

Original citation:

Papanikolopoulos, Stefanos and Siksek, Samir (2017) *A Mordell-Weil theorem for cubic hypersurfaces of high dimension*. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 11 (8). pp. 1953-1965. doi:[10.2140/ant.2017.11.1953](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2017.11.1953)

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/92893>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

A MORDELL–WEIL THEOREM FOR CUBIC HYPERSURFACES OF HIGH DIMENSION

STEFANOS PAPANIKOLOPOULOS AND SAMIR SIKSEK

ABSTRACT. Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension $n \geq 1$. It is well-known that new rational points may be obtained from old ones by secant and tangent constructions. In view of the Mordell–Weil theorem for $n = 1$, Manin (1968) asked if there exists a finite set S from which all other rational points can be thus obtained. We give an affirmative answer for $n \geq 48$, showing in fact that we can take the generating set S to consist of just one point. Our proof makes use of a weak approximation theorem due to Skinner, a theorem of Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown on the existence of rational points on the intersection of a quadric and cubic in large dimension, and some elementary ideas from differential geometry, algebraic geometry and numerical analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface over \mathbb{Q} of dimension n . Let $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a line defined over \mathbb{Q} . If ℓ is not contained in X then $\ell \cdot X = P + Q + R$ where $P, Q, R \in X$. If any two of P, Q, R are rational then so is the third. If $S \subseteq X(\mathbb{Q})$, we write $\text{Span}(S)$ for the subset of $X(\mathbb{Q})$ generated from S by successive secant and tangent constructions. More formally, we define a sequence

$$S = S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X(\mathbb{Q})$$

by letting S_{n+1} be the set of points $R \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that either $R \in S_n$, or for some \mathbb{Q} -line $\ell \not\subset X$ we have $\ell \cdot X = P + Q + R$ where $P, Q \in S_n$. Then $\text{Span}(S) := \cup S_n$. Manin [8, page 3] (see also [6] and [9]) asks if there is some finite subset $S \subset X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\text{Span}(S) = X(\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension $n \geq 48$ defined over \mathbb{Q} . Then there exists a point $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\text{Span}(A) = X(\mathbb{Q})$.*

We are grateful to Tim Browning, Simon Rydin Myerson, Michael Stoll and Damiano Testa for valuable discussions, and to the referees for useful remarks. We thank Yuri Manin for drawing our attention to the distinction between weak and strong Mordell–Weil generation (discussed below).

Date: July 16, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G05, Secondary 11G35.

Key words and phrases. cubic hypersurfaces, rational points, Mordell–Weil problem.

Siksek is supported by the EPSRC *LMF: L-Functions and Modular Forms* Programme Grant EP/K034383/1.

1.1. Some remarks. It appears that the Mordell–Weil problem for cubic surfaces was first posed by Segre [12] in 1943. In the sixties Manin posed the same question for cubic hypersurfaces of arbitrary dimension. Prior to Theorem 1, so far as we know, the only positive result is for cubic surfaces endowed with a skew pair of rational lines [13, Theorem 1]. In a recent article [10], Manin distinguishes between ‘weak Mordell–Weil generation’ where both secant and tangent operations are allowed, and ‘strong Mordell–Weil generation’ where only secant operations are allowed. On the basis of computational experiments carried out by Vioreanu [16], Manin expects that the weak version of the Mordell–Weil property holds for dimension 2, but that the strong version probably fails. In the language of [10], our Theorem 1 establishes the weak Mordell–Weil property for cubic hypersurfaces of dimension ≥ 48 ; tangent operations are crucial to our proofs, and we are unable to adapt them to prove the strong Mordell–Weil property.

1.2. Notation. Throughout $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension n defined over \mathbb{Q} (for now $n \geq 2$). Thus there is some non-zero homogeneous cubic polynomial $F \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ such that X is given by the equation

$$(1) \quad X : F(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

For $P \in X$ we let $T_P X$ denote the tangent plane to X at P :

$$T_P X : \nabla F(P) \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

The Gauss map on X sends P to $T_P X \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1*}$. We let $X_P := X \cap T_P X$. Thus

$$X_P : \begin{cases} F(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0, \\ \nabla F(P) \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0. \end{cases}$$

In Section 4 we introduce the second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$, and the Hessian $H_F(P)$. We write $\mathbb{G}(n+1, 1)$ for the Grassmannian parametrizing lines in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} . Throughout the terms ‘open’ and ‘closed’ will pertain to the real topology, unless prefixed by ‘Zariski’.

1.3. A sketch of the proof of Theorem 1. We show in Section 5 that if $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ is not an Eckardt point then $X_B(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(B)$ (the definition of Eckardt points is given in Section 4). Fix $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ that is non-Eckardt. Given $D \in X(\mathbb{Q})$, we ask if there is $C \in X_B(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $D \in X_C(\mathbb{Q})$? If so, then provided C is non-Eckardt, we have $D \in \text{Span}(C) \subseteq \text{Span}(B)$. The answer to this question is positive provided the variety $Y_{B,D} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ given by

$$(2) \quad Y_{B,D} : \begin{cases} F(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0 \\ \nabla F(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) \cdot D = 0 \\ \nabla F(B) \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

has a rational point. A theorem of Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown allows us to deduce the existence of a rational point under some conditions, the most important being that n is large, and that $Y_{B,D}$ has a smooth real point. By considering the second fundamental form, and using a theorem on weak approximation for cubic hypersurfaces due to Skinner, we shall show the existence of a point $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ and a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$, so that $Y_{B,D}$ has a smooth real point for all $D \in U$. It follows (with a little care) that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(B)$. Once the existence of such a set U is established, we use Mordell–Weil operations to enlarge U and quickly complete the proof of Theorem 1.

2. SOME RESULTS FROM ANALYTIC NUMBER THEORY

2.1. **Weak Approximation.** We shall need the following theorem of Skinner [14].

Theorem 2 (Skinner). *Suppose $n \geq 15$. Then X satisfies weak approximation.*

This means that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $X(A_{\mathbb{Q}})$ where $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the adèles. It follows that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $X(\mathbb{R})$; a fact we use repeatedly in the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose $n \geq 15$. Let $U, V \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be disjoint open sets. Let $A' \in U, B' \in V$, and let $\ell' \not\subset X$ be an \mathbb{R} -line such that $\ell' \cdot X = 2A' + B'$. Then there are $A \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}), B \in V \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ and a \mathbb{Q} -line $\ell \not\subset X$ such that $\ell \cdot X = 2A + B$.*

Proof. The projectivized tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_X of X parametrizes pairs (P, ℓ) with $P \in X$ and ℓ a line tangent to X at P . We make use of the fact that \mathcal{T}_X is locally trivial; thus there is a Zariski open \mathcal{U} containing A' , and a local isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ such that $\varphi(P, \alpha) = (P, \ell_{P, \alpha})$ where $\ell_{P, \alpha}$ is a line tangent to X at P . Moreover, as A' is real we take φ to be defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $W = \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}) \cap U$ which is necessarily an open neighbourhood of A' . Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\ell' = \ell_{A', \alpha}$. By Theorem 2 we can find $\{A_i\} \subset W \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ converging to A' . Write $\ell_i = \ell_{A_i, \alpha}$. Then $\{\ell_i\}$ converges to ℓ' in $\mathbb{G}(n+1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, for sufficiently large i , the line ℓ_i meets V . Let $A = A_i \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ for any such large i . Choosing a line ℓ/\mathbb{Q} tangent to X at A that sufficiently approximates ℓ_i completes the proof. \square

2.2. **Intersections of a cubic with a quadric.** Let $Q, C \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ be a pair of forms of degrees 2 and 3 respectively, such that

$$Y : C(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0, \quad Q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$$

is a complete intersection $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$. Using the circle method, Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown establish various sufficient conditions for Y to have a rational point. We recount one of their theorems [1, Theorem 4] which will be essential to our proof of Theorem 1. Define $\text{ord}_Q(C)$ as the least non-negative integer m such that $C = LQ + C'$, with linear form $L \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$, and such that there is a matrix $\mathbf{T} \in \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q})$ with $C'(\mathbf{T}(x_1, \dots, x_k)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

Theorem 3 (Browning, Dietmann and Heath-Brown). *With notation as above, suppose $k \geq 49$ and $\text{ord}_Q(C) \geq 17$. If Y has a smooth real point then $Y(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f, q, l \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}]$, be forms of degree 3, 2, 1. Write*

$$Z : f(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = q(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = l(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0$$

for their common locus of zeros in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} . Suppose that

- (i) the cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} defined by f is smooth;
- (ii) Z has a smooth real point;
- (iii) $n \geq 48$.

Then Z has a rational point.

Proof. By a non-singular change of variable, we may suppose that $l = x_0$. Let

$$f'(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = f(0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}), \quad q'(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = q(0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

We may therefore consider Z as being given in \mathbb{P}^n as the common locus of $f' = q' = 0$. Suppose $\text{ord}_{q'}(f') \leq 16$. Then a further non-singular change of variables allows us to write

$$f = x_0 q_0 + l' q' + h(x_1, \dots, x_{16}).$$

where q_0 is a quadratic form, l' is a linear form, and h is a cubic form. Now as $n \geq 48$, there is a common zero in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} to

$$x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_{16} = l' = q_0 = q' = 0.$$

This gives a singular point on the cubic hypersurface $f = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} contradicting (i). We may thus suppose that $\text{ord}_{q'}(f') \geq 17$. A similar argument shows that $f' = q' = 0$ defines a complete intersection in \mathbb{P}^n . By (ii) this intersection has a smooth real point. Applying Theorem 3 with $k = n + 1$ completes the proof. \square

3. A NUMERICAL STABILITY CRITERION

3.1. Newton–Raphson. We need a rigorous version of the multivariate Newton–Raphson method. The following result is part of Theorem 5.3.2 of [15]. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the usual Euclidean norm (both for vectors and for matrices). For differentiable $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, denote the Jacobian matrix by $J_{\mathbf{f}}$:

$$J_{\mathbf{f}} := \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}.$$

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, \mathcal{C}_0 be convex such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_0} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be differentiable for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and continuous for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$.*

For $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$ let $r, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, h$ be given positive numbers with the following properties:

$$\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0) := \{\mathbf{x} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\| < r\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0, \quad h := \alpha\beta\gamma/2 < 1, \quad r := \alpha/(1-h),$$

and let \mathbf{f} satisfy:

- (i) $\|J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) - J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y})\| \leq \gamma\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}_0$;
- (ii) $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ exists and satisfies $\|J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}\| \leq \beta$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_0$;
- (iii) $\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}\| \leq \alpha$.

Then beginning at \mathbf{x}_0 each point

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_k) \cdot J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_k)^{-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

is well-defined and belongs to $\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Moreover the limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}_k = \boldsymbol{\xi}$ exists, belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0)}$ and satisfies $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathbf{0}$.

3.2. Stability. For $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we shall let $\|f\|_c$ denote the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of f .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be polynomials with $m \leq n$. Let $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a common zero of g_1, \dots, g_m , such that $\nabla g_1(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \dots, \nabla g_m(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ are linearly independent. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is $\delta > 0$ such that if $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfy $\|f_i - g_i\|_c < \delta$, then there is $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

- (a) $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is a common zero to f_1, \dots, f_m ;
- (b) $\nabla f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \dots, \nabla f_m(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ are linearly independent;
- (c) $\|\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\zeta}\| < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Choose $\mathbf{v}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\nabla g_1(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \dots, \nabla g_m(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \mathbf{v}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is a basis. Let

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\zeta}), \quad i = m+1, \dots, n.$$

Then $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ is a common zero to g_1, \dots, g_n and $\nabla g_1(\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \dots, \nabla g_n(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ are linearly independent. Let $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$. Then $J_{\mathbf{g}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ is invertible. We shall fix $f_i = g_i$ for $i = m+1, \dots, n$, and let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. We will apply Theorem 4 with $\mathbf{x}_0 = \boldsymbol{\zeta}$.

There is some $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_i - g_i\|_c < \delta_0$ then $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is invertible. Choose $0 < r_0 \leq \varepsilon$ so that condition (ii) of the theorem is satisfied for some $\beta > 0$, with $\mathcal{C}_0 = \overline{\mathcal{B}_{r_0}(\mathbf{x}_0)}$. Condition (i) holds for some $\gamma > 0$ by the multivariate Taylor Theorem. Let $\alpha = \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}_0)^{-1}\|$, which depends on \mathbf{f} . Now $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{0}$, so clearly if $\delta \rightarrow 0$, then $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Therefore for sufficiently small $\delta < \delta_0$, we have $h := \alpha\beta\gamma/2 < 1$ and $r := \alpha/(1-h) < r_0$. By the theorem, there is $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_r(\mathbf{x}_0)}$ such that $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathbf{0}$. By construction $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ satisfies (a), (b), (c). \square

3.3. Smooth Real Points on the Varieties $Y_{B,D}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let B, D' be points belonging to $X(\mathbb{R})$ such that the variety $Y_{B,D'} \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ given by (2) has a smooth real point C' . Let $V \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be an open neighbourhood of C' . Then there is an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ of D' , such that for every $D \in U$, the variety $Y_{B,D}$ has a smooth real point $C \in V$.*

Proof. We may suppose that B, C', D' are contained in the affine patch $x_0 = 1$. Let G_1, G_2, G_3 be the three polynomials defining $Y_{B,D'}$ in (2) and let $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ be their dehomogenizations by $x_0 = 1$. Write f_1, f_2, f_3 for the corresponding polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ defining $Y_{B,D} \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ with $D \in X(\mathbb{R}) \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$. Of course $f_1 = g_1, f_3 = g_3$, and moreover

$$\|f_2 - g_2\|_c \leq \mu \cdot \|D - D'\|_\infty$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a constant and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the infinity norm in the affine patch $x_0 = 1$ (which we identify with \mathbb{R}^{n+1}). Now $C' \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a common zero for g_1, g_2, g_3 with $\nabla g_1(C'), \nabla g_2(C'), \nabla g_3(C')$ linearly independent (as C' is now a smooth point on the affine patch $Y_{B,D'} \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small so that $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(C') \cap X(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in V . Applying Lemma 3.1, we know that if $\|D - D'\|_\infty$ is sufficiently small then there is a non-zero vector $C \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(C')$ that is a common zero for f_1, f_2, f_3 with $\nabla f_1(C), \nabla f_2(C), \nabla f_3(C)$ linearly independent. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $n \geq 48$. Let $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose $D' \in X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $Y_{B,D'}$ has a smooth real point C' . Then there is a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that if $D \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$, then $Y_{B,D}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let U be as in Lemma 3.2. Then $Y_{B,D}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} and has a smooth real point for every $D \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$. Now the lemma follows from Corollary 2.2. \square

4. A LITTLE GEOMETRY

4.1. **Lines on X .** The following is well-known (for a proof, see [13, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let ℓ be a line contained in X and $P \in \ell$. Then $\ell \subset T_P X$.*

4.2. **The second fundamental form.** Let $P \in X$. Associated to P is a quadratic form (well-defined up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar) known as the second fundamental form which we denote by $\Pi_P X$, and which is defined as the differential of the Gauss map (e.g. [4], [5, Chapter 17]). For our purpose the following explicit recipe given in [4, pages 369–370] is useful. By carrying out a non-singular change of coordinates we may suppose P is $(1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$, and the tangent plane $T_P X$ at P given by $x_{n+1} = 0$. Then X has the equation $F = 0$ with

$$(3) \quad F = x_0^2 x_{n+1} + x_0 q(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) + c(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$$

where q and c are homogeneous of degree 2 and 3 respectively. Write $z_1 = x_1/x_0, \dots, z_{n+1} = x_{n+1}/x_0$. We can take z_1, \dots, z_n as local coordinates for X at P , and then X is given by the local equation

$$z_{n+1} = q'(z_1, \dots, z_n) + (\text{higher order terms}).$$

Here $q'(z_1, \dots, z_n) = -q(z_1, \dots, z_n, 0)$. The second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$ is the quadratic form $q'(dz_1, \dots, dz_n)$ (up to scaling). We shall only be concerned with the rank and signature of $\Pi_P X$, which are precisely the rank and signature of $q(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$ and so we will take this as the second fundamental form. We may therefore view it as the restriction of q to $T_P X$. The following follows easily from the above description and the implicit function theorem.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $\Pi_P X$ has full rank n .*

- (i) *If $\Pi_P X$ is definite then there is an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $U \cap X_P(\mathbb{R}) = \{P\}$.*
- (ii) *If $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite then for every open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ of P the intersection contains a real manifold of dimension $n - 1$.*

Lemma 4.3. *There is a non-empty subset $U_1 \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$, open in the real topology, such that for $P \in U_1$ the second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite of full rank.*

Proof. A theorem of Landsberg [7, Theorem 6.1] asserts that at a general point on smooth hypersurface of degree ≥ 2 , the second fundamental form has full rank. Thus there is a Zariski open $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ such that $\Pi_P X$ has full rank for $P \in \mathcal{U}$.

A straightforward application of Bertini's Theorem shows the existence of a real 3-dimensional linear subvariety $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ such that $X' = \Lambda \cap X$ is a smooth real cubic surface. A classical theorem of Schläfli asserts that the number of real lines on smooth real cubic surface is either 3, 7, 15 or 27. Let $\ell \subset \Lambda \cap X$ be a real line. Recall that a point on a smooth real surface is called hyperbolic if the Gaussian curvature at the point is negative. By [13, Lemma 2.2] all but at most two points of $\ell(\mathbb{R})$ are hyperbolic for X' . Let $Q \in \ell(\mathbb{R})$ be a hyperbolic point for X' . The determinant of the second fundamental form $\Pi_Q X'$ is the Gaussian curvature of X' at Q , which is negative. It follows that the binary quadratic form $\Pi_Q X'$ is indefinite. Now $\Pi_Q X'$ is the restriction of $\Pi_Q X$ to $T_Q X'$ and so $\Pi_Q X$ is indefinite. Thus there is a neighbourhood $V \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ of Q , open in the real topology, such that $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite for $P \in V$. Now V is necessarily Zariski-dense in X . Thus $V \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$ is non-empty (as well as being open in the real topology). The proof is complete upon letting $U_1 = V \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$. \square

4.3. The Hessian. Given $P \in X$, the Hessian of F evaluated at P is given by the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix

$$H_F(P) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(P) \right)_{i,j=0,\dots,n+1}.$$

Of course the Hessian is well-defined up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $P \in X$ and suppose $\Pi_P(X)$ has full rank n . Then $H_F(P)$ has full rank $n+2$.*

Proof. Starting from (3), an easy computation shows that the determinant of the Hessian at P is (up to sign) the determinant of $q(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$. \square

4.4. Eckardt Points. We call $P \in X$ an *Eckardt point* if $X_P := X \cap T_P X$ is a cone with vertex at P . Note that if $n = 2$ and P is an Eckardt point then X_P consists of three lines meeting at P ; in this case $\Pi_P X$ vanishes identically.

For a proof of the following classical theorem see [3, Section 2].

Theorem 5. *The set of Eckardt points on X is finite.*

4.5. Components of a Real Cubic Hypersurface. We summarize briefly some well-known facts about components of real cubic hypersurfaces. Everything we need is actually contained in [17, Section 4.3]. A smooth real cubic hypersurface has either one or two connected components. If it has two connected components then one of these is two-sided, and homeomorphic to S^n , and the other is one-sided and homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^n$. If a line intersects the two-sided component then it intersects it in two points, and intersects the odd-sided component in one point.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose $X(\mathbb{R})$ has two connected components. Then $\Pi_P X$ is definite of full rank for all P belonging to the two-sided component.*

Proof. Let P be a point on the two-sided component. Suppose $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite or not of full rank. Then there is a real line $\ell \subset T_P X$ (along which $\Pi_P X$ vanishes) that meets X with multiplicity ≥ 3 at P . As this is impossible for points on the two-sided component, we have a contradiction. \square

5. MORDELL–WEIL GENERATION: FIRST STEPS

Proposition 5.1. *Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a non-Eckardt point. Then the set $X_P(\mathbb{Q})$ (considered as a subset of $X(\mathbb{Q})$) is contained in $\text{Span}(P)$.*

The following lemma follows from the definitions.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $Q \in X_P(\mathbb{Q})$ be distinct from P . Suppose the line ℓ joining P to Q is not contained in X . Then $Q \in \text{Span}(P)$.*

For the proof of Proposition 5.1 it remains to show that $Q \in \text{Span}(P)$ in the case $\ell \subset X$. For $n = 2$ this is [13, Lemma 3.2], so we suppose for the remainder of this section that $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 5.3. *Any hyperplane section of X is absolutely irreducible.*

Proof. Let $L = 0$ be a hyperplane such that $X \cap \{L = 0\}$ is absolutely reducible. Then we can write $F = LQ + L'Q'$ where L, L' are homogeneous linear, and Q, Q' are homogeneous quadratic. As $n \geq 3$, the variety $L = L' = Q = Q' = 0$ has a point $R \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. It follows that R is a singular on X giving a contradiction. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a non-Eckardt point. Let $Q \in X_P(\mathbb{Q})$ with $T_Q X \neq T_P X$. Then $Q \in \text{Span}(P)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq X_P$ be the subvariety consisting of lines through P contained in X_P . As P is a non-Eckardt point, \mathcal{W} is a proper subvariety. Moreover, by Lemma 5.3, the tangent plane section X_P is irreducible, and so $\dim(\mathcal{W}) < \dim(X_P)$. Let $\mathcal{U} = X_P - \mathcal{W}$ which is Zariski dense in X_P .

Let $\mathcal{V} := X_P \setminus (X_P \cap T_Q)$. As $T_Q \neq T_P$, this is a dense open subset of X_P . Let $\iota : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the involution given as follows. If $R \in \mathcal{V}$ we join R to Q by the line $\ell_{R,Q}$ and we let $\iota(R)$ be the third point of intersection of this line with X . We note that $\ell_{R,Q} \not\subset X$, since otherwise it will be contained in $T_Q X$ by

Lemma 4.1. Now $(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U}) \cap \iota(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U})$ is a Zariski dense subset of the rational variety X_P . This dense subset must contain a rational point R . Then $R, \iota(R) \notin \mathcal{W}$ and so $R, \iota(R) \in \text{Span}(P)$ by Lemma 5.2. Finally the line joining R with $\iota(R)$ passes through Q and is not contained in X . Thus $Q \in \text{Span}(R)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Let $Q \in X_P(\mathbb{Q})$. We would like to show that $Q \in \text{Span}(P)$. Thanks to Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4, we may suppose there is a \mathbb{Q} -line $\ell \subset X$ containing P, Q , and $T_Q X = T_P X$. Now the line ℓ contains at most finitely many Eckardt points by Theorem 5. Moreover, the Gauss map on a smooth hypersurface has finite fibres [5, Lecture 15]. Thus there is a non-Eckardt $R \in \ell(\mathbb{Q})$ with $T_R X \neq T_P X$. It follows that $R \in \text{Span}(P)$. Moreover, $Q \in \ell \subset T_R$ by Lemma 4.1 and so $Q \in \text{Span}(R)$ (again by Lemma 5.4). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose $n \geq 48$. Let $B \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ so that X_B does not contain points that are Eckardt for X . Suppose $D' \in X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $Y_{B,D'}$ has a smooth real point C' . Then there is a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(B)$.*

Proof. Take U to be as in Lemma 3.3. Let $D \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$. By the conclusion of Lemma 3.3 we see that $Y_{B,D}$ has a rational point C . From the equations defining $Y_{B,D}$ in (2) we have that $C \in X_B(\mathbb{Q})$ and $D \in X_C(\mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, neither B nor C (both contained in X_B) are Eckardt points. Applying Proposition 5.1, we have $C \in \text{Span}(B)$ and $D \in \text{Span}(C)$ completing the proof. \square

6. A SMOOTHNESS CRITERION

Lemma 6.1. *Let $B \in X$, Let $C' \in X_B$ and $D' \in X_{C'}$. Suppose*

- (i) $T_{C'} X \neq T_B X$;
- (ii) $H_F(C')$ has full rank, where H_F is the Hessian matrix;
- (iii) D' does not belong to the line

$$(4) \quad \{ (\lambda \nabla F(B) + \mu \nabla F(C')) \cdot H_F(C')^{-1} \quad : \quad (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \}.$$

Then C' is a smooth point on the variety $Y_{B,D'} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ given by (2).

Proof. As $C' \in X_B$ and $D' \in X_{C'}$ we see that $C' \in Y_{B,D'}$. We need to show that C' is a smooth point on $Y_{B,D'}$. Write

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \nabla F(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) \cdot D', \quad g = \nabla F(B) \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

To show that C' is smooth on $Y_{B,D'}$ it is enough to show that $\nabla F(C'), \nabla f(C')$ and $\nabla g(C')$ are linearly independent. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\nabla f(C') = D' \cdot H_F(C'), \quad \nabla g(C') = \nabla F(B).$$

Suppose

$$\varepsilon D' \cdot H_F(C') + \lambda \nabla F(B) + \mu \nabla F(C') = 0.$$

By assumptions (ii) and (iii) we see that $\varepsilon = 0$. However, $\nabla F(B)$ and $\nabla F(C')$ are linearly independent by assumption (i), and so $\lambda = \mu = 0$. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section $n \geq 48$.

Lemma 7.1. *There is $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ and a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that:*

- (i) $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(A)$;
- (ii) $\text{Span}(A)$ contains at least one point in every connected component of $X(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Suppose first that $X(\mathbb{R})$ is connected. Let $U_1 \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be the non-empty open subset whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 4.3: for every $P \in U_1$, the second fundamental form $\Pi_P X$ is indefinite of full rank. It follows from Theorem 5 that the set of points P with X_P containing an Eckardt point is a proper subset of X that is closed in the Zariski topology. Thus we may replace U_1 by a non-empty open set $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ such that for every $P \in U_2$, the subvariety X_P does not contain points that are Eckardt for X . Fix $B \in U_2 \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2. The hypersurface X is smooth of degree 3, and so the Gauss map $X \rightarrow X^*$ has finite fibres [5, Lecture 15]. We can therefore take an open neighbourhood $U_3 \subseteq U_2$ of B such that for all $C' \in U_3$ with $C' \neq B$, we have $T_B X \neq T_{C'} X$. By Lemma 4.2, the intersection $U_3 \cap X_B(\mathbb{R})$ contains a real manifold of dimension $n-1$; choose $C' \in U_3 \cap X_B(\mathbb{R})$ with $C' \neq B$. As the second fundamental form has full rank on U_3 , we see from Lemma 4.4 that $H_F(C')$ is of full rank $n+2$. Now again by Lemma 4.2, the intersection $U_3 \cap X_{C'}(\mathbb{R})$ contains a manifold of real dimension $n-1$, and so we can find $D' \in U_3 \cap X_{C'}(\mathbb{R})$ that avoids the line (4). The points B, C', D' satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6.1. Thus C' is a smooth point on $Y_{B,D'}$. By Lemma 5.5, there is a non-empty open U such that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(B)$. We simply take $A = B$, and the proof is complete in the case when $X(\mathbb{R})$ is connected.

Now suppose $X(\mathbb{R})$ has two connected components. Let $U_2 \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ be as above. From Lemma 4.5 we know that U_2 is contained in the one-sided component. Let $B' \in U_2$. Let ℓ' be a real line passing through B' and tangent to the two-sided component at a point A' . By Corollary 2.1, there is a point $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ belonging to the two-sided component and a line ℓ defined over \mathbb{Q} such that $\ell \cdot X = 2A + B$ where $B \in U_2 \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$. Now $B \in \text{Span}(A)$ and $\text{Span}(A)$ contains points belonging to both components of $X(\mathbb{R})$. From the above argument there is a non-empty open $U \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(B) \subseteq \text{Span}(A)$. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let $A \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be as in Lemma 7.1. Then there is an open $W \subseteq X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $W \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Span}(A)$.*

Proof. Let U be as in Lemma 7.1. We may suppose $\text{Span}(A) \not\subseteq U$, otherwise we simply take $W = U$ and there is nothing to prove. Let $P \in \text{Span}(A)$ that does not belong to U . By Theorem 2, there is some $P' \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $P' \notin T_P X$. Let ℓ be the line joining P to P' . The line ℓ is not contained in $T_P X$ and so, by Lemma 4.1, not contained in X . Let $P'' \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be the third point of intersection of ℓ with X . Since $P \in \text{Span}(A)$ and $P' \in U \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(A)$, we have $P'' \in \text{Span}(A)$. Observe that ℓ is not contained in the tangent plane of P'' (for otherwise ℓ would be contained in X). Now there is some non-empty open $U' \subset U$ containing P' that is disjoint from the tangent plane of P'' . For a point $R \in U'$, let $\varphi(R)$ denote the third point of intersection of the (real) line joining R to P'' . Then the map $\varphi : U' \rightarrow X(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous and injective. By the Invariance of Domain Theorem [2, Corollary IV.19.9], the image $\varphi(U')$ is open. We shall let $W_P = \varphi(U')$.

Clearly $P \in W_P$ and $W_P \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(A)$. The lemma follows on taking

$$W = U \cup \bigcup_{P \in \text{Span}(A) \setminus U} W_P.$$

□

Lemma 7.3. *Let W be as in Lemma 7.2, and write \overline{W} for its closure. Then \overline{W} is closed under secant operations: if $P, Q \in \overline{W}$ are distinct, and if the line ℓ joining them is not contained in X , then $R \in \overline{W}$ where $\ell \cdot X = P + Q + R$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 there exist $\{P_k\}, \{Q_k\} \subset W \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$, with $P_k \neq Q_k$, that converge respectively to P, Q . Write $F \subset \mathbb{G}(n+1, 1)$ for the Fano scheme of lines on X . Then the real points of F are closed in $\mathbb{G}(n+1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$. As $\ell \notin F(\mathbb{R})$, we see for large enough k that the line ℓ_k/\mathbb{Q} joining P_k, Q_k is not contained in X . Let $\ell_k \cdot X = P_k + Q_k + R_k$. Then $\{R_k\}$ converges to R . Moreover, $P_k, Q_k \in W \cap X(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Span}(A)$. Hence $R_k \in \text{Span}(A) \subset W$ and so $R \in \overline{W}$. □

Lemma 7.4. *Let A, W be as above. Then $\overline{W} = X(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We claim that \overline{W} is open. From that it follows that \overline{W} is a union of connected components of $X(\mathbb{R})$. As $\text{Span}(A) \subset \overline{W}$ contains points from every component, the lemma follows from the claim.

To prove the claim we mimic the argument in the proof of Lemma 7.2. Let $P \in \overline{W}$. Let $P' \in W$ such that $P' \notin T_P X$, and let ℓ be the line joining P to P' . As \overline{W} is closed under secant operations, $P'' \in \overline{W}$ where $\ell \cdot X = P + P' + P''$. Now there is some non-empty open $W' \subset W$ containing P' that is disjoint from the tangent plane of P'' . For a point $R \in W'$, let $\varphi(R)$ denote the third point of intersection of the (real) line joining R to P'' . Then the map $\varphi : W' \rightarrow X(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous and injective, and thus the image $\varphi(W')$ is open. Clearly $\varphi(W')$ contains P and is contained in \overline{W} (as the latter is closed under secant operations). □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let A, W be as above. In particular, $\text{Span}(A) = W \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\overline{W} = X(\mathbb{R})$. We write $\partial W = X(\mathbb{R}) \setminus W$. We note that ∂W is the complement of an open dense set, and therefore nowhere dense.

We want to show that $X(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Span}(A)$. Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. Then there is a Zariski open $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ and an involution $\iota : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ that sends $R \in \mathcal{U}$ to the third point of the line joining R to P . Choose $R \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}) \cap X(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $R \notin \partial W \cup \iota(\partial W)$. Then $R, \iota(R) \in \text{Span} A$ and so $P \in \text{Span}(A)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] T. D. Browning, R. Dietmann and D. R. Heath-Brown, *Rational points on intersections of cubic and quadric hypersurfaces*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **14** (2015), 703–749.
- [2] G. E. Bredon, *Geometry and Topology*, GTM **139**, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [3] I. Coskun and J. Starr, *Rational curves on smooth cubic hypersurfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **24** (2009), 4626–4641.
- [4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 3, 355–452.
- [5] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry: A First Course*, GTM **133**, Springer, 1992.
- [6] D. Kanevsky and Yu. Manin, *Composition of points and the Mordell-Weil problem for cubic surfaces*, Rational points on algebraic varieties, 199–219, Progr. Math. **199**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [7] J. M. Landsberg, *On the second fundamental forms of projective varieties*, Invent. Math. **117** (1994), 303–315.

- [8] Yu. I. Manin, *Cubic Forms: Algebra, Geometry, Arithmetic*, North-Holland, 1974 and 1986.
- [9] Yu. I. Manin, *Mordell–Weil problem for cubic surfaces*, pages 313–318 of *Advances in mathematical sciences: CRM’s 25 years* (Montreal, PQ, 1994), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **11**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [10] Yu. I. Manin, *Combinatorial cubic surfaces and reconstruction theorems*, pages 99–118 of *Zeta functions in algebra and geometry*, Contemp. Math., **566**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [11] L. Schläfli, *An attempt to determine the twenty-seven lines upon a surface of the third order, and to divide such surfaces into species in reference to the reality of the lines upon the surface*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **2** (1858), 110–120.
- [12] B. Segre, *A note on arithmetical properties of cubic surfaces*, J. LMS **18** (1943), 24–31.
- [13] S. Siksek, *On the number of Mordell–Weil generators for cubic surfaces*, Journal of Number Theory **132** (2012), 2610–2629.
- [14] C. M. Skinner, *Forms over number fields and weak approximation*, Compositio Mathematica **106** (1997), 11–29.
- [15] J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*, Second Edition, Springer, 1992.
- [16] B. G. Vioreanu, *Mordell–Weil problem for cubic surfaces, numerical evidence*, pages 223–240 of *Arithmetic geometry*, Clay Math. Proc. **8**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [17] O. Viro, *Mutual positions of hypersurfaces in projective space*, Geometry of differential equations, 161–176, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 186, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: spapanik21@gmail.com
E-mail address: s.siksek@warwick.ac.uk