Author's Accepted Manuscript

Bacterial biodiversity in deep-sea sediments from two regions of contrasting surface water productivity near the Crozet Islands, Southern Ocean.

R.E Jamieson, J.L. Heywood, A.D Rogers, D.S.M Billett, D.A. Pearce



www.elsevier.com/locate/dsri

 PII:
 S0967-0637(13)00020-4

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2012.12.012

 Reference:
 DSRI2185

To appear in: Deep-Sea Research I

Received date:16 June 2012Revised date:16 December 2012Accepted date:20 December 2012

Cite this article as: R.E Jamieson, J.L. Heywood, A.D Rogers, D.S.M Billett and D.A. Pearce, Bacterial biodiversity in deep-sea sediments from two regions of contrasting surface water productivity near the Crozet Islands, Southern Ocean., *Deep-Sea Research I*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2012.12.012

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Bacterial biodiversity in deep-sea sediments from two regions of
2	contrasting surface water productivity near the Crozet Islands, Southern
3	Ocean.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Jamieson R.E ¹ ., Heywood, J.L. ¹ , Rogers A.D ² ., Billett D.S.M ³ , and Pearce, D.A. ^{1*}
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	¹ British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, High Cross,
19	Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 OET, UK (*Corresponding author)
20	² Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PS
21	³ National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, European Way,
22	Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK
23	
24 25	
26 27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32 33	
34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40	
41 42	
43	

1 2 Abstract

3

4 The relationship between surface-derived particulate organic matter (POM) and 5 deep-sea sediment bacterial abundance, community structure and composition was 6 investigated in two different sediment layers from two zones of contrasting surface 7 water productivity in the southern Indian Ocean. Bacterial sediment communities 8 from high chlorophyll (HC) and low chlorophyll (LC) sites were characterized and 9 compared using direct counts, clone library construction, denaturing gradient gel 10 electrophoresis (DGGE) and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Of the 1566 11 bacterial clones generated from the sediment communities, 1010 matched published 12 16S rDNA sequences at \geq 97% identity. A comparison of surface sediment clone 13 libraries showed that at least one third of all identified operational taxonomic units 14 (OTUs) were common to both HC and LC sites. DGGE community profiles were 15 consistent (82% similar) and evenness of the major phylogenetic groups was 96% 16 similar between surface sediment communities, where gamma- and alpha-17 Proteobacteria were dominant. Sediment communities shared similarly high 18 biodiversity, while species richness was marginally higher at the LC site. Intra-site 19 shifts in bacterial abundance and composition were observed with increasing 20 sediment depth. Despite the differences in organic matter input between sites, the 21 consistency observed between HC and LC sediment communities pointed to 1) the 22 extent of remineralisation by mega and meio-fauna was a factor affecting the quantity 23 and quality of POM available to sediment bacteria, 2) sampling during the early 24 'nutrient assimilation phase' of the bacterial response to freshly deposited POM or 3) 25 the action of bacteria in the water column could affect the quantity and quality of 26 POM available to sediment bacteria. Although factors other than these may explain 27 the observed similarities, this first comparison of deep-sea sediment communities in 28 relation to surface-derived productivity may be useful in further elucidating the role of 29 sediment bacteria in carbon remineralisation in the deep-sea environment.

- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38

1 **1. Introduction**

2

3 Deep-sea sediments represent largest carbon sink on Earth. The functionally diverse 4 bacteria harboured in deep-sea sediments comprise up to 90% of the benthic 5 biomass (Dixon and Turley 2000) and provide a fundamental contribution to global 6 carbon recycling through the sequestration and remineralization of organic matter 7 (Houghton 2007). The characterisation of bacteria that inhabit deep-sea sediments, 8 and the determination of their diversity, community structure and abundance, are the 9 first steps towards a fuller understanding of the key role they play, yet owing the 10 challenges of sampling such an extreme and complex biosphere, the diversity of 11 deep-sea sediment bacteria and the environmental factors that control their diversity 12 and distribution are poorly understood (Jørgensen and Boetius 2007).

13

14 Resource availability in the form of particulate organic matter (POM) from primary 15 production is thought to be a key determinant of benthic diversity in marine systems 16 (Levin et al. 2001; Rex et al. 2006; Ruhl et al. 2008). The intimate link between 17 diversity and the nutritional and biochemical attributes of the surrounding marine 18 environment can be exploited through the comparison of diversity/distribution 19 patterns to indicate environmental variation resulting from global change (Jørgensen 20 and Boetius 2007; Ruhl et al. 2008). Evidence for a clear link between 21 bacterioplankton diversity and surface water productivity has been widely reported 22 (Schäfer et al. 2001; Horner-Devine et al. 2003; West et al. 2008). Shallow marine 23 benthic and mesocosm studies have both demonstrated that temporal changes in 24 sediment bacterial abundance and distribution are not independent of surface-25 derived labile organic matter (Polymenakou et al. 2005, Rosselló-Mora et al. 1999). A 26 shallow-water study in the North Sea found that differences in bacterial community 27 composition coincided with overlying productivity although no differences in diversity 28 were observed (Franco et al. 2007). In the deep sea, studies have reported rapid 29 increases in sediment bacterial activity in response to POM input from the euphotic 30 zone (Lochte 1992; Witte et al. 2003; Moodley et al. 2005). However, information 31 concerning the relationship between the input of POM and bacterial community 32 structure, diversity and abundance in deep-sea sediments is lacking.

33

In this study we compared the bacterial community structure, diversity and abundance of deep-sea sediments from two contrasting regions of productivity in the Southern Ocean, in order to examine the relationship between surface-derived POM and deep-sea bacterial diversity and distribution. In high nutrient, low chlorophyll

1 (HNLC) regions such as the Southern Ocean, the seasonal flux of particulate organic 2 carbon from eutrophic surface waters constitutes an important nutrient resource for 3 the deep-sea communities (Gooday 2002). In the Indian Ocean sector of the 4 Southern Ocean, a perennial diatom bloom, driven by natural iron enrichment of 5 seawater from island sediment sources (Planquette et al. 2007), occurs to the 6 northeast of the Crozet Islands. The bloom enhances the exportation of particulate 7 organic carbon to the seafloor in an otherwise oligotrophic HNLC environment 8 (Pollard et al. 2009; Venables et al. 2007). Organic carbon fluxes of up to 440 mg 9 Cm⁻² d⁻¹ are deposited on the seafloor to the east of the Crozet Islands, compared to 28-46 mg Cm⁻² d⁻¹ at an oligotrophic site south of the islands (Salter et al. 2007). 10

11

12 Bacterial communities from sediments within an HNLC region to the south of the 13 Crozet Islands were compared with those from a eutrophic region to the northeast of 14 the islands where particulate organic matter was present on the deep seafloor from 15 an overlying surface-water bloom. The abundance, richness, structure and evenness 16 of each community were analysed and compared using four culture-independent 17 methods: 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-staining, the construction of clone 18 libraries, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and fluorescence in situ 19 hybridization (FISH).

20

This study represents the first comparison of deep-sea sediment communities from contrasting regions of surface water productivity. The work was undertaken as a component of the multi-disciplinary benthic Crozet program, designed to investigate the influence of quantity and quality of surface-derived organic matter on biological diversity and geochemistry in the deep-sea benthos for carbon cycling models, flux budgets, ecology, and palaeoceanographic interpretations.

- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37

1 **2. Materials and Methods**

2 3

2.1. Study sites and sampling

4

5 Samples were collected between 14/12/05 and 05/01/06 during a research cruise 6 (RRS Discovery; D300) to the Indian Ocean sector of the Southern Ocean. The 7 sampling stations are represented in Figure 1. Sediment cores were collected using a 8 Bowers-Connelly megacorer (Gage and Bett 2005) from a eutrophic site (site HC; 9 high chlorophyll) within the diatom bloom area to the east of the Crozet Islands (46 10 °S, 56 °E) and from an oligotrophic site, (site LC; low chlorophyll) to the south of the 11 islands (49 °S, 51 °E). Bottom water depths were between 4191 and 4320 m. Five 12 replicate cores from each site were sectioned through a vertical profile at 1 cm 13 intervals to a final depth between 15 and 30 cm depending on core length. Sediment 14 subsamples (1g) were taken from each depth layer into sterile 2.2 ml Eppendorfs, for 15 analysis of bacterial abundance, for molecular analysis by DGGE and FISH and for 16 the construction of clone libraries. Individual core sections were stored at -20 °C in 17 sterile, vented Petri dishes sealed with parafilm. Sample handling was performed at 18 2-4°C.

19

Samples were collected following the peak of the diatom bloom in surface waters in November. Surface chlorophyll-a levels at the HC site ranged between 0.55-0.74 mgm⁻³ and between 0.42-0.47 mgm⁻³ at the LC site. At the time of sampling particulate organic matter was visibly present on the seafloor at the HC site and chlorophyll-a concentration was 3-fold higher in HC surface sediments (Smith C.R. *et al.* unpublished data). Total organic carbon and total nitrogen concentrations were similar in both sediments (Holtvoeth J. *et al.* unpublished data).

27

28

29 2.2 Direct counts

30

Bacterial abundance was analyzed at the following sediment depths: 0-1, 4-5, 9-10, 14-15 and 19-20 cm. Sediment samples (n= 5) were diluted 1:10 in sterile, 0.2 μm filtered seawater and homogenized briefly using a vortex mixer. Cells were harvested by vacuum-filtration (<30 kPa) of the suspension through a 25 mm, 0.22 μm, black, polycarbonate filter membrane (Porectics, Osmotics Inc., MA). Cell fixation was performed directly on the filter membrane using 2 ml paraformaldehyde [4% wt/vol] (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole) for 30 minutes according to the method of Schallenberg *et al.*

1 (1989) followed by three washes (5 ml) with sterile seawater to remove excess 2 fixative. Filters were stained with DAPI (5 μ g ml⁻¹) for 5 min, rinsed twice with sterile 3 seawater (5 ml) and phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 10 mM sodium phosphate [pH 4 7.2], 130 mM NaCI [pH 7.4]), air dried, and mounted in CitiFluor (CitiFluor Ltd., 5 Canterbury). Enumeration was conducted using a Leitz Labalux epifluorescence 6 microscope fitted with a 50W mercury, high-pressure lamp, UV excitation and a filter 7 set for DAPI (340 to 380 nm excitation filter, 400 nm beam splitter, and 430 nm 8 barrier). A minimum of 1000 cells was counted per sample. Bacterial cell counts were 9 expressed as mean number of cells per gram (dry weight) of sediment⁻¹ (± 1 standard 10 deviation; SD).

11

12 2.3 DNA isolation from environmental samples

13

DNA was extracted from subsamples (0.5 g) of thoroughly mixed sediment from 14 15 surface (0-1 cm depth) and deep (91-20 cm depth) layers from three replicate cores 16 per site using the FastDNA SPIN kit for soil (Qbiogene, Cambridge) according to the 17 manufacturer's instructions, with bead beating for 5 min. Two additional washes of 18 the extracted, matrix bound DNA were performed using the salt/ethanol wash 19 component of the kit to enhance removal of humic acids. DNA was eluted into 50 µl 20 sterile water (pH 8.0). The five extractions from each core were combined in a 21 Microcon YM100 column (Millipore UK Ltd., Hertfordshire) and concentrated by 22 centrifugation according to manufacturer's instructions. Concentration was measured 23 using the NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA).

24

25 2.4. Clone library construction

26

27 DNA extractions from each site were pooled for PCR in order to construct two clone 28 libraries per site for surface and deep sediments: HC surface (0-1 cm), LC surface 29 (0-1 cm), HC deep (19-20 cm), LC deep (19-20 cm). 16S rRNA genes were amplified 30 by PCR using the primers 8F (5'AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG 3') and 1492R (5' 31 TAC GG(C/T) TAC CTT GTT ACG ACTT 3') from VH Bio Ltd. (Gateshead). The PCR 32 reaction mixture contained 5 ng DNA, 1 µl each primer (10 mM), 10 µl 10x NH₄-33 based reaction buffer, 2.5 µl MgCl₂ (50 mM), dNTPs (20 nmol each), 0.3 µl BIOTAQ 34 polymerase 0.05 U µl⁻¹ Biotag[™] DNA polymerase (Bioline Ltd., London) and 0.8 µl 35 bovine serum albumin [10 mgµl⁻¹] (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole) and was adjusted to a final 36 volume of 30 µl using sterile water. PCR amplification was performed on a DNA 37 Engine Tetrad 2 Peltier Thermocycler (Bio-Rad Life Sciences, Hertfordshire) under

the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min 15 s, 72 °C for 1 min and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. Pure *E.coli* DNA and marine water bacterial DNA were incorporated into each PCR run as positive controls. PCR products were cleaned using the Illustra GFX PCR DNA purification kit (GE Healthcare UK Ltd., Chalfont St Giles).

6

7 PCR products were ligated into a vector according to the pGEM-T Easy Vector 8 protocol (PromegaUK Ltd., Southampton). Ligated products were transformed into 9 ultra efficient XL-2 blue MRF competent cells (Stratagene, CA, USA) with addition of 10 200mM IPTG (isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside) and X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-11 3-indolyl-beta-D-galacto-pyranoside, Bioline Ltd) and plated onto Luria agar 12 containing ampicillin [100 µgml⁻¹]. Resultant colonies were amplified in a PCR 13 reaction mix containing 25 µl ReddyMix (Abgene Ltd., Epsom), 0.5 µl M13F primer 14 (5'-CGC CAG GGT TTTCCC AGT CAC GAC-3') and M13R (5'-GGC AGG AAA CAG 15 CTA TGA CC-3') under the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min followed by 29 cycles 16 of 95°C for 30 s, 58.5°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min 10 s. M13 PCR products were 17 cleaned and eluted into 50 µl sterile distilled water using purification columns.

18

Sequencing reactions were performed with BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Cheshire) in a forward direction using the M13F primer and products were run on a MegaBace500 automated DNA capillary sequencing system (Molecular Dynamics, CA). Additional sequencing was performed under contract by Macrogen Inc. (Korea).

24

25 2.5. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis

26

27 HC and LC surface (0-1 cm) sediment DNA was extracted from three replicate 28 sediment cores per site and amplified by PCR using the primer combination 341F-29 GC (Muyzer et al. 1993) and 907R (Muyzer and Smalla 1998). Each reaction mix 30 contained 5 ng DNA with 1 µl each primer (10 mM), 5 µl 10X PCR reaction buffer 31 (160 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 670 mM Tris-HCL [pH 8.8], 0.1% Tween-20, 15 mM MgCl₂), 200 32 µM of each deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (Bioline Ltd., London) 1.25 µl bovine 33 serum albumin [BSA; 10 mgµl⁻¹] (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole) and 0.05 U µl⁻¹ Tag 34 polymerase (Bioline Ltd., London). The volume was adjusted to 25 µl with DNase and 35 RNase-free 0.1 µm-filtered sterilized water (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole). PCR amplification 36 was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 37 then 30 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min followed by a final

1 elongation step at 72 °C for 15 min. PCR reactions were conducted in duplicate.PCR 2 products (ca. 550 bp long) were evaluated by electrophoresis on 1.5% (wt/vol) 3 agarose gels (30 min, 100 V). Duplicate PCR reactions were combined and 4 concentrated into 20µl using MinElute columns (Qiagen Ltd., Crawley) for loading, 5 with a reference lane, onto the gel. Vertical gel casting and running were undertaken 6 according to Helms (1990) using the INGENYphorU-2 System (Ingeny International 7 BV, The Netherlands). A 50-70% denaturing gel containing 6.5% [v/v] acrylamide/bis-8 acrylamide was cast using 50 µl ammonium persulphate [20%] and 5 µl TEMED 9 (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole) to initiate polymerisation. The gel was run overnight at [120 V, 10 18 h] and post-stained with SYBR Gold nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen Ltd, Paisley).

11

Banding patterns for each DGGE profile were processed, normalized and statistically analyzed using Gel Compar II software v3.5 (Applied Maths, Belgium). A similarity matrix based on band positions and contribution of the optical intensity of each band to the total intensity of each DGGE profile (densitometric curve) was generated and these data were used for cluster analysis (Pearson Correlation; UPGMA).

17

DGGE bands that appeared at the same position in the profile from different sites were excized. Bands were excized into clean 0.5 ml tubes containing 30 µl, 0.1X TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and stored at 4°C overnight to allow elution of DNA. 2 µl eluted DNA was used in a PCR with 341F and 907R as previously described. PCR products were purified and eluted into 30 µl, 0.1 µm-filtered, nuclease-free water for cloning and sequencing.

24

25 2.6. Fluorescence in situ hybridization

26

27 Bacterial group evenness was determined in surface (0-1 cm) and deep (19-20 cm) 28 sediment samples (n=5) from HC and LC sites using group-specific, 16S rRNA-29 targeted oligonucleotide probes. Bacterial cells were harvested by vacuum-filtration 30 and fixed on 0.2 µm polycarbonate filters as described for direct counts. The 31 following 5' CY-3-labelled, oligonucleotide probes (VH Bio Ltd, Gateshead) were 32 used in this study: EUB338 (Eubacteria; Amann et al. 1990), NON338 (negative 33 control), ARCH915 (Archaea) (Stahl and Amann 1991), ALF968 (most a-34 Proteobacteria), GAM42a (y-Proteobacteria; Glöckner et al. 1999), CF319a 35 (Cytophaga-Flavobacteroides Manz et 1996) PLA46 cluster; al. and 36 (Planctomycetales; Neef et al. 1998).

Each probe was added at a final concentration of 50 ng ml⁻¹ to 250µl of hybridisation 1 2 solution [0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.01% SDS] containing 10% [v/v] 3 formamide (for EUB338, NON338), 20% (for ALF968) and 35% [v/v] formamide (for 4 GAM42a, PLA42, CF319, ARCH915). A volume of 20 µl hybridization solution 5 containing probe was added directly to cells retained on the filter paper. Cells were 6 incubated in a humid atmosphere [46°C, 90 min] and rinsed twice with 5 ml 7 prewarmed [37 °C] wash buffer [20 mM Tris-HCI, 5 mM EDTA, 0.01% SDS] 8 containing NaCl in concentrations of 450 mM NaCl (for EUB338 and NON338), 225 9 mM NaCl (for ALF968) and 80 mM (GAM42a, CF319a, PLA46 and ARCH915). Cells 10 that hybridized with EUB338 were counterstained with DAPI (5 µg ml⁻¹). Air-dried 11 cells were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Labs, CA) and enumeration was 12 undertaken by epifluorescence with a CY-3 filter unit (550-570 nm excitation 13 emission wavelength).

14

A minimum of 1000 DAPI-stained bacterial cells within 10-20 randomly selected fields of view, or 250 random fields of view were enumerated using an Olympus BX51 epifluorescence microscope fitted with a tetramethyl rhodamine filter set (WU filter set; BP520-550/DHC70/BA580IF) for CY3 (550-570 excitation emission wavelength). The mean number of hybridized cells g⁻¹ dry sediment was calculated for each probe.

21

22 2.7. Clone library and data analyses

23

24 Sequence files were checked for base calling accuracy. Cloned sequences were 25 submitted as BLASTN searches against the EMBL-EBI nucleotide sequence 26 database to identify the closest matching relative. A local BLAST search was 27 conducted using BioEdit software v7.0.9 (Hall 1999), to determine the similarity of 28 sequences within and between Crozet HC and LC libraries. Sequences that showed 29 \geq 97% compositional similarity to each other were considered the same operational 30 taxonomic unit (OTU). A value of 97% similarity is commonly set as the threshold to 31 encompass the sequence microheterogeneity that may occur between closely related 32 taxa (McCaig et al. 1999). Clone libraries were compared statistically based upon the 33 total number of OTUs, the abundance of each OTU and the number of OTUs shared 34 between sites. Compositional similarity between libraries was estimated using Sørensen's coefficient according to the equation: $S = S_{12} / [0.5(S_1 + S_2)]$, where S_{12} is 35 36 the number of sequences common to both libraries, and S_1 and S_2 are the total

number of sequences in the first and second libraries respectively (Martin 2002).
 Sequences were deposited in the EMBL database under accession numbers
 FM213482 - FM215048.

4

5 Taxonomic classification of 16S rRNA gene sequences from clone libraries was 6 performed using the ribosomal database project classifier (Wang et al., 2007) and by 7 phylogenetic analysis using arb (Ludwig et al., 2004). 16S rRNA gene clone library 8 sequences were aligned in arb using the SINA aligner (Pruesse et al., 2012) and 9 aligned sequences were added using parsimony into the all species living tree project 10 release 108 phylogenetic reconstruction (Yarza et al., 2008). Species and 11 phylogenetic groups that were unrelated to the clone library sequences were 12 removed from the final tree.

13

14 Direct count and FISH data were compared between site and between sediment 15 depths using one-way analyses of variance tests. Significant differences (at P < 0.05) 16 were tested using post hoc Tukey's tests to identify which pairs of means were 17 significantly different. The Primer 5 program (Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth; 18 Clarke and Warwick 2001) was used to conduct multivariate statistical analysis to 19 investigate the sources of differences and compare FISH data at phylogenetic group 20 level between HC and LC. Non-transformed data for probes GAM42a, ALF968, 21 CF319a, and PLA46 for both sites and depths was clustered based on group 22 averages following generation of a Bray Curtis similarity matrix. Visualization of 23 maximum likelihood distance matrices was achieved by multidimensional scaling 24 (MDS) plots based on the similarity matrix. A SIMPER analysis was conducted to 25 identify the bacterial group that contributed most to observed differences. Multivariate 26 statistical analysis was conducted to examine differences in sequence composition 27 between HC and LC clone libraries and maximum likelihood distance matrices were 28 visualized by MDS ordination.

29

30 3 Results

- 31
- 32 3.1. Direct counts
- 33

The number of cells observed at the selected sites in the surface sediments (0-1 cm depth) were 3.65 (\pm 0.6) x10⁹ (HC site) and 2.98 (\pm 0.5) x10⁹ (LC site) cells g (dry weight) sediment⁻¹. There were no significant differences in cell counts between HC and LC sediments down to 20 cm depth (P = 0.14) or between depths within a site (P

1 = 0.07). There was no significant correlation between cell counts and sediment
 2 depth; however, a slight trend towards decreasing cell number with increasing depth
 3 was observed in both sediments.

4

5 3.2. Clone library analysis

6

7 In total, 1566 useable clones were generated across four clone libraries (two per 8 sample site, at 0-1 cm and 19-20 cm sediment depths). Local BLAST analysis of 9 nucleotide sequences showed that each clone library comprised between 114 and 10 315 OTUs (sequences with \geq 97% compositional similarity to each other; Table 1). 11 BLASTN analysis of all sequences against the EMBL-EBI database resulted in 1010 12 matches (at \geq 97% identity) to 380 different 16S rDNA published sequences. Clones 13 matching the following five published phylotypes only were identified in all four 14 libraries; uncultured bacterium clone 062d24 (EU734968), uncultured bacterium 15 clone A20 (AY373407), uncultured bacterium clones b78-22 (EU286986), s26-120 16 (EU287420) and s26-96 (EU287396).

17

18 The number of clones screened within HC and LC surface sediment libraries (594 19 and 636 clones respectively) was sufficient to permit an unbiased assessment of 20 richness using the non-parametric Chao index (Chao 1984). The richness curves 21 derived for both libraries approached an asymptote. OTU richness, (i.e. the number 22 of OTUs present) was 30% greater in the LC surface library compared to the HC 23 library. Diversity estimates (Table 1; (D)) were similarly high in sediments from both 24 sites. Using Good's coverage calculation (Good 1953), a maximum of 425 25 sequences was estimated as sufficient to cover approximately half of the diversity in 26 Crozet surface sediments. According to Sørensen's similarity coefficient, more than a 27 third (36%) of surface sediment-derived clones were common to both HC and LC 28 surface libraries, when approximately half of the total estimated diversity of the 29 sediments was covered in the analysis. However, a decrease in compositional 30 similarity between sites was observed with increasing sediment depth. HC and LC 31 deep sediment libraries shared greatest compositional similarity with their surface 32 counterpart (9% and 12% respectively).

33

Most of the clones (between 70 and 88%) in each library represented uncultured bacteria with less than 1% of all the clones matching cultivated bacterial sequences (at \ge 97% identity). Only 2 clones were closely related to named species; *Sphingomonas* sp. and *Ulvibacter antarcticus* strain imcc310. The largest proportion

1 of clone library sequences in surface sediments were gamma-proteobacteria (25 to 2 34 %) compared to alpha-proteobacterial sequences in deep sediments (29 to 37 %; 3 figure 2 a,b). The proportion of delta and *epsilon*-proteobacteria ranged from 10 to 12 4 % in surface sediments to 6 to 13 % in the deeper, 19-20 cm sediment depth. 5 Actinobacteria were more abundant in surface sediments (12 and 15 %) whereas 6 planctomycetes were more abundant in deeper sediments (12 and 13 %). 7 Sequences related to Bacteriodetes were over twice as abundant in surface 8 sediments (7 to 9 %) compared to the deeper sediment (3 to 4 %). 9 Gemmatidonomadetes were found in low abundance (1 %) in the HC surface and 10 deep sediments but were absent from the LC sediments.

11

Of the surface sediment clones that gave EMBL-EBI database matches at (≥ 97% identity (822), more than 60% were originally isolated from deep-sea environments. The distribution of clones according to original isolation source was similar for HC and LC surface sediment libraries (Figure 3). Almost one third of the clones in each surface sediment library were Arctic and Antarctic marine isolates. In the deep sediment libraries, clones matching Arctic and Pacific nodule province phylotypes were dominant.

19

20 3.3. DGGE analysis

21

22 The degree of similarity between HC and LC sediment bacterial communities was 23 assessed by comparison of surface sediment DGGE profiles. Overall, replicate 24 banding patterns (from three cores per site) were highly consistent between HC and 25 LC sites (Figure 4 [A]). The consistency in banding patterns was observed across 26 eight independent replicate gels, which suggests that DGGE was highly reproducible. 27 Between 20 and 25 banding positions were observed in the surface sediment DGGE 28 profiles. Comparison of the densitometric curves for each profile showed similar 29 distributions of peaks and relative peak densities between sites (Figure 4 [B]). The 30 most prominent bands (labelled A-J; Figure 4 [A]) were excised for cloning and 31 sequencing from equivalent positions in the HC and LC profiles. Analysis of the 32 cloned bands showed that the same microorganisms were dominant in the sediments 33 of both sites. Seven of the ten bands sequenced comprised more than one OTU. An 34 OTU was defined as any sequence that shared \leq 97% sequence identity with another 35 sequence in the HC and LC clone libraries. Table 2 shows the EMBL-EBI BLASTN 36 matches for the most dominant OTU identified in each band. The dominant OTU

represented in DGGE bands A, B, C and I (Table 2) were also present in all four
 clone libraries.

3

Similarity analysis (Pearson Correlation, UPGMA clustering) showed that HC and LC surface sediment DGGE banding patterns were 82% similar and thus both communities shared most of the same dominant members. The mean intrasite similarity value (n= 3) for the LC site was lower than the mean intersite value (82%). This indicated a clear overlap in composition of the sediment bacterial communities between HC and LC sites.

10

11 3.4. FISH analysis

12

13 The six phylogenetic groups detected by FISH were the Eubacteria, gamma-14 Proteobacteria, alpha-Proteobacteria, CFB cluster, the Planctomycetales and the 15 Archaea. No significant differences in the relative abundance (evenness) of these 16 groups was found with site at either sediment depth, although evenness was found to 17 differ significantly with increasing sediment depth (Table 3). A SIMPER analysis of 18 the data showed that the CFB cluster was the principle contributor (47%) to the 19 observed dissimilarity in evenness between communities with sediment depth, 20 followed by the Planctomycetes (24%). The consistency in phylogenetic group 21 evenness observed between sites is shown by the close clustering (based on Bray 22 Curtis maximum likelihood distances) of HC and LC surface and deep replicate 23 samples (Figure 5). Surface and deep sediment replicates (n=3 per site) shared on 24 average 96% and 92% similarity in phylogenetic group evenness respectively, 25 regardless of site.

26

27 The contribution of each phylogenetic group to the total number of DAPI-stained cells 28 was lower in the deep compared to surface sediments, resulting in a high percentage 29 of undetected prokaryotes (~40%) at both deeper within sediment sites (Figure 6). 30 The gamma- and alpha-Proteobacteria were the only groups to show relative 31 consistency in their contribution to the DAPI-stained cell count between sites and 32 depths, comprising up to 27 and 21% respectively, depending on the sample. For HC and LC sites, eubacterial abundances were 2.9 (±0.08) x10⁹ and 2.7 (±0.2) x10⁹ cells 33 g (dry wt) sediment⁻¹ in surface sediments respectively and 1.7 (± 0.09) x10⁹ and 1.8 34 $(\pm 0.3) \times 10^9$ cells g (dry wt) sediment⁻¹ in the deep sediments. A significant decrease 35 36 in eubacterial abundance was observed with vertical depth (P < 0.001) within each 37 site but not between sites. On average 68 ± 9% (± SD) of DAPI-stained cells in both

1 HC and LC surface sediment samples were detected using the universal probe for 2 Eubacteria; EUB338. In contrast, eubacterial cells accounted for only 48 ± 6% of the 3 DAPI-stained count in the deep sediment samples. Between 96 and 117% of the 4 eubacterial cell count was identified with probes for the major phylogenetic groups. 5 The detection of up to 17% more cells using phylogenetic group probes in some 6 cases, indicated that cells might have bound to more than one group-specific probe 7 or alternatively, the eubacterial probe was unable to detect certain groups (Llobet-8 Brossa et al. 2002).

9

10 In concurrence with the clone library data, members of the gamma-Proteobacteria 11 were predominant in the Crozet sediments. Abundance of gamma-Proteobacteria 12 ranged between 0.94–1.13 and 0.98-1.1 x 10⁹ cells g (dry wt) sediment¹ for HC and 13 LC sites respectively. Numbers of alpha-Proteobacteria were never greater than 75% 14 of the gamma-Proteobacteria followed by the CFB cluster and the Planctomycetes. In 15 the deeper sediments, Planctomycete abundance was higher than the CFB cluster 16 (by approximately 20%) at both sites. On average, hybridizations to all group-specific 17 probes were greater than hybridizations to the negative control probe NON338. The 18 highest numbers of Archaea were observed in the surface sediment communities at 19 a maximum of 4.6 $\times 10^8$ cells g (dry wt) sediment⁻¹.

20

21 **4. Discussion**

22

This study compared the bacterial communities of deep-sea sediments from two 23 24 contrasting regions of high and low productivity in the Southern Ocean. Results 25 showed that there was a high similarity between surface sediment bacterial 26 communities from regions with very different overlying water productivities, 27 suggesting that 1) the extent of remineralisation by mega and meio-fauna was a 28 factor affecting the quantity and quality of POM available to sediment bacteria, 2) 29 sampling occurred during the early 'nutrient assimilation phase' of the bacterial 30 response to freshly deposited POM or 3) activity of bacteria in the water column 31 could affect the quantity and quality of POM available to sediment bacteria. Although 32 factors other than these may explain the observed similarities, this is the first 33 comparison of deep-sea sediment communities in relation to surface-derived 34 productivity in the Southern Ocean and may be useful in further elucidating the role 35 of sediment bacteria in carbon remineralisation in the deep-sea environment.

Bacterial cell counts observed in this study were similar to those described for sediments in the Crozet region previously (De Wit *et al.* 1997) and consistent with values for marine sediments from other sub-polar and polar locations (Sahm and Berninger 1998; Ravenschlag *et al.* 2001; Bowman *et al.* 2003; Quéric and Soltwedel 2007).

6

7 Direct count analysis of the Crozet sediments indicated no difference in bacterial 8 standing stocks between HC and LC sites to a sediment depth of 20 cm, despite the 9 three-fold higher concentration of chlorophyll-a and the presence of overlying organic 10 matter at the HC site. In addition, all three community analysis techniques (clone 11 libraries, DGGE, FISH) provided corroborative evidence to demonstrate that despite 12 the differences in POM input, HC and LC surface sediment bacterial communities shared strong similarities in composition (36%), structure (82%) and evenness 13 14 (96%). The consistency in bacterial standing stocks observed in a comparison of 15 oligotrophic and eutrophic sediments from the Porcupine Abyssal Plain (Eardly et al. 16 2001) were attributed to interannual variation in the quality and quantity of deposited 17 organic matter, the rapid remineralization of recently deposited organic matter by 18 benthic bacterial communities and the time of sampling. It is feasible that the across-19 site consistency in standing stocks and community composition observed in Crozet 20 sediments, whilst probably linked to similar TOC and CN concentrations within both 21 sediments (Wolff G.A. et al. unpublished data), might also be partially attributed to 22 the quality of the organic matter rather than the quantity. Although HC sediments had 23 three-fold higher chlorophyll-a content, analysis of organic matter freshness within 24 the sediments (chlorophyll-a to pheaphorbide ratio) showed little difference in quality 25 between HC and LC sites (Smith C.R. et al. unpublished data; Wolff G.A. et al. 26 unpublished data).

27

28 In this study, the potential for higher abundances of mega-fauna at the HC site (Billett 29 D.S.M. et al. unpublished data), previously reported generally elevated formaniferal 30 populations (Hughes et al. 2007) and also the observation that macrofauna are 31 responsible for most of the initial processing of labile organic matter (Witte et al. 32 2003) should not be discounted as a regulators of bacterial standing stock or 33 community composition at the HC site. Evidence for major differences in the structure 34 of water column bacterial communities between the HC and LC sites suggested rapid 35 remineralization of POM by water column bacteria in this region. The rapid micro, 36 meio and macrofaunal metabolism of fresh POM in food-limited environments has 37 the potential to delay or reduce the incorporation of POM into the sediment, thus

limiting its availability to sediment-dwelling microorganisms (Bett *et al* 2001). Recent evidence suggests that in regions of high surface water productivity most of the total primary production is consumed within the water column, where it supports elevated biomasses of organisms compared to oligotrophic regions (Steinberg *et al.* 2008). This suggests that for the most part, bacterial communities within the sediments would potentially remain relatively unaffected by the presence of overlying matter whilst abundance of water-column and seabed-dwelling organisms were elevated.

8

9 At the time of sampling organic matter present at the HC site was relatively recent, 10 only days or weeks old (Salter 2007). In response to fresh organic matter, the growth 11 of the standing stock at the HC site may have been limited as a result of rapid 12 bacterial assimilation of nutrients and the potential for energy expenditure to be 13 driven towards metabolic processes rather than replication. In a usually food-limited 14 environment, bacteria in immediate contact with organic matter within upper 15 sediment layers often show low growth efficiency and a retarded replication response 16 to POM input (Turley 2000, Witte et al. 2003). Evidence for two-fold higher respiration 17 rates at the HC compared to the LC site (Homoky et al. 2009) indicated a bacterial 18 response in activity to POM input in HC sediments. This suggested a higher turnover 19 of microbial cells at the HC site, which was not correlated to cell numbers or diversity. 20 In Crozet surface waters, Zubkov et al. (2007) detected 10-fold and 1.5-fold 21 differences in microbial turnover of POM and biomass respectively between HC and 22 LC sites, yet, bacterial standing stocks were directly comparable. While responses in 23 bacterial activity and biomass to POM input have been reported (Boetius and Lochte 24 1996; Ducklow et al. 2001; Obernosterer et al. 2008; West et al. 2008) these 25 responses are not always correlated to the abundance or standing stock of bacteria 26 (Rex et al. 2006).

27

As shown by clone library and DGGE analyses, the high bacterial diversities in HC and LC sediments were comparable to each other and to the bacterial diversities of other marine sediments (Li *et al.* 1999a; Li *et al.* 1999b; Lopez-Garcia *et al.* 2003). More than 70% of the sequences obtained gave BLASTN matches to uncultured bacteria and < 30% of these were affiliated to a phylogenetic group or species. This illustrated the vast extent of the bacterial biodiversity present within the Crozet sediments still to be characterized.

35

The lower species richness observed in HC compared to LC sediments (740 versus
899 OTUs), could be attributed to the higher input of organic matter to the HC site or

1 regional variability in sediment biogeochemistry and chlorophyll-a content 2 (Polymenakou et al. 2005). It is important to note that Chao-1 richness estimates are 3 sensitive to sampling effort (Bissett et al. 2006) and a greater sampling effort at the 4 LC site may also account for some of the additional richness at this site. The 5 negative correlation between organic matter input and richness observed in the 6 present study supports the postulation of Levin et al. (2001) that species richness is 7 lower in nutrient-rich areas as the enhanced resources support larger populations 8 where the dominance of a few species occurs, often leading to a decrease in habitat 9 heterogeneity. However, the productivity-diversity relationships exhibited by 10 metazoans might not apply to bacteria. Indeed, one of the most likely explanations for 11 the decrease in diversity in highly productive areas is that the high organic loading 12 leads to hypoxia, which is certainly not the case around the Crozet islands. Further 13 contrasting evidence from a study of shallow North Sea sediments found higher 14 bacterial species richness at the site with greater food availability (Franco et al. 15 2007). However, the processes that define species richness in sediments are largely 16 unknown and it has been suggested that deep-sea processes are likely to differ from 17 those occurring in shallow waters (Moodley et al. 2005).

18

19 Bacterial OTUs common to HC and LC sediments were identified within clone 20 libraries and by DGGE. It is likely that these dominant, widespread bacteria 21 represented key species of the Crozet region while the rarer OTUs might correspond 22 to species with a greater sensitivity to variations in organic matter input and thus 23 thrive in a more specialised niche. The dominance of gamma-Proteobacteria in deep-24 sea sediments followed by the alpha-Proteobacteria has been reported elsewhere 25 (Nercessian et al. 2005, Li et al. 1999a, Li et al. 2009b). These bacterial groups 26 comprise the keystone microorganisms of deep, marine sediments. A number of 27 Gamma-proteobacterial sequences identified in surface sediments were related to 28 bacterial clones JTB255 and AT-s2-59, members of the recently acknowledged GMS 29 clade (Gillan and Pernet 2007). This clade has been linked to sulphur oxidation and 30 cycling in marine sediments. The presence of members of the CFB cluster in Crozet 31 surface sediments provided evidence for other diagenetic and remineralization 32 processes owing to the recognized involvement of this group in the degradation of 33 deposited organic matter and complex macromolecules (Cottrell and Kirchman 2000, 34 Fandino et al. 2005). Although shifts in abundance and diversity of the CFB cluster 35 with organic input have been described (Rosselló-Mora et al. 1999; Rink et al. 2007; 36 Bissett et al. 2008), their abundance in surface sediments was consistent between 37 HC and LC sites. Unusually, Planctomycete-related sequences were only identified in

1 surface sediments in this study, although elsewhere Planctomycetes have also been 2 isolated from the deep layers of shelf (Hunter et al. 2006), deep-sea (Li et al. 1999a; 3 Reed et al. 2002) and subseafloor sediments (Inagaki et al. 2006; Webster et al. 4 2006). Planctomycete abundance levels observed in this study (comprising 3-9% of 5 the library), were similar to those in Wadden Sea sediments where rates of aerobic 6 remineralization of overlying algal biomass were high (Musat et al. 2006). The 7 presence of one planctomycete clone AGG27 identified in HC surface sediments was 8 potentially an indicator of fresh POM at this site as it was previously isolated from 9 phytodetrital marine aggregates off the Californian coast (Delong et al. 1993). 10 Although the precise ecological role of the Planctomycetes remains undefined, recent 11 studies of marine Planctomycete genomes have revealed a wealth of sulphatase and 12 C1 metabolism genes indicating a specialist role for these bacteria in the initial 13 breakdown of sulphatated heteropolysaccharides (Woebken et al. 2007). Abundance 14 levels of beta-Proteobacteria-, Acidobacteria-, Gemmatimonadetes- (previously 15 candidate division KS-B) and Chloroflexibacter-related sequences were consistent 16 with those described for Antarctic, Eastern Mediterranean and Baltic sediments 17 (Bowman et al. 2003; Polymenakou et al. 2005; Edlund et al. 2008). Beta-18 Proteobacteria tend to predominate in freshwater ecosystems and show lower 19 incidence in sediments (Glöckner et al. 1999). Sequences related to this group were 20 present in the Crozet HC and LC surface sediment libraries but absent from both 21 deep sediment communities. All beta-proteobacterial sequences in surface 22 sediments corresponded to the same phylotype, an uncultured Nitrosospira bis81-23 042 isolated from cold seep sediment in the Japan Sea (Arakawa et al. 2006). This 24 organism is most likely an ammonium-oxidizer like other members of the Nitrosospira 25 group. A lower redox potential in the deep Crozet sediments compared to the surface 26 might explain the increased presence of acidobacterial sequences in deeper 27 sediments (Edlund et al. 2008).

28

29 Differences in community composition between the HC and LC sites were more 30 pronounced in the deeper sediments (20.9% similar) than in surface sediments 31 (36.0% similar). Although the lower sampling effort devoted to deeper sediment 32 layers might partly account for the lower level of similarity between deep sediment 33 communities, the greater biogeochemical heterogeneity observed with increasing 34 sediment depth (Marsh et al. 2007) might also contribute to the dissimilarities. The 35 presence of carbonate-rich turbidite inputs in deep HC sediments compared to 36 basalt-based turbidite inputs in the LC deep sediments were deposited as a result of 37 significantly different circulatory regimes (Marsh et al. 2007). In addition, the absence

of the CFB cluster from the LC deep site, implied a less effective diffusion of nutrients
from overlying phytodetritus at the LC site, perhaps because of higher variability in
the annual supply of nutrients from the sediment surface (West *et al.* 2008).

4

5 HC and LC deep sediment communities at both sites shared few similarities with their 6 surface sediment counterparts (8.8% and 12.3% respectively). Intra-site community 7 differences between sediment depths were greater than community differences 8 between sites. Evidence for an intra-site trend in decreasing bacterial abundance 9 with increasing sediment depth in addition to significant shifts in community 10 composition, richness and evenness was attributed to a decrease in the guality and 11 availability of organic carbon with depth (Danovaro et al. 2000; Parkes et al. 2000). 12 The biogeochemical depth-related gradient that occurs in sediments, results in 13 localized habitats for metabolically diverse bacteria (Koizumi et al. 2003). Depth-14 related changes in Crozet sediment biogeochemistry were reflected by lower 15 richness and diversity of deep sediment communities compared to the surface. In 16 addition, an increase in number of Gemmatimonadetes, delta-Proteobacteria, and 17 Acidobacteria was observed in the deep sediment communities compared to the 18 surface sediments and members of both the Planctomycetes and the CFB cluster 19 were absent from the LC deep site. It was likely that many of the organisms present 20 in the deeper communities favoured hypoxic or reduced conditions (Mussmann et al. 21 2005; Heijs et al. 2008). Of the major phylogenetic groups examined, the CFB 22 cluster was primarily responsible for the large structural shift in evenness of the major 23 groups observed with increasing sediment depth. A similar trend was described for 24 Antarctic shelf sediments down to 21 cm sediment depth (Bowman et al. 2003). The 25 depth-related decrease in abundance of this group might have resulted from a 26 decline in the availability of labile organic matter. However, as reported elsewhere 27 (Urakawa et al. 2000), the majority of depth-related changes in the Crozet sediment 28 communities occurred as a result of changes in the relative abundance of the minor 29 bacterial groups (beta-Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes and 30 Chloroflexibacter), rather than the overall assemblage composition. In Antarctic 31 sediments, an abundance of Acidobacteria in deeper sediment layers suggested 32 sulphidogenic activity (Bowman et al. 2003).

33

Productivity and substrate availability are documented as strong determinants of
bacterial community composition in marine systems (Van Hannen *et al.* 1999;
Polymenakou *et al.* 2005; West *et al.* 2008). Indeed, Bienhold *et al.* (2012) analyzed
the link between phytodetritus input, diversity and activity of bacterial communities of

the Siberian continental margin (37–3427m water depth), they found that dominant bacterial taxa showed strong positive or negative relationships with phytodetritus input. However, in this study surface sediment bacterial communities from high chlorophyll and low chlorophyll sites were not significantly different to infer a direct correlation of bacterial abundance, community structure and composition with productivity. Rather, the high similarity between surface sediment bacterial communities from different productivity regimes reflected the stability of the deep-sea environment. Our results suggest that the extent to which POM regulates the abundance, structure and composition of deep-sea sediment bacterial communities may depend upon the quality of POM, in addition to the availability, which may be reduced by the enhanced presence of sea-bed macro and meio-fauna and the potentially slower response by bacteria to fresh POM during the early stages of POM deposition. This study is the first comparison of deep-sea sediment bacterial communities in relation to differences in overlying surface water productivity. However, further work is required in order to better understand the role of deep-sea bacterial communities in organic matter recycling and the processes that regulate their structure and composition.

1 Acknowledgements

- 2 3 NERC Consortium Grant BCROZET, the Master and Crew of the RRS Discovery and 4 the BAS Core Ecosystems and LTMS Programmes. 5 6 Amann, R. I. et al., 1990. Fluorescent-oligonucleotide probing of whole cells for 7 determinative, phylogenetic, and environmental-studies in microbiology. J. Bacteriol. 8 172, 762-770. 9 10 Arakawa, S. et al., 2006. Molecular phylogenetic and chemical analyses of the 11 microbial mats in deep-sea cold seep sediments at the northeastern Japan Sea. 12 Extremophiles. 10, 311-319. 13 14 Bett, B. J. et al., 2001. Temporal variability in phytodetritus and megabenthic activity 15 at the seabed in the deep Northeast Atlantic. Prog. Oceanogr. 50, 349-368. 16 17 Bienhold, C. et al., 2012. The energy-diversity relationship of complex bacterial 18 communities in Arctic deep-sea sediments. ISME J. 6, 724-732. 19 20 Bissett, A. et al., 2006. Bacterial diversity in organically-enriched fish farm sediments. 21 FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 55, 48-56. 22 23 Bissett, A. et al., 2008. Flavobacterial response to organic pollution. Aquat. Microb. 24 Ecol. 51, 31-43. 25 26 Boetius, A., Lochte, K. 1996. Effect of organic enrichments on hydrolytic potentials 27 and growth of bacteria in deep-sea sediments. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 140, 239-250. 28 29 Bowman JP, McCuaig RD. 2003. Biodiversity, community structural shifts, and 30 biogeography of prokaryotes within Antarctic continental shelf sediment. Appl. 31 Environ. Microbiol. 69, 2463–2483. 32 33 Bowman, J. P. Et al., 2003. Prokaryotic metabolic activity and community structure in 34 Antarctic continental shelf sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 2448-2462. 35 36 Chao, A. 1984. Non-parametric estimation of the number of classes in a population. 37 Scan. J. Stat. 11, 265-270. 38 39 Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 2001. Change in marine communities: An approach to 40 statistical analysis and Interpretation, 2nd edn. PRIMER-E, Plymouth. 41 42 Kirchman, D,L. 2000. Community composition of marine Cottrell, M.T., 43 bacterioplankton determined by 16S rRNA gene clone libraries and fluorescence in 44 situ hybridization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66, 5116–5122. 45 46 Danovaro, R. et al., 2000. Bacterial response to seasonal changes in labile organic 47 matter composition on the continental shelf and bathyal sediments of the Cretan Sea. 48 Progr. Oceanogr. 46, 345-366. 49 50 Delong, E.F. et al., 1993. Phylogenetic diversity of aggregate-attached vs free-living 51 marine bacterial assemblages. Limnol. Oceanogr. 38: 924-934.
- 52

1 De Wit, R. et al., 1997. Microbial respiration and diffusive oxygen uptake of deep-sea 2 sediments in the Southern Ocean (ANTARES-I cruise). Deep Sea Res., Part II, 44, 3 1053-1068. 4 5 Dixon, J. L., Turley, C.M. 2000. The effect of water depth on bacterial numbers, 6 thymidine incorporation rates and C : N ratios in northeast Atlantic surficial 7 sediments. Hydrobiologia. 440, 217-225. 8 9 Ducklow, H. et al., 2001. The seasonal development of the bacterioplankton bloom in 10 the Ross Sea, Antarctica 1994-1997. Deep Sea Res., Part II. 48, 4199-4221. 11 12 Eardly, D. F. et al., 2001. Bacterial abundance and activity in deep-sea sediments 13 from the eastern North Atlantic. Progr. Oceanogr. 50, 245-259. 14 15 Edlund, A. et al., 2008. Active bacterial community structure along vertical redox 16 gradients in Baltic Sea sediment. Environ. Microbiol. 10, 2051–2063. 17 18 Fandino, L. B. et al., 2005. Population dynamics of Cytophaga-Flavobacteria during 19 marine phytoplankton blooms analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR. Aquat. Microb. 20 Ecol. 40, 251-257. 21 22 Franco, M. A. et al. (2007) Effect of phytoplankton bloom deposition on benthic 23 bacterial communities in two contrasting sediments in the southern North Sea. Aquat. 24 Microb. Ecol. 48, 241-254. 25 26 Gage, J. D., Bett, B.J. 2005. Deep-sea benthic sampling. In: Methods for the Study of 27 the Marine Benthos 3rd Edition. N. A. Holmes, A.D. McIntyre (Eds.). Oxford, 28 Blackwell Scientific Publications: pp. 273-325 29 30 Gillan, D.C., Pernet, P. 2007. Adherent bacteria in heavy metal contaminated marine 31 sediments. Biofouling. 23:1–13. 32 33 Glöckner, F. O. et al., 1999. Bacterioplankton compositions of lakes and oceans: a 34 first comparison based on fluorescence in situ hybridization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 35 65, 3721-3726. 36 37 Good, I. L. 1953. The population frequencies of species and the estimation of 38 population parameters. Biometrika. 80, 193-201. 39 40 Gooday, A. J. 2002. Biological responses to seasonally varying fluxes of organic 41 matter to the ocean floor: A review. J Oceanogr. 58, 305-332. 42 43 Hall, T. 1999. A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor for Windows 44 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 41: 95–98. 45 46 Heijs, S. K. et al., 2008. Comparison of deep-sea sediment microbial communities in 47 the Eastern Mediterranean. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 64, 362-377. 48 49 Helms, C. 1990, DGGE from 50 http://www.hg.wustl.edu/hdk lab manual/dgge/dgge1.html 51 52 Homoky, W.B. et al., 2009. Pore-fluid Fe isotopes reflect the extent of benthic Fe 53 redox recycling: Evidence from continental shelf and deep-sea sediments. Geol. 37, 54 751-754.

1 Horner-Devine, M. C. et al., 2003. Bacterial diversity patterns along a gradient of 2 primary productivity. Ecol. Lett. 6, 613-622. 3 4 Houghton, R.A. 2007. Balancing the global carbon budget. Ann. Rev. Earth Plan. Sci. 5 35, 313-347. 6 7 Hunter, E.M. et al., 2006. Microbial community diversity associated with carbon and 8 nitrogen cycling in permeable shelf sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72, 5689-9 5701. 10 11 Hughes, J. A. et al., 2007. Two abyssal sites in the Southern Ocean influenced by different organic matter inputs: Environmental characterization and preliminary 12 13 observations on the benthic foraminifera. Deep Sea Res., Part II, 54, 2275-2290. 14 15 Inagaki, F. et al., 2006. Biogeographical distribution and diversity of microbes in 16 methane hydrate-bearing deep marine sediments on the Pacific Ocean Margin. Proc. 17 Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 2815-2820. 18 19 Jørgensen, B. B., Boetius, A. 2007. Feast and famine - microbial life in the deep-sea 20 bed. Nature Rev. Microbiol. 5, 770-781. 21 22 Koizumi, Y. et al., 2003. Characterization of depth-related microbial community 23 structure in lake sediment by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of amplified 16S 24 rDNA and reversely transcribed 16S rRNA fragments. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 46, 25 147-157. 26 Levin, L. A. et al., 2001. Environmental influences on regional deep-sea species 27 28 diversity. Ann. Rev. Ecol. System. 32, 51-93. 29 30 Li, L. N., et al., 1999a Microbial diversity in sediments collected from the deepest 31 cold-seep area, the Japan Trench. Mar. Biotech. 1, 391-400. 32 33 Li, L. N., et al. 1999b Bacterial diversity in deep-sea sediments from different depths. 34 Biodiv. Conserv. 8, 659-677. 35 36 Llobet-Brossa, E. et al., 2002. Community structure and activity of sulfate-reducing 37 bacteria in an intertidal surface sediment: a multi-method approach. Aquat. Microb. 38 Ecol. 29, 211-226. 39 40 Lochte, K. 1992. Bacterial standing stock and consumption of organic-carbon in the 41 benthic boundary-layer of the abyssal North-Atlantic. In: Deep-sea food chains and 42 the global carbon cycle, G. T. Rowe and V. Pariente, editors, NATO ASI Series C, 43 Vol. 360, pp. 1-10, Kluwer, Dordrecht. 44 45 Lopez-Garcia, P. et al., 2003. Bacterial diversity in hydrothermal sediment and 46 epsilon proteobacterial dominance in experimental microcolonizers at the Mid-47 Atlantic Ridge. Environ. Microbiol. 5, 961-976. 48 49 Ludwig, W. et al., 2004. ARB: a software environment for sequence data. Nucleic 50 Acids Res. 32, 1363-1371. 51 52 Manz, W. et al., 1996. Application of a suite of 16S rRNA-specific oligonucleotide 53 probes designed to investigate bacteria of the phylum Cytophaga-Flavobacter-54 Bacteroides in the natural environment. Microbiol-UK 142,1097-1106.

1 Marsh, R. et al., 2007. Controls on the sediment geochemistry in the Crozet region, 2 Deep Sea Res., Part II. 54, 2260-2274, 3 4 Martin, A. P. 2002. Phylogenetic approaches for describing and comparing the 5 diversity of microbial communities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 3673-3682. 6 7 McCaig, A. E. et al., 1999. Molecular analysis of bacterial community structure and 8 diversity in unimproved and improved upland grass pastures. Appl. Environ. 9 Microbiol. 65, 1721-1730. 10 11 Moodley, L. et al., 2005. Similar rapid response to phytodetritus deposition in shallow 12 and deep-sea sediments. J. Mar. Res. 63, 457-469. 13 14 Musat, N. et al., 2006. Microbial community structure of sandy intertidal sediments in 15 the North Sea, Sylt-Rømø Basin, Wadden Sea. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 29, 333–348. 16 17 Mussmann, M. et al., 2005. Diversity and vertical distribution of cultured and 18 uncultured Delta-Proteobacteria in an intertidal mud flat of the Wadden Sea. Environ. 19 Microbiol. 7, 405-418. 20 21 Muyzer, G. Et al., 1993. Profiling of complex microbial-populations by denaturing 22 gradient gel-electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes-23 coding for 16s ribosomal-RNA. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59, 695-700. 24 25 Muyzer, G., Smalla, K. 1998. Application of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis 26 (DGGE) and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE) in microbial ecology. 27 Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek Int. J. Gen. Mol. Microbiol. 73, 127-141. 28 29 Nercessian, O. et al., 2005. Diversity of functional genes of methanogens, 30 methanotrophs and sulfate reducers in deep-sea hydrothermal environments. 31 Environ. Microbiol. 7, 118–132. 32 33 Neef, A. et al., 1998. Monitoring a widespread bacterial group: in situ detection of 34 planctomycetes with 16S rRNA-targeted probes. Microbiol-UK 144, 3257-3266. 35 36 Obernosterer, I. et al., 2008. Rapid bacterial mineralization of organic carbon 37 produced during a phytoplankton bloom induced by natural iron fertilization in the 38 Southern Ocean. Deep Sea Res., Part II. 55, 777-789. 39 40 Parkes, R. J. et al., 2000. Recent studies on bacterial populations and processes in 41 subseafloor sediments: A review. Hydrogeol. J. 8, 11-28. 42 43 Planquette, H. et al., 2007. Dissolved iron in the vicinity of the Crozet Islands, 44 Southern Ocean. Deep Sea Res., Part II. 54, 1999-2019. 45 46 Polymenakou, P.N. et al., 2005. Links between geographic location, environmental 47 factors, and microbial community composition in sediments of the Eastern 48 Mediterranean Sea 49 Microb. Ecol. 49, 367-378. 50 51 Polymenakou, P. N. et al., 2005. Bacterial community composition in different 52 sediments from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea: a comparison of four 16S ribosomal 53 DNA clone libraries. Microb. Ecol. 50, 447-462.

1 Pollard, R.T. et al. 2009. Southern Ocean deep-water carbon export enhanced by 2 natural iron fertilization. 3 Nature. 457, 577-U81. 4 5 Pruesse, E. et al., 2012. SINA: accurate high-throughput multiple sequence 6 alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. Bioinformatics. 28, 1823-1829. 7 8 Quéric, N. V., Soltwedel, T. 2007. Impact of small-scale biogenic sediment structures 9 on bacterial distribution and activity in Arctic deep-sea sediments. Mar. Ecol. an 10 Evolutionary Perspective. 28, 66-74. 11 12 Ravenschlag, K. et al., 2001. Quantitative molecular analysis of the microbial 13 community in marine Arctic sediments (Svalbard). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 387-14 395. 15 16 Reed, DW. et al. 2002. Microbial communities from methane hydrate-bearing deep 17 marine sediments in a forearc basin. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 3759-3770. 18 19 Rex, M. A. et al., 2006. Global bathymetric patterns of standing stock and body size 20 in the deep-sea benthos. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 317, 1-8. 21 22 Rink, B. et al., 2007. Effects of phytoplankton bloom in a coastal ecosystem on the 23 composition of bacterial communities. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 48, 47-60. 24 25 Rosselló-Mora, R. et al. 1999. The response of the microbial community of marine 26 sediments to organic carbon input under anaerobic conditions. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 27 22, 237-248. 28 29 Ruhl, R.A. et al., 2008. Connections between climate, food limitation, and carbon 30 cycling in abyssal sediment communities. PNAS 105, 17006-17011. 31 32 Salter, I. 2007. Particle fluxes in the North-East Atlantic and the Southern Ocean. 33 PhD. Thesis. School of Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton. 34 35 Salter, I. et al., 2007. Estimating carbon, silica and diatom export from a naturally fertilised phytoplankton bloom in the Southern Ocean using PELAGRA: A novel 36 37 drifting sediment trap. Deep Sea Res., Part II. 54, 2233-2259. 38 39 Sahm, K., Berninger, U.G. 1998. Abundance, vertical distribution, and community structure of benthic prokaryotes from permanently cold marine sediments (Svalbard, 40 41 Arctic Ocean). Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 165, 71-80. 42 43 Schallenberg, M. et al. 1989. Solutions to problems in enumerating sediment bacteria 44 by direct counts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55, 1214–1219. 45 46 Schäfer, H. et al., 2001. Microbial community dynamics in Mediterranean nutrient-47 enriched seawater mesocosms: changes in the genetic diversity of bacterial 48 populations. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 34, 243-253. 49 50 Stahl, D. A., Amann, R. 1991. Development and application of nucleic acid probes. 51 In: Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. E. Stackebrandt, M. Goodfellow 52 (Eds.). Chichester, John Wiley & Sons Ltd. : pp. 205-248 53

Steinberg, D. K. et al. 2008. A comparison of mesopelagic mesozooplankton community structure in the subtropical and subarctic North Pacific Ocean. Deep Sea Res., Part II, 55, 1615-1635. Turley, C. M. 2000. Bacteria in the cold deep-sea benthic boundary layer and sediment-water interface of the NE Atlantic. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 33, 89-99. Urakawa, H. et al., 2008. Characterization of depth-related population variation in microbial communities of a coastal marine sediment using 16S rDNA-based approaches and quinone profiling. Environ. Microbiol. 5, 542-554. Van Hannen, E. J. et al., 1999. Changes in bacterial and eukaryotic community structure after mass lysis of filamentous cyanobacteria associated with viruses. Appl Environ. Microbiol. 65, 795-801. Venables, H. J. et al., 2007. Physical conditions controlling the development of a regular phytoplankton bloom north of the Crozet Plateau, Southern Ocean. Deep Sea Res., Part II. 54, 1949-1965. Wang, Q, G. M. et al., 2007. Naïve bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 5261-7. Webster G, et al., 2006. Prokaryotic community composition and biogeochemical processes in deep subseafloor sediments from the Peru Margin. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 58, 65–85. West, N. J. et al., 2008. Major differences of bacterial diversity and activity inside and outside of a natural iron-fertilized phytoplankton bloom in the Southern Ocean. Environ. Microbiol. 10, 738-756. Witte, U., et al., 2003. In situ experimental evidence of the fate of a phytodetritus pulse at the abyssal sea floor. Nature, 424, 763-766. Woebken D. et al., 2007. Potential interactions of particle-associated anammox bacteria with bacterial and archaeal partners in the Namibian upwelling system. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 4648–4657. Yarza, P. et al., 2008. The all-species living tree project: A 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree of all sequenced type strains. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 31, 241-250. Zubkov, M. V. et al., 2007. Microbial abundance, activity and iron uptake in vicinity of the Crozet Isles in November 2004-January 2005. Deep Sea Res., Part II. 54, 2126-2137.



Figure 1. Study sites around the Crozet Archipelago. hc – high chlorophyll M5 site east of the islands in the region of the phytoplankton bloom. Lc – low chlorophyll M6 site south of the islands. Accepted

1 Highlights

- 2 3 Bacterial sediment communities from high and low chlorophyll sites were • 4 compared.
- 5 Bacterial population densities were consistent. ٠
- 6 96% similarity was found across major phylogenetic groups. ٠
- 7 DGGE community profiles were consistent. •
- 8 One third of all clones identified were common both HC and LC sites. •
- 9 10

ne teonnanus teonnanus teonnanus

Clone library	HC surface [0-1 cm]	LC surface [0-1 cm]	HC deep [19-20 cm]	LC deep [19-20 cm]	
Number of clones generated	594	636	167	169	
Number of OTUs ^a	295	315	114	125	
EMBL-BLASTN matches ≥ 97% identity	397	425	93	95	
Chao1 species richness estimate	740	899	360	425	
Simpson diversity index (D) ^b	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	
Coverage of diversity (%) ^c	50.3	50.4	31.7	26.0	
Compositional similarity (%) ^d	36	0.	20	6.	
			idt mont coord		

^a No. of unique sequences showing ≤ 97% identity with recovered sequences from this study ^b 0 = homogenous; 1 = diverse

^d Sørensen's coefficient (Martin 2002) ^c Method of Good (1953)

Table 1. Comparison of total screened clones, number of OTUs, estimated total sequence richness, diversity and coverage for 16S rDNA clone libraries for sediment bacteria at two sediment depths from high surface chlorophyll (HC) and low surface chlorophyll (LC) regions near the Crozet Islands, Southern Ocean.

Sequence Identity (%)	66	66	66	98	100	66	66	97	66	96	
Isolation source	Deep-sea sediment	Deep-sea sediment	Japan Trench sediment	Harbour sediment	Arctic sediment	Arctic sediment	Sea-floor lava	Sea-floor basalts	Deep-sea sediment	Cold-seep sediment	
Closest EMBL-EBI BLASTN matches [Accession #]	Uncultured Cytophaga sp. clone BD7-10 [AB015585.1]	Uncultured Cytophaga sp. clone BD7-10 [AB015585.1]	Uncultured gamma-Proteobacterium clone JTB148	Uncultured gamma-Proteobacterium clone VHS-B1-32	Uncultured bacterium clone B78-47 [EU287011.1]	Uncultured bacterium clone P13-57 [EU287150.1]	Uncultured bacterium clone EPR3965-I2-Bc30	Uncultured Cytophaga sp. clone JdFBGBact_79	Uncultured bacterium clone A20 [AY373407.1]	Uncultured Gram-positive bacterium clone BNT33-12	
Band	۷	Ш	U	۵	ш	ш	ŋ	I	_	J	

Table 2. Closest EMBL-EBI BLASTN matches to DGGE band sequences isolated from equivalent positions in the banding patterns of the HC and LC sediment profiles. -duivalent,

Probe	Specificity	Between sites	Between depths within sites
EUB338	Eubacteria	NS	SD (F= 111.3, DF 1, P < 0.0001)
GAM42a	Gamma-Proteobacteria	NS	SD (F= 16.9, DF 1, P = 0.003)
ALF968	Alpha-Proteobacteria	NS	SD (F= 80.4, DF 1, P < 0.0001)
CY319a	CFB cluster	NS	SD (F= 299.7, DF 1, P < 0.0001
PLA46	Planctomycetes	SN	SD (F= 183.2, DF 1, P < 0.0001)
ARCH915	Archaea	NS	SD (F= 32.3, DF 1, P < 0.0001)

Table 3. Results of ANOVA tests comparing abundance data for group-specific probes to identify significant differences between HC and LC sites and surface (0-1 cm) and deep (19-20 cm) samples. Where significant differences were detected, F-ratio (F), degrees of freedom (DF) and probability value (P) are given. NS = not significant, SD = significant difference



Figure 1. Study sites around the Crozet Archipelago. hc - high chlorophyll M5 site east of the islands in the region of the phytoplankton bloom. Lc - low chlorophyll M6 site south of the islands.

Accepted









Figure 5. MDS ordination showing differences in the evenness of four bacterial phylogenetic groups (the *gamma-* and *alpha*-Proteobacteria, the CFB cluster and the Planctomycetes) between replicate samples (n=3) from HC and LC surface (s) and deep (d) sediments.

Accept





Figure 2



Figure Legends

Figure 1. Study sites around the Crozet Archipelago. HC – high chlorophyll M5 site east of the islands in the region of the phytoplankton bloom. LC – low chlorophyll M6 site south of the islands.

Figure 2a. Percentage distribution of uncultured group-affiliated bacterial sequences within sediment libraries: HC surface, LC surface, HC deep and LC deep. Figure 2b. Phylogenetic tree summary of 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from clone libraries showing the number of clones from each site in each phylogenetic group (○ LC surface; ● HC surface; Δ LC deep; ▲ HC deep). Scale bar represents units of sequence divergence.

Figure 3. Breakdown of clones in each library by original isolation source. 'Other' includes surface water, glacier, mud volcanoes, microbial mats, submerged steel, Baltic Sea and Gulf of Mexico sediments. Data compiled from EMBL-EBI sequence database. Number of clones in each library is shown above each bar.

Potentially move into supplementary information:

Figure 4A. Unprocessed and processed DGGE gels showing banding patterns for three HC and LC surface sediment (0-1 cm) replicate samples and reference lane. 50-70% denaturing gradient. Negative image shows automatically assigned dominant bands. Figure 4B. Densitometric curves for the DGGE profiles of three replicate High Chlorophyll (HC) and Low Chlorophyll (LC) surface sediment samples. Vertical black lines within a peak indicate the presence of a band in the gel. Peak height corresponds to density. **Figure 5.** MDS ordination showing differences in the evenness of four bacterial phylogenetic groups (the *gamma-* and *alpha-*Proteobacteria, the CFB cluster and the Planctomycetes) between replicate samples (n=3) from HC and LC surface (s) and deep (d) sediments.

Figure 6. Relative cell counts for each phylogenetic group as a percentage of the total number of DAPI-stained cells within surface (0-1 cm) and deep (19-20 cm) sediment layers from the HC (high chlorophyll) and LC (low chlorophyll) sites.