

THE LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE

LSE Research Online

<u>Divya Parmar</u>, Aurélia Souares, Manuela de Allegri, Germain Savadogo and Rainer Sauerborn

Community-based health insurance scheme in Burkina Fas: can premium subsidies increase adverse selection?

Conference Item

Original citation:

Parmar, Divya and Souares, Aurélia and de Allegri, Manuela and Savadogo, Germain and Sauerborn, Rainer (2011) Community-based health insurance scheme in Burkina Fas: can premium subsidies increase adverse selection? In: 13th Annual Scientific Conference of ICDDR,B: Science to Accelerate Universal Health Coverage, 14-17 March 2011, Dhaka, Bangladesh. (Unpublished)

This version available at: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/46682/

Available in LSE Research Online: October 2012

© 2011 The Authors

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.



Institute of Public Health Heidelberg, Germany



Nouna Research Centre Burkina Faso

Community-based health insurance scheme in Burkina Faso Can premium subsidies increase adverse selection?

<u>Divya Parmar</u>, Aurélia Souares, Manuela De Allegri, Germain Savadogo, Rainer Sauerborn



Burkina Faso

- Population: 15.8 million
- GDP per capita (PPP): \$1200 (207/228)
- Occupation: 90% engaged in agriculture
- Literacy: 30% (men), 15% (females)
- Spending on health per person: \$7
- Life expectancy : 53 years (199/228)
- Infant mortality rate: 85 /1000 live births
- No. of people per doctor: 33,333



0

Reference: https://www.cia.gov



Community-based Health Insurance (CBI)

- Introduced in 2004
- 41 villages and Nouna town (i.e. 7762 households)
- Unit of enrolment: household
- Premium: 1500 CFA (2.29€) per adult
 500 CFA (0.76€) per child p.a.

BUT, enrollment among the poor was low. Therefore, in 2007, premium subsidy was offered to the poor



Enrolment Rate 2004-2007



Question 1. Do the sick enrol more? (adverse selection)

Variables	Coefficient	Coefficient SE			
Age (years)					
≤ 15	0.004	0.009			
60+	0.015	0.036			
Education					
Literate	-0.001	0.006			
Subsidized					
Subsidy	0.1	0.011***			
Household size					
Size	-0.002	0.001***			
SES					
MidSES	0.015	0.006***			
HighSES	0.028	0.007***			
Year					
2005	0.003	0.003			
2006	-0.002	0.003			
2007	0.009	0.004**			
Sick X Year					
Sick x 2004	0.001	0.010			
Sick x 2005	0.000	0.009			
Sick x 2006	0.008	0.009			
Sick x 2007	0.021	0.011**			
No. of observations		18480			
No. of individuals	6713				
F statistic (p-value)		11.47 (0.000)			
R^2		0.0078			

1. Fixed Effects Regression

Dependent variable: CBHI (0,1)

Sick: individuals who reported being sick for at least 3 months

Interaction: Sick*Year

***1%, **5% and *10% sig levels

Proportíon of síck índívíduals enrolled

sígníficantly íncreased in 2007 Questíons 2. Why should adverse selectíon íncrease ín 2007?

- Díd subsídy increase adverse selection?

Variables	Coefficient SE		
Age (years)			
≤ 15	0.005	0.009	
60+	0.018	0.036	
Education			
Literate	-0.002	0.006	
Subsidized			
Subsidy	0.1	0.012***	
Household size			
Size	-0.002	0.001***	
SES			
MidSES	0.015	0.006***	
HighSES	0.028	0.007***	
Year			
2005	0.002	0.003	
2006	-0.001	0.003	
2007	0.013	0.004***	
Sick X Subsidy			
Sick x Subsidy=0	0.008	0.007	
Sick x Subsidy=1	0.048	0.027*	
No. of observations	18480		
No. of individuals	6713		
F statistic (p-value)	11.47 (0.000)		
R ²	0.0078		

2. Fixed Effects Regression

Dependent variable: CBHI (0,1)

Sick: individuals who reported being sick for at least 3 months

Interaction: Sick*Subsidy

***1%, **5% and *10% sig levels

Proportion of sick individuals more among those who were given subsidy

Community wealth ranking: defining poverty

Poverty criteria:	Poverty categories		
as decided by the community	Very poor	Middle	Rich
Old person without child	+++		
Needs to beg to live	+++		
No chickens	+++		
No assistance network	+++		
Unable to finance medical costs	+++	++	
In good health		++	+++
High quality housing		++	+++
Sufficient food		++	+++
Nice clothes		++	+++
Ownership of farming equipment		++	+++
Able to support someone		++	+++
Ownership of transport means		++	+++

Conclusions

- Enrolment significantly increased among the poor when subsidized premiums were offered to them
- More poor households were likely to be sick than the rich ones
- By offering the poor subsidized premiums proportion of sick individuals increased in CBHI

Implications for CBHI

Cost of providing health insurance increases

- Strictly enforce enrolment of complete households
- Remove subsidy
 – but this will discourage the poor from enrolling who have greater need for health insurance – harms equity!

Adverse Selection OR Positive selection (well-targetted)

- Increase premiums for rich: rich subsidize the poor but will discourage enrolment among them (context: rich=less poor)
- Essential to receive government/international support to cover these extra costs

Need to budget for adverse selection

Thank you

Divya Parmar Parmar@uni-heidelberg.de Institute of Public Health Heidelberg University Germany

