



Loizidou, A., Andronikou, S., & Burren, C. P. (2017). Pamidronate "zebra lines": A treatment timeline. *Radiology Case Reports*, *12*(4), 850-853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2017.07.003

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available): CC BY

Link to published version (if available): 10.1016/j.radcr.2017.07.003

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Elsevier at http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1930043317301528 . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms



Pediatric

Pamidronate "zebra lines": A treatment timeline

Avgi Loizidou BSc, MBChB^{a,*}, Savvas Andronikou MBBCh, FCRad, FRCR, PhD^b, Christine P Burren MBBS, MD, FRACP, FRCPCH^c

 ^a School of Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Senate House, Tyndall Ave, Bristol BS8 1TH, UK
^b Department of Paediatric Radiology, University of Bristol, Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK
^c Department of Paediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes, Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 April 2017 Received in revised form 21 June 2017 Accepted 3 July 2017 Available online

Keywords: Osteogenesis imperfecta Bisphosphonate Pamidronate lines

ABSTRACT

Osteogenesis imperfecta is a hereditary bone dysplasia characterized by bone fragility, deformity, and short stature. Treatment focuses on preventing bone fractures and symptom relief. Pamidronate, a second-generation bisphosphonate drug that minimizes bone loss, is the chosen treatment in osteogenesis imperfecta. Radiologically, each cycle of pamidronate treatment is depicted as a line of sclerosed nondecalcified cartilage at the metaphysis, termed a pamidronate line. In this case report, we demonstrate that a treatment timeline can be visualized on plain radiographs as the number and spacing of pamidronate lines reflects the number and timing of treatment cycles. The educational value of this is to reassure physicians of the benign nature of "zebra lines," to demonstrate that the pamidronate lines migrate and fade with bone growth, and alert physicians that the lack of expected pamidronate lines during treatment may reflect a change in the patient's condition that reduces the effectiveness of bisphosphonate infusions.

© 2017 the Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. under copyright license from the University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) is a skeletal dysplasia characterized by fractures due to bone fragility, with variable features of blue sclera, bone deformity, short stature, ligamentous laxity, and dental abnormalities (dentinogenesis imperfecta) [1]. Mutations in the alpha 1 and alpha 2 chains of Collagen Type 1 (COL1A1 and COL1A2) account for 80%-90% cases but with intriguing heterogeneity. The original 1979 Sillence OI classification system remains useful [2]. Recent nomenclature revision occurred to reflect the complexity of now 19 different genes implicated in OI [3]. Nevertheless, it largely retains categorization according to clinical severity with Type 1 the mildest form, Type 3 severely progressively deforming, and Type 2 lethal. Multidisciplinary team management of patients with OI has significantly developed over recent years, encompassing bone strengthening medical treatments, orthopedic interventions, and comprehensive therapy inputs. Management focuses on reducing fractures, limb

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: al1354@my.bristol.ac.uk (A. Loizidou).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2017.07.003

^{1930-0433/© 2017} the Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. under copyright license from the University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

deformity, and pain, with the aim of improving patient's quality of life.

Bisphosphonates are the main medical treatment in OI, with intravenous pamidronate, a second-generation bisphosphonate, the most widely used approach [4]. Pamidronate is administered as regular infusions over several years to increase bone mineral density and reduce fractures. At a cellular level, pamidronate reduces osteoclast activity which minimizes bone loss. Each pamidronate infusion interrupts the active osteoblast front within the growth plate from decalcifying the growth plate cartilage during cell turnover [5]. These rows of sclerosed nondecalcified cartilage present as lines of cartilage calcification persisting from metaphysis into diaphysis, termed pamidronate lines. In a child's growing skeleton, bone growth takes each pamidronate line up into the diaphysis, and each round of treatment brings a new pamidronate line. These parallel lines progressively result in a "zebra line appearance." The number and spacing of pamidronate lines reflects the number and timing of treatments, much like tree-rings reflect the age of a tree as well as the amount of growth in a year. With time, they disappear as the calcified cartilage moves from the metaphysis into the diaphysis, and they are gradually converted into bone [5,6]. The pamidronate lines are not correlated with worsening pathology in children with OI; they are a radiological finding that reflects the effective administration of the bisphosphonate infusion and should not be the cause of alarm in physicians.

Case report

This 9.5-year-old Caucasian boy was noted at birth to have positional talipes equinovarus, joint laxity, large anterior fontanelle, and blue sclerae. The patient experienced his first low trauma fracture of right tibial shaft fracture when he was 6 months old, with minimal handling. Family history indicated a large pedigree with many affected members with osteogenesis imperfecta type 1 with an inheritance pattern consistent with the expected autosomal dominant nature of Type 1 OI. By the age of 6-10 months, the patient had 7 fractures due to minimal trauma. The fractures were mainly of the lower limb bones, specifically the tibia, fibula, and metatarsals. Two fractures were found in larger bones such as the femur and pelvis. Physical examination at birth revealed features supportive of a diagnosis of OI. Clinical features during childhood were similarly consistent: hypermobility, pes planus, and mild lumbar scoliosis.

Radiological evidence showed features of OI, such as the presence of Wormian bones on lateral skull radiographs. However, radiographs do not provide an accurate assessment of bone density. Bone densitometry was assessed using dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry. At aged 3.6 years it showed total body bone mineral density z-score +0.7 SDS and lumbar (L1-L4) bone mineral density z-score +1.4 SDS. These values are within the normal range, which can occur in OI. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry is a proxy marker for bone strength, although the collagen abnormality present in OI affects bone quality and strength and not always density. Genetic studies indicated that the patient had the same mutation as other affected family members and was heterozygous for c.3584G>A, p.C1195Y mutation within the COL1A1 gene.

The diagnosis of OI Type 1 is largely made on clinical grounds. The patient's clinical history and examination were indicative of OI. Genetic studies are not a prerequisite for the diagnosis of Type OI, although they are increasingly undertaken in today's practice. Genetic studies cannot predict clinical severity in fracture frequency. There is significant clinical heterogeneity even within individuals affected with the same mutation in 1 kindred, as was the case in this pedigree. Bisphosphonate therapy is not used prophylactically in pediatric OI, but this patient's frequent fragility fractures in infancy crossed the threshold to recommend bisphosphonate therapy which is now a well-accepted form of treatment [7].

The patient commenced a standard course of pamidronate infusions. The patient's radiographs provide a visual story of his pamidronate treatment (Fig. 1). Over 3 years, the patient received 8 cycles (1 mg/kg/day for 3 consecutive days) of pamidronate. Treatment was interrupted for 3 years due to severe procedural anxiety around cannulation, giving "pamidronate-free" bone formation over that period. The patient



Fig. 1 – Radiograph of the left knee taken aged 7.25 years. Black arrows indicate 8 dense parallel pamidronate lines in the distal femoral and proximal tibial diaphysis, each corresponding to a treatment cycle (numbered from oldest to most recent). The ensuing 3-year treatment discontinuation corresponds to the lower density bone (broken 2-headed arrow). This radiograph was taken 6 months after recommencing pamidronate infusions and re-institution (white arrow).



Fig. 2 – Radiograph of the left knee taken aged 9.5 years, 2.6 years into the "second phase" of pamidronate infusions. The 7 infusion cycles during this period correspond to pamidronate lines in the distal femoral, proximal tibial, and fibular metaphyses and diaphyses (black arrows numbered from oldest to most recent). Remnants of the old pamidronate lines from treatment 3 years previously are fading into the diaphysis as they are gradually converted into bone (rectangle).

then restarted treatment and over the next 4 years, received 7 cycles (4 cycles of 1 mg/kg/day for 3 days then 3 cycles of 1 mg/kg/day for 2 days) (Fig. 2).

Discussion

We chose to present this care report because of the unusual presentation of pamidronate lines in a growing skeleton and the educational value in raising awareness among physicians on the management of OI and the effect of bisphosphonate infusions on the pediatric skeleton.

Pamidronate "zebra" lines are a pediatric phenomenon appearing in the growing skeleton. Radiologists, orthopedists, or emergency department practitioners who more commonly view adult radiographs may be alarmed by their unexpected appearance in a radiograph taken for a different indication. This can lead to various inappropriate differential diagnoses such as underlying bone disease, nutritional abnormalities, or fractures. This brings undue anxiety on the part of the clinician, the child, and family, potentially with unnecessary additional investigations. This report serves as an important educational message to physicians of the benign nature of this radiological feature, as the rarity of this condition makes it unlikely that they would have to have a large number of children with OI in their patient cohort.

This radiological appearance of pamidronate lines has been studied histomorphometrically and a persisting calcified ridge correlates with the sclerosed lines on plain radiograph [8]. Reports also indicate that the lines fade with time [9], indicating that eventually osteoclast activity and bone turnover result in decalcification of those lines. This picture is aptly demonstrated by this case (Fig. 2). This case also demonstrates that the lines are not always parallel at uniform distances, as the gap between them reflects the timing of treatment. This case shows an unusual appearance with a relatively long gap in treatment of 3 years. This highlights that exploration of treatment history should be taken into account when interpreting a child's radiographs and further reassure physicians of the benign nature of the pamidronate lines.

It is important to note that pamidronate lines do not solely occur in childhood OI, as bisphosphonates are also used judiciously in other pediatric chronic conditions complicated by low bone mass with fragility fractures such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy or cerebral palsy and also chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis [10]. Hence it can be expected that those patients will also exhibit similar radiological findings. Moreover, this illustrative case also provides useful learning points to clinicians treating children with a variety of medical conditions, where interruptions in bisphosphonate therapy can result due to other events occurring due to their underlying medical condition and can also be used as a monitoring method for the effectiveness of bisphosphonate infusions.

Finally, medical treatments are changing; now the more potent bisphosphonate zoledronic acid is becoming the preferred agent in the management of OI. This more potent agent is also administered by intravenous infusion but less often, generally 6 monthly in the growing skeleton. To the present day the appearance of "Zol-Zebra lines" has not been reported. It is possible that they could have a similar or more intense appearance and be further apart that pamidronate lines as zoledronic acid is administered in a much higher concentration and with a longer treatment interval. This is something to continue observing. Correlation of clinical and medication history will remain important.

In conclusion, pamidronate lines are a radiological finding representing areas of sclerosed nondecalcified cartilage at the metaphysis, in pediatric patients treated with bisphosphonate infusions for conditions that compromise their skeletal integrity. Although an unusual radiological feature, physicians should be reassured of their benign nature. As shown in this case report, their number and distance indicate the timing of pamidronate infusion cycles reflecting the treatment timeline. As the bone grows they are fully absorbed. With bisphosphonate infusions being increasingly used in OI and other conditions with skeletal compromise, this is likely to be a more common presentation. It is important that this is correctly identified by treating physicians to prevent undue anxiety on the part of the clinician, the child, and family, potentially with unnecessary additional investigations.

REFERENCES

- Forlino A, Marini J. Osteogenesis imperfecta. Lancet 2016;387:1657–71.
- [2] Sillence DO, Senn A, Danks DM. Genetic heterogeneity in osteogenesis imperfecta. J Med Genet 1979;16:101–16.
- [3] Van Dijk FS, Sillence DO. Osteogenesis imperfecta: clinical diagnosis, nomenclature and severity assessment. Am J Med Genet A 2014;164A:1470–81.
- [4] Dwan K, Phillipi CA, Steiner RD, Basel D. Bisphosphonate therapy for osteogenesis imperfecta. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;(10):CD005088.

- [5] Grissom L, Harcke H. Radiographic features of bisphosphonate therapy in pediatric patients. Pediatr Radiol 2003;33:226–9.
- [6] Onwuneme C, Abdalla K, Cassidy N, Hensey O, Ryan S. Radiological findings in cyclical administration of intravenous pamidronate in children with osteoporosis. Arch Dis Child 2007;92:1087.
- [7] Glorieux FH, Bishop NJ, Plotkin H, Chabot G, Lanoue G, Travers R. Cyclic administration of pamidronate in children with severe osteogenesis imperfecta. N Engl J Med 1998;339:947–52.
- [8] Rauch F, Travers R, Munns C, Glorieux FH. Sclerotic metaphyseal lines in a child treated with pamidronate: histomorphometric analysis. J Bone Miner Res 2004;19:1191– 3.
- [9] Castillo H, Samson-Fang L. Effects of bisphosphonates in children with osteogenesis imperfecta: an AACPDM systematic review. Dev Med Child Neurol 2009;51:17–29.
- [10] Handly B, Moore M, Creutzberg G, Groh B, Mosher T. Bisphosphonate therapy for chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis. Skeletal Radiol 2013;42:1777–8.