



Leal, G. R., Furness, R. W., McGill, R. A.R. , Santos, R. A. and Bugoni, L. (2017) Feeding and foraging ecology of Trindade petrels *Pterodroma arminjoniana* during the breeding period in the South Atlantic Ocean. *Marine Biology*, 164, 211. (doi:[10.1007/s00227-017-3240-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-017-3240-8))

This is the author's final accepted version.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/149389/>

Deposited on: 06 October 2017

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1 **Feeding and foraging ecology of Trindade petrels *Pterodroma arminjoniana* during**
2 **the breeding period in the South Atlantic Ocean**

3

4 **Gustavo R. Leal^{1,*}, Robert W. Furness², Rona A.R. McGill³, Roberta A. Santos⁴,**
5 **Leandro Bugoni¹**

6

7 ¹ Laboratório de Aves Aquáticas e Tartarugas Marinhas, Instituto de Ciências
8 Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande - FURG, Campus Carreiros, Avenida
9 Itália s/n, CP 474, 96203-900, Rio Grande, RS, Brazil

10 ² College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, Graham Kerr Building, University
11 of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

12 ³ NERC Life Sciences Mass Spectrometry Facility, Scottish Universities Environmental
13 Research Centre, Scottish Enterprise Technology Park, East Kilbride G75 0QF, UK

14 ⁴ Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade, CEPISUL – Centro de
15 Pesquisa e Gestão dos Recursos Pesqueiros do SE e Sul. Avenida Ministro Victor
16 Konder nº 374, Centro, 88301-700, Itajaí, SC, Brazil

17 Corresponding author, Email: gustavodarosaleal@gmail.com

18

19 L. Bugoni ORCID 0000-0003-0689-7026

20

21 **Abstract** Seabirds breeding in tropical environments experience high energetic
22 demands, when foraging in an oligotrophic environment. The globally threatened
23 Trindade petrel *Pterodroma arminjoniana* has its largest colony in Trindade Island
24 (20°30'S–29°19'W) inside the oligotrophic South Atlantic Subtropical Gyre. Diet
25 sampling methods, geolocator tracking and stable isotope analysis were used to describe

26 its diet, compare foraging trips and distributions, and assess temporal variations in the
27 trophic niche throughout the breeding period. Diet consisted mainly of squid and fish.
28 The high species diversity and wide range of prey sizes consumed suggests the use of
29 multiple foraging techniques. Stable isotope mixing models confirm that Trindade
30 petrels rely mainly on squid throughout the breeding period. Its broad isotopic niche
31 seems to reflect both a diverse diet and foraging range, since birds can reach up to 3335
32 km from the colony. Isotopic niche showed limited variation even in an eight-year
33 interval, apparently due to oceanographic stability, although changes in the isotopic
34 niche have demonstrated an adjustment to different conditions in different seasons.
35 Petrels change foraging areas and prey during the breeding period: pre-incubating birds
36 use more productive areas west of Trindade Island and obtain low-trophic-position prey;
37 incubating petrels perform longer trips southward to consume prey of high trophic
38 position; and chick-rearing petrels use areas around the island. These results
39 demonstrate that to deal with high demand breeding in a colony surrounded by
40 oligotrophic waters, Trindade petrels need to explore wide foraging areas and utilize a
41 diverse diet, besides adjusting trophic niche according to breeding stage.

42

43 **Key-words** diet, gadfly petrel, geolocator, stable isotopes, seabird, tracking

44

45 **Introduction**

46 Tropical seabirds in search of food are challenged to find resources in an unpredictable
47 oligotrophic environment (Weimerskirch 2007). In tropical regions, the climatic
48 conditions vary slightly and the wind energy is not sufficient to promote upwelling of
49 nutrients from deep water layers (Lalli and Parsons 1997). Therefore, these are low
50 productivity areas with limited fluctuation in prey abundance (Lalli and Parsons 1997).

51 In high productivity areas, such as higher latitudes and at the east sides of oceanic gyres,
52 prey availability varies seasonally, sustained by solar radiation and nutrient availability
53 brought into the euphotic zone mainly by wind action (Lalli and Parsons 1997). In those
54 areas, seabirds breed synchronously with higher prey abundance, and forage where the
55 occurrence of resources is more predictable, such as upwelling areas, continental shelf-
56 break and ocean fronts (Shealer 2001). In contrast, tropical seabirds can breed
57 throughout the year or have extended breeding periods, and frequently forage in
58 association with sub-surface predators, which displace prey toward the surface,
59 providing patchy and unpredictable short-term feeding opportunities for seabirds
60 (Jaquemet et al. 2004; Ballance et al. 2006; Thiebot and Weimerskirch 2013).

61 While non-breeding seabirds may move continually through vast oceanic areas
62 searching for food, during the breeding period they need to return to colonies regularly,
63 behaving as central place foragers (Orians and Pearson 1979). In addition to supplying
64 its metabolic demands, which are particularly high during breeding, seabirds also need
65 to obtain resources to sustain the high energy requirements for egg production and chick
66 growth (Whittow 2001). Therefore, during the breeding period seabirds are more
67 vulnerable to fluctuations or depletion of food resources in waters adjacent to colonies
68 (Whittow 2001; Furness 2007; Elliott et al. 2009). As a consequence of the local
69 variation in resource availability, seabirds may present inter-annual and seasonal diet
70 changes, even in supposedly stable tropical environments (Le Corre et al. 2003;
71 Mancini et al. 2014).

72 Seabirds to cope with different demands throughout the stages of the breeding
73 period may vary their at-sea distribution and diet in order to optimize their foraging
74 (González-Solís et al. 2000; Paiva et al. 2015). As energetic demands differ markedly
75 between adults and chicks, seabirds also may employ a dual-foraging strategy

76 (Weimerskirch et al. 1994; Congdon et al. 2005; Magalhães et al. 2008), i.e. adult birds
77 explore different trophic niches for self-maintenance vs. feeding chicks.

78 Trophic ecology of seabirds is well studied in comparison to other vertebrates or
79 even terrestrial birds or continental waterbirds. Such knowledge is mainly from studies
80 based on traditional sampling methods, such as regurgitates and stomach content
81 analysis, in which samples represent recent meals (Barrett et al. 2007). This allows prey
82 to be identified to species level, but such methods frequently overestimate the
83 contribution of prey with rigid body structures and overlook soft-bodied food items
84 (Barrett et al. 2007). In contrast, despite lacking the taxonomic resolution of ingested
85 prey, stable isotope analysis (SIA) is a method that provides information about
86 assimilated food sources in consumer tissues over a larger time-window, such as 3–4
87 weeks for whole blood (Hobson and Clark 1992). Furthermore, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values
88 may allow inferences on trophic level (Vanderklift and Ponsard 2003) and foraging
89 areas (Cherel and Hobson 2007), respectively, acting as intrinsic markers. Based on
90 SIA, the isotopic niche has been used as a proxy for the trophic niche and has been a
91 tool to assess trophic ecology of organisms (Newsome et al. 2007, Mancini et al. 2014).
92 Combining these two methods in dietary studies gives the advantages of both
93 approaches, thus allowing more robust inferences on trophic ecology of consumers.

94 The Trindade petrel, *Pterodroma arminjoniana*, breeds in Trindade Island in the
95 South Atlantic Ocean, and at least since 1950s in Round Island, Indian Ocean (Brown et
96 al. 2010). The species is listed as ‘vulnerable’ by IUCN (2016), and ‘critically
97 endangered’ by the Brazilian Red List (MMA 2014) because of its susceptibility to
98 human impacts and stochastic events, due to very small breeding range and population
99 size, besides hybridizing with Kermadec petrel *P. neglecta* in Round Island (Brown et al.
100 2010). In Trindade Island ca. 1130 pairs breed all year round, with laying peaks in

101 September–October and February–March (Fonseca-Neto 2004; Luigi et al. 2009). The
102 period from laying to fledging is about 150 days-long; petrels incubate the single egg
103 for ~52 days and feed the chick for ~97 days (Luigi et al. 2009). Thus, a portion of the
104 population breeds during the austral spring–summer and another during the austral fall–
105 winter seasons.

106 The gadfly petrels, genus *Pterodroma*, contain the largest number of species
107 among seabirds (Gill and Donsker 2017). It includes medium-sized seabirds with
108 similar morphology (Flood and Fisher 2013), often with small breeding range and
109 population size (IUCN 2016), which forage over wide pelagic areas (Ramos et al.
110 2017). This restricts the knowledge of at-sea distribution to on-board sightings, and
111 places these petrels among the least known, yet most threatened, seabird genera (Cro
112 xall et al. 2012). Due to its relatively small size, tracking in *Pterodroma* has become
113 possible only in the last decade with tag miniaturization (Rayner et al. 2008). Therefore,
114 little information is available about intra-population differences in foraging behaviour
115 during breeding (Pinet et al. 2012; Danckwerts et al. 2016; Ramírez et al. 2016).

116 Gadfly petrels are squid specialists (Imber 1973; Imber et al. 1995; Bester et al.
117 2010) that use sight and smell to locate food, but can employ a variety of foraging
118 techniques to catch other prey e.g. fish, crustaceans, insects (Flood and Fisher 2013).
119 They travel long distances (Rayner et al. 2008, 2012; Pinet et al. 2012), performing an
120 arcing flight benefitting from the wind just above the sea surface, and thus save energy
121 while scanning wide oceanic areas to find food (Flood and Fisher 2013). The limited
122 information about foraging ecology of Trindade petrels suggests they consume mainly
123 squid (Luigi et al. 2009), and range across a wide oligotrophic area in the southwest
124 Atlantic Ocean during the breeding period (Krüger et al. 2016). In addition, differences

125 in stable isotopes values between adults and chicks (Quillfeldt et al. 2008), and among
126 breeding, migration and non-breeding periods (Krüger et al. 2016) were observed.

127 The current study aims to investigate the feeding and foraging ecology of the
128 vulnerable Trindade petrel at its largest colony through a range of complementary
129 methods. Traditional sampling methods were used to identify prey species, prey sizes
130 and to measure the importance in the Trindade petrel diet. In order to investigate
131 temporal variation in its trophic niche, stable isotope analysis of blood of Trindade
132 petrels of different years (2006–2007 vs. 2014–2015), seasons (fall–winter vs. spring–
133 summer) and breeding stages (pre-incubation, incubation, chick-rearing), was used. In
134 addition, geolocator tracking was used to identify at-sea distribution and measure
135 foraging trips of Trindade petrels throughout the different breeding stages, in both
136 years. Based on the inter-annual seabird diet changes, previously found in tropical
137 environments (Le Corre et al. 2003), we expected to find different trophic niches and at-
138 sea distributions between years (2006–2007 vs. 2014–2015). Similarly, seasonal
139 changes in the isotopic niche of other seabirds at South Atlantic Ocean islands, such as
140 Abrolhos and Fernando de Noronha, Brazil (Mancini et al. 2014), leads us to expect
141 differences in the trophic niche of petrels breeding in different seasons (fall–winter vs.
142 spring–summer) at Trindade Island, as this island is located further south than the above
143 mentioned islands. Moreover, due to different energy requirements at different stages of
144 the breeding period (pre-incubation, incubation and chick-rearing), we also expected to
145 find differences in isotopic niches and in at-sea distributions. Finally, the difference in
146 stable isotope values between adults and chicks found previously for Trindade petrels
147 and other seabirds (Quillfeldt et al. 2008) may reflect the use of a dual-foraging
148 strategy, thus we expected that adult petrels explore different foraging areas for self-
149 maintenance vs. feeding chicks.

150

151 **Methods**

152 **Study area**

153 Trindade Island (20°30'S–29°19'W), has an area of 8 km², located 1140 km off the South
154 American coast at the eastern end of the Vitória-Trindade seamount chain (Barth 1958).
155 A volcanic island, it has a rough terrain and a narrow shelf of only 32 km², surrounded
156 by deep waters up to ~5500 m depth (Leal and Bouchet 1991). It has a tropical oceanic
157 climate with average air temperature between 17°C in winter and 30°C in summer
158 (Castro 2009). Trindade is inside the oligotrophic South Atlantic Subtropical Gyre
159 (Peterson and Stramma 1991), under influence of the Brazil Current, a western
160 boundary current that flows southward and has temperature and salinity above 20°C and
161 36, respectively (Silveira et al. 2000). The seabird community at Trindade Island, in
162 addition to the Trindade petrel (Procellariiformes), currently includes three boobies and
163 frigatebird species (Suliformes), and three noddies and terns (Charadriiformes) (Mancini et
164 al. 2016). Some species are either present on the island all year round, such as Trindade
165 petrel, white tern *Gygis alba*, lesser frigatebird *Fregata ariel* and great frigatebird *F.*
166 *minor*, or only during the spring–summer (October to March), such as masked booby *Sula*
167 *dactylatra*, sooty tern *Onychoprion fuscatus* and brown noody *Anous stolidus* (Fonseca-
168 Neto 2004).

169

170 **Sampling**

171 Petrels were captured at nests by hand or using dipnets. Blood samples (~0.15–1.0 mL)
172 of chicks were collected in 2006–2007 and of adults in 2006–2007 and 2014–2015, in
173 both seasons (fall–winter and spring–summer), taken from the tarsal vein using syringe
174 and needle. Approximately 0.05 mL of blood was preserved in absolute ethanol or on

175 FTA[®] cards for molecular sex determination, using CHD genes (Fridolfsson and
176 Ellegren 1999). For stable isotopes analyses, ~0.1 mL of whole blood was placed on
177 glass slides, dried in the sun, scraped and stored in plastic vials (Bugoni et al. 2008).

178 Regurgitates of adults and chicks were obtained during handling, as well as
179 pellets found near nests, and the digestive tract of one chick found dead, during the
180 breeding period in both seasons of 2006–2007. Mantle (squid), muscle (fish), or the
181 whole body (jellyfish and insect) of prey found in regurgitates of seabirds or on the
182 beaches at Trindade Island, were sampled for SIA. Stable isotope sampling occurred
183 simultaneously with geolocator tracking during the breeding seasons of 2006–2007 and
184 2014–2015, while in 2016 only geolocator tracking was performed. Geolocator tracking
185 was performed only during the fall–winter season.

186

187 **Diet analysis**

188 Cephalopods were identified according to Clarke (1986) and using the reference
189 collection of the Center for Research and Management of Fishery Resources in
190 Southeast and South Coast (CEPSUL/ICMBio). When possible, the mantle length (mm)
191 and mass (g) of ingested cephalopods were reconstructed through allometric regressions
192 from Clarke (1986), Santos (1999) and Lu and Ickeringill (2002). Measures used in
193 regressions were the lower rostral length and upper rostral length of beaks. Fish,
194 crustaceans and insects were identified by experts on each group (see
195 Acknowledgements).

196 Each prey taxon present in the sample is termed hereafter as “food item” and for
197 each food item the following parameters were calculated: frequency of occurrence (FO),
198 i.e. the number of samples containing a given food item; relative frequency of
199 occurrence (FO%), i.e. FO as the percentage of the total number of samples examined;

200 number of food items counted in the pooled samples (N); numerical proportion of food
201 items in the diet (N%), i.e. N as a percentage of the total number of the all food items in
202 the pooled sample; relative prey-specific numeric contribution (PN%), taking into
203 account only the samples in which a given food item occurred; total mass of each food
204 item in the pooled sample (M); proportion of total mass in the diet (M%), i.e. M as a
205 percentage of the total mass of each food item in the pooled samples; relative prey-
206 specific mass contribution (PM%), taking into account only samples in which a given
207 food item occurred; and the prey-specific index of relative importance (PSIRI%) that
208 integrates all other parameters (Brown et al. 2012), as follows:

209

$$210 \quad \text{PSIRI}\% = \frac{[(\text{PN}\% + \text{PM}\%)* \text{FO}\%]}{2} / 100 \quad (\text{eq. 1})$$

211

212 To calculate the PSIRI, the mass used for most cephalopods was obtained from
213 allometric regressions. For prey not identified to species level the mean mass of the
214 taxon phylogenetically more closely (e.g. family, order) present in the diet samples, was
215 assigned as an estimate of its body mass. For the insect *Halobates micans* (Hemiptera:
216 Gerridae), the mean mass of whole insects present in the diet samples was used. For
217 food items for which body mass could not be reconstructed, the mass assigned was
218 based on phylogenetically closely related taxa of prey consumed by other Procellariidae
219 with similar morphology and diet (Imber 1973, 1976; Cherel et al. 2002; Bourgeois et
220 al. 2011). The exceptions were the fish *Platybelone argalus* (Teleostei: Belonidae), for
221 which the mass was based on the mean body mass of this fish species collected in the
222 Caribbean Sea (Opitz 1996), and Stomatopoda crustaceans, for which the body mass
223 was based on mean mass of two species recorded on Vitória-Trindade seamount chain
224 (Lavrado and Viana 2007; Silva 2011). Values obtained from bibliographic sources are

225 within the range of mass of other prey consumed by Trindade petrel, so we consider that
226 these values are plausible approximations for the reconstruction of the ingested mass in
227 the diet.

228

229 **Stable isotope analysis**

230 Lipids were extracted from prey samples with petroleum ether for 4 h in a Soxhlet
231 apparatus. Lipids in blood samples were not extracted due to low concentration of lipids
232 in this tissue (Bearhop et al. 2000), which was confirmed afterwards by SIA resulting in
233 C:N ratio <3.5. Prey and blood samples were lyophilized, ground, homogenized,
234 weighed (~0.7 mg) into tin capsules (5 × 9 mm) and analyzed by an elemental analyzer
235 (Costech ECS 4010) coupled to a continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer
236 (Delta PlusXP, Thermo Finnigan). Isotopic reference materials were interspersed with
237 samples for calibration. The SIA was carried out in two laboratories, NERC Life
238 Sciences Mass Spectrometry Facility (UK) and Stable Isotope Core Laboratory at
239 Washington State University (USA). Samples analyzed in different laboratories might
240 not be directly comparable, thus $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of growing feathers ($n = 10$) of
241 the yellow-nosed albatross *Thalassarche chlororhynchos* were analyzed in both
242 laboratories as a calibration exercise. Because a paired *t*-test showed no significant
243 difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($t = 0.53$; $P = 0.60$) and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($t = -0.77$; $P = 0.45$) between
244 laboratories, all values were used without further correction. Stable isotope values are
245 expressed in the standard δ notation, as the deviation from standards in parts per
246 thousand (‰), as follows:

247

$$248 \quad \delta^{13}\text{C} \text{ or } \delta^{15}\text{N} (\text{‰}) = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} \right) - 1 \quad (\text{eq. 2})$$

249

250 where the R_{sample} is the ratio between the heavy and the light isotope in the sample, and
251 R_{standard} is the ratio between the heavy and the light isotope in Pee Dee Belemnite
252 limestone, the international standard for carbon, or atmospheric N_2 , the international
253 standard for nitrogen.

254 The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of whole blood of birds were analyzed using
255 generalized linear models (GLM), with a Gaussian distribution. Models were built using
256 the SI values of adult birds as response variables and sex, year, season, breeding stage
257 and first-order interactions as explanatory variables. Nonsignificant variables were
258 progressively removed and model selection was performed using the Akaike
259 Information Criterion (AIC) (Jonshon and Omland 2004). To compare the SI values in
260 blood of adults during the chick-rearing period and chicks, models with SI values as
261 response variable, and age as explanatory variable, were built. Residual diagnostics,
262 such as quantile-quantile plots and residual versus fitted plots, were used to examine the
263 fit of the select models.

264 The variables that significantly affected the SI values according to GLM results
265 were used to separate the Trindade petrels sampled in different groups. Stable Isotopes
266 Bayesian Ellipses in R (SIBER) was used to determine the isotopic niche dimension
267 through the standard ellipse areas adjusted for small sample sizes (SEAc) and to
268 calculate the percentage overlap between these groups (Jackson et al. 2011). The
269 contribution of different food sources in the diet of groups was estimated by Bayesian
270 Stable Isotope Mixing Models (SIAR; Parnell et al. 2010). The sources used in models
271 were determined from diet samples or dietary information available in Luigi et al.
272 (2009). Food items of similar taxa and with similar isotopic values were pooled in
273 groups of potential food sources (Phillips et al. 2005). Because stable isotope mixing
274 models are sensitive to variations in values of trophic discrimination factors (Bond and

275 Diamond 2011), we used a range of published values to build models. In the absence of
276 discrimination factor values specific to Trindade petrel, or any other procellariiform, and
277 experiments which used squid to feed seabirds, we used values of other seabirds with
278 similar diet. First, we used $\delta^{13}\text{C} = 0.10 \pm 0.50\text{‰}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 2.50 \pm 0.50\text{‰}$, values used
279 previously for mixing models in Cory's shearwater *Calonectris borealis* and obtained by
280 the difference between squid SI values and values in Cory's shearwater tissues whose
281 diet was almost exclusively composed of cephalopods, i.e., not experimentally. (Paiva
282 et al. 2010). Secondly, models were also run with $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -0.40 \pm 0.50\text{‰}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 2.40$
283 $\pm 0.40\text{‰}$, values based on the mean of discrimination factors of captive penguins of two
284 species fed on whole fish, king penguin *Aptenodytes patagonicus* and rockhopper
285 penguin *Eudyptes chrysocome* ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = -0.81$, $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 2.07\text{‰}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C} = 0.20\text{‰}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N} =$
286 2.72‰ , respectively) (Cherel et al. 2005). The third model was built using a mean of
287 discrimination factor of penguins cited above and tufted puffin *Fratercula cirrhata* fed
288 on whole fish (Williams et al. 2007), $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -0.30 \pm 0.50\text{‰}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 2.61 \pm 0.50\text{‰}$.
289 Finally, the fourth mixing model was built using different discrimination factors for
290 different prey groups: for squid we used the discrimination factor in Paiva et al. (2010);
291 for fish the values were those in Cherel et al. (2005a); and for insect and jellyfish were
292 the mean between values from Cherel et al. (2005a) and Williams et al. (2007).

293

294 **Geolocator tracking**

295 Global Location Sensors (geolocators) were used to track the at-sea foraging trips of
296 Trindade petrels during the breeding period. In 2007, geolocators GeoLT (8.2 g; earth &
297 OCEAN) were attached to the two central rectrices of birds using Tesa[®] tape. From
298 2014 to 2016, geolocators MK3005 (2.5 g; Biotrack), were attached to a metal ring and
299 leg-mounted. The loggers were <3% of the mean body mass of Trindade petrel, as

300 recommended to avoid adverse behavioural effects in seabirds (Phillips et al. 2003;
301 Ramírez et al. 2013).

302 Geolocators provide two position estimates per day (local midday and midnight)
303 from recorded light data using BASTrak software (British Antarctic Survey 2008). We
304 estimated dawn and dusk times by inspecting the integrity of each light curve; latitude
305 was derived from day length, and longitude from the time of local midday with respect
306 to Greenwich Mean Time. For this analysis, we used a light threshold of 16, sun angle
307 of elevation of -5° and applied the filter for movement compensation. During seven
308 days before deployment, devices were maintained in an open area at Trindade Island to
309 perform calibration and estimate geolocator error at a fixed known location. To filter
310 unrealistic positions, we removed those that were obtained from light curves showing
311 interference at dawn or dusk and data within the 15 closest days to the equinoxes. From
312 the recovered geolocators, the calibration data resulted in a mean \pm SD error of $105.46 \pm$
313 29.91 km.

314 We used the duration (days), foraging range (km) and total distance travelled
315 (km) as parameters to measure foraging trips. The start date of the trip was determined
316 as the day of the first position, on a row of positions, which reached more than 300 km
317 away from the island ($\sim 2 \times$ position error; Phillips et al. 2004) and the end date as the
318 day of the first position at a distance less than 300 km. The distance travelled and
319 maximum range was calculated assuming a straight-line between consecutive positions
320 and between the farthest bird position and Trindade Island, respectively.

321 The duration, foraging range and total distance travelled of foraging trips were
322 analyzed using generalized linear mixed models (GLMM). Models using foraging trip
323 parameters as responsible variables and sex, year and breeding stage, as explanatory
324 variables were built. Because we have many foraging trips for the same bird, individual

325 was included in the models as a random factor. Models were fitted using Gaussian, log-
326 normal and gamma distribution and residual diagnostics were used to examine the fit
327 and select models. In addition, kernel density estimation was performed using the
328 function *kernelUD* of the package *adehabitatHR* (Calenge 2006). The utilization
329 distributions (UDs) of 50% were used as proxies of core areas of the habitat used by
330 petrels and to compare sexes, years and breeding stages. To avoid pseudo-replication, a
331 typical problem in tracking data which may bias results (Lascelles et al. 2016), a single
332 trip of each petrel with multiple trips was randomly selected. All analyses were
333 conducted in R software (R Core Team 2015).

334

335 **Results**

336 **Diet**

337 A total of 306 prey were found in 26 diet samples of Trindade petrels (adults: $n = 17$;
338 chicks: $n = 6$; pellets: $n = 3$, samples pooled for analysis). Cephalopods occurred in all
339 samples and fish in more than 80%, whereas crustaceans and insects were less frequent
340 (Table 1). Cephalopods were the most important food items, both in the parameters
341 separately and in the index that integrates all of them (PSIRI%) . Trindade petrels
342 consumed mainly squids smaller than 140 mm and with body mass less than 100 g,
343 which represent ~90% of all cephalopods consumed (Fig. 1; Table S1), but squid of
344 more than 400 mm and weighing up to ~200 g were also found (Fig. 1; Table S1). Non-
345 food items such as plastic, feathers and helminths had high frequency of occurrence and
346 number (Table 1).

347

348 **Stable isotopes**

349 Stable isotopes were analyzed in blood samples of 16 chicks and 47 adults (females: $n =$
350 29; males: $n = 18$), of different years (2006–2007: $n = 22$; 2014–2015: $n = 25$), seasons
351 (spring–summer: $n = 10$; fall–winter: $n = 37$) and breeding stages (pre-incubation: $n =$
352 15; incubation: $n = 5$; chick-rearing: $n = 27$). The mean SI values in blood of adults
353 were $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -17.3 \pm 0.35\text{‰}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 11.6 \pm 0.80\text{‰}$ and in blood of chicks $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -18.3 \pm$
354 0.43‰ , $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 11.3 \pm 0.45\text{‰}$.

355 The best models, for both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, included the same explanatory
356 variables: season and breeding stage (Table 2). Petrels breeding during the fall–winter
357 had higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values than spring–summer breeders (Table 2). Among
358 breeding stages, values were lower during the pre-incubation stage for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
359 values and only higher for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ during incubation (Table 2). Age also had a significant
360 effect on SI values, with chicks showing lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values than adults
361 (Table 2).

362 The isotopic niche varied among seasons, breeding stages and especially between
363 adults during chick-rearing stage and chicks (Fig. 2). Spring–summer breeders had
364 isotopic niche larger than those breeding during the fall–winter with 20% of overlap
365 (Fig. 2). Adults during the chick-rearing stage had the largest isotopic niche, contrasting
366 with incubating petrels that had the smallest isotopic niche (Fig. 2). The largest overlap
367 occurred between adults during the pre-incubation and the chick-rearing stages (34%),
368 while both pre-incubation vs. incubation and incubation vs. chick-rearing stages had
369 similar overlap (15 and 14%, respectively). The isotopic niche segregation between
370 adults at chick-rearing stage and chicks was evident, as there was no overlap between
371 them (Fig. 2), with adults presenting isotopic niche larger than chicks (Fig. 2).

372 Based on results of diet analysis, the SI mixing models were built with mean
373 isotopic values of potential sources: squid – *Sthenoteuthis pteropus* ($n = 2$),

374 *Pterigoteuthis* sp. ($n = 1$); Fish – *Platybelone argalus* ($n = 1$) and Clupeids fish ($n = 9$);
375 Insect – *Halobates micans* ($n = 3$); and Jellyfish – *Vellela vellela* ($n = 3$). All models
376 tested, with four different discrimination factors, showed similar results and indicated
377 squid as the main food item of Trindade petrel diet (Table S1). Models built using a
378 mean of discrimination factor values from Cherel et al. (2005) and Williams et al.
379 (2007), overall, resulted in narrower intervals of the estimated proportions of source
380 consumed (Table S1) and so their results were presented (Fig. 3).

381 Trindade petrel diet inferred by stable isotope mixing models differed between
382 seasons, with fall–winter breeders consuming mostly high trophic position prey, such as
383 squid, while spring–summer breeders presented a more diverse diet with increase in the
384 proportion of low trophic position prey, i.e. fish, jellyfish and insects (Fig. 3). Between
385 the different breeding stages, pre-incubation petrels had similar diet, although more
386 diverse, than those at chick-rearing stage, while incubating petrels apparently had the
387 lowest contribution of squid (or other prey of similar isotopic value) in their diet,
388 consuming a large proportion of low trophic position prey (Fig. 3). Chicks and adults
389 during chick-rearing had different diets. In addition to squid (or other prey of similar
390 isotopic value), chicks seems to consume a high proportion of low trophic position prey
391 (e.g. insects, fish and jellyfish) which contributed more to the diet than to adults' diet
392 (Fig. 3).

393

394 **Tracking**

395 We obtained data for 154 foraging trips of eight petrels in 2007 and 13 petrels in 2014–
396 2016 of which 14 were females and seven males, in different breeding stages (Table 3).
397 During foraging trips, Trindade petrels ranged widely over oceanic areas in the
398 southwest Atlantic Ocean, from 8°S to 48°S, and from 46°W to 9°W. Trip duration

399 varied from 1 to 18 days (mean \pm SD = 5 \pm 3.5 days), in which they travelled from 441
400 to 10904 km (3441 \pm 2400 km). The maximum foraging range was 3335 km, (1130 \pm
401 612 km). The only variable that influenced foraging trip parameters was breeding stage.
402 Petrels during incubation performed longest and most distant foraging trips (Table 5).
403 The utilization distributions showed similar results, without a clear distinction of areas
404 used by different sexes and during different years (Fig. 4). However, different areas
405 were used at each breeding stage. During the pre-incubation stage, petrels used areas
406 west of Trindade Island, while incubating petrels used areas southward and chick-
407 rearing petrels used areas closest to, and including, island surroundings (Fig. 5).

408

409 **Discussion**

410 **Overview**

411 Breeding Trindade petrels consumed food items of a broad range of sizes and taxa (at
412 least 15 species), used wide oceanic areas to forage and showed broad isotopic niche.
413 Despite that analysis of gastrointestinal contents frequently overestimates the
414 contribution of food items with rigid body structures (Barrett et al. 2007) and the lack of
415 taxonomic resolution of SIA, the proportions of food items in the Trindade petrel diet
416 estimated by SI mixing models were similar to those estimated by traditional diet
417 sampling methods.

418

419 **Diet**

420 Cephalopods were the most important food item in the Trindade petrel diet and included
421 at least ten different squid species, mainly of small size and with wide oceanic
422 distributions (Clarke 1966; Voss et al. 1998; Jereb and Roper 2010). The squid families
423 preyed are also important in the diet of other oceanic Procellariiformes (Lipinski and

424 Jackson 1989; Calabuono and Vooren 2007; Cherel et al. 2017) and are commonly
425 consumed by other gadfly petrels (Imber et al. 1995; Klages and Kooper 1997; Bester et
426 al. 2010). Ommastrephidae squids, the most important in the Trindade petrel diet, are
427 also among the most important cephalopods eaten by oceanic predators such as tuna and
428 marine mammals in the southwest Atlantic Ocean, due to their high availability (Santos
429 and Haimovici 2001, 2002).

430 Bioluminescent squid such as *O. antillarum* and *S. pteropus* are among the
431 species eaten (Roper 1963; Clarke 1966; Jereb and Roper 2010), and because they reach
432 surface layers at night (Jereb and Roper 2010) and sink after death (Clarke et al. 1979),
433 ingestion could have occurred at night. Some other gadfly petrels are nocturnal foragers
434 (Rayner et al. 2008; Pinet et al. 2012). Alternatively, neutrally buoyant squids in the
435 diet, e.g. Histioteuthidae and Cranchiidae (Clarke et al. 1979), including prey larger
436 than the petrels themselves, such as *M. maxima* (up to 400 mm), suggest that Trindade
437 petrel can scavenge squids carcasses at the sea surface, a common behaviour among
438 Procellariiformes (Weimerskirch et al. 1986; Shealer 2001), and gadfly petrels (Imber et
439 al. 1995; Bester et al. 2010). The consumption of fish, insects, crustaceans and non-food
440 items would imply the use of other foraging techniques. Gadfly petrels may be attracted
441 by floating objects (Luigi et al. 2009) and may use diverse foraging techniques such as
442 surface-snatching, surface-seizing, surface-plunge and pursuit-plunge to catch them
443 (Bester et al. 2010; Flood and Fisher 2013; Danckwerts et al. 2016). These multiple
444 techniques may increase the probabilities of getting food in an environment with scarce
445 feeding opportunities, but also could result in the frequent ingestion of low energy prey
446 such as insects, and non-food items such as plastic debris.

447

448 **Foraging trips and at-sea distribution during breeding**

449 Foraging trips in 2007 and in 2014–2016 had similar parameters and occurred over
450 similar at-sea areas. During longer foraging trips of up to 18 days, Trindade petrels were
451 able to travel more than 10,000 km and forage over a vast oceanic area up to 3335 km
452 from the colony. However, even performing long foraging trips the limited record of
453 positions and the large error associated to geolocators (Phillips et al. 2004) are
454 limitations of geolocators for a detailed view of the foraging behaviour of the Trindade
455 petrel. Nevertheless, because foraging trips of Trindade petrels last long and reach areas
456 thousands of km from colonies, trip parameters and kernel analysis provide the first
457 description of foraging ecology of the species during the breeding period. The
458 measuring of foraging trips of Trindade petrel and other similar-sized gadfly petrels
459 would benefit from recent miniaturization of tracking devices, while current results
460 could be treated with caution.

461 The use of vast oceanic areas, from tropical to temperate waters inside the South
462 Atlantic Subtropical Gyre, agrees with at-sea sightings (Flood and Fisher 2013) and
463 previous tracking results (Kruger et al. 2016). These oceanic areas are also important for
464 other gadfly petrels that breed in the Atlantic Ocean and are explored by non-breeding
465 Desertas petrels *P. deserta* and Atlantic petrels *P. incerta* (Ramos et al. 2017). Although
466 the distribution of gadfly petrels during breeding becomes concentrated around colonies
467 (Ramos et al. 2017), they can explore distant areas when performing longer foraging
468 trips (Pinet et al. 2012; Rayner et al. 2012; Jodice et al. 2015; Ramos et al. 2017).
469 Oceanic areas inside the South Atlantic Subtropical Gyre are oligotrophic (Peterson and
470 Stramma 1991), where resources are expected to be thinly and patchily distributed
471 (Weimerskirch 2007). Thus, the high energetic demand of Trindade petrel during
472 breeding seems to require searching for food over vast oceanic areas.

473 Both males and females had similar at-sea distribution and performed similar
474 foraging trips between breeding stages. Sex-related spatial segregation in seabirds has
475 been reported mainly during breeding, as consequence of more constrained foraging
476 range (Phillips et al. 2011; Pinet et al. 2012, but see Bugoni et al. 2011 for differences
477 during non-breeding periods). Such differences may be related to specialization or
478 competitive exclusion, related to sexual dimorphism or different reproductive roles
479 (Lewis et al. 2002; Phillips et al. 2011; Pinet et al. 2012). However, Trindade petrel is
480 monomorphic and both sexes apparently share breeding duties equally (Luigi et al.
481 2009; Flood and Fisher 2013) and explore vast oceanic areas, which may explain
482 similarities between foraging trips and at-sea distribution of the two sexes.

483 During the pre-incubation stage, Trindade petrels used a broad area west of
484 Trindade Island over the Vitória-Trindade seamount chain. During incubating, petrels
485 performed the longest foraging trips and occupied southernmost areas, reaching the
486 Subtropical Convergence Zone. Although, distributed over broad oceanic areas, chick-
487 rearing petrels foraged in areas closest to colonies, mainly making short foraging trips.
488 Furthermore, they apparently used a single foraging area whether for self-maintenance
489 or for feeding chicks, in contrast to the alternation of short and long foraging trips
490 observed for some procellariiform species (Congdon et al. 2005; Magalhães et al. 2008).
491 Differences in requirements and nest attendance may cause changes in foraging
492 behaviour between sexes (Ojowski et al. 2001; Navarro et al. 2009; Paiva et al. 2015)
493 and between breeding stages. During the pre-incubation period, seabirds need to
494 accumulate energy reserves (Pinet et al. 2012), while during incubation the foraging
495 trips may be longer to compensate long periods in the nest (Rayner et al. 2010; Pinet et
496 al. 2012). In contrast, after hatch the Trindade petrel needs to return frequently to feed

497 the chick (Luigi et al. 2009). All these different demands seem to force Trindade petrels
498 to perform a flexible foraging strategy.

499

500 **Temporal variation in trophic niche**

501 During breeding Trindade petrels had a broad isotopic niche, indicated by variable $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
502 and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values. Isotopic niche dimension is the result of the dispersion of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and
503 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (Newsome 2007) a proxy for the trophic level of food items consumed and
504 foraging areas, respectively (Vanderklift and Ponsard 2003; Cherel and Hobson 2007).
505 Trindade petrels consumed food items at different trophic positions, such as squid
506 (higher trophic position), fish (intermediate trophic position) and insects (lower trophic
507 positions). Thus, its broad isotopic niche seems to reflect the diversity of food items
508 consumed and the wide range of foraging habitats used throughout breeding, also
509 identified by tracking data.

510 In both years, Trindade petrels showed similar isotopic niches (Fig. S3), implying
511 similar trophic position ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values) and foraging habitats ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values). Temporal
512 variations in the trophic niche of seabirds have been found from polar to tropical
513 regions and in all oceans, usually explained by changes in resource availability (Le
514 Corre et al. 2003; Catry et al. 2009; Gaston and Elliott 2014; Mancini et al. 2014;
515 Negrete et al. 2016). Oceanographic conditions and use of discards from fisheries are
516 common explanations for these variations, which can modify the diet (Xavier et al.
517 2007), foraging habitats (Robertson et al. 2014) and foraging trip parameters (Hennicke
518 and Weimerskirch 2014). In the South Atlantic Ocean, analysis of monthly sea surface
519 temperatures (SST) over 12 years, including the years of our sampling, found weak
520 inter-annual variability and absence of long term changes (Bouali et al. 2017). Oceanic
521 squid (the main food item of Trindade petrel), despite its being availability influenced

522 by oceanographic conditions like sea surface temperature, are less sensitive to
523 environmental changes in comparison to benthic and neritic species, due to
524 comparatively stable conditions in offshore habitat (Coelho 1985). Furthermore, the
525 Trindade petrel is not commonly attracted by fishing vessels (Luigi et al. 2009) and
526 fishery discards seems not to be important in their diet by comparison with other
527 seabirds in the South Atlantic Ocean (Bugoni et al. 2010). Thus, it is plausible to
528 consider that the inter-annual oceanographic stability has allowed the Trindade petrel to
529 maintain its trophic level, using similar food items and foraging areas, even after an
530 interval of eight years.

531 In contrast, Trindade petrels breeding in different seasons had different isotopic
532 niches. Fall–winter breeders showed higher trophic position and apparently used
533 different foraging habitats as suggested by $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values. Despite the weak inter-annual
534 variability, seasonal patterns of sea surface temperatures have been detected in the
535 South Atlantic Ocean (Bouali et al. 2017). Thus, because cephalopods have complex
536 life cycles, seasonal variations in SST in the South Atlantic Ocean can affect their
537 distribution and abundance through the year (Pierce et al. 2008). Ommastrephid species,
538 for instance, may change distribution in the South Atlantic Ocean throughout their life
539 cycle, occupying high productivity areas southernmost (36°S–46°S) during summer to
540 feed and grow; then, migrating during fall to warmer northern waters (25°S–29°S) used
541 as spawning grounds (Brunetti et al. 2006). On the other hand, fish larvae and
542 zooplankton may increase in abundance during summer in the South Atlantic Ocean
543 (Matsuura et al. 1980; Nonaka et al. 2000; Nogueira et al. 2012). Thus, it is possible that
544 in summer squid, the main food item of Trindade petrels may be less available, and food
545 items at lower trophic levels may be more abundant. This can drive spring–summer
546 breeders to have a more diverse diet, increasing the proportion of food items of low

547 trophic levels, which extends the isotopic niche and decreases $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in the blood
548 of the petrels. The squid feeders white-tailed tropicbird *Phaethon lepturus* and red-
549 billed tropicbird *P. aethereus* in the South Atlantic Ocean, also had a larger isotopic
550 niche during summer, while piscivorous seabirds showed the inverse pattern (Mancini
551 et al. 2014). This suggests that when preferred food items of seabirds are less available,
552 a broader spectrum of items are consumed, resulting in a larger isotopic niche.

553 Both sexes had similar isotopic niches throughout the breeding period (Fig. S3).
554 This is apparently a consequence of morphological similarities between sexes and equal
555 share of breeding duties (Luigi et al. 2009; Flood and Fisher 2013), which seems to
556 result in similar demands and similar at sea distributions. However, the isotopic niche
557 varied between the different breeding stages. During the pre-incubation period,
558 Trindade petrels fed on prey at lowest trophic levels, but while incubating they fed at
559 higher trophic levels. Pre-incubation is a sensitive time, since seabirds are preparing for
560 a period of intense energetic demand during breeding and thus diet can influence
561 reproductive performance (Sorensen et al. 2009; Kowalczyk et al. 2014). Females may
562 require higher calcium and energy-rich prey for egg production (Mallory et al. 2008),
563 while males need to acquire nutrient reserves to defend the nest and attend long
564 incubation shifts (Pinet et al. 2012; Rayner et al. 2012). Thus, lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in
565 blood of petrels during the pre-incubation stage may reflect the consumption of a large
566 proportion of food items of low trophic positions, such as calcium-rich crustaceans
567 (Greenaway 1985) and pelagic fish with high lipid and calcium contents (Eder and
568 Lewis 2005). The sea floor topography of area west of Trindade Island with several
569 seamounts, promote the formation of eddies (Silveira et al. 2000; Soutelino et al. 2011;
570 Arruda et al. 2013), which may elevate local primary productivity and aggregate
571 organisms such as plankton and fish (Olson and Backus 1985; Franks 1992; Strass

572 1992) and attract petrels searching for these specific food items during pre-incubation.
573 In contrast, long incubation shifts force to undertake long foraging trips. The longer
574 foraging trips performed by Trindade petrels during the incubating stage may increase
575 the possibility to locate or even select, larger food items. This could compensate the
576 energy expended during the long periods on the island, thus also potentially increasing
577 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in blood. However, stable isotope mixing models indicated limited
578 consumption of food items of high trophic position and generated estimated
579 contributions with large confidence intervals, which seems to be due to the small
580 sample size ($n = 5$).

581 During chick-rearing, Trindade petrels have an isotopic niche similar to pre-
582 incubation, but foraged closer to colony, performed short trips and consuming a larger
583 proportion of food items at low trophic levels. Furthermore, during the chick-rearing
584 stage there was clear isotopic niche segregation between adults and chicks, with chicks
585 showing lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values than adults. Differences in SI values
586 between adults and their chicks may reflect the use of a dual-foraging strategy (Forero
587 et al. 2005; Jaquemet et al. 2008; Danckwerts et al. 2016) or even metabolic and
588 physiological factors that affect the isotopic discrimination (Sears et al. 2009). During
589 the chick-rearing stage, seabirds may alternate long and short foraging trips, to obtain
590 food to itself and their chicks, respectively (Weimerskirch et al. 1994; Congdon et al.
591 2005; Magalhães et al. 2008). This use of different foraging areas may result in different
592 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (Cherel and Hobson 2007), but this pattern was not found in tracking data
593 of Trindade petrels (Fig. S4). On the other hand, Procellariiformes are able to convert
594 fresh prey into concentrated stomach oil, a high energy source for chicks (Warham
595 1977). This oil is protein poor and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ depleted (Warham 1977; Thompson et al.
596 2000), which may explain the low $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, but not the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ difference. However, in

597 addition to stomach oil, to supply the protein required for chick growth, adults may also
598 provide whole prey (Warham 1977), that in most seabirds had similar or higher trophic
599 levels than prey used for self-provisioning (Cherel et al. 2007, 2008; Jaquemet et al.
600 2008). Although the tracking data were unable to reveal dual-foraging strategy, stable
601 isotopes demonstrated segregation in diet and isotopic niche between adults and chicks.

602 The use of wide foraging areas, diet plasticity and the differing isotopic niche
603 between breeding stages, but similar between years, seems to indicate a strategy of
604 Trindade petrel to deal with high demand of this period and acquire sufficient energy to
605 breeding in a colony surrounded by oligotrophic waters. In addition, this study also
606 shows the importance of the use of simultaneous complementary methods for a broader
607 picture of the trophic ecology of seabirds.

608

609 **Acknowledgements** We are grateful to Hudson T. Pinheiro for fish identification, Dr.
610 Geoff Hancock for insect identification and Dr. Paul Kinas for help with the statistics.
611 Brazilian Navy and *Comissão Interministerial para os Recursos do Mar*
612 (*CIRM/SECIRM*) by logistic support during sampling expeditions. We also thank
613 Eduardo R. Secchi, Manuel Haimovici and Luciano Dalla Rosa for the revision and
614 comments on the manuscript. This research is part of the PhD thesis written by G.R.L.
615 under the guidance of L.B. and was authorized under the license number 22697-5
616 (*SISBIO - Sistema de Autorização e Informação em Biodiversidade*).

617 **Funding:** This project had been funded by Natural Environment Research Council
618 (NERC-UK, Grant Number EK81-07/05) and the Brazilian National Research Council
619 (CNPq, Grants 557152/2009-7 and 405555/2012-1. G.R. Leal received financial support
620 from the *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – CAPES*

621 (Ministry of Education). L. Bugoni received a Research Fellowship from CNPq (PQ
622 310550/2015-7).

623 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

624 **Ethical approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants.

625 All applicable international, national, and institutional guidelines for the care of animals
626 found stranded alive were followed. We did not conduct experiments with animals.

627

628 **References**

629 Arruda WZ, Campos EJ, Zharkov V, Soutelino RG, Silveira IC (2013) Events of
630 equatorward translation of the Vitoria Eddy. *Cont Shelf Res* 70:61–73

631 Ballance LT, Pitman RL, Fiedler PC (2006) Oceanographic influences on seabirds and
632 cetaceans of the eastern tropical Pacific: a review. *Prog Oceanogr* 69:360–390

633 Barrett RT, Camphuysen KC, Anker-Nilssen T, Chardine JW, Furness RW, Garthe S,
634 Veit RR (2007) Diet studies of seabirds: a review and recommendations. *ICES J Mar*
635 *Sci* 64:1675–1691

636 Barth R (1958) Observações biológicas e meteorológicas feitas na Ilha de Trindade.
637 *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 56:261–279

638 Bearhop S, Teece MA, Waldron S, Furness RW (2000) Influence of lipid and uric acid
639 on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of avian blood: implications for trophic
640 studies. *Auk* 117:504–507

641 Bester AJ, Priddel D, Klomp NI (2010) Diet and foraging behaviour of the Providence
642 petrel *Pterodroma solandri*. *Mar Ornithol* 39:163–172

643 Bond AL, Diamond AW (2011) Recent Bayesian stable-isotope mixing models are
644 highly sensitive to variation in discrimination factors. *Ecol Appl* 21:1017–1023

645 Bouali M, Sato OT, Polito PS (2017) Temporal trends in sea surface temperature
646 gradients in the South Atlantic Ocean. *Remote Sens Environ* 194:100–114

647 Bourgeois K, Vorenger J, Faulquier L, Legrand J, Vidal E (2011) Diet and
648 contamination of the Yelkouan shearwater *Puffinus yelkouan* in the Hyères
649 archipelago, Mediterranean Basin, France. *J Ornithol* 152:947–953

650 British Antarctic Survey (2008) M-Series BASTrack software

651 Brown RM, Nichols RA, Faulkes CG, Jones CG, Bugoni L, Tatayah V, Gottelli D,
652 Jordan WC (2010) Range expansion and hybridization in Round Island petrels
653 (*Pterodroma* spp.): evidence from microsatellite genotypes. *Mol Ecol* 19:3157–3170

654 Brown SC, Bizzarro JJ, Cailliet GM, Ebert DA (2012) Breaking with tradition:
655 redefining measures for diet description with a case study of the Aleutian skate
656 *Bathyraja aleutica* (Gilbert 1896). *Environ Biol Fish* 95:3–20

657 Brunetti NE, Ivanovic ML, Aubone A, Pascual LN (2006) Reproductive biology of red
658 squid (*Ommastrephes bartramii*) in the southwest Atlantic. *Rev Invest Desarr Pesq*
659 18:5–19

660 Bugoni L, McGill RA, Furness RW (2008) Effects of preservation methods on stable
661 isotope signatures in bird tissues. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 22:2457–2462

662 Bugoni L, McGill RA, Furness RW (2010) The importance of pelagic longline fishery
663 discards for a seabird community determined through stable isotope analysis. *J Exp*
664 *Mar Biol Ecol* 391:190–200

665 Bugoni L, Griffiths K, Furness RW (2011) Sex-biased incidental mortality of
666 albatrosses and petrels in fisheries: differential distributions at sea or differential
667 access to baits mediated by sexual size dimorphism? *J Ornithol* 152:261–268

668 Calenge C (2006) The package “adehabitat” for the R software: a tool for the analysis of
669 space and habitat use by animals. *Ecol Model* 197:516–519

670 Castro JWA (2009) Geologia ambiental das ilhas oceânicas de Trindade e Fernando de
671 Noronha, Brasil. In: Mohr LV, Castro JWA, Costa PMS, Alves RJV (eds) Ilhas
672 oceânicas brasileiras: da pesquisa ao manejo. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília
673 Catry T, Ramos JA, Jaquemet S, Faulquier L, Berlincourt M, Hauselmann A, P Pinet, Le
674 Corre M (2009) Comparative foraging ecology of a tropical seabird community of the
675 Seychelles, western Indian Ocean. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 374:259–272
676 Cherel Y, Bocher P, Trouvé C, Weimerskirch H (2002) Diet and feeding ecology of blue
677 petrels *Halobaena caerulea* at Iles Kerguelen, southern Indian Ocean. *Mar Ecol Prog*
678 *Ser* 228:283–299
679 Cherel Y, Hobson KA, Hassani S (2005) Isotopic discrimination between food and blood
680 and feathers of captive penguins: implications for dietary studies in the wild. *Physiol*
681 *Biochem Zool* 78:106–115
682 Cherel Y, Hobson KA (2007) Geographical variation in carbon stable isotope signatures of
683 marine predators: a tool to investigate their foraging areas in the Southern Ocean. *Mar*
684 *Ecol Prog Ser* 329:281–287
685 Cherel Y, Hobson KA, Guinet C, Vanpe C (2007) Stable isotopes document seasonal
686 changes in trophic niches and winter foraging individual specialization in diving
687 predators from the Southern Ocean. *J Anim Ecol* 76:826–836
688 Cherel Y, Le Corre M, Jaquemet S, Menard F, Richard P, Weimerskirch H (2008)
689 Resource partitioning within a tropical seabird community: new information from
690 stable isotopes. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 366:281–291
691 Cherel Y, Xavier JC, De Grissac S, Trouvé C, Weimerskirch H (2017) Feeding ecology,
692 isotopic niche, and ingestion of fishery-related items of the wandering albatross
693 *Diomedea exulans* at Kerguelen and Crozet Islands. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 565:197–215

694 Coelho M (1985) Review of the influence of oceanographic factors on cephalopod
695 distribution and life cycles. *Northw Atl Fish Org* 897:1–16

696 Clarke MR (1966) A review of the systematics and ecology of oceanic squids. *Adv Mar*
697 *Biol* 4:91–300

698 Clarke MR, Denton EJ, Gilpin-Brown JB (1979) On the use of ammonium for buoyancy
699 in squids. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK* 59:259–276

700 Clarke MR (1986) A handbook for the identification of cephalopod beaks. Clarendon
701 Press, Oxford

702 Colabuono FI, Vooren CM (2007) Diet of black-browed *Thalassarche melanophrys* and
703 Atlantic yellow-nosed *T. chlororhynchos* albatrosses and white-chinned *Procellaria*
704 *aequinoctialis* and spectacled *P. conspicillata* petrels off southern Brazil. *Mar*
705 *Ornithol* 35:9–20

706 Congdon BC, Krockenberger AK, Smithers BV (2005) Dual-foraging and coordinated
707 provisioning in a tropical procellariiform, the wedge-tailed shearwater. *Mar Ecol Prog*
708 *Ser* 301:293–301

709 Croxall JP, Butchart SH, Lascelles BEN, Stattersfield AJ, Sullivan BEN, Symes A, Taylor
710 PHIL (2012) Seabird conservation status, threats and priority actions: a global
711 assessment. *Bird Conserv Int* 22:1–34

712 Danckwerts DK, McQuaid CD, Connan M, Smale MJ, Le Corre M, Humeau L,
713 Jaquemet S (2016) Intra-annual variation in the foraging ecology of the endangered
714 endemic Barau's petrel (*Pterodroma barauï*) from Réunion Island, south-western
715 Indian Ocean: insights from a multifaceted approach. *Mar Biol* 163:18

716 Eder EB, Lewis MN (2005) Proximate composition and energetic value of demersal and
717 pelagic prey species from the SW Atlantic Ocean. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 291:43–52

718 Elliott KH, Woo KJ, Gaston AJ, Benvenuti S, Dall'Antonia L, Davoren GK (2009)

719 Central-place foraging in an Arctic seabird provides evidence for Storer-Ashmole's
720 halo. *Auk* 126:613–625

721 Flood B, Fisher A (2013) Multimedia identification guide to North Atlantic seabirds:
722 *Pterodroma* petrels. Scilly Pelagics, Isles of Scilly, UK

723 Fonseca-Neto FP (2004) Aves marinhas da ilha Trindade. In: Branco, JO (ed) Aves
724 marinhas e insulares brasileiras: bioecologia e conservação. UNIVALI Editora, Itajaí

725 Forero MG, González-Solís J, Hobson KA, Donázar JA, Bertellotti M, Blanco G,
726 Bortolotti GR (2005) Stable isotopes reveal trophic segregation by sex and age in the
727 southern giant petrel in two different food webs. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 296:107–113

728 Franks PJ (1992) Sink or swim: accumulation of biomass at fronts. *Mar Ecol Prog*
729 *Ser* 82:1–12

730 Fridolfsson AK, Ellegren H (1999) A simple and universal method for molecular sexing
731 of non-ratite birds. *J Avian Biol* 30:116–121

732 Furness RW (2007) Responses of seabirds to depletion of food fish stocks. *J Ornithol*
733 148:247–252

734 Gill F, Donsker D (2017) IOC World Bird List. Available at
735 <http://www.worldbirdnames.org>

736 Gaston AJ, Elliott KH (2014) Seabird diet changes in northern Hudson Bay, 1981–
737 2013, reflect the availability of schooling prey. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 513:211–223

738 González-Solís J, Croxall JP, Wood AG (2000) Foraging partitioning between giant
739 petrels *Macronectes* spp. and its relationship with breeding population changes at
740 Bird Island, South Georgia. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 204:279–288

741 Greenaway P (1985) Calcium balance and moulting in the Crustacea. *Biol Rev* 60:425–
742 454

743 Hennicke JC, Weimerskirch H (2014) Coping with variable and oligotrophic tropical
744 waters: foraging behaviour and flexibility of the Abbott's booby *Papasula*
745 *abbotti*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 499:259–273

746 Hobson KA, Clark RG (1992) Assessing avian diets using stable isotopes I: turnover of
747 ^{13}C in tissues. Condor 94:181–188

748 Imber MJ (1973) The food of grey-faced petrels (*Pterodroma macroptera gouldi*
749 (Hutton)), with special reference to diurnal vertical migration of their prey. J Anim
750 Ecol 42:645–662

751 Imber MJ (1976) Comparison of prey of the black *Procellaria* petrels of New Zealand.
752 New Zeal J Mar Freshw Res 10:119–130

753 Imber MJ, Jolly JN, Brooke MDL (1995) Food of three sympatric gadfly petrels
754 (*Pterodroma* spp.) breeding on the Pitcairn Islands. Biol J Linn Soc 56:233–240

755 IUCN (2016) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016–3

756 Jackson AL, Inger R, Parnell AC, Bearhop S (2011) Comparing isotopic niche widths
757 among and within communities: SIBER–Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. J
758 Anim Ecol 80:595–602

759 Jaquemet S, Le Corre M, Weimerskirch H (2004) Seabird community structure in a
760 coastal tropical environment: importance of natural factors and fish aggregating
761 devices (FADs). Mar Ecol Prog Ser 268:281–292

762 Jaquemet S, Potier M, Chérel Y, Kojadinovic J, Bustamante P, Richard P, Catry T,
763 Ramos JA, Le Corre M (2008) Comparative foraging ecology and ecological niche
764 of a superabundant tropical seabird: the sooty tern *Sterna fuscata* in the southwest
765 Indian Ocean. Mar Biol 155:505–520

766 Jereb P, Roper CFE (2010) Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and illustrated
767 catalogue of species known to date. Myopsid and Oegopsid squids. FAO species
768 catalogue for fishery purposes

769 Jodice PG, Ronconi RA, Rupp E, Wallace GE, Satgé Y (2015) First satellite tracks of
770 the endangered black-capped petrel. *Endang Species Res* 29:23–33

771 Johnson JB, Omland KS (2004) Model selection in ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecol*
772 *Evol* 19:101–108

773 Klages NTW, Cooper J (1997) Diet of the Atlantic petrel *Pterodroma incerta* during
774 breeding at South Atlantic Gough Island. *Mar Ornithol* 25:13–16

775 Kowalczyk ND, Chiaradia A, Preston TJ, Reina RD (2014) Linking dietary shifts and
776 reproductive failure in seabirds: a stable isotope approach. *Funct Ecol* 28:755–765

777 Krüger L, Paiva VH, Colabuono FI, Petry MV, Montone RC, Ramos JA (2016) Year-
778 round spatial movements and trophic ecology of Trindade petrels (*Pterodroma*
779 *arminjoniana*). *J Field Ornithol* 87:404–416

780 Lalli C, Parsons TR (1997) Biological oceanography: an introduction. Butterworth-
781 Heinemann

782 Lascelles BG, Taylor PR, Miller MGR, Dias MP, Opper S, Torres L, Hedd A, Le Corre
783 M, Phillips RA, Shaffer SA, Weimerskirch H, Small C (2016) Applying global
784 criteria to tracking data to define important areas for marine conservation. *Divers*
785 *Distrib* 22:422–431

786 Lavrado HP, Viana MS (2007) Atlas de invertebrados marinhos da região central da
787 Zona Econômica Exclusiva brasileira. Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro

788 Le Corre M, Cherel Y, Lagarde F, Lormée H, Jouventin P (2003) Seasonal and inter-
789 annual variation in the feeding ecology of a tropical oceanic seabird, the red-tailed
790 tropicbird *Phaethon rubricauda*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 255:289–301

791 Leal JH, Bouchet P (1991) Distribution patterns and dispersal of prosobranch
792 gastropods along a seamount chain in the Atlantic Ocean. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK*
793 71:11–25

794 Lewis S, Benvenuti S, Dall–Antonia L, Griffiths R, Money L, Sherratt TN, Wanless S
795 Hamer KC (2002) Sex-specific foraging behaviour in a monomorphic seabird. *Proc*
796 *R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 269:1687–1693

797 Lipinski MR, Jackson S (1989) Surface-feeding on cephalopods by procellariiform
798 seabirds in the southern Benguela region, South Africa. *J Zool* 218:549–563

799 Lu CC, Ickeringill R (2002) Cephalopod beak identification and biomass estimation
800 techniques: tools for dietary studies of southern Australian finfishes. *Mus Vic Sci*
801 *Rep* 6:1–65

802 Luigi G, Bugoni L, Fonseca-Neto FP, Teixeira DM (2009) Biologia e conservação do
803 petrel-de-trindade, *Pterodroma arminjoniana*, na ilha da Trindade, Atlântico sul. In:
804 Mohr LV, Castro JWA, Costa PMS, Alves RJV (eds) *Ilhas oceânicas brasileiras: da*
805 *pesquisa ao manejo*. Vol. 2. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília

806 Magalhães MC, Santos RS, Hamer, KC (2008) Dual-foraging of Cory's shearwaters in
807 the Azores: feeding locations, behaviour at sea and implications for food
808 provisioning of chicks. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 359:283–293

809 Mallory ML, Forbes MR, Ankney CD, Alisauskas RT (2008) Nutrient dynamics and
810 constraints on the pre-laying exodus of high Arctic northern fulmars. *Aquat*
811 *Biol* 4:211–223

812 Mancini PL, Hobson KA, Bugoni L (2014) Role of body size in shaping the trophic
813 structure of tropical seabird communities. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 497:243–257

814 Mancini PL, Serafini PP, Bugoni L (2016) Breeding seabird populations in Brazilian
815 oceanic islands: historical review, update and a call for census standardization. Rev
816 Bras Ornitol 24:94–115

817 Matsuura Y, Nakatani K, Tamassia STJ (1980) Distribuição sazonal de zooplâncton,
818 ovos e larvas de peixes na região centro-sul do Brasil (1975–77). Bolm Inst
819 Oceanogr 29:231–235

820 MMA (Ministério do Meio Ambiente) (2014) Lista Nacional Oficial de Espécies da
821 Fauna Ameaçadas de Extinção

822 Navarro J, Louzao M, Igual JM, Oro D, Delgado A, Arcos JM, Genovart M, Hobson
823 KA, Forero MG (2009) Seasonal changes in the diet of a critically endangered
824 seabird and the importance of trawling discards. Mar Biol 156:2571–2578

825 Negrete P, Sallaberry M, Barceló G, Maldonado K, Perona F, McGill RA, Quillfeldt P,
826 Sabat, P (2016) Temporal variation in isotopic composition of *Pygoscelis* penguins at
827 Ardley Island, Antarctic: are foraging habits impacted by environmental
828 change? Polar Biol 1–14

829 Newsome S D, Martinez del Rio C, Bearhop S, Phillips DL (2007) A niche for isotopic
830 ecology. Front Ecol Environ 5:429–436

831 Nogueira MM, Souza CSD, Mafalda-Jr PO (2012) The influence of abiotic and biotic
832 factors on the composition of tetraodontiforms larvae (Teleostei) along the Brazilian
833 northeast Exclusive Economic Zone (1°N–14°S). Panam J Aquat Sci 7:10–20

834 Nonaka RH, Matsuura Y, Suzuki K (2000) Seasonal variation in larval fish assemblages
835 in relation to oceanographic conditions in the Abrolhos Bank region off eastern
836 Brazil. Fish Bull 98:767–767

837 Ojowski U, Eidtmann C, Furness R, Garthe S (2001) Diet and nest attendance of
838 incubating and chick-rearing northern fulmars (*Fulmarus glacialis*) in Shetland. Mar
839 Biol 139:1193–1200

840 Olson DB, Backus RH (1985) The concentrating of organisms at fronts: a cold-water
841 fish and a warm-core Gulf Stream ring. J Mar Res 43:113–137

842 Opitz S (1996) Trophic interactions in Caribbean coral reefs. ICLARM Tech,
843 Philippines

844 Orians GH, Pearson NE (1979) On the theory of central place foraging. In: Horn DJ,
845 Mitchell RD, Stairs GR (eds) Analyses of ecological systems. Ohio State University
846 Press

847 Paiva VH, Xavier J, Geraldes P, Ramirez I, Garthe S, Ramos JA (2010) Foraging ecology
848 of Cory's shearwaters in different oceanic environments of the North Atlantic. Mar Ecol
849 Prog Ser 410:257–268

850 Paiva VH, Geraldes P, Rodrigues I, Melo T, Melo J, Ramos JA (2015) The foraging
851 ecology of the endangered Cape Verde shearwater, a sentinel species for marine
852 conservation off West Africa. PLoS ONE 10:e0139390

853 Parnell AC, Inger R, Bearhop S, Jackson AL (2010) Source partitioning using stable
854 isotopes: coping with too much variation. PLoS ONE 5:e9672

855 Peterson RG, Stramma L (1991) Upper-level circulation in the South Atlantic Ocean. Prog
856 Oceanogr 26:1–73

857 Phillips DL, Newsome SD, Gregg JW (2005) Combining sources in stable isotope
858 mixing models: alternative methods. Oecologia 144:520–527

859 Phillips RA, Xavier JC, Croxall JP (2003) Effects of satellite transmitters on albatrosses
860 and petrels. Auk 120:1082–1090

861 Phillips RA, Silk JRD, Croxall JP, Afanasyev V, Briggs DR (2004) Accuracy of
862 geolocation estimates for flying seabirds. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 266: 265–272

863 Phillips RA, McGill RA, Dawson DA, Bearhop S (2011) Sexual segregation in
864 distribution, diet and trophic level of seabirds: insights from stable isotope
865 analysis. *Mar Biol* 158:2199–2208

866 Pierce GJ, Valavanis VD, Guerra A, Jereb P, Orsi-Relini L, Bellido JM, Katara I,
867 Piatkowski U, Pereira J, Balguerias E, Sobrino I, Lefkaditou E, Wang J, Santurtun
868 M, Boyle PR, Hastie LC, MacLeod CD, Smith JM, Viana M, González AF, Zuur AF
869 (2008) A review of cephalopod–environment interactions in European
870 seas. *Hydrobiologia* 612:49–70

871 Pinet P, Jaquemet S, Phillips RA, Le Corre M (2012) Sex-specific foraging strategies
872 throughout the breeding season in a tropical, sexually monomorphic small petrel. *Anim*
873 *Behav* 83:979–989

874 Quillfeldt P, Bugoni L, McGill RA, Masello JF, Furness RW (2008) Differences in
875 stable isotopes in blood and feathers of seabirds are consistent across species, age
876 and latitude: implications for food web studies. *Mar Bio* 155:593–598

877 R Core Team (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
878 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

879 Ramírez I, Paiva VH, Menezes D, Silva I, Phillips RA, Ramos JA, Garthe S (2013)
880 Year-round distribution and habitat preferences of the Bugio petrel. *Mar Ecol Prog*
881 *Ser* 476: 269–284

882 Ramírez I, Paiva VH, Fagundes I, Menezes D, Silva I, Ceia FR, Phillips RA, Ramos JA,
883 Garthe S (2016) Conservation implications of consistent foraging and trophic
884 ecology in a rare petrel species. *Anim Conserv* 19:139–152

885 Ramos R, Carlile N, Madeiros J, Ramírez I, Paiva VH, Dinis H, Zino F, Biscoito M,
886 Leal GR, Bugoni L, Jodice PGR, Ryan PG, González-Solís J (2017) It is the time for
887 oceanic seabirds: tracking year-round distribution of gadfly petrels across the
888 Atlantic Ocean. *Divers Distrib* 23:794–805

889 Rayner MJ, Hauber ME, Clout MN, Seldon DS, Van Dijken S, Bury S, Phillips RA
890 (2008) Foraging ecology of the Cook's petrel *Pterodroma cookii* during the austral
891 breeding season: a comparison of its two populations. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 370:271–
892 284

893 Rayner MJ, Hartill BW, Hauber ME, Phillips RA (2010) Central place foraging by
894 breeding Cook's petrel *Pterodroma cookii*: foraging duration reflects range, diet and
895 chick meal mass. *Mar Biol* 157:2187–2194

896 Rayner MJ, Taylor GA, Gummer HD, Phillips RA, Sagar PM, Shaffer SA, Thompson DR
897 (2012) The breeding cycle, year-round distribution and activity patterns of the
898 endangered Chatham petrel (*Pterodroma axillaris*). *Emu* 112:107–116

899 Robertson GS, Bolton M, Grecian WJ, Monaghan P (2014) Inter-and intra-year variation
900 in foraging areas of breeding kittiwakes (*Rissa tridactyla*). *Mar Biol* 161:1973–1986

901 Roper CF (1963) Observations on bioluminescence in *Ommastrephes pteropus*
902 (Steenstrup, 1855), with notes on its occurrence in the family Ommastrephidae
903 (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). *Bull Mar Sci* 13:343–353

904 Santos RA (1999) Cefalópodes nas relações tróficas do sul do Brasil. Tese de Doutorado,
905 Fundação Universidade do Rio Grande - FURG, Rio Grande

906 Santos RA, Haimovici M (2001) Cephalopods in the diet of marine mammals stranded
907 or incidentally caught along southeastern and southern Brazil. *Fish Res* 52:99–112

908 Santos RA, Haimovici M (2002) Cephalopods in the trophic relations off southern
909 Brazil. *Bull Mar Sci* 71:753–770

910 Sears J, Hatch SA, O'Brien DM (2009) Disentangling effects of growth and nutritional
911 status on seabird stable isotope ratios. *Oecologia* 159:41–48

912 Shealer DA (2001) Foraging behavior and food of seabirds. In: Schreiber EA, Burger J
913 (eds) *Biology of marine birds*. CRC Press

914 Silva JBP (2011) Stomatopoda (Crustacea-Hoplocarida) no nordeste brasileiro:
915 morfometria como ferramenta taxonômica. Dissertação de Mestrado, Universidade
916 Federal da Paraíba, Paraíba

917 Silveira ICAD, Schmidt ACK, Campos EJD, Godoi SSD, Ikeda Y (2000) A Corrente do
918 Brasil ao largo da costa leste brasileira. *Braz J Oceanogr* 48:171–183

919 Sorensen MC, Hipfner JM, Kyser TK, Norris DR (2009) Carry-over effects in a Pacific
920 seabird: stable isotope evidence that pre-breeding diet quality influences reproductive
921 success. *J Anim Ecol* 78:460–467

922 Soutelino RG, Da Silveira ICA, Gangopadhyay AAMJ, Miranda JA (2011) Is the Brazil
923 Current eddy-dominated to the north of 20°S? *Geophys Res Lett* 38: L03607

924 Strass VH (1992) Chlorophyll patchiness caused by mesoscale upwelling at
925 fronts. *Deep Sea Res A, Oceanogr Res* 39:75–96

926 Thiebot JB, Weimerskirch H (2013) Contrasted associations between seabirds and
927 marine mammals across four biomes of the southern Indian Ocean. *J*
928 *Ornithol* 154:441–453

929 Thompson DR, Phillips RA, Stewart FM, Waldron S (2000) Low $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures in
930 pelagic seabirds: lipid ingestion as a potential source of ^{13}C -depleted carbon in the
931 Procellariiformes. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 208:265–271

932 Vanderklift MA, Ponsard S (2003) Sources of variation in consumer-diet $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
933 enrichment: a meta-analysis. *Oecologia* 136:169–182

934 Voss NA, Vecchione M, Toll RB, Sweeney MJ (1998) Systematics and biogeography
935 of cephalopods. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology*. Smithsonian Institution Press
936 Warham J (1977) The incidence, functions and ecological significance of petrel stomach
937 oils. *Proc New Zeal Ecol Soc* 24:84–93
938 Weimerskirch H (2007) Are seabirds foraging for unpredictable resources? *Deep Sea Res*
939 II: *Top Stud Oceanogr* 54:211–223
940 Weimerskirch H, Chastel O, Ackermann L, Chaurand T, Cuenot-Chaillet F, Hindermeier
941 X, Judas J (1994) Alternate long and short foraging trips in pelagic seabird
942 parents. *Anim Behav* 47:472–476
943 Weimerskirch H, Jouventin P, Stahl JC (1986) Comparative ecology of the six albatross
944 species breeding on the Crozet Islands. *Ibis* 128:195–213
945 Whittow GC (2001) Seabird reproductive physiology and energetics. In: Schreiber EA,
946 Burger J (eds) *Biology of marine birds*. CRC Press
947 Williams CT, Buck CL, Sears J, Kitaysky AS (2007) Effects of nutritional restriction on
948 nitrogen and carbon stable isotopes in growing seabirds. *Oecologia* 153:11–18
949 Xavier JC, Wood AG, Rodhouse PG, Croxall JP (2007) Interannual variations in
950 cephalopod consumption by albatrosses at South Georgia: implications for future
951 commercial exploitation of cephalopods. *Mar Freshw Res* 58:1136–1143
952
953
954
955
956
957
958

959 **Figures**

960 **Fig. 1** Mantle length and body mass estimated to squids ($n = 39$) consumed by Trindade
961 petrels *Pterodroma arminjoniana* at Trindade Island, Atlantic Ocean, during the
962 breeding period

963

964 **Fig. 2** Isotopic niche space of Trindade petrels *Pterodroma arminjoniana* in each
965 season, breeding stage and between adults and chicks, based on standard ellipse areas
966 corrected for small sample sizes (SEAc) using Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R
967 (SIBER). Stable isotopes values in ‰

968

969 **Fig. 3** The contribution of different food sources (squid, fish, insect and jellyfish) to the
970 stable isotope values in the whole blood of Trindade petrels in different years, seasons,
971 breeding stages and of chicks, modeled by Bayesian stable isotope mixing models

972

973 **Fig. 4** Kernel density distributions (25, 50 and 75% UDs in black, grey and white,
974 respectively) of Trindade petrels *Pterodroma arminjoniana* in different years and sexes:
975 (A) 2007 (B) 2014–2016; (C) female, (D) male. ★ = Trindade Island

976

977 **Fig. 5** Kernel density distributions (50% UDs) of Trindade petrels *Pterodroma*
978 *arminjoniana* during different breeding stages. ★ = Trindade Island

979