provided by Archivio istituzionale della ricerca - Università di Palermo

| | OR | %95 CI | р | OR* | %95 CI | p |
|---|--------|--------------|-------|-----------|-------------|------|
| No subclinical psychotic expression at T ₁ | ref | | | ref | | |
| Low impact PS at T ₁ | 12.3 | (4.3-34.8) | <.001 | 11.2 | (3.7-34.0) | <.00 |
| High impact PS at T ₁ | 34.3 | (11.5-101.8) | <.001 | 32.5 | (9.9-106.4) | <.00 |
| No mental disorder in 1st degree relative | ref | | | ref | | |
| Plausible psychosis in 1st degree relative | 10,0 | (3,2-30,6) | <.001 | 12,0 | (3,8-37.7) | <.00 |
| No alcohol abuse at T ₁ or T ₂ | ref | | | ref | | |
| Alcohol abuse T ₁ (-), T ₂ (+) | 3.3 | (1.4-7,7) | <.01 | 4.8 | (1.8-12.6) | <.01 |
| No cannabis use at T ₂ | ref | | | ref | | |
| Cannabis use ≥ 3 times per week | 39.5 | (3.4-452.6) | <.01 | 37.7 | (2.9-493.6) | <.01 |
| Number of stressful life events | β=7.82 | (0.01-0.02) | <.001 | β* = 7.75 | (0.01-0.02) | <.00 |

^{*} Adjusted for age, sex, education level and health insurance status.

Disclosure of interest The authors have not supplied their declaration of competing interest.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.526

EW409

Perceived stigma in patients affected by psychosis: Is there an impact on relapse?



L. Sideli¹, F. Seminerio²,*, M.V. Barone¹, A. Mulè¹, C. La Cascia¹, C. Sartorio¹, R. D'Agostino¹, L. Ferraro², G. Tripoli³, A. Francomano¹, M. Inguglia⁴, G. Vassallo⁴, C. Majorana⁴, D. La Barbera¹

- ¹ Università degli Studi di Palermo, Biomedicina Sperimentale e Neuroscienze Cliniche BioNeC, Palermo, Italy
- ² Università degli Studi di Palermo, Dipartimento Biomedico di Medicina Interna e Specialistica DIBIMIS, Palermo, Italy
- ³ King's College of London, Psychology and Neuroscience, London, United Kingdom
- ⁴ Azienda Sanitaria Provinciale 6, Salute mentale e dipendenze patologiche, Palermo, Italy
- * Corresponding author.

Introduction The World Health Organization (WHO) considers stigma of mental illness as a crucial problem (WHO, 2001). Stigma contributes to the onset (Morgan et al., 2010) and the outcome of people affected by schizophrenia (Himan, 2015).

Objectives To evaluate the perception of patients affected by psychotic disorders of being stigmatized by the community.

Aims To compare the perception of stigma among subgroups of patients at different stage of their disorder.

Methods Thirty-five patients affected by a first-episode of psychosis (FEP) and 96 patients affected by chronic psychosis were recruited. The Devaluation of Consumers Scale (DCS) and the Devaluation of Consumer Families Scale (DCFS) were administered to assess the perceived public stigma (Struening et al., 2001). The Positive And Negative Schizophrenic Symptoms Scale (PANSS) (Kay et al., 1987) and the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) (Goldman et al., 1992) were administered to assess psychotic symptoms and global level of functioning.

recent onset of psychosis (Mann–Whitney's U=910.500, P=0.017). DCS and DCFS correlated with increased voluntary admissions (Rho=0.355, P=0.002; Rho=0.257, P=0.029) and DCS with increased compulsory admissions (Rho=0.349, P=0.003). Only among chronic patients, DCS factor 2 was related to global level of functioning (Rho=0.217, P=0.041).

Conclusions Patients affected by chronic psychotic disorders perceived a more pessimistic attitude of the community towards their participation in social and community life and this is related to increased admissions and disability.

Disclosure of interest The authors have not supplied their declaration of competing interest.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.527

EW410

Early detection and treatment of mental illness in the workplace – an intervention study



H. Sørensen^{1,*}, J. Valentin¹, M.K. Bording¹, J.I. Larsen², A. Larsen², Ø. Omland³

- Aalborg University Hospital, Unit for Psychiatric Research, Aalborg, Denmark
- Aalborg University Hospital, Psychiatric Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark
 Aalborg University Hospital, Occupational Medicine, Aalborg,
 Denmark
- * Corresponding author.

Introduction Conditions of depression and anxiety among employees' leads to increased absenteeism and decreased social and professional function.

Objectives To test a collaborative model for contributing to mental health at work at the lowest interference and highest possible availability among Danish workers.

Aims To investigate the effect of early detection and treatment in order to interrupt and improve conditions of clinical and subclinical levels of mental illness.

Methods Self-reporting questionnaires were used for identification of clinical and sub-clinical cases of mental illness and for follow-up. Four questionnaires were distributed to all employees in six medium-large companies in Denmark (n = 1292) during a period of 16 months. Employees meeting the screening criteria were assessed diagnostically. Outpatient psychiatric treatment was offered to employees diagnosed with mental illness and preventive CBT-session to those assessed with sub-clinical conditions. Follow-up questionnaires were filled out after 6 and 12 months. Data were analysed using repeated measure mixed effects linear regression.

Results Of the 587 (55%) employees that returned the questionnaires, 58 were referred to either outpatient psychiatric treatment (n=38) or preventive treatment (n=20). Levels of psychopathology decreased significantly in both treated groups. Comparing with the pre-treatment period, a significant positive difference in change in psychopathology was detected for employees in psychiatric treatment. Measured up to healthy controls, the self-perceived level of stress also decreased significantly among employees in psychiatric treatment.

Conclusions An integrated collaborative model for early detection and treatment was beneficial in order to interrupt and improve the course of mental health problems among Danish employees. Disclosure of interest The authors have not supplied their declaration of competing interest.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.528