



University of Dundee

Blood supply and vascularity of the glenoid labrum

Alashkham, Abduelmenem; Alraddadi, Abdulrahman; Felts, Paul; Soames, Roger

Published in:
Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery

DOI:
[10.1177/2309499017731632](https://doi.org/10.1177/2309499017731632)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Alashkham, A., Alraddadi, A., Felts, P., & Soames, R. (2017). Blood supply and vascularity of the glenoid labrum: Its clinical implications. *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery*, 25(3), 1-7. DOI: 10.1177/2309499017731632

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Blood supply and vascularity of the glenoid labrum: Its clinical implications

Abduelmenem Alashkham^{1,2,3}, Abdulrahman Alraddadi^{1,4}, Paul Felts¹ and Roger Soames¹

Abstract

Background: Tears of the glenoid labrum are common after dislocation of the glenohumeral joint. The outcome for healing or surgical reconstruction of the glenoid labrum relies on the extent of its vascularization. This study aims to evaluate the glenoid labrum blood supply and to determine its regional vascularity. **Materials and Methods:** A total of 140 shoulders (30 male and 40 female cadavers) were examined: mean age 81.5 years, range 53–101 years. All blood vessels around the glenohumeral joint were dissected and recorded. Ten specimens with the glenoid labrum and fibrous capsule attached were randomly selected and detached at the glenoid neck and subjected to decalcification. Sections (10–20 µm) were cut through the whole thickness of each specimen from the centre of the glenoid fossa perpendicular to the glenoid labrum at 12 radii corresponding to a clock face superimposed on the glenoid. Sections were stained using haematoxylin and eosin and then examined. **Results:** The blood supply to the glenoid labrum is by direct branches from the second part of the axillary artery, subscapular, circumflex scapular and anterior circumflex humeral and posterior circumflex humeral arteries, as well as branches of muscular arteries supplying the surrounding muscles. **Conclusion:** This study shows that the glenoid labrum has a rich blood supply suggesting that, regardless of the types of the glenoid labrum lesions or their management, an excellent outcome for glenoid labrum healing and joint stability is possible. The observations also suggest that the blood supply to the glenoid labrum is sufficient, enabling its reattachment.

Keywords

axillary artery, blood supply, glenoid fossa, glenoid labrum, shoulder, suprascapular artery

Date received: 28 May 2017; Received revised 5 August 2017; accepted: 17 August 2017

Introduction

Tears of the glenoid labrum result in glenohumeral joint instability, which can also occur with glenohumeral dislocation.¹ In a study of patients with their first glenohumeral dislocation and those with recurrent dislocation, Kim et al.² noted that those with first-time dislocations/lesions of the glenoid labrum had a Bankart lesion (24.24%, $n = 8$), a free anterior glenoid labrum periosteal sleeve avulsion (ALPSA; 27.27%, $n = 9$), a bony Bankart lesion (12.12%, $n = 4$) and an adhesive ALPSA (3.03%, $n = 1$), while those with recurrent dislocations had a Bankart lesion (61.26%, $n = 68$), a free ALPSA (9.09%, $n = 11$), a bony Bankart lesion (11.71%, $n = 13$), an adhesive ALPSA

¹ Centre for Anatomy and Human Identification, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

² Human Anatomy Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Zawia, Zawia, Libya

³ Centre for Human Anatomy, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

⁴ King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding author:

Abduelmenem Alashkham, Edinburgh Medical School: Biomedical Sciences, University of Edinburgh Medical School, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK.

Email: abduelmenem.alashkham@ed.ac.uk



(14.41%, $n = 16$) and a disruption lesion of the articular glenoid (0.9%, $n = 1$). Lesions of the anteroinferior glenoid labrum observed in first-time dislocation were found to be 66.6% ($n = 22$) and in recurrent dislocations 98.1% ($n = 109$).

Several studies^{3–11} have reported a significant correlation between labral fixation and glenohumeral translation. In contrast, Kim et al.¹² reported an insignificant correlation between the extent of the labral lesion and the frequency of glenohumeral dislocation.

The glenoid labrum increases the depth of the glenoid fossa by 50%, with a Bankart lesion decreasing the depth to 50% anteriorly.¹³ Based on these observations, Howell and Galinat¹³ assumed that the glenoid labrum plays a role in stability. Pouliart and Gagey¹⁴ reported that the glenoid labrum stabilizes the joint by preventing the humeral head from shifting. Smith et al.¹⁵ and Williams¹⁶ added that it protects the articular surface and aids joint lubrication. The glenoid labrum contributes as much as 10–20% to the concavity compression of the glenohumeral joint,^{17–20} and thus the existence of an intact glenoid labrum is important for concavity compression in joint stabilization. According to Habermeyer et al.,²¹ while the glenoid labrum maintains the negative intra-articular pressure inside the glenoid, it also confers joint stability.

Despite the importance of the glenoid labrum and the high incidence of associated lesions, its blood supply has been rarely reported.^{22–25} Both Cooper et al.²⁴ and Abrasart et al.²² reported that the glenoid labrum was supplied by branches of teres minor and infraspinatus, as well as the suprascapular, circumflex scapular and posterior circumflex humeral arteries, together with capsular and periosteal branches, with the superior and anterosuperior labrum being less well vascularized than the remainder. It is interesting to note that no blood vessels were observed arising from the underlying bone to supply the labrum. Later, many nutrient foramina were present on the capsular circumferential ridge which supply the glenoid bone. The glenoid labrum was found to be sparsely vascularized without any particular pattern of distribution. Nevertheless, the vascularity has been suggested to decrease with increasing age.^{23,25} Therefore, the aims of this study were to evaluate the blood supply to the glenoid labrum and to determine its regional vascularity.

Material and methods

One hundred and forty shoulders from 70 formalin-embalmed cadavers (30 males, 40 females: mean age 81.5 years, range 53–101 years) were examined.

Skin and the superficial and deep fascia were completely removed from the shoulder region. Anteriorly, the anterior part of deltoid and pectoralis major and minor were cleaned, detached and reflected laterally to expose the brachial plexus, brachial artery and its branches. Fibrous and fatty tissue around and between the brachial plexus and its

branches, the axillary artery and its branches, and the axillary vein and its tributaries was carefully removed by blunt dissection; the brachial plexus was then removed. The short head of biceps brachii and coracobrachialis were detached from the coracoid process, reflected distally and removed. The anterior circumflex humeral artery and its accompanying veins were cleaned using blunt dissection.

A direct branch from the second part of the axillary artery (named here the ascending glenoid artery and passing under the coracoid process towards the anterosuperior and superior aspects of the shoulder joint) was dissected and cleaned from proximal to distal.

Superiorly, trapezius was removed, while the proximal attachments of the middle and posterior parts of deltoid were released, following which the clavicle was removed. A lateral incision was made in the supraspinatus tendon insertion and gently reflected medially. The suprascapular neurovascular bundle was cleaned.

Posteriorly, infraspinatus and teres minor were sectioned near their insertions and reflected medially. The branches of the suprascapular vessels were then traced into the infraspinous fossa.

Following abduction of the upper limb, teres major and the superolateral aspect of subscapularis were removed. The posterior circumflex humeral artery and its branches and the accompanying veins and the axillary nerve were cleaned as they passed around the surgical neck of the humerus inferior to the shoulder joint. The lateral head of triceps was then removed and the long head was cleaned.

The subscapular artery and its branches and the subscapular vein and its tributaries were cleaned from proximal to distal. The circumflex scapular vessels and their branches and tributaries were traced and cleaned.

Ten specimens with the glenoid labrum and fibrous capsule attached were randomly selected, detached at the glenoid neck and subjected to decalcification: this enabled the tissues to be sectioned and stained using standard techniques utilizing paraffin wax embedding. Each specimen was decalcified using either a decalcifier containing hydrochloric acid (10%) for 4 months or a rapid decalcifier (10% of formic acid in distilled water) for 48 h. The tissue was then washed in phosphate buffered saline and embedded in paraffin wax using standard techniques. Sections (10–20 μm thickness) were cut through the whole thickness of each specimen from the centre of the glenoid fossa perpendicular to the glenoid labrum at 12 radii corresponding to a clock face superimposed on the glenoid. This resulted in a triangular-shaped section of tissue with the glenoid labrum and fibrous capsule attachment at the periphery. Sections were stained using haematoxylin and eosin.

Results

The blood supply to the glenoid labrum was observed and noted during the dissection of all 140 shoulders. The labrum receives its blood supply from:




Figure 1. Anterolateral view of the left shoulder injected with coloured silicone showing branches of the ACHA. This shoulder was injected with coloured silicone as part of another study. ACHA: anterior circumflex humeral artery.




Figure 2. Lateral view of the right shoulder showing branches of the ascending glenoid artery supplying the superior and antero-superior aspect of the glenoid labrum, the LHBT and the surrounding structures. LHBT: long head of biceps tendon.

- i. The ascending glenoid artery (Figure 1), a branch arises from the first, second and third parts of the axillary artery in 1.80% ($n = 2$), 92.50% ($n = 130$) and 5.70% ($n = 4$) of specimens, respectively: it was observed as a single branch in 91.40% ($n = 128$), two branches in 7.9% ($n = 11$) and three branches in 0.70% ($n = 1$) of specimens, with the branches supplying subscapularis, the superior and anterosuperior aspects of the fibrous capsule, the glenohumeral ligaments, the superior and anterosuperior aspects of the glenoid labrum (Figure 2), glenoid neck, coracoid process, both heads of biceps brachii tendons and their origin, coracobrachialis and the rotator cuff muscle tendons.




Figure 3. Lateral view of the right shoulder showing the first branch (inferior glenoid branch) arising from CSA entering the inferior fibrous capsule and the glenoid labrum at the 6 o'clock position. CSA: circumflex scapular artery.




Figure 4. Inferior view of the right shoulder shows the first branch (inferior glenoid branch) arising and entering the glenoid labrum at the 6 o'clock position.

- ii. The inferior glenoid artery, present in 82.85% ($n = 117$) of specimens arising from the posterior circumflex humeral (29.9%, $n = 35$), circumflex scapular (54.7%, $n = 64$) and subscapular (15.4%, $n = 18$) arteries (Figures 3 and 4).
- iii. Ascending branches of the anterior circumflex humeral artery supplying the fibrous capsule and surrounding structures, contributing indirectly in supplying the glenoid labrum through its attachment to the joint capsule and adjacent bone (Figure 1).
- iv. Capsular branches of the posterior circumflex humeral artery, which in all specimens passed through the fibrous capsule from its antero-inferior, inferior, posteroinferior and posterior

- aspects running through the fibrous capsule for variable distances before entering the joint. These capsular branches also supplied subscapularis, the glenohumeral ligaments and fibrous capsule: As they passed medially through the fibrous capsule, the branches supplied the anteroinferior, inferior, posterior and posteroinferior aspects of the glenoid labrum.
- v. The circumflex scapular artery which gave (a) capsular branches, seen in all specimens, supplying the anteroinferior, inferior and posteroinferior parts of the fibrous capsule and glenoid labrum, as well as the long head of triceps, subscapularis and teres minor; and (b) a branch present in 74.42% ($n = 104$) of specimens, named an ascending branch arising from the circumflex scapular artery 30 mm from its origin, at the lower border of the origin of the long head of triceps, ascending superomedially posterior to the origin of the long head of triceps and grooving the bone for a short distance accompanied by two veins (sometimes one), which passed towards the inferior aspect of the spinoglenoid notch, then curved medially to run in the infraspinous fossa inferior to the root of the spine of the scapula terminating as several superior and inferior branches supplying infraspinatus and teres minor and as capsular branches supplying the posteroinferior, posterior, posterosuperior and superior aspects of the fibrous capsule, the glenoid rim and the glenoid labrum.
- vi. The suprascapular artery, which gave (a) an articular branch in the suprascapular fossa running laterally posterior to the root of the coracoid process parallel to the anterior aspect of the suprascapular tendon passing through the distal aspect of suprascapularis and the superior aspect of the fibrous capsule to supply the superior region of the glenoid labrum and the origin of the long head of biceps, giving periosteal branches to the superior aspect of the glenoid neck and nutrient branches to the superior aspect of the glenoid neck and the posterior part of the root of the coracoid process: this branch was present in 85% ($n = 119$) of specimens; and (b) two or more branches were given in the spinoglenoid notch, which pierced the joint capsule from the posterosuperior and posterior aspects and supplied the posterior aspect of the suprascapular tendon, fibrous capsule and glenoid labrum.

A summary of the regional blood supply to the glenoid labrum is shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. Summary of the blood supply to the glenoid labrum. The circle represents the glenoid labrum. The blue region is supplied by ascending glenoid artery, the green region is supplied by anterior circumflex humeral artery, the red region is supplied by posterior circumflex humeral artery, the purple region is supplied by suprascapular artery and the yellow region is supplied by the subscapular and circumflex scapular arteries.

Histology

The glenoid labrum was fibrocartilaginous, being more fibrous at its free margin. It attached to the articular surface of the glenoid fossa centrally and glenoid bone peripherally. Some labral fibres also attached to the underlying glenoid bone, reaching as far as the bone marrow. In some regions, the fibrous capsule splits into an internal part, covering the internal aspect of the glenoid labrum, and an external part, covering the external aspect. The glenoid labrum was vascular with a variable distribution in the number and size of blood vessels in each region. Blood vessels were observed in the periphery with those from the fibrous capsule piercing the glenoid labrum (Figure 6).

Discussion

The blood supply to the glenoid labrum has been rarely reported.²²⁻²⁵ This study set out to address this and to determine whether there were regional differences in vascularity. Based on gross dissection, the current study indicated that (i) the superior and anterosuperior regions of the glenoid labrum receive an arterial supply from the ascending glenoid artery, articular branches of the suprascapular artery, periosteal branches of the circumflex scapular artery and muscular branches of subscapularis; (ii) the anteroinferior and inferior regions receive an arterial supply from periosteal branches of the circumflex scapular artery, muscular branches of triceps and subscapularis and the inferior glenoid artery, which itself can arise from either the posterior circumflex humeral, circumflex scapular or subscapular artery; and (iii) the posteroinferior and




Figure 6. (a) Low-magnification micrograph of the GL and fibrous capsule at the 10 o'clock position on the right side. (b) and (c) High-magnification micrograph showing BV. GL: glenoid labrum; BV: blood vessels.

posterosuperior regions receive an arterial supply from periosteal branches of the suprascapular artery, muscular branches of teres minor and infraspinatus and occasionally an ascending branch of the circumflex scapular artery through periosteal branches, as well as direct branches to these regions: branches of the anterior and posterior circumflex humeral arteries pierce the capsule anterosuperiorly, anteroinferiorly, inferiorly and posteroinferiorly supplying the anatomical neck, some of which also supply the fibrous capsule and glenoid labrum.

Histologically, Abrassart et al.²² and Cooper et al.²⁴ reported that the anterosuperior region of the glenoid labrum was less vascular compared to other regions. The current study observed that the anterosuperior region of the glenoid labrum was rich in blood vessels, suggesting that it cannot be less vascular than other regions. Prodromos et al.²⁵ are of the view that the glenoid labrum is sparsely vascularized but reported no particular pattern of distribution.

The histological findings of the current study found that the glenoid labrum was anchored to the periosteal layer of the glenoid bone, which is known to be rich in blood vessels, therefore it would be expected that the glenoid labrum would have a blood supply from both the periosteal layer and the bone. Yoneda et al.²⁶ reported that the management of Superior Labral tear from Anterior to Posterior (SLAP) type II lesions by debridement of the detached glenoid labrum and abrading the glenoid rim until it bleeds, then fixing the glenoid labrum by staples, produced excellent or good results in 80% of patients.

It could be questioned if the blood supply to the glenoid labrum is sufficient to enable its reattachment. DaSilva

et al.²⁷ reported the management of SLAP type II lesions is by debridement of both the superior glenoid labrum, using a shaver, and the bony bed, using a burr until it bleeds: Interestingly, this procedure has been critical because it provides an optimal healing environment at the bone-labral junction, which is anchored by a non-absorbable suture. According to Ok et al.,²⁸ double anchor sutures for SLAP type II lesions provide better restoration and stability. A double-looped corkscrew anchor procedure has shown encouraging results for SLAP type II lesion.²⁹ Open Bankart repair with suture anchors associated with the capsular shift procedure was more effective in small Bankart lesions compared to large ones.³⁰ Kamath et al.³¹ reported that two double-loaded suture anchors were better or equal to three single-loaded suture anchors because it needed fewer anchor holes in the glenoid bone, thus decreasing the incidence of postsurgical glenoid fracture. In patients who underwent an arthroscopic bony Bankart bridge to treat a Bankart lesion, there was an average glenoid bone loss of 29% ($n = 14$): Millett et al.³² observed that successful joint stability was achieved in 93%. The current study has shown that the glenoid labrum has a rich blood supply, which supports the excellent outcomes of glenoid labrum healing and joint re-instability observed, regardless of the types of the glenoid labrum lesions or their management.^{33–37,10} It is suggested that the blood supply to the glenoid labrum is thus sufficient to enable its reattachment. On the other hand, it could be questioned how and why incomplete or non-healing of labrum injuries occurs, leading to recurrent shoulder instability and poor blood supply to the glenoid labrum, such as in diabetic patients, which could impair healing of the glenoid labrum.³⁸

As this study was done on cadaveric shoulders and due to lack of medical history, only shoulders with completely attached glenoid labrum were subjected to histological investigation; therefore, this study was unable to investigate the blood supply in detached glenoid labrum due to shoulder pathologies. Further studies are recommended to investigate the blood supply in detached glenoid labrum.

It could be argued if there was any variation of the blood supply pattern that supply the glenoid labrum has been observed. The ascending glenoid artery which supplies the superior and anterosuperior aspects of the glenoid labrum showed anatomical variations in its origin and determined whether it was a single or multiple branches. It was observed to arise from the first, second and third parts of the axillary artery in 1.80%, 92.50% and 5.70% of specimens, respectively: it was observed as a single branch in 91.40%, two branches in 7.9% and three branches in 0.70% of specimens. The inferior glenoid artery which supplies the inferior aspect of the glenoid labrum was present in 82.85% of specimens arising from the posterior circumflex humeral (29.9%), circumflex scapular (54.7%) and subscapular (15.4%) arteries. Few shoulders were injected with coloured silicone aiming to evaluate the variation

pattern in arterioles and venules that supply the glenoid labrum, but the coloured silicone could not reach to these small blood vessels due to their narrow diameters.

Conclusion

The blood supply to the glenoid labrum can be summarized by dividing the glenoid labrum into six regions: superior, anterosuperior, anteroinferior, inferior, posteroinferior and posterosuperior. The superior and anterosuperior regions receive a blood supply from the ascending glenoid and suprascapular arteries as well as muscular branches of subscapularis and supraspinatus. The anteroinferior and inferior regions receive a blood supply from periosteal branches of the circumflex scapular and inferior glenoid arteries as well as muscular branches of triceps and subscapularis. The posteroinferior and posterosuperior regions receive a blood supply from periosteal branches of the suprascapular artery, muscular branches of teres minor and infraspinatus and occasionally an ascending branch of the circumflex scapular artery as well as branches of the anterior and posterior circumflex humeral arteries which pierced the capsule anterosuperiorly, anteroinferiorly, inferiorly and posteroinferiorly supplying the anatomical neck, some of which also supplied the labrum through the fibrous capsule. The glenoid labrum also receives a blood supply from the underlying glenoid bone. The current study suggests that, regardless of the types of the glenoid labrum lesions or their management, an excellent outcome of glenoid labrum healing and joint re-instability is possible. In conclusion, the blood supply to the glenoid labrum is sufficient to enable its reattachment.

Authors' note

All authors have participated in the research for this article.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their thanks to donors and their families, without them this study would not have been possible. They especially thank both the Centre of Anatomy and Human Identification at the University of Dundee and the Department of Anatomy at the University of Zawia.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Widjaja AB, Tran A, Bailey M, et al. Correlation between Bankart and Hill-Sachs lesions in anterior shoulder dislocation. *ANZ J Surg* 2006; 76: 436–438.
2. Kim DS, Yoon YS and Yi CH. Prevalence comparison of accompanying lesions between primary and recurrent anterior dislocation in the shoulder. *Am J Sports Med* 2010; 38: 2071–2076.
3. Atay OA, Aydingoz U, Doral MN, et al. Anterior labroligamentous periosteal sleeve avulsion lesion at the superior glenoid labrum. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2002; 10: 122–125.
4. Black KP, Schneider DJ, Yu JR, et al. Biomechanics of the Bankart repair: the relationship between glenohumeral translation and labral fixation site. *Am J Sports Med* 1999; 27: 339–344.
5. Bohnsack M, Bartels B, Ostermeier S, et al. Biomechanical stability of an arthroscopic anterior capsular shift and suture anchor repair in anterior shoulder instability: a human cadaveric shoulder model. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2009; 17: 1493–1499.
6. Galano GJ, Ahmad CS, Bigliani L, et al. Percutaneous SLAP lesion repair technique is an effective alternative to portal of Wilmington. *Orthopedics* 2010; 33: 803.
7. Lino W Jr and Belangero WD. Labrum repair combined with arthroscopic reduction of capsular volume in shoulder instability. *Int Orthop* 2006; 30: 219–223.
8. Magnusson L, Ejerhed L, Rostgård L, et al. Absorbable implants for open shoulder stabilization. A 7-8-year clinical and radiographic follow-up. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2006; 14: 182–188.
9. Mok D and Wang EL. Does age or gender of the patient influence the outcome of type II superior labrum anterior and posterior repair? *Int J of Shoulder Surg* 2012; 6: 112–115.
10. Sayde WM, Cohen SB, Ciccotti MG, et al. Return to play after type II superior labral anterior-posterior lesion repairs in athletes: a systematic review. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2012; 470: 1595–1600.
11. Youm T, Tibone JE, ElAttrache NS, et al. Simulated type II superior labral anterior posterior lesions do not alter the path of glenohumeral articulation: a cadaveric biomechanical study. *Am J Sports Med* 2008; 36(4): 767–774.
12. Kim DS, Yi CH, Kwon KY, et al. Relationship between the extent of labral lesions and the frequency of glenohumeral dislocation in shoulder instability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2013; 21: 430–437.
13. Howell SM and Galinat BJ. The glenoid-labral socket. A constrained articular surface. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1989; 243: 122–125.
14. Pouliart N and Gagey O. The effect of isolated labrum resection on shoulder stability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2006; 14: 301–308.
15. Smith JW, Murphy TR, Blair JSG, et al. *Regional Anatomy Illustrated*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1983.
16. Williams PL. *Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Medicine and Surgery 38th ed*. New York Edinburgh London Tokyo Madrid and Melbourne: Churchill Livingstone, 1995.
17. Lippitt S and Matsen F. Mechanisms of glenohumeral joint stability. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1993; (291): 20–28.

18. Halder AM, Kuhl SG, Zobitz ME, et al. Effects of the glenoid labrum and glenohumeral abduction on stability of the shoulder joint through concavity-compression: an in vitro study. *J Bone Joint Surg* 2001; 83-A: 1062–1069.
19. Fehring EV, Schmidt GR, Boorman RS, et al. The antero-inferior labrum helps center the humeral head on the glenoid. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2003; 12: 535–538.
20. Smith C and Funk L. The glenoid labrum. *Br Elbow Shoulder Soc Shoulder Elbow* 2010; 2: 87–93.
21. Habermeyer P, Schuller U and Wiedemann E. The intra-articular pressure of the shoulder: an experimental study on the role of the glenoid labrum in stabilizing the joint. *Arthroscopy* 1992; 8: 166–172.
22. Abrassart S, Stern R and Hoffmeyer P. Arterial supply of the glenoid: an anatomic study. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2006; 15: 232–238.
23. Bain GI, Galley IJ, Singh C, et al. Anatomic study of the superior glenoid labrum. *Clin Anato* 2012; 26: 367–376.
24. Cooper DE, Arnoczky SP, O'Brien SJ, et al. Anatomy, histology, and vascularity of the glenoid labrum. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1992; 74(1): 46–52.
25. Prodromos CC, Ferry JA, Schiller AL, et al. Histological studies of the glenoid labrum from fetal life to old age. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1990; 72: 1344–1348.
26. Yoneda M, Hirooka A, Saito S, et al. Arthroscopic stapling for detached superior glenoid labrum. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1991; 73(5): 746–750.
27. DaSilva JJ, McNickle AG and Cole BJ. Arthroscopic superior labrum anterior to posterior repair. *Operat Tech Orthop* 2008; 18: 53–61.
28. Ok JH, Kim YS, Kim JM, et al. A new technique of arthroscopic fixation using double anchors for SLAP lesions. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012; 20: 1939–1946.
29. Kartus J, Kartus C, Brownlow H, et al. Repair of type-2 SLAP lesions using corkscrew anchors. A preliminary report of the clinical results. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2004; 12: 229–234.
30. Lai D, Ma HL, Hung SC, et al. Open Bankart repair with suture anchors for traumatic recurrent anterior shoulder instability: comparison of results between small and large Bankart lesions. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2006; 14(1): 82–87.
31. Kamath GV, Hoover S, Creighton RA, et al. Biomechanical analysis of a double-loaded glenoid anchor configuration: can fewer anchors provide equivalent fixation? *Am J Sports Med* 2013; 41: 163–168.
32. Millett PJ, Horan MP and Martetschläger F. The “bony Bankart bridge” technique for restoration of anterior shoulder stability. *Am J Sports Med* 2013; 41: 608–614.
33. Boileau P, Fourati E and Bicknell R. Neer modification of open Bankart procedure: what are the rates of recurrent instability, functional outcome, and arthritis? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2012; 470: 2554–2560.
34. Carreira DS, Mazzocca AD, Oryhon J, et al. A prospective outcome evaluation of arthroscopic Bankart repairs: minimum 2-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 2006; 34: 771–777.
35. Elmlund A, Kartus C, Sernert N, et al. A long-term clinical follow-up study after arthroscopic intra-articular Bankart repair using absorbable tacks. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2008; 16: 707–712.
36. Flinkkila T, Hyvönen P, Ohtonen P, et al. Arthroscopic Bankart repair: results and risk factors of recurrence of instability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2010; 18: 752–758.
37. Ricchetti ET, Ciccotti MC, O'Brien DF, et al. Outcomes of arthroscopic repair of panlabral tears of the glenohumeral joint. *Am J Sports Med* 2012; 40: 2561–2568.
38. Brockmeier SF, Voos JE, Williams RJ, et al. Outcomes after arthroscopic repair of type-II SLAP lesions. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009; 91: 1595–1603.