effect sizes was quite large (-.44 to .25) suggesting that moderator variables might usefully explain some of this variability. Finally, for physical health (Table 4) the effect size distribution looks skewed and is centered around 0 to -.07. Three studies appeared to have relatively large positive effect sizes that were inconsistent with the bulk of studies.

- insert Tables 2, 3 & 4 about here -

Table 5 shows the individual meta-analyses for each adjustment outcome. PTG was significantly related to higher levels of positive psychological adjustment (PTG explained 1.7% of the variance), lower levels of negative psychological adjustment (PTG explained only 0.3% of the variance), and higher reported levels of physical health (PTG explained 1.4% of the variance). The results suggest considerable variation in effect sizes for the three

adjustment outcomes, and it is therefore important to examine factors that moderate these relationships. The funnel plots shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4 suggest publication bias might be present in the data, as indicated by the non-funnel like and asymmetric distribution of data points around the estimated mean, typical of biased data sets. In particular, for positive mental health (Figure 1) and physical health (Figure 3), the data cloud is relatively sparse for small studies (the bottom part of the figure). This pattern is indicative of one-tailed publication bias (Vevea & Woods, 2005). For negative mental health (Figure 2) the cloud is a little sparse around zero for small studies, which indicates two-tailed publication bias (Vevea & Woods, 2005). We calculated several publication-bias corrected estimates based on our interpretation of the funnel plots of the overall population effect sizes on positive mental health, negative mental health and physical health. We used Vevea and Woods' (2005) weight function model of publication bias to calculate population effect size estimates under different selection bias scenarios. Based on the funnel plots, for positive mental health and physical health we assumed moderate (MOT) or severe (SOT) one-tailed selection bias, and for negative mental health we assumed moderate (MTT) and severe (STT) two-tailed selection bias. The values corrected for selection bias were as follows: for positive mental adjustment, the original population estimate of .13 was reduced to .08 (MOT), -.40 (SOT); for negative mental adjustment, the original estimate of -.05 became -.05 (MTT) and -.04 (STT); for physical health the original estimate of .12 became .06 (MOT), -.47 (SOT). As such, the estimate of population effect size for negative mental health was unaffected by publication bias. If we assume moderate publication bias, then estimates for positive mental health and physical health were slightly reduced, but if severe publication bias is assumed then the estimates change quite dramatically. As such, our conclusions come with the caveat that if severe publication bias was, in reality, present in the literature then our conclusions would be quite different for positive mental health and physical health outcomes.

- insert Table 5 about here -

- insert Figures 2, 3 & 4 about here -

# Moderator Analyses

Five moderators that might explain significant amounts of effect size variation for each adjustment outcome were examined. Subcategories of each adjustment outcome were also initially explored as moderators.

Positive mental health: Categories of positive psychological adjustment did not significantly moderate the relationship between PTG and positive mental health (p > .05). Time emerged as a significant moderator of positive psychological adjustment ( $\beta = .005$ , p < .001), implying the longer the time since the event, the stronger the relationship between PTG and positive mental health. The age of the sample emerged as a significant moderator ( $\beta = .011$ , p < .01), indicating that samples with younger participants, showed a stronger relationship between PTG and positive adjustment. Ethnicity also moderated the relationship between PTG and positive mental health,  $\chi^2(1) = 4.77$ , p < .05, indicating that samples comprised of more than 25% non-white participants demonstrated a stronger relationship between PTG and positive psychological adjustment. Gender ( $\beta = .001$ , p > .05) and quality ( $\beta = .148$ , p > .05) did not significantly moderate the relationship between PTG and positive psychological adjustment.

Negative mental health: Categories of negative mental health moderated the relationship between PTG and negative psychological adjustment. Dummy coding revealed that PTSD symptoms had a stronger negative relationship with PTG in comparison to depression ( $\chi^2(1) = 4.29$ , p < .05), but not in comparison to anxiety ( $\chi^2(1) = 0.28$ , p > .05). and general distress ( $\chi^2(1) = 0.18$ , p > .05). Time since the health event, measured in months, moderated negative mental health ( $\beta = -.003$ , p < .01), indicating the shorter the time since

the event, the stronger the relationship between PTG and negative adjustment. Ethnicity was also a significant moderator,  $\chi^2(1) = 34.16$ , p < .001, indicating that samples with more than a 75% white composition demonstrated a stronger negative relationship between PTG and negative adjustment. Age also appeared as a moderator ( $\beta = .009$ , p < .001), indicating that samples with older participants demonstrated a stronger negative relationship between PTG and negative adjustment. Quality of the study ( $\beta = .021$ , p > .05) and participant's gender ( $\beta = .001$ , p > .05) did not moderate the relationship between growth and negative mental health.

Subjective physical health: Categories of physical health did not significantly moderate the relationship between PTG and physical health (p > .05). Ethnicity moderated the relationship between PTG and physical health ( $\chi^2(1) = 4.75$ , p < .05), indicating that samples comprised of more than 25% non-white participants demonstrated a stronger relationship between PTG and physical health. Furthermore, time ( $\beta = .003$ , p > .05), gender ( $\beta = .001$ , p > .05), age ( $\beta = .003$ , p > .05), and study quality ( $\beta = -.013$ , p > .05) did not significantly moderate the relationship between PTG and physical health.

#### Discussion

This meta-analytic review summarized the findings from 38 studies examining the association between PTG following cancer or HIV/AIDS and positive psychological adjustment, negative psychological adjustment, and subjective physical health. Despite variability in effect sizes this analysis demonstrated a small positive relationship between PTG and positive mental health. Therefore, individuals who perceive PTG following cancer or HIV/AIDS also report enhanced psychological well-being. Furthermore, a small negative relationship was found between PTG and negative mental health. Individuals who perceive PTG following cancer or HIV/AIDS also report reduced symptoms of negative mental health. Finally, PTG displayed a small positive relationship with measures of subjective physical

health, implying that PTG may also confer some physical benefit. These findings suggest that PTG is associated with positive adaptive consequences, and is therefore an important construct to be studied in clinical and health research.

## Summary of Effect Size Moderators

An additional aim of the study was to examine factors that might moderate the relationship between PTG and adjustment, and therefore provide further insight by accounting for variability in effect sizes reported previously. Study quality and gender were the only variables that did not moderate the relationship between PTG and outcomes. Therefore the implications of these findings are that studies of differing quality do not account for differences in the growth-adjustment relationship and that there are no significant differences between men and women in the growth-outcome relationship. Other moderators examined had varying effects on relationships between PTG and different outcomes; each of which will be discussed in turn.

Subcategories of positive mental health, and subjective physical health did not significantly moderate their relationship with PTG. However, subcategories of negative mental health did moderate the growth-negative mental health relationship. Specifically, in comparison to distress and depression, PTSD symptoms showed a stronger negative relationship with PTG.

Time since the illness emerged as a significant moderator for positive and negative mental health. In the short term, there was a stronger relationship between PTG and negative mental health, but over time there was an increased relationship between PTG and positive mental health. These results are consistent with the results from a previous meta-analysis looking at PTG following a range of traumas (Helgeson, Reynolds, & Tomich, 2006).

Together these findings suggest that in the short-term PTG is influential in reducing negative

symptoms, but in the long-term PTG is more instrumental in enhancing positive well-being. This is consistent with Tedeschi and Calhoun's (1995, 2004) functional-descriptive model of PTG, which states that the management of emotional distress is essential in the initial stages post-trauma. On the other hand, PTG reported later might reflect more substantive life changes that have positive consequences for quality of life (Tomich & Helgeson, 2004). Time since the health event did not moderate the relationship between PTG and physical health.

Age appeared to differentially affect the relationship between PTG and adjustment. Younger adults demonstrated a stronger positive relationship between PTG and positive mental health. In comparison older adults displayed a stronger negative relationship between PTG and negative mental health. One explanation is that core beliefs of young people may be more affected than those of older people. For example younger people tend to view the world as less just and less benevolent, and the older groups tend to view the world as luckier and more controllable (Calhoun, Cann, Tedeschi, & McMillan, 1998). Being diagnosed with cancer or HIV/AIDS when young might shatter more natural and social rules or beliefs which would generate a greater possibility of reconstructing these core beliefs and therefore promote PTG. Another explanation might be that younger people may be more capable and adept at making changes to their lives, which results in enhanced well-being. Whereas, older participants may be dealing with other significant life events and be less adaptable compared with younger samples, and therefore PTG may be more useful in reducing and managing distress. Age did not act as a significant moderator between PTG and self-reported physical health.

Ethnicity was a significant moderator of the relationship PTG and all three adjustment measures. Specifically, non-white samples displayed a larger effect size for the relationship between PTG and positive mental health and also subjective physical health, compared to

samples composed primarily of white participants. In comparison samples composed of predominantly white participants showed a stronger relationship between PTG and negative mental health. This variability may be explained by differences in culture e.g. family, religion, spirituality, which has shown to be important or associated with PTG following stressful life events (Milam, 2006; Shaw, Joseph, & Linley, 2005; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1995). Because of these differences, growth in ethnic minority samples may reflect more fundamental and existential changes resulting in enhanced well-being. In comparison, growth in predominantly white samples may be used more as a strategy to reduce distress.

Finally the type of scale used to assess PTG moderated the relationship between PTG and subjective physical health. Specifically, PTG measured using validated questionnaires had a weaker positive relationship with physical health in comparison to growth measured using closed-ended questionnaires. However it is not understood why this relationship was found. In comparison the way in which PTG was measured did not moderate the relationship between PTG and psychological health.

## Methodological Issues

The results of this study should be interpreted with the following limitations in mind. Though the present findings indicate that PTG and positive mental health, negative mental health, and subjective physical health are associated (albeit modestly), only cross-sectional data were included in the analysis, which constrains causal inference. For example it is not clear if PTG leads to better psychological and physical health, or if these factors result in an enhanced perception of PTG. Furthermore, even though studies were included in the analysis only if they used a clear measure of PTG the final data set consisted of studies that used varying conceptions of PTG, which could be problematic. For example, past research has indicated that benefit finding and PTG are related but distinct constructs, and might therefore

have unique predictors and outcomes (Sears, Stanton, & Danoff-Burg, 2003). Therefore, future research in the area should ascertain if such constructs are theoretically and empirically interchangeable.

The present study did not examine type of illness as a moderator because there were not enough studies of HIV/AIDS to include cancer vs. HIV/AIDS as a moderator variable. Although research suggests that people with HIV/AIDS report similar levels and areas of PTG compared to individuals with cancer, there are unique differences between the illnesses, particularly in social responses to individuals with HIV/AIDS compared to those with cancer (Lechner & Weaver, 2009). For example HIV/AIDS is an infectious disease and people who are HIV positive may face more stigma because of fear, lack of knowledge concerning transmission, and greater perceived accountability (Lechner & Weaver, 2009). This may hinder opportunities for emotional processing and therefore may not facilitate PTG and positive adjustment as readily as cancer and other illnesses.

Furthermore, meta-analysis, like any other procedure, has its advantages and disadvantages, and this study is no exception. First, where authors of papers reported significant findings but did not include enough statistical information to calculate the effect size, these effect sizes were coded as zero. This is a conservative approach and therefore may have lowered the effect size estimate for each meta-analysis conducted. Second, as with many meta-analytic studies, the current findings may over represent those studies that are published and have significant results, preventing the generalization of the current findings to unpublished reports (Rosenthal, 1979). For the overall effects, our publication bias analysis showed that the population effect size estimates were relatively unaffected when corrected for moderate selection bias. This finding gives us some confidence that the results are not idiosyncratic to our sample of studies. However, when correcting for severe publication bias the effect of growth on positive mental adjustment and physical health became strongly

negative (the opposite direction to the population effects). Although this is a correction for *severe* publication bias, the current findings should be viewed within the context of these results.

## Theoretical and Clinical Implications

Despite these limitations, this study has significant implications for research and practice. A weakness in the literature is the lack of consensus between theorists as to whether PTG is best conceptualized as an adaptive coping strategy that people use following a challenging life event, or as an outcome of the struggle with a traumatic event (Affleck & Tennen, 1996; Park & Helgeson, 2006; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1995, 2004). The findings from this study suggest that shortly after the event PTG may be used as a coping strategy to manage and reduce emotional distress associated with the illness threat. However over time PTG grows and is more significant in enhancing positive well-being. This implies that adjustment to serious illness is an ongoing process that occurs over time (Helgeson et al., 2006; Tedeshi & Calhoun, 2005). As recognized by Butler (2007) a challenge of future work is to psychometrically separate these processes so they can be reliably investigated.

The results suggest that PTG is associated with a reduction in negative mental health, which was particularly prominent when PTSD symptoms were the outcome. This supports Joseph and Linley's (2005, 2008) conceptualization of how PTG and PTSD relate to each other. Traumatic events are thought to shatter assumptions about the self and the world and lead to the symptoms of PTSD. These experiences of reexperiencing, avoidance and arousal are viewed as the cognitive emotional processing of the new trauma related information as individuals search for new meaning in life (Joseph & Linley, 2008). As these new meanings are found, and the person's view of themselves and the world is reconstructed, PTG should occur and symptoms of distress should decrease. Therefore PTG should be predictive of

lower distress, because as people find new meaning they can overcome the cognitive disruption and confusion characterized by PTSD (Joseph & Linley, 2005). Support for this has been reported by Frazier et al. (2001) who found that among sexual assault survivors who reported PTG over 12 months were the least distressed.

However, Joseph & Linley (2006) note that this does not mean to imply that the alleviation of distress should automatically lead to the enhancement of growth. According to their Organismic Valuing Theory of growth, PTG should only relate to reduced distress through accommodation (i.e., changing one's global meaning to incorporate the stressor) as opposed to assimilation (i.e., changing one's view of the stressor so that it is consistent with one's global meaning). As such they caution that therapeutic work may impede or disrupt the cognitive processes that are necessary for accommodation and therefore PTG.

Nonetheless these findings suggest PTG may be a useful target for therapeutic intervention in health care and clinical settings, where the aim is long-term emotional and physical adjustment. Psychotherapy for traumatic events such as a serious illness has predominantly focused on the negative effects of trauma, and the goal of therapeutic intervention to promote growth as opposed to alleviate distress will be a major paradigm shift. It is therefore important to raise clinician's awareness of the possibility of positive change. For example, clinicians might recognize the patient's struggle to understand the impact of the illness not only as a posttraumatic response but also as a potential precursor to growth (Zoellener & Maercker, 2006).

The empirical study of ways to facilitate PTG is in its infancy and only a few intervention studies have included PTG as an endpoint (Antoni et al., 2001, 2006; Penedo et al., 2006). Nonetheless some interventions, which contain techniques aimed at promoting growth, have shown to successfully improve outcomes. For example Antoni et al. (2001) found that a psychosocial intervention that taught participants broad cognitive behavioural

stress management techniques, served to increase reports of perceived benefits from having had breast cancer, and simultaneously reduced levels of depression. This study demonstrates that PTG can be altered and can be incorporated easily within cognitive behavioural stress-management interventions. However, the findings from the meta-analysis suggest that clinicians should be sensitive to the timing of PTG discussions. For example the present analysis suggests that PTG might be a useful target in the short-term to reduce distress, but in order to enhance well-being PTG should be targeted later on in the adjustment process.

However, in agreement with Park and Helgeson (2006) it is cautioned that large scale interventions to facilitate PTG in cancer and HIV/AIDS patients should be avoided until researchers understand more about the origins of PTG, the conditions under which PTG is verdical, the best methods to assess PTG, and its relations to psychological and physical health, are fully understood. Care should also be taken to avoid imposing an expectation of PTG in the face of serious illness. Patients with cancer or HIV/AIDS often report feeling burdened with the pressure to stay positive and encouraging the identification of positive changes from their illness may be potentially offensive to patients, serve to minimise their experience and lead them to suppress reports of distress (Bellizzi & Blank, 2006; Cordova, 2008).

#### Future Research

This meta-analysis of growth in medical populations illustrates the promising and exciting nature of this area of research. However, the review also indicates much remains to be learned and highlights areas of research where future work is needed. The present study indicates that in the short term, PTG is associated with a reduction in negative mental heath, whereas over longer term, PTG is associated with an enhancement in positive well-being. Therefore a clear point of focus is the use of longitudinal studies to further disentangle and

clarify the temporal course of this relationship. Experimental designs, such as the interventions described earlier, will also help to reveal the causal role of PTG in adjustment and to isolate mechanisms responsible for the effects (Algoe & Stanton, 2009).

Many of the conclusions reached in this paper regarding moderators of the growth-adjustment relationship are based on theoretical considerations rather than on direct empirical evidence and future studies should attempt to validate and test these hypotheses. Moreover, to further explicate the growth-adjustment relationship studies should continue to identify additional mediators and moderators. A particularly relevant moderator to medical populations that should be investigated is the perception of the severity of an illness. A previous meta-analysis found that perceptions of the severity of a traumatic event are related to PTG (Helgeson, Reynolds & Tomich, 2006). As such it might be expected that PTG may have a stronger relationship with psychological well-being and physical health for more subjectively severe illnesses and caution must therefore be taken when generalising the current findings to less threatening illnesses characteristics and indeed wider trauma populations.

The majority of the studies included in the present paper measured PTG so that only positive changes were assessed. This could be problematic because participants may develop a 'response bias' which may lead individuals to over-report PTG, and it may also restrict our characterisation of the life changes that health events may precipitate (Tomich & Helgeson, 2004). Furthermore, a recent prospective study of severe acute respiratory syndrome (Cheng, Wong, & Tsang, 2006) found that positive associations between PTG and positive well-being are more likely to be found among individuals who perceive benefits from the event, as well as the costs. Therefore, examining positive and negative change simultaneously should be considered as a focus of future research investigating PTG and adjustment in health samples.

Particularly pertinent for this population is the possibility that PTG can serve to improve physical health. Although this paper only looked at subjective measures of physical health there is promising preliminary data which suggests that PTG may be related to better physiological functioning. For example Cruess et al. (2000) found that among women with breast cancer, cognitive behavioural stress management reduced levels of cortisol through the enhancement of PTG. Yet, no studies have addressed possible mechanisms for the relationship between PTG and physical health. A recent model proposed by Bower, Low, Moskowitz, Sepah, and Epel (2008) suggests that factors often associated with growth such as coping, positive affect and improved relationships, can lead to a state of enhanced allostasis (maintaining stability, or homeostasis, through change, Sterling & Eyer, 1988), which buffers against future stress responses. This is a promising model, which merits increased attention in future research. Furthermore, the relationship between PTG and health behaviours such as exercise, medication adherence, requires a more detailed examination; particularly regarding how these behaviours might moderate the relationship between PTG and physical health.

Finally, it is acknowledged that the ways in which PTG is manifested might contain elements that are distinctive to specific cultural environments (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 2006). This paper included only five studies conducted in non-Western countries and therefore it is clear that there is a need to examine PTG in more diverse ethnic and cultural groups to fully understand the relationship between growth and adjustment.

# Summary and Conclusions

On the basis of this meta-analysis it can be concluded that PTG following cancer or HIV/AIDS is related to better positive mental health and self-reported physical health, and less negative mental health. This does not preclude that many individuals might experience

distress, but rather that PTG is a worthy phenomenon to be studied in clinical and health research. It is hoped that this meta-analysis will encourage further examination of the caveats addressed in this research, so that in the future PTG can perhaps become a viable therapeutic aim in individuals living with a life-threatening illness.

#### References

- Abraido-Lanza, A., Guier, C., & Colon, R. (1998). Psychological thriving among Latinas with chronic illness. *Journal of Social Issues*, *54*, 405-424.
- Affleck, G., & Tennen, H. (1996). Construing benefits from adversity: Adaptational significance and dispositional underpinnings. *Journal of Personality*, 64, 900-922.
- Algoe, S., & Stanton, L. (2009). Is benefit finding good for individuals with chronic disease?

  In C. Park, S. Lechner, M. Antoni, & A. Stanton (Eds.), *Medical illness and positive life change: Can crisis lead to personal life transformation?* (pp. 185-206).

  Washington DC, American Psychological Association.
- American Psychiatric Association (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistic Manual of Mental Disorders*. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association.
- Antoni, M., Lehman, J., Kilbourn, K., Boyers, A., Culver, J., Alferi, S., et al. (2001). Cognitive-behavioural stress management intervention decreases the prevalence of depression and enhances benefit finding among women under treatment for early stage breast cancer. *Health Psychology*, 20, 20-32.
- Barakat, L., Alderfer, M., & Kazak, A. (2006). Posttraumatic growth in adolescent survivors of cancer and their mothers and fathers. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *31*, 413-419.
- Begg, C. B. (1994). Publication Bias. In H. Cooper and . L. V. Hedges (Eds.), *The Handbook of Research Synthesis* (pp. 399-409). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Begg, C. B., & Muzamder, M. (1994). Operating characteristics of rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*, *50*, 1088-1101.
- Bellizzi, K. (2004). Expressions of generativity and posttraumatic growth in adult cancer survivors. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 58, 267-287.

- Bellizzi, K., & Blank, T. (2006). Predicting posttraumatic growth in breast cancer survivors.

  Health Psychology, 25, 47-56.
- \*Bellizzi, K., Miller, M., Arora, N., & Rowland, J. (2007) Positive and negative life changes experienced by survivors of Non-Hodgkins Lymphona. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *34*, 188-199
- Botha, K. (1996). Posttraumatic stress disorder and illness behaviour in HIV+ Patients.

  \*Psychological Reports, 79, 843-845.
- Bower, J., Low, C., Moskowitz, J., Sepah, S., & Epel, E. (2008). Benefit finding and physical health: positive psychological changes and enhanced allostasis. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 2, 223-244.
- \*Bower, J., Meyerowitz, B., Desmond, K., Bernaards, C., Rowland, J., & Ganz, P. (2005).

  Perceptions of positive meaning and vulnerability following breast cancer: Predictors and outcomes among long-term breast cancer survivors. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 29, 236-245.
- Butler, L. (2007). Growing pains: Commentary of the field of posttraumatic growth and Hobfoll and colleagues recent contribution to it. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 56, 367-378.
- Calhoun, L., Cann, A., Tedeschi, R., & McMillan, J. (1998). Traumatic events and generational differences in assumptions about a just world. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 138, 789-791.
- Calhoun, L., & Tedeschi, R. (2006). Foundations of posttraumatic growth. In L. G. Calhoun & R. G. Tedeschi (Eds.), *Handbook of posttraumatic growth: Research and practice* (pp. 138-175). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum Associates.

- \*Carrico, A., Ironson, G., Antoni, M., Lechner, S., Durán, R., Kumar, M. et al. (2006). A path model of the effects of spirituality on depressive symptoms and 24-h urinary-free cortisol in HIV-positive persons. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 61, 51-58.
- Carver, C., & Antoni, M. (2004). Finding benefit in breast cancer during the year after diagnosis predicts better adjustment 5 to 8 years after diagnosis. *Health Psychology*, 23, 595-598.
- Cheng, C., Wong, W., & Tsang, K. (2006). Perception of benefits and costs during SARS outbreak: An 18 month prospective study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 870-879.
- Christopher, M. (2004). A broader view of trauma: A bio-psychosocial evolutionary view of the role of the traumatic stress response in the emergence of pathology and/or growth. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 24, 75-98.
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- \*Cole, B., Hopkins, C., Tisak, J., Steel, J., & Carr, B. (2008). Assessing spiritual growth and spiritual decline following a diagnosis of cancer: reliability and validity of the spiritual transformation scale. *Psycho-Oncology*, *17*, 112-121.
- Collins, R., Taylor, S., & Skokan, L. (1990). A better world or a shattered vision? Changes in life perspectives following victimization. *Social Cognition*, 8, 263-285.
- Cordova, M. (2008). Facilitating posttraumatic growth following cancer. In S. Joseph & P. A. Linley (Eds.), *Trauma, recovery and growth: Positive psychological perspectives on posttraumatic stress* (pp. 185-206). Hoboken NJ, John Wiley & Sons.
- \*Cordova, M., Cunningham, L., Carlson, C., & Andrykowski, M. (2001). Posttraumatic growth following breast cancer: A controlled comparison study. *Health Psychology*, 20, 176-185.

- \*Cordova, M., Giese-Davis, J., Golant, M., Kronenwetter, C., Chang, V., & Spiegel, D. (2007). Breast cancer as trauma: Posttraumatic stress and posttraumatic growth.

  \*Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 14, 308-319.
- Cruess, D. G., Antoni, M, H., McGregor, B. A., Kilbourn, K. M., Boyers, A. E., Alferi, S. M., et al. (2000). Cognitive behavioural stress management reduces serum cortisol by enhancing benefit finding among women being treated for early stage breast cancer.

  \*Psychosomatic Medicine\*, 62, 304-308.
- \*Curbow, B., Somerfield, M., Baker, F., Wingard, J., & Legro, M. (1993). Personal changes, dispositional optimism, and psychological adjustment to bone marrow transplantation. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 16, 423-443.
- Dersimonian, R., & Laird, N. (1986). Meta-analysis in Clinical-Trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials*, 7(3), 177-188.
- Durlak, J. A., & Lipsey, M. W. (1991). A practitioner's guide to meta-analysis. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 19, 291-332.
- Field, A. P. (2003). The problem in using Fixed-effects models of meta-analysis on real-world data. *Understanding Statistics*, 2, 77-96.
- Field, A. P. (2005). Is the meta-analysis of correlation coefficients accurate when population effect sizes vary? *Psychological Methods*, *10* (4), 444-467.
- Field, A. P. & Gillet, R. (in press). How to do a meta-analysis. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*.
- \*Fromm, K., Andrykowski, M., & Hunt, J. (1996). Positive and negative psychosocial sequelae of bone marrow transplantation: Implication for quality of life assessment.

  \*Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 19, 221–240
- \*Harrington, S., McGurk, M., & Llewellyn, C. D. (2008). Positive consequences of head and neck cancer. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology*, 26, 43-62.

- Hedges, L. V. (1992). Meta-analysis. Journal of Educational Statistics, 17, 279-296.
- Hedges, L. V., & Vevea, J. L. (1998). Fixed- and random-effects models in meta-analysis.

  \*Psychological Methods, 3, 486-504\*
- Helgeson, V., Reynolds, K., & Tomich, P. (2006). A meta-analytic review of benefit finding and growth. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 797-816.
- \*Ho, S., Chan, C., & Ho, R. (2003). Posttraumatic growth in Chinese cancer survivors.

  \*Psycho-Oncology, 13, 377-389.
- Horowitz, M. (1986). Stress response syndromes. New York: Aronson.
- Hunter, J. E.. & Schmidt, F. L. (2000). Fixed effects vs. random effects meta-analysis models: Implications for cumulative research knowledge. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 8, 275-292.
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2000). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting bias and error* in research findings (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Ickovics, J., Meade, C., Kershaw, T., Milam, S., Lewis, J., & Ethier, K. (2006). Urban teens:

  Trauma, posttraumatic growth, and emotional distress among female adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 841-850.
- \* Ickovics, J., Milan, S., Boland, Schoenbaum, E., Schuman, P., & Vlahov, D. (2006).

  Psychological resources preotect health: 5-year survival and immune function among

  HIV-infected women from four US cities. *AIDS*, 20, 1851-1860.
- \*Jaarsma, T., Pool, G., Sanderman, R., & Ranchor, A. (2006). Psychometric properties of the dutch version of the posttraumatic growth inventory among cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, *15*, 911-920.
- Janoff-Bulman, R. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Three explanatory models. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15, 30-34.

- Joseph, S. & Linley, P. A. (2005). Positive adjustment to threatening events: An organismic valuing theory of growth through adversity. *Review of General Psychology*, 9, 262-280.
- Joseph, S., & Linley, P. A. (2006). Growth following adversity: Theoretical perspectives and implications for clinical practice. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26, 1041-1053.
- Kangas, M., Henry, J. L., & Bryant, R. A. (2002). Posttraumatic stress disorder following cancer: a conceptual and clinical review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22, 499-524.
- \*Katz, R., Flasher, L., Cacciapaglia, H., & Nelson, S. (2001). The psychosocial impact of cancer and lupus: a cross validation study that extends the generality of "benefit finding" in cancer patients with chronic disease. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 24, 561-571.
- Kelly, B. Raphael, B., Judd, F., Perdices, M., Kernutt, G., Burnett, P., et al. (1998).
  Posttraumatic stress disorder in response to HIV infection. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 10, 345-52.
- \*Kinsinger, D., Penedo, F., Antoni, M., Dahn, J., Lechner, S., & Schneiderman, N. (2006).

  Psychosocial and sociodemographic correlates of benefit-finding in men treated for localised prostate cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, *15*, 954-961.
- \*Klauer, T., Ferring, D., & Filipp, S-H. (1998). "Still stable after all this...?". Temporal comparison in coping with severe and chronic disease. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 22, 339-355.
- Lechner, S. & Weaver, K. (2009). Lessons learned about benefit finding among individuals with cancer or HIV/AIDS. In C. Park, S. Lechner, M. Antoni, & A. Stanton (Eds.), *Medical illness and positive life change: Can crisis lead to personal life transformation?* (pp. 185-206). Washington DC, American Psychological Association.

- Light, R. J., & Pillemer, D. B. (1984). Summing up: The science of reviewing research.

  Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Linley, P. A. (2003). Positive adaptation to trauma: Wisdom as both process and outcome. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 16, 601-610.
- Linley, P. A. & Joseph, S. (2004). Positive change following trauma and adversity: A review. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 17, 11-21.
- Lipsey, M. (2003). Those Confounded Moderators in Meta-Analysis: Good, Bad, and Ugly.

  Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 587, 69-81.
- \*Littlewood, R., Vanable, P., Carey, M., & Blair, D. (2008). The association of benefit finding to psychosocial and behaviour adaptation among HIV+ men and women.

  \*Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 31, 145-155.
- \*Luszczynska, A., Sarkar, Y., & Knoll, N. (2007). Received social support, self-efficacy, and finding benefits in disease as predictors of physical functioning and adherence to antiretroviral therapy. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 66, 37-42.
- Manne, S., Ostroff, J., Winkel, G., Goldstein, L., Fox, K., & Grana, G. (2004). Posttraumatic growth after breast cancer: Patient, partner, and couple perspectives. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 66, 442-454.
- Martinez, A., Israelski, D., Walker, C., & Koopman, C. (2002). Posttraumatic stress disorder in women attending human immunodeficiency virus outpatient clinics.

  AIDS Patient Care and STDs, 16, 283-291.
- \*Milam, J. (2004). Posttraumatic growth among HIV/AIDS patients. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 34, 2353-2376.
- Milam, J., Ritt-Olsen, A., & Unger, J. (2004). Posttraumatic growth among adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 19, 192-204.

- Milam, J. (2006a). Positive changes attributed to the challenge of HIV/AIDS. In L. G. Calhoun & R. G. Tedeschi (Eds.), *Handbook of posttraumatic growth: Research and practice* (pp. 214-224). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- \*Milam, J. (2006b). Posttraumatic growth and HIV disease progression. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 817-827.
- Milam, J., Ritt-Olsen, A., & Unger, J. (2004). Posttraumatic growth among adolescents.

  \*\*Journal of Adolescent Research, 19, 192-204.
- Mirza, R., & Jenkins, R. (2004). Risk factors, prevalence, and treatment of anxiety and depressive disorders in Pakistan: systematic review. *British Medical Journal*, 328, 794-797.
- Mohr, D., Dick, L., Russo, D., Pinn, J., Boudewyn, A., Likosky, W. et al. (1990). The psychosocial impact of multiple sclerosis: exploring the patient's perspective. *Health Psychology*, 18, 376-382.
- \*Mols, F., Vingerhoets, A. J. J. M., Coebergh, J. W. W., & Poll-Franse, L. V. (2009). Wellbeing, posttraumatic growth and benefit finding in long-term breast cancer survivors.

  \*Psychology and Health, 24, 583-595.
- \*Morrill, E., Brewer, N., O'Neil, S., Lillie, S., Dees, C., Carey, L., & Rimer, B. (2008), The interaction of post-traumatic growth and post-traumatic stress symptoms in predicting depressive symptoms and quality of life. *Psycho-Oncology*, *17*, 948-953.
- \*Mystakidou, K., Parpa, E., Tsilika, E., Pathiaki, M., Galanos, A., & Vlahos, L. (2007).

  Traumatic distress and positive changes in advanced cancer patients. *American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Medicine*, 24, 270-276.
- \*Mystakidou, K., Tsilika, E., Parpa, E., Kyriakopoulos, D., Malamos, N., & Damigos, D. (2007). Personal growth and psychological distress in advanced breast cancer. *The Breast*, 17, 382-386.

- O'Leary, V. & Ickovics, J. (1995). Resilience and thriving in response to challenge: An opportunity for a paradigm shift in women's health. *Women's Health: Research on Gender, Behavior, and Policy, 1*, 121-142.
- Overton, R. C. (1998). A comparison of fixed-effects and mixed (random-effects) models for meta-analysis tests of moderator variable effects. *Psychological Methods*, *3*(3), 354-379.
- Park, C., Cohen, L., & Murch, R. (1996). Assessment and prediction of stress-related growth. *Journal of Personality*, 64, 71-105.
- Park. C., & Helgeson, V. (2006). Introduction to the Special Section: Growth following highly stressful life events current status and future directions. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 791-796.
- \*Park, C., Edmondson, D., Fenster, J., & Blank, T. (2008). Meaning making and psychological adjustment following cancer: The mediating roles of growth, life meaning and restored just-world beliefs. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76, 863-875.
- Park, C. & Lechner, S. (2006). Measurement issues in assessing growth following stressful life experiences. In L.G Calhoun & R.G Tedeschi (Eds.) *Handbook of posttraumatic growth: Research and Practice* (pp .47-67). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Penedo, F. J., Molton, I., Dahn, J. R., Shen, B. J., Kinsinger, D., Traeger, L., et al. (2006). A randomized controlled trial of group-based cognitive-behavioral stress management in localized prostate cancer: Development of stress management skills improves quality of life and benefit finding. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 31, 261-270.
- \*Petrie, K., Buick, D., Weinman, J., & Booth, R. (1999). Positive effects of illness reported by myocardial infarction and breast cancer patients. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 47, 537-543.

- Rieker, P., Fitzgerald, E., & Garnick, M. (1985). Curative testis cancer therapy: Psychosocial sequelae. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *3*, 1117-1126.
- Rosenthal, R. (1979). "The 'File Drawer Problem' and Tolerance for Null Results," *Psychological Bulletin*, 86, 638-641.
- Rosenthal, R. (1995). Writing meta-analytic reviews. *Psychological Bulletin*, 118, 183-192.
- \*Salmon, P., Manzi, F., & Valori, R. M. (1996). Measuring the meaning of life for patients with incurable cancer: The Life Evaluation Questionnaire (LEQ). *European Journal of Cancer*, 5, 755-760.
- \*Salsman, J. M., Segerstorm, S. C., Brechting, E. H., Carlson, C. R., & Andrykowski, M. A. (2009). Posttraumatic growth and PTSD symptomatology among colorectal cancer survivors: a 3 month longitudinal examination of cognitive processing. *Psycho-Oncology*, 18, 30-41.
- \*Schroevers, M. J., & Teo, I. (2008). The report of posttraumatic growth in Malaysian cancer patients: relationships with psychological distress and coping strategies. *Psycho-Oncology*, *17*, 1239-1246.
- \*Schulz, U., & Mohamed, N. (2005). Turning the tide: Benefit finding after cancer surgery.

  Social Science and Medicine, 59, 653-662.
- \*Schwarzer, R., Luszczynska, A., Boehmer, S., Taubert, S., & Knoll, N. (2006). Changes in finding benefit after cancer surgery and the prediction of well-being one year later. Social Science and Medicine, 63, 1614-1624.
- \*Sears, S., Stanton, A., & Danoff-Burg, S. (2003). The yellow brick road and the emerald city: Benefit-finding, positive reappraisal coping, and posttraumatic growth in women with early-stage breast cancer. *Health Psychology*, 22, 487-496.
- Shaw, A., Joseph, S., & Linley, A. (2005). Religion, spirituality, and posttraumatic growth. *Mental Health, Religion, & Culture*, 8, 1-11.

- Siegel, K., & Schrimshaw, E. (2000). Perceiving benefits in adversity: stress-related growth in women living with HIV/AIDS. *Social Science and Medicine*, *51*, 1543-1554.
- \*Siegel, K., Scrimshaw, E., & Pretter, S. (2005). Stress-related growth among women living with HIV/AIDS: Examination of an exploratory model. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 28, 403-414.
- Sodergren, S. C., & Hyland, M. E. (2000). What are the positive consequences of illness? *Psychology and Health*, *15*, 85-97.
- Sterling, P., & Eyer, J. (1988). Allostasis: A new paradigm to explain arousal pathology. In Fisher S, Reason J, (eds), *Handbook of Life Stress, Cognition and Health*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, pp 629-649.
- Tedeschi, R., & Calhoun, L. (1995). *Trauma and transformation: Growing in the aftermath of suffering*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Tedeschi, R., & Calhoun, L. (1996). The Posttraumatic Growth Inventory: Measuring the positive legacy of trauma. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 9, 455-472.
- Tedeschi, R., & Calhoun, L. (2004). Posttraumatic Growth: Conceptual foundations and empirical evidence. *Psychological Inquiry*, *15*, 1-18.
- Tedeschi, R., Park, C., & Calhoun, L. (1998). Posttraumatic Growth: Positive changes in the aftermath of crisis. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- \*Thornton, A., & Perez, M. (2006). Posttraumatic growth in prostate cancer survivors and their partners. *Psycho-Oncology*, *15*, 285-296.
- \*Tomich, P., & Helgeson, V. (2004). Is finding something good in the bad always good?

  Benefit finding among women with breast cancer. *Health Psychology*, 23, 16-23.
- \*Updegraff, J., Taylor, S., Kemeny, M., & Wyatt, G. (2002). Positive and negative effects of HIV–infection in women in low socioeconomic resources. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28, 382–394.

- \*Urcuyo, K., Boyers, A., Carver, C., & Antoni, M. (2005). Finding benefit in breast cancer: Relations with personality, coping, and concurrent well-being. *Psychology and Health*, 20, 175-192.
- Vevea, J. L., & Woods, C. M. (2005). Publication bias in research synthesis: Sensitivity analysis using a priori weight functions. *Psychological Methods*, *10*, 428-443.
- Weaver, K. E. (2006). Assessing positive growth from the experience of chronic illness: A measurement model of benefit finding in breast cancer, prostate cancer, and HIV/AIDS. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Miami, Coral Fables, FL.
- \*Widows, M., Jacobsen, P., Booth-Jones, M., & Fields, K. (2005). Predictors of posttraumatic growth following bone marrow transplantation for cancer. *Health Psychology*, 24, 266-273.
- \*Yanez, B., Edmondson, D., Stanton, A. L., Park, C. L., Kwan, L., Ganz, P. A., et al. (2009).

  Facets of spirituality as predictors of adjustment to cancer: relative contributions of having faith and finding meaning. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77, 730-741.
- Zoellner, T., & Maercker, A. (2006). Posttraumatic growth in clinical psychology A critical review and introduction of a two component model. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26, 626-653.

Table 1
Characteristics of Studies Included in the Meta-Analysis

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial Composition	Mean Time Since	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition		Occurrence	Growth	
Bellizzi, Miller, Arora,	308	Non-	60 (23-85)	51.3% male,	30% Hispanic	42 months	Close-ended	3
& Rowland (2007)		Hodgkins		48.7% female				
		Lymphona						
Bower et al. (2005)	763	Breast cancer	56 (30-87)	100% female	83.7% White, 8.7%	40.8 months	Close ended	3
					Black, 7.6% Other			
Carrico et al. (2006)	264	HIV/AIDS	40	49% male,	49% African	7.7 years	BFS (a)	4
				51% female	American, 25%			
					Caucasian, 13%			
					Hispanic			

Table 1 Continued

	N	Health Event	Mean	Sex	Racial	Mean Time	Measure of	Quality
			Age	Compositio	Composition	Since	Growth	
			(range)	n		Occurrence		
Cole, Hopkins, Tisak,	25	Cancer (Mixed)	58 (28-	78% female,	95% White	7 months	Spiritual	4
Steel, & Carr (2008)	3		86)	22% male			transformation	
							scale	
Cordova,	70	Breast Cancer	55 (27-	100%	90% White, 9%	24 months	PTGI	4
Cunningham, Carlson,			87)	female	Black, 1% Other			
& Andrykowski								
(2001)								
Cordova et al. (2007)	92	Breast cancer	52 (25-	100%	86% White	9.4 months	PTGI	4
			72.8)	female				
Curbow, Somerfield,	13	Bone Marrow	31 (18-	61% male,	91% White	47 months	Open ended	4
Baker, Wingard &	5	Transplant	53)	39% female				
Legro (1993)								

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial Composition	Mean Time Since	Measure of	
			(range)	Composition		Occurrence	Growth	
Fromm, Andrykowski	90	Bone Marrow	39	58% male,	NR	49.5 months	Open ended	3
& Hunt (1996)		Transplantation		42% female				
Harrington, McGurk,	76	Head and neck	66.9 (32-	51%	93% White, 7%	34% 73-121	BFS(a)	4
& Llewellyn (2008)		cancer	97)	female,	Other	months, 25% 48-		
				49% male		72 months, 41%		
						< 48 months		
						posttreatment		
Ho, Chan, & Ho	18	Cancer (Mixed)	49 (26-69)	17% male,	Chinese	> 5 years disease	PTGI	3
(2004)	8			83% female		free	Chinese	
							version	
Ickovics et al. (2006)	77	HIV/AIDS	36 (19-55)	100%	60% Black, 20%	NR	Close ended	3
	3			women	Latina, 20%			
					White/Other			

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial	Mean Time Since	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition	Composition	Occurrence	Growth	
Jaarsma, Pool,	294	Cancer	56 (21-84)	28% male,	NR	3.90 years	PTGI (in	4
Sanderman, &				72% female			Dutch)	
Ranchor (2006)								
Katz, Flasher,	87	Cancer and Lupus	53	13% male,	73% White, 6%	9 years	BFS (b)	3
Cacciapaglia, &				87% female	Black, 2% Asian,			
Nelson (2001)					12% Hispanic,			
					8% Other			
Kinsinger et al. (2006)	250	Prostate cancer	65	100% male	41% White, 17%	15.7 months	BFS (a)	4
					Black			
					42% Hispanic			
Klauer, Ferring, &	100	Cancer (mixed)	53	42% female,	NR	40% 1 year, 20%	Close ended	2
Filipp (1998)				58% male		2 years, 25% 2-5		
						years,		
						15% 5+ years		

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial	Mean Time Since	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition	Composition	Occurrence	Growth	
Littlewood, Vanable,	221	HIV/ AIDS	40 (22-59)	44% female,	42% African	7 years	BFS (a)	4
Carey & Blair (2008)				56% male	American, 46%			
					Caucasian, 4%			
					Native American,			
					4% Asian Pacific			
					Islander, 4%			
					Other			
Luszczynska, Sarkar,	104	HIV/ AIDS	35 (18-54)	36% male,	100% Indian	< 5 years	BFS (a)	3
& Knoll (2007)				64% female				
Milam (2004)	835	HIV/AIDS	38	87% male	40% White, 37%	6.4 years	Items from	4
				,13% female	Hispanic, 17%		PTGI	
					Black, 7% Other			

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial	Mean Time Since	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition	Composition	Occurrence	Growth	
Milam (2006)	412	HIV/AIDS	39	88% male,	39% White, 40%	6.4 years	Items from	4
				12% female	Hispanic, 15%		PTGI	
					African			
					American, 6%			
					Other			
Mols, Vingerhoets,	183	Breast cancer		100% female	NR	NR	PTGI	3
Coebergh & Poll-								
France (2009)								
Morrill et al. (2008)	161	Breast Cancer	59 (36-87)	100% female	85% White, 12%	4 years	PTGI	3
					African American			
Mystakidou et al (2007)	54	Cancer	60 (36-84)	27.6% male	NR	55.2% < 3 years,	PTGI	4
				72.4% female		$44.8 \ge 3$ years		
Mystakidou et al (2007)	100	Breast Cancer	58.2 (31-81)	100% female	NR	6.1 years	PTGI	4

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial	Mean Time Since	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition	Composition	occurrence	Growth	
Park, Edmondson,	172	Cancer	45.2	69% female,	88% white, 5%	23.4 months since	PBS	5
Fenster, & Blank (2008)		(mixed)		31% male	latino, 3%	primary treatment		
					black/african			
					american, 2%			
					native american			
Petrie Buick,	52	Breast cancer	54	100% female	92% European,	3 months	Open ended	3
Weinman, & Booth					4% Maori, 4%	posttradiation		
(1999) Study 2					other			
Salmon, Manzi, &	200	Cancer	17% < 50,	58% male,	NR	Median = 52 weeks	Close ended	3
Valori (1996)		(mixed)	45% 50-65,	42% female				
			38% > 75					
Salsman, Segerstorm,	55	Colorectal	65.9	58.9%	NR	12 months	PTGI	4
Brechting, Carlson, &		cancer		female,				
Andrykowski (2009)				41.1% male				

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial	Mean Time Since	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition	Composition	Occurrence	Growth	
Schroevers & Teo	113	Cancer	51.8 (17-85)	66.4%	82.3% chinese,	45 months	PTGI	3
(2008)		(mixed)		female,	11.5% Malay			
				33.5% male				
Schulz & Mohamed	105	Cancer	62 (19-86)	61% male	NR	1 month postsurgery	BFS (a)	3
(2004)		(mixed)		39% female				
Schwarzer,	117	Cancer	62	62% male	NR	NR	Close ended	3
Luszczynska, Boehmer,		surgery		38% female				
Taubert, & Knoll								
(2006)								
Sears, Stanton, &	60	Breast cancer	52 (28-76)	100% female	87% White, 7%	80 weeks	PTGI	3
Danoff-Burg (2003)					Black, 3% Latina,			
					1% Asian			
					American, 1%			
					Native American			

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial Composition	Mean Time	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition		Since	Growth	
						occurrence		
Siegel & Schrimshaw	138	HIV/AIDS	38 (22-48)	100% female	38% African	87.6 months	Thriving	4
(2005)					American, 34%		Scale	
					Puerto Rican, 28%			
					White			
Thornton & Perez (2006)	82	Prostate	61 (41-78)	100% male	90% White	NR	PTGI	4
Tomich & Helgeson (2004)	364	Breast cancer	48 (25-75)	100% female	93% White, 6% Black, 1% Hispanic	4 months	BFS (a)	3

Table 1 Continued

Study	N	Health Event	Mean Age	Sex	Racial	Mean Time Since	Measure of	Quality
			(range)	Composition	Composition	Occurrence	Growth	
Updegraff Taylor,	189	HIV	37 (19-62)	100% female	48% Black,	4.65 years	Open ended	3
Kemeny, & Wyatt					33% White,			
(2002)					20% Latina			
Urcuyo, Boyers,	230	Breast cancer	54 (27-87)	100% female	White –145	3 - 12 months post	BFS (a)	4
Carver, & Antoni					Hispanic – 61	surgery		
(2005)					Black - 24			
Widows, Jacobsen,	72	Cancer – Bone	48 (25-66)	26% male,	85% White,	24.05	PTGI	4
Booth-Jones, & Fields		marrow		74% female	7% Black, 8%			
(2005)		transplantation			Hispanic			
Yanez et al. (2009)	165	Cancer	45.7 (22-55)	33% male	89% White	3.5 years	BFS (a)	5
Study 2		(mixed)		67% female				

Note NR = Not reported in the study; PTGI = Posttraumatic Growth Inventory; SRGS – Stress Related Growth Scale; PBS – Perceived Benefits Scale; BFS(a) = Benefit Finding Scale (Antoni et al., 2001); BFS (b) = Benefit Finding Scale (Mohr et al., 1999); SLQ – Silver Lining Questionnaire

Table 2
Stem and Leaf Plot of Effect Sizes for Positive Mental Health (rs)

Stem	Leaf
.4	4, 9
.3	2
.2	0, 3, 5, 5, 6
.1	2
.0	0, 3, 4, 4, 5, 9
0	1, 9, 9
1	
2	3

Table 3
Stem and Leaf Plot of Effect Sizes for Negative Mental Health (rs)

Stem	Leaf
.2	3, 4, 5,
.1	0, 1, 1, 3, 3, 4, 6, 6,
.0	0, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 5, 9, 9, 9
0	1, 4, 4, 4, 5, 8, 9, 9
1	0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 7, 7, 9
2	0, 0, 2, 3, 3, 4, 7, 7
3	3, 4, 5, 6
4	2, 4,

Table 4
Stem and Leaf Plot of Effect Sizes for Subjective Physical Health (rs)

Stem	Leaf
.6	4
.5	
.4	5, 7
.3	
.2	5
.1	1, 4
.0	0, 0, 4, 8, 9
0	2, 1, 1, 7
1	3, 7

Table 5

Meta-Analysis Results for Each Adjustment Outcome

	95% confidence						
				interval for r			
Adjustment	k	$ au^2$	Q				z
				Lower	Mean	Upper	
Positive mental	19	.027	119.04***	.04	.13	.21	3.00**
health							
Negative mental	60	.029	360.58***	10	05	01	-2.17*
health							
Subjective physical	17	.053	219.51***	.00	.12	.23	1.95*
health							

*Note.* Note. k = number of effect sizes, Q = homogeneity statistic.

<sup>\*</sup> *p* < .05. \*\* *p* < .01

## Figure Captions

Figure 1. Flow chart of systematic search

Figure 2. Funnel plot of positive mental health. The vertical line is the population effect size and the diagonal line displays the 95% confidence interval.

*Figure 3*. Funnel plot of negative mental health. The vertical line is the population effect size and the diagonal line displays the 95% confidence interval.

Figure 4. Funnel plot of subjective physical health. The vertical line is the population effect size and the diagonal line displays the 95% confidence interval.







