



Falck, M., Osredkar, D., Maes, E., Flatebo, T., Wood, T., Sabir, H., & Thoresen, M. (2017). Hypothermic Neuronal Rescue from Infection-sensitised Hypoxic-Ischaemic Brain Injury is Pathogen Dependent. *Developmental Neuroscience*, 39(1-4), 238-247.
<https://doi.org/10.1159/000455838>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1159/000455838](https://doi.org/10.1159/000455838)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Karger at <https://www.karger.com/Article/Abstract/455838>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms>

1 **Hypothermic Neuronal Rescue from Infection-sensitised Hypoxic-ischaemic**
2 **Brain Injury is Pathogen Dependent**

3
4 **Running title: Pathogen-Dependent Hypothermic Neuroprotection**

5
6 Mari Falck¹, Damjan Osredkar², Elke Maes¹, Torun Flatebø¹, Thomas Ragnar Wood¹,
7 Hemmen Sabir^{1,3}, Marianne Thoresen.^{1,4*}

8
9 ¹Department of Physiology, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

10 ²Department of Paediatric Neurology, University Children's Hospital, Ljubljana, Slovenia

11 ³Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology, University Children's
12 Hospital, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

13 ⁴Neonatal Neuroscience, School of Clinical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

14 *Corresponding author

15
16 **Address for correspondence:**

17 Marianne Thoresen MD PhD

18 Department of Physiology, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Domus
19 Medica, Boks 1072 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway

20 marianne.thoresen@medisin.uio.no

21 Telephone number: +47 228 51568

22
23 **Key words:**

24 Perinatal brain injury, Asphyxia, Hypothermia therapy, Neuroprotection, Infection,

25 Inflammation, Gram-positive, PAM₃CSK₄, LPS.

26

1 Perinatal infection increases the vulnerability of the neonatal brain to hypoxic-ischaemic (HI)
2 injury. Hypothermia Treatment (HT) does not provide neuroprotection after pre-insult
3 inflammatory sensitisation by lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a gram-negative bacterial wall
4 constituent. However, early-onset sepsis in term babies is caused by gram-positive species
5 in more than 90 % of cases, and neuro-inflammatory responses triggered through the gram-
6 negative route (toll-like receptor 4; TLR-4), are different from those induced through the
7 gram-positive route via TLR-2. Whether gram-positive septicaemia sensitises the neonatal
8 brain to hypoxia and inhibits the neuroprotective effect of HT is unknown.

9 Seven-day-old (P7) Wistar rats (n=178) were subjected to intraperitoneal injections of
10 PAM₃CSK₄ (1 mg/kg, a synthetic TLR-2 agonist) or vehicle (0.9% NaCl). After an 8-hour
11 delay, the left carotid artery was ligated followed by 50min of hypoxia (8% O₂) at T_{rectal}36°C.
12 Pups received 5h treatment of normothermia (NT, 37°C) or HT (32°C) immediately after the
13 insult. Brains were harvested after seven days' survival for hemispheric and hippocampal
14 area loss analyses and immunolabelling of microglia (Iba1) and hippocampal neurons
15 (NeuN). Normothermic PAM₃CSK₄-animals showed significantly more brain injury than
16 vehicle animals (p=0.014). Compared to NT, HT significantly reduced injury in the
17 PAM₃CSK₄-injected animals, with reduced area loss (p<0.001), reduced microglial activation
18 (p=0.006), and increased neuronal rescue in the CA1 region (p<0.001). Experimental
19 induction of a sepsis-like condition through the gram-positive pathway sensitises the brain to
20 HI. HT was highly neuroprotective after the PAM₃CSK₄-triggered injury, suggesting HT may
21 be neuroprotective in the presence of a gram-positive infection. These results are in strong
22 contrast to LPS-studies where HT is not neuroprotective.

1 **Introduction**

2

3 Perinatal hypoxic-ischaemic (HI) brain injury remains one of the major causes of long-term
4 neurological disability or death in term newborns [1]. Perinatal infection is a risk factor for
5 cerebral palsy (CP) and long-term disability [2–4], and systemic inflammation also lowers the
6 threshold at which an HI insult leads to permanent neuronal injury [5–7]. Several small and
7 large animal studies have demonstrated the infection-induced vulnerability of the brain to
8 hypoxia, and investigated the mechanisms behind [8–11]. Interestingly, a generalised
9 systemic inflammatory activation seems to be sufficient to cause this sensitisation, even in
10 the absence of the pathogen itself. Chau *et al* showed that meningitis is not a prerequisite to
11 increase susceptibility of the brain to HI, and most clinical studies linking severity of brain
12 injury to perinatal infection have instead examined pro-inflammatory cytokines or clinical
13 signs of perinatal infection, such as maternal pyrexia or clinical chorioamnionitis [3,12].
14 Furthermore, the success rate of pathogen isolation from the blood of neonates with clinical
15 infection is poor at only 6% [13]. Pre-clinical animal models of simulated infection in the
16 setting of HI injury often use inflammatory triggers like lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a
17 constituent of gram-negative bacterial membrane, in place of the complete bacteria
18 [8,9,14,15]. Systemic activation of immune cells will not only induce an inflammatory cascade
19 in peripheral blood, but also induces inflammatory activation in brain tissue. The elevated
20 cytokines and activated microglia elicit what is referred to as the infection-sensitised
21 immature brain [7,15,17].

22 For term neonates with moderate and severe hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE) as a
23 result of HI brain injury, hypothermia treatment (HT) is standard of care, and our only current
24 treatment option [18]. With a number needed to treat of 8, 45-50% of encephalopathic term
25 babies will still die or have long-term disability despite active HT therapy [19]. Based on a
26 diverse range of clinical and pre-clinical studies, doubt exists as to whether HT is
27 neuroprotective in infants with HIE where perinatal infection is a co-morbidity [6,20,21]. We
28 recently showed experimentally that HT is not neuroprotective after pre-insult inflammatory

1 sensitisation with LPS in a post-natal day 7 (P7) rat model of unilateral HI brain injury [8].
2 LPS triggers the immune system primarily by binding to toll-like receptor (TLR) 4, but is
3 likely to only represent infections caused by gram-negative bacteria which contain LPS in
4 their cell wall [22]. However in term neonates in developed countries where HT is standard of
5 care, culture positive sepsis has been shown to be caused by gram-positive bacterial species
6 in >90 % of cases [13]. Peptidoglycans and lipoteichoic acid on the wall of gram-positive
7 bacteria trigger human immune responses by binding to TLR-2, and thereby induce a
8 different pathway to inflammatory activation [23–25]. We previously investigated the neuro-
9 inflammatory responses in neonatal rat pups receiving systemic LPS, compared to those
10 receiving the synthetic TLR-2 agonist PAM₃CSK₄ (PAM). Profound differences in temporal
11 core temperature development, as well as in brain cytokine expression and inflammatory and
12 apoptotic signal molecules were found, in response to the two different types of systemic
13 inflammation [26].
14 Whether gram-positive septicaemia sensitises the neonatal brain to HI the way gram-
15 negative septicaemia does, and whether it abolishes the neuroprotective effect of HT (as
16 seen in LPS sensitization), is not known.
17 We therefore investigated the sensitising effect of systemic TLR-2 activation on the neonatal
18 rat brain, as a model of gram-positive systemic inflammation in the setting of HI brain injury,
19 in the P7 rat. Additionally we investigated whether HT is neuroprotective in this double-hit
20 setting.

21

1 **Materials and methods**

2 ***Animals and injections***

3 All experiments were approved by the University of Oslo's Animal Ethics Research
4 Committee and performed by individuals holding an approved license according to the
5 Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986. Experiments were performed on 7-day-old
6 (P7) Wistar rats (Charles River Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany) of both sexes. All pups
7 were kept in an animal facility with a 12h:12h-hour dark:light cycle at 19-21°C
8 environmental temperature with food and water ad libitum.

9 To trigger inflammation through the TLR-2 pathway we used a synthetically-
10 manufactured TLR-2 agonist (N-palmitoyl-S(2,3-bis(palmitoyloxy)-(2R,S-propyl)-®-
11 cysteinyl-seryl-(lysyl)3-lysine, *PAM₃CSK₄* or *PAM*, *Vaccigrade*, *Sigma-Aldrich*) at a dose of 1
12 mg/kg body weight. PAM was initially dissolved in sterile LPS-free water, and then diluted in
13 sterile physiologic saline (0.9% NaCl). The dose of PAM was based on previous
14 publications on this agonist used in neonatal rodents [27–29], in combination with our
15 own dose-response experiments (data not shown). Control groups received a single dose
16 of sterile saline vehicle. All injections were given intraperitoneally (i.p.) in a volume of 10 µl/g
17 body weight.

18 Animals were randomised across litter, sex and weights before the experiments commenced,
19 to one of the following treatment groups; vehicle injection (Veh) and normothermia treatment
20 (NT) (Veh-NT), PAM injection and NT (PAM-NT), Veh injection and hypothermia treatment
21 (HT) (Veh-HT), and PAM injection and hypothermia treatment (PAM-HT).

22

23 ***Surgical Procedures***

24 All experiments were performed as previously described for the LPS-sensitised modification
25 of the Vannucci model of unilateral HI [8]. Briefly, at the start of each experiment, animals
26 were injected with PAM or Veh according to randomisation. After an 8-hour delay with their

1 dams, pups were exposed to a mild HI insult (ligation of left carotid artery under isoflurane
2 anaesthesia followed by exposure to 8% O₂ for 50 min). Immediately thereafter, pups
3 received either of the 2 allocated treatments: 5 h of NT (T_{rectal} 37.0°C) or HT (T_{rectal} 32.0°C).
4 During treatment, the core and surface temperature of two 'sentinel' pups from the Veh
5 groups, was continuously recorded in each chamber. Rectal temperature was maintained
6 within ±0.2°C of the target value using a continuous temperature recording (IT-21; Physitemp
7 Instruments, Clifton, N.J., USA), which servo-controlled a water-filled mat (CritiCool, MTRE,
8 Yavne, Israel) on the floor of the chamber.
9 After the 5 h treatment period, pups were returned to their dams. Pups were sacrificed on
10 postnatal day (P) 14 for further analyses.

11

12 ***Histopathology and Area loss analyses***

13 At P14, animals were sacrificed by trans-cardiac perfusion-fixation with 10% neutral-
14 buffered formalin under isofluraneN₂O-anaesthesia. Brains were harvested and kept in
15 10% neutral-buffered formalin until further processing. Three mm coronal blocks were
16 cut using a standard rat matrix (ASI instruments Inc., Warren, MI, USA), and embedded
17 in paraffin. Five µm slices were cut from the two neighboring blocks best representing
18 cortex, hippocampus, basal ganglia and thalamus. These were stained with hematoxylin
19 and eosin (H&E) and scanned (Epson Perfection V750 Pro). Virtual slides were exported
20 with 600 dpi resolution. Optical density and hemispheric area was analysed using
21 ImageJ computer software (ImageJ, version 1.46r, National Institutes of Health,
22 Bethesda, MD, USA). The ligated side was compared to the non-ligated side, and area
23 loss of the ligated side calculated by the formula $(1 - (\text{area left}/\text{area right})) \times 100$. Percent
24 hemispheric area loss at this level has previously been shown to be highly correlated with a
25 formal neuropathology score and global degree of injury in this model [30].

1 Evaluation of hippocampal area loss was performed in the same way, and calculated as:
2 $(1 - (\text{area of left hippocampus}/\text{area of right hippocampus})) * 100$. A subset of the H&E stained
3 sections were examined for hemispheric and hippocampal areas by two blinded assessors to
4 check for inter-rater reliability.

5

6 ***Immunohistochemistry***

7 For immunohistochemistry analysis, slides were prepared from paraffin-embedded
8 sections as for H&E staining. Antigen retrieval was then performed in citrate buffer
9 solution at pH 6.0, using a PT link instrument (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). After blocking
10 with 10% goat serum, primary rabbit antibody against Iba1 (1:1,000; WAKO), or mouse
11 antibody against NeuN (1:500; Millipore), was applied overnight at 4°C. In control brain
12 sections, the primary antibodies were omitted. After rinsing with PBS, the slices were
13 incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary Alexa Fluor 568 and/or 488
14 (Invitrogen, 1:500) antibodies. Finally, the slides were rinsed and coverslipped with
15 ProLong Gold with DAPI (Invitrogen). Sections were scanned with a virtual microscopy
16 scanner (Axio Scan.Z1; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) using the fluorescence mode with
17 plan apochromatic 20X lens. Virtual slides were exported as high-resolution tiff images
18 for further analysis.

19 To evaluate the effect of different treatments on neuronal loss, NeuN and DAPI-positive
20 cells in the CA1 region of the hippocampus were counted, as this region is known to be
21 particularly vulnerable to hypoxia at P7 [31,32]. Aiming for a representative subset from
22 each treatment group, the 10 animals closest to the median hemispheric area loss, were
23 selected for formal hippocampal neuron counting. Three non-overlapping fields of the CA1
24 region in the left hippocampi were assessed. Counting was performed by two individual
25 observers blinded to the treatment group, and an average of the two was taken. The
26 total number of neurons across the three fields of each hippocampus was summed and
27 compared across groups.

1 To investigate inflammatory activation, staining for ionised calcium-binding adapter
2 molecule 1 (Iba1, a microglial specific biomarker) was performed. Iba1 positive cells were
3 separated from background and analysed by ImageJ. The summed colour intensity
4 detected was calculated as a L/R hemispheric ratio and normalised to cross-sectional
5 area before comparison across groups. The results from two blinded assessors were
6 compared by calculating their correlation coefficient to validate the method.

7

8 ***Data Analysis***

9 Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software
10 Inc., La Jolla, Ca, USA) and SPSS software version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). As
11 data were not normally distributed, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used for four-group treatment
12 comparison, and Mann-Whitney U-test was used for two-group comparisons to get exact
13 two-tailed p-values. Graphical data are presented as median with 95% confidence intervals
14 (CI). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

1 **Results**

2 ***Mortality and exclusions***

3 Of the 218 pups initially included no mortality was seen from injections alone. Four pups died
4 during experimental surgical procedures and hypoxia. Pups carrying temperature probes in
5 each experiment were excluded from further analysis (n=16), because the stress of carrying
6 the probe could influence the outcome [33]. A total of 198 pups were therefore included in
7 analysis. 20 pups served as juvenile controls, without receiving an injection.

8

9 ***Hemispheric Area loss after Systemic injections without HI***

10 To make sure a systemic inflammation triggered by injection of PAM does not create brain
11 tissue loss on its own, P7 rat pups received injections according to the above mentioned
12 protocol with Veh (n=22) or PAM (n=23). Juvenile control (JC) animals of equivalent age
13 (n=20) were used as controls. Total cross sectional area was compared across groups, as
14 well as right hemispheric area and left hemispheric area separately. There was no statistical
15 difference between treatment groups in any of the analyses (Kruskal-Wallis test; p=0.4, 0.5
16 and 0.3 respectively) (data not shown).

17

18 ***Hypothermic neuroprotection in PAM sensitised HI injury***

19 In the NT treated groups, animals receiving a single i.p. injection of PAM prior to the HI
20 insult were more vulnerable to 50 minutes of hypoxia and had significantly increased
21 hemispheric area loss (35.8%, CI 20.4-48.6) compared to Veh-injected pups (10.4%, CI
22 2.1-37) (p=0.01). Treating PAM-injected pups with HT reduced median area loss (6.6%,
23 CI 4.4-18.8), and thereby showed a significant neuroprotective effect compared to PAM
24 animals treated with NT treatment (p=0.0002) (Figure 1).

25 Also hippocampal area loss was significantly higher in PAM-NT pups (55.5%, CI 26.3-

1 69.2) compared to Veh-NT (13.4%, CI 3.1-40.2) ($p=0.03$). Significant hypothermic
2 neuroprotection in the hippocampal region was seen in PAM-HT animals (8.2%, CI 3.6-
3 18.2) ($p=0.003$) (Figure 2). In the cortical area loss analyses we found the same
4 differences, with PAM-NT pups having significantly more cortical tissue loss (50.4%, CI
5 25.1-67.6) compared to the Veh-NT group (18.5%, CI 2.4-54.2) ($p = 0.03$). Significant
6 neuroprotection in the cortical region was seen in PAM-HT animals (8.2%, CI 3.6-18.2)
7 ($p=0.003$). Thalamic area loss was not significantly increased in the PAM-sensitised
8 pups (28.8%, CI 13.2-46.3) compared to Veh-NT animals (14.2%, CI 7-32.1). There was,
9 however, significant neuroprotective effect on thalamic tissue in the PAM-HT group
10 (9.3%, CI 3.2-18) ($p=0.01$).

11 There was no statistical difference between Veh-injected pups treated with NT and Veh-
12 animals receiving HT treatment, neither with respect to hemispheric (10.4%, CI 2.1-37
13 vs 10.9%, CI 7.2-15.4) area loss, nor to hippocampal (13.4%, CI 3.1-40.2 vs 7.6% CI
14 0.5-29.4), cortical (18.5%, CI 2.4-54.2 vs 15.9%, CI 9.8-20.5) or thalamic (14.2%, CI 7-
15 32.1 vs 9.3%, CI 4.5-12.6) area loss.

16 Linear regression analysis showed a highly significant correlation between area loss in
17 all three regions and hemispheric area loss (Hippocampus: $R^2=0.77$, $p<0.0001$; Cortex:
18 $R^2=0.89$, $p<0.0001$; Thalmus: $R^2=0.78$, $p<0.0001$), with hippocampal and cortical loss
19 tending to be greater ($B=1.32$ and $B=1.27$ respectively) than hemispheric area loss, while
20 thalamic loss is slightly lower ($B=0.92$) (Figure 3). This is in accordance with regional
21 analysis of vulnerability in the Vannucci rat model when exposed to HI only [31].

22

23 ***Hypothermia Provides Neuronal Rescue in the CA1 Hippocampal Region.***

24 The total number of neurons in the CA1 region of the left hippocampus were counted in
25 a subset of animals from all 4 treatment groups ($n=10-11$ per group) (Figure 4). The

1 number of neurons after a short HI insult was significantly lower in PAM-NT animals (44,
2 CI 0-103) compared to Veh animals (122, CI 73-135) ($p=0.01$). Significant neuronal
3 rescue was seen in the PAM-HT group (107, CI 94-141) ($p=0.0008$). There was no
4 difference between the two Veh-injected groups (NT: 121.8, CI 73-135 vs HT: 114, CI
5 99.5-128).

6

7 ***PAM induced microglial activation***

8 Iba1 upregulation was more pronounced, and greater relative to the amount of remaining
9 tissue, in PAM-NT animals ($p=0.035$). Microglial activation was reversed in the PAM-HT
10 group, with significantly reduced Iba1 immunolabelling ($p=0.006$) (Figure 5). Microglial
11 activation, with bigger somas and retracted dendritic processes, was morphologically
12 visible around the left hemispheric lesions of all animals (Figure 6).

13

14 **Discussion**

15 This study investigated the sensitising effect of systemic TLR-2 activation on the immature
16 rat brain, combined with a mild unilateral HI insult. The motivation was to improve our pre-
17 clinical model of infection-sensitised HI brain injury to more closely resemble the clinical
18 situation in term asphyxiated neonates, where gram-positive infections predominate. Here
19 we provide evidence that PAM, a TLR-2 agonist, does sensitise the immature brain when
20 injected systemically. Importantly, PAM injected animals are equally vulnerable to HI as
21 those sensitised by LPS [8]. However, HT still provides >80% neuroprotection of hemispheric
22 area loss in animals administered PAM. This is in stark contrast to studies on LPS-induced
23 sensitisation, where HT was ineffective.

24 Analyses of hippocampal area loss and neuron count in the CA1 region gave similar
25 neuroprotection of HT after sensitisation with PAM. In the PAM groups, treatment with HT

1 resulted in an 85% reduction of total hippocampal tissue loss, and 2.4-fold higher number of
2 surviving neurons in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. Tissue loss in the cortex followed
3 the same pattern across the groups, with again significant neuroprotection in PAM-HT
4 animals. Interestingly, thalamus is a less vulnerable area to the sensitizing effect of PAM,
5 without worse outcome in the PAM-NT group compared to Veh-NT. Still hypothermia
6 reduces injury significantly also in this region. Additionally, microglial activation relative to
7 cross-sectional area was increased in PAM-sensitised brains. This was reversed by HT, with
8 a 55% reduction of Iba1 expression.

9
10 Injection of PAM or of LPS, alone results in neuro-inflammatory alterations that differ highly
11 depending on the inflammatory stimulus [34]. Our own experiments have shown that LPS-
12 injected rat pups become hypothermic soon after injection, with a spontaneous core
13 temperature drop of 3.5°C, from 35°C down to 31.5°C [26]. This decrease in core
14 temperature was not seen in animals injected with PAM, which were not different from Veh
15 animals. Intra-hypoxic temperature is known to have large impact on the susceptibility of the
16 neonatal rat brain to HI injury [35]. At higher core temperatures during hypoxia, neonatal rats
17 are more susceptible to brain injury, and vice versa, at lower body temperature it is more
18 challenging to create a lesion [36]. The drop in core temperature we have seen after LPS
19 injection, which is still present when the experiment commences, might partly be the reason
20 for their increased vulnerability compared to Veh animals [5]. In studies on LPS-sensitisation
21 the rats receive the insult at the same intra-hypoxic temperature as control groups (36°C)
22 [5,8], meaning their temperature during hypoxia is rapidly increased by 4.5°C when placed in
23 the hypoxia chamber. The temperature of Veh pups or juvenile control animals on the other
24 hand, is only increased by up to 1°C during the hypoxia period. This does, however, not
25 explain why PAM injected pups, which maintain the same core temperature as Veh animals
26 post-injection, present a vulnerability to hypoxia similar to that of LPS-injected pups. This
27 suggests that other mechanisms are as important as temperature when it comes to the
28 brain's resistance to an HI insult.

1 HI brain injury without systemic inflammation induces a lowering of core temperature [35,37].
2 During hypoxia there is also reduced metabolism and heat production [38]. The detailed
3 mechanism behind this phenomenon, however, is not fully understood, and an innate
4 neuroprotective defense mechanism has been suggested [35]. Experimental HI brain injury
5 without infectious pre-sensitisation, is where HT has repeatedly been shown to be
6 neuroprotective [39]. We therefore speculate whether the reduced core temperature found
7 after injection of LPS might be more of a pathologic response, with disturbance of the
8 thermoregulatory center in hypothalamus. Linthorst *et al.* have demonstrated several
9 disturbances in the thalamic preoptic area after i.p. LPS administration, which substantiates
10 this theory [40]. These changes have to not been investigated after PAM sensitisation. A
11 study comparing these responses after PAM or LPS would help elucidate these mechanisms
12 and their influence in infection-sensitised brain injury.

13

14 Resting microglia are activated in response to HI injury [41–43]. Studies on LPS-sensitised
15 HI injury have demonstrated microglia to be both more numerous and in a more activated
16 state around the site of the lesion [17,44,45]. The sensitising effect of LPS on the immature
17 brain has been attributed to the number of activated microglia. Here we demonstrate a
18 similar microglial response after PAM, with comparable increased neuronal vulnerability. This
19 could suggest that microglial activation and proliferation is involved in the pathogenic
20 inflammatory activation and brain sensitisation due to the combination of PAM and HI. It is
21 however noteworthy that both area loss and microglial activation after PAM-sensitised HI is
22 largely reversed by HT, while this is not the case after LPS. Microglial activation seems to be
23 non-specific to the pathogen, and part of a more distal common pathway of neuro-
24 inflammatory responses. In both models, the increased microglial response in sensitised
25 brains is associated with a higher median degree of neuronal injury, due to the “double hit”
26 insult, compared to controls. A constituent tonic inhibition of microglial activity occurs through
27 ligand-receptor pairs from neurons, requiring direct cell-cell contact [43]. Even in the absence
28 of damage-related signals, loss of neuronal integrity can induce a rapid microglial response

1 [46]. The upregulation of Iba1 could therefore represent a response to a more
2 comprehensive injury, which occurred prior to the microglial activation. As these microglia
3 are stained after 7 days' survival, and are not phenotyped, their activation state is likely to be
4 towards what was previously defined as the M2 phenotype on the classification spectrum,
5 and a sign of inflammatory repair mechanisms [47]. The dramatic difference in sensitivity to
6 HT indicates that other, earlier, inflammatory events are more important in the mechanistic
7 explanation of inflammatory pre-sensitisation to neuronal HI injury.

8

9 A limitation to this study is the lack of significant HT neuroprotection in the Veh-injected
10 animals. We do however see a somewhat lower median hippocampal area loss in the Veh-
11 HT group compared to the Veh-NT group, although not statistically significant. This goes well
12 with how the hippocampus has been shown to be the most sensitive area to HI, but also the
13 most sensitive to HT neuroprotection [48]. The lack of difference in hemispheric area loss
14 may be due to the low degree of injury in this cohort as a result of the short hypoxia period
15 (50 minutes compared to 90 minutes in our standard HI injury model without presensitisation)
16 [30,49]. In our experience HT has not been neuroprotective after mild brain injury, defined in
17 the Vannucci model as a median area loss below 25% [50,51]. A moderate degree of injury
18 (30-60% tissue loss) is required to see neuroprotective effect of HT in this model [30,52].
19 Whether mild injury should be eligible for cooling in neonates is still debated, as the
20 neuroprotective effect of HT has not been clarified for these patients [53]. Rat pups are highly
21 variable in how much hypoxia they can withstand before cellular death is seen, and the same
22 is likely to be the case for human neonates. The well-described variability of injury in the
23 Vannucci model demands a substantial sample size, and the careful use of non-parametric
24 statistical approaches. However, this model has been an important part of translating
25 therapeutic hypothermia from bench to bedside [54], and harboring such variability might be
26 part of what makes the model translatable. When we modify the model to include systemic
27 infectious inflammatory activation, chances are high that processes and pathways are
28 induced that still remain to be uncovered. The immune system is far from fully-mapped, and

1 furthermore, studies on translation of immune responses across species are scarce [55].
2
3 Though the focus of research on pre-sensitisation has primarily been based around bacterial
4 infections, general signs of infection are mostly non-specific, and could be associated with
5 other infectious agents. Some of the studies associating severity of brain injury to systemic
6 inflammation used maternal fever as a sign of infection [2,3], but, the most common cause of
7 fever is viral infections including influenza, rhinovirus, enterovirus and coronavirus [56]. The
8 pre-sensitising effect of viral-induced materno-fetal inflammation has not been well
9 investigated clinically. A study by Stridh *et al* on neonatal mice demonstrated significantly
10 increased infarct volume after pre-sensitisation with an agonist to TLR-3, the pathogen
11 recognition receptor of viral RNA [57]. Fever is induced by raised circulating levels of certain
12 cytokines, specifically IL-6 [58], which occurs during both bacterial and viral illnesses [59]. A
13 well-known consequence of intrapartum maternal infection is the fetal inflammatory response
14 syndrome (FIRS), also characterised by elevated IL-6 levels in the fetus [60]. Whether this is
15 dependent on the pathogen is not known, and could indeed include maternal viral infections
16 as well as bacterial chorioamnionitis. With respect to how viral infections may interact with
17 HT treatment in asphyxiated neonates, it is interesting to note that infections with common
18 cold viruses increase in the winter season, and cold viruses have been shown to replicate
19 better at cold environmental temperatures like in the nasal cavity (33-35°C) than at normal
20 core temperature (37°C). The mechanism behind this is not yet elucidated, but is thought to
21 be due to diminished antiviral immune responses at these lowered temperatures [61].
22 Cooling neonates with a viral infection might therefore bring them to a temperature that
23 promotes the growth of certain viruses. The downstream effects of that are unknown.
24
25 Eklind *et al.* developed a modification of the Vannucci model with systemic inflammation
26 to pre-sensitise the brain to HI [5]. They used lipopolysaccharide (LPS) as a systemic
27 inflammatory trigger, and thereby modelled a gram-negative bacterial infection. This
28 finding was particularly important with respect to the prematurely born population [62,63],

1 and furthermore to populations of less developed parts of the world, where the incidence
2 of gram-negative infections is higher, and HT was [64]. Our group found the same HI-
3 sensitising effect of LPS on the brain, however we showed that HT neuroprotection was
4 negated in LPS-sensitised rat pups using that model [8,17]. Studies on HT in low-income
5 settings have not been able to find neuroprotective effect [20], and HT is to date
6 standard of care only in western high-income countries. On this basis, and knowing our
7 target patient group to mostly have infections caused by gram-positive bacteria, we
8 further-developed a model of gram positive infection, using a synthetic TLR-2 antagonist,
9 as described. Activation of TLR-2 triggers inflammatory activation through the same
10 pathway that initiates sepsis from gram positive species [25,65]. Surprisingly, and in
11 opposition to the results seen in the LPS model, we demonstrate a neuroprotective effect of
12 HT. This might not uncover the whole story, but it does underline the importance of tailoring
13 our pre-clinical models as thoroughly as we can to the clinical scenario we aim to mimic.

14

15 With these data we can only conclude that HT treatment can be highly neuroprotective in
16 inflammatory pre-sensitised HI injury, but the neuroprotective effect might depend on the
17 pathogen. With current knowledge, our results in combination with clinical infection
18 demographics suggests that we should continue to treat encephalopathic neonates who fulfill
19 the cooling criteria, regardless of infectious status.

20

1 **References**

- 2 1 Jacobs SE, Berg M, Hunt R, Tarnow-Mordi WO, Inder TE, Davis PG: Cooling for
3 newborns with hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*
4 2013;1:Cd003311.
- 5 2 Eastman NJ, Deleon M: The etiology of cerebral palsy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1955
6 May [cited 2016 Feb 1];69:950–61.
- 7 3 Grether JK, Nelson KB: Maternal infection and cerebral palsy in infants of normal birth
8 weight. *JAMA* 1997 Jul 16 [cited 2015 Dec 13];278:207–11.
- 9 4 Strunk T, Inder T, Wang X, Burgner D, Mallard C, Levy O: Infection-induced
10 inflammation and cerebral injury in preterm infants. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014;14:751–
11 762.
- 12 5 Eklind S, Mallard C, Leverin AL, Gilland E, Blomgren K, Mattsby-Baltzer I, et al.:
13 Bacterial endotoxin sensitizes the immature brain to hypoxic--ischaemic injury. *Eur J*
14 *Neurosci* 2001;13:1101–1106.
- 15 6 Fleiss B, Tann CJ, Degos V, Sigaut S, Van Steenwinckel J, Schang A-L, et al.:
16 Inflammation-induced sensitization of the brain in term infants. *Dev Med Child Neurol*
17 2015 Apr;57 Suppl 3:17–28.
- 18 7 Hagberg H, Mallard C, Ferriero DM, Vannucci SJ, Levison SW, Vexler ZS, et al.: The
19 role of inflammation in perinatal brain injury. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2015 Feb 17; DOI:
20 10.1038/nrneurol.2015.13
- 21 8 Osredkar D, Thoresen M, Maes E, Flatebø T, Elstad M, Sabir H: Hypothermia is not
22 neuroprotective after infection-sensitized neonatal hypoxic–ischemic brain injury.
23 *Resuscitation* 2014;85:567–572.
- 24 9 Mallard C, Welin A-K, Peebles D, Hagberg H, Kjellmer I: White Matter Injury Following
25 Systemic Endotoxemia or Asphyxia in the Fetal Sheep. *Neurochem Res* 2003;28:215–
26 223.
- 27 10 Wang X, Stridh L, Li W, Dean J, Elmgren A, Gan L, et al.: Lipopolysaccharide
28 sensitizes neonatal hypoxic-ischemic brain injury in a MyD88-dependent manner. *J*

1 Immunol 2009;183:7471–7477.

2 11 Baburamani AA, Miyakuni Y, Vontell R, Supramaniam VG, Svedin P, Rutherford M, et
3 al.: Does Caspase-6 Have a Role in Perinatal Brain Injury? Dev Neurosci
4 2015;37:321–37.

5 12 Nelson KB, Dambrosia JM, Grether JK, Phillips TM: Neonatal cytokines and
6 coagulation factors in children with cerebral palsy. Ann Neurol 1998 Oct;44:665–75.

7 13 Fjalstad JW, Stensvold HJ, Bergseng H, Simonsen GS, Salvesen B, Rønnestad AE, et
8 al.: Early-onset Sepsis and Antibiotic Exposure in Term Infants: A Nationwide
9 Population-based Study in Norway. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2016 Jan;35:1–6.

10 14 Rousset CI, Kassem J, Aubert A, Planchenault D, Gressens P, Chalon S, et al.:
11 Maternal exposure to lipopolysaccharide leads to transient motor dysfunction in
12 neonatal rats. Dev Neurosci 2013;35:172–181.

13 15 Hoogland ICM, Houbolt C, van Westerloo DJ, van Gool WA, van de Beek D: Systemic
14 inflammation and microglial activation: systematic review of animal experiments. J
15 Neuroinflammation 2015 Jan;12:114.

16 16 Ikeda T, Yang L, Ikenoue T, Mallard C, Hagberg H: Endotoxin-induced hypoxic-
17 ischemic tolerance is mediated by up-regulation of corticosterone in neonatal rat.
18 Pediatr Res 2006;59:56–60.

19 17 Osredkar D, Sabir H, Falck M, Wood T, Maes E, Flateb T, et al.: Hypothermia Does
20 Not Reverse Cellular Responses Caused by Lipopolysaccharide in Neonatal Hypoxic-
21 Ischaemic Brain Injury. Dev Neurosci 2015 Jan 12;37:390–7.

22 18 Perlman JM, Wyllie J, Kattwinkel J, Atkins DL, Chameides L, Goldsmith JP, et al.: Part
23 11: Neonatal resuscitation: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary
24 Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment
25 Recommendations. Circulation 2010 Oct 19;122:S516-38.

26 19 Edwards AD, Brocklehurst P, Gunn AJ, Halliday H, Juszczak E, Levene M, et al.:
27 Neurological outcomes at 18 months of age after moderate hypothermia for perinatal
28 hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy: synthesis and meta-analysis of trial data. BMJ

- 1 2010 Jan 9;340:c363.
- 2 20 Robertson NJ, Nakakeeto M, Hagmann C, Cowan FM, Acolet D, Iwata O, et al.:
3 Therapeutic hypothermia for birth asphyxia in low-resource settings: a pilot
4 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)* 2008 Sep 6;372:801–3.
- 5 21 Wintermark P, Boyd T, Gregas MC, Labrecque M, Hansen A: Placental pathology in
6 asphyxiated newborns meeting the criteria for therapeutic hypothermia. *Am J Obstet
7 Gynecol* 2010;203:579.e1-9.
- 8 22 Guha M, Mackman N: LPS induction of gene expression in human monocytes. *Cell
9 Signal* 2001 Feb;13:85–94.
- 10 23 Yoshimura A, Lien E, Ingalls RR, Tuomanen E, Dziarski R, Golenbock D: Cutting
11 edge: recognition of Gram-positive bacterial cell wall components by the innate
12 immune system occurs via Toll-like receptor 2. *J Immunol* 1999 Jul 1 [cited 2016 Jan
13 31];163:1–5.
- 14 24 Takeuchi O, Hoshino K, Kawai T, Sanjo H, Takada H, Ogawa T, et al.: Differential
15 Roles of TLR2 and TLR4 in Recognition of Gram-Negative and Gram-Positive
16 Bacterial Cell Wall Components. *Immunity* 1999 Oct;11:443–451.
- 17 25 Kumar H, Kawai T, Akira S: Pathogen recognition by the innate immune system. *Int
18 Rev Immunol* 2011 Feb;30:16–34.
- 19 26 Falck M, Sabir H, Maes E, Wood TR, Flatebø T, Osredkar D, et al.: Moving Towards a
20 More Clinically Relevant Animal Model of Infection-sensitized HIE2016, [cited 2016
21 Sep 12]. Available from: <http://www.hersheyconference.com/>
- 22 27 Andrade EB, Alves J, Madureira P, Oliveira L, Ribeiro A, Cordeiro-da-Silva A, et al.:
23 TLR2-induced IL-10 production impairs neutrophil recruitment to infected tissues
24 during neonatal bacterial sepsis. *J Immunol* 2013;191:4759–4768.
- 25 28 Stridh L, Ek CJ, Wang X, Nilsson H, Mallard C: Regulation of Toll-like receptors in the
26 choroid plexus in the immature brain after systemic inflammatory stimuli. *Transl Stroke
27 Res* 2013 Apr;4:220–227.
- 28 29 Du X, Fleiss B, Li H, D'angelo B, Sun Y, Zhu C, et al.: Systemic stimulation of TLR2

1 impairs neonatal mouse brain development. PLoS One 2011 Jan 6;6:e19583.

2 30 Sabir H, Scull-Brown E, Liu X, Thoresen M: Immediate hypothermia is not
3 neuroprotective after severe hypoxia-ischemia and is deleterious when delayed by 12
4 hours in neonatal rats. Stroke 2012 Dec 1;43:3364–70.

5 31 Towfighi J, Mauger D, Vannucci RC, Vannucci SJ: Influence of age on the cerebral
6 lesions in an immature rat model of cerebral hypoxia-ischemia: a light microscopic
7 study. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 1997 Jun 18 [cited 2016 Feb 1];100:149–60.

8 32 Gehrmann J, Bonnekoh P, Miyazawa T, Oschlies U, Dux E, Hossmann KA, et al.: The
9 microglial reaction in the rat hippocampus following global ischemia: immuno-electron
10 microscopy. Acta Neuropathol 1992 [cited 2016 Dec 19];84:588–95.

11 33 Thoresen M, Bågenholm R, Løberg EM, Apricena F: The stress of being restrained
12 reduces brain damage after a hypoxic-ischaemic insult in the 7-day-old rat.
13 Neuroreport 1996 Jan 31 [cited 2015 Dec 2];7:481–4.

14 34 Mottahedin A, Smith PLP, Hagberg H, Ek CJ, Mallard C: TLR2-mediated leukocyte
15 trafficking to the developing brain. J Leukoc Biol 2016 Aug 4; DOI:
16 10.1189/jlb.3A1215-568R

17 35 Reinboth BS, Köster C, Abberger H, Prager S, Bendix I, Felderhoff-Müser U, et al.:
18 Endogenous hypothermic response to hypoxia reduces brain injury: Implications for
19 modeling hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy and therapeutic hypothermia in neonatal
20 mice. Exp Neurol 2016;283:264–275.

21 36 Yager J, Towfighi J, Vannucci RC: Influence of mild hypothermia on hypoxic-ischemic
22 brain damage in the immature rat. Pediatr Res 1993 Oct;34:525–9.

23 37 BURNARD ED, CROSS KW: Rectal temperature in the newborn after birth asphyxia.
24 Br Med J 1958 Nov 15 [cited 2016 Feb 15];2:1197–9.

25 38 Dawkins MJR, Hull D: BROWN ADIPOSE TISSUE AND THE RESPONSE OF NEW-
26 BORN RABBITS TO COLD 1964;172:216–238.

27 39 Thoresen M, Wyatt J: Keeping a cool head, post-hypoxic hypothermia—an old idea
28 revisited. Acta Paediatr 1997 Oct;86:1029–1033.

1 40 Linthorst ACE, Flachskamm C, Holsboer F, Reul JM: Intraperitoneal Administration
2 of Bacterial Endotoxin Enhances Noradrenergic Neurotransmission in the Rat Preoptic
3 Area: Relationship with Body Temperature and Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenocortical
4 Axis Activity. *Eur J Neurosci* 1995 Dec;7:2418–2430.

5 41 McRae A, Gilland E, Bona E, Hagberg H: Microglia activation after neonatal hypoxic-
6 ischemia. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res* 1995 Feb 16 [cited 2016 Sep 7];84:245–52.

7 42 Li T, Pang S, Yu Y, Wu X, Guo J, Zhang S: Proliferation of parenchymal microglia is
8 the main source of microgliosis after ischaemic stroke. *Brain* 2013 Dec;136:3578–88.

9 43 Pierre WC, Smith PLP, Londono I, Chemtob S, Mallard C, Lodygensky GA: Neonatal
10 microglia: the cornerstone of brain fate. *Brain Behav Immun* 2016 Sep 2; DOI:
11 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.08.018

12 44 Kim WG, Mohny RP, Wilson B, Jeohn GH, Liu B, Hong JS: Regional difference in
13 susceptibility to lipopolysaccharide-induced neurotoxicity in the rat brain: role of
14 microglia. *J Neurosci* 2000 Aug 15 [cited 2016 Sep 5];20:6309–6316.

15 45 Dean JM, Shi Z, Fleiss B, Gunn KC, Groenendaal F, van Bel F, et al.: A Critical
16 Review of Models of Perinatal Infection. *Dev Neurosci* 2015 Jan;37:289–304.

17 46 Hanisch U-K, Kettenmann H: Microglia: active sensor and versatile effector cells in the
18 normal and pathologic brain. *Nat Neurosci* 2007 Nov;10:1387–94.

19 47 Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, Allavena P, Vecchi A, Locati M: The chemokine
20 system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. *Trends Immunol*
21 2004 Dec;25:677–86.

22 48 Bona E, Hagberg H, Løberg EM, Bågenholm R, Thoresen M, Loberg EM, et al.:
23 Protective effects of moderate hypothermia after neonatal hypoxia-ischemia: short-
24 and long-term outcome. *Pediatr Res* 1998 Jun;43:738–45.

25 49 Hobbs C, Thoresen M, Tucker A, Aquilina K, Chakkarapani E, Dingley J: Xenon and
26 Hypothermia Combine Additively, Offering Long-Term Functional and Histopathologic
27 Neuroprotection After Neonatal Hypoxia/Ischemia. *Stroke* 2008;39.

28 50 Sameshima H, Ikenoue T: Hypoxic-ischemic neonatal encephalopathy: animal

1 experiments for neuroprotective therapies. *Stroke Res Treat* 2013;2013:659374.

2 51 Ota A, Ikeda T, Ikenoue T, Toshimori K: Sequence of neuronal responses assessed
3 by immunohistochemistry in the newborn rat brain after hypoxia-ischemia. *Am J*
4 *Obstet Gynecol* 1997;177:519–526.

5 52 Wood T, Osredkar D, Puchades M, Maes E, Falck M, Flatebø T, et al.: Treatment
6 temperature and insult severity influence the neuroprotective effects of therapeutic
7 hypothermia. *Sci Rep* 2016 Jan;6:23430.

8 53 Gagne-Loranger M, Sheppard M, Ali N, Saint-Martin C, Wintermark P: Newborns
9 Referred for Therapeutic Hypothermia: Association between Initial Degree of
10 Encephalopathy and Severity of Brain Injury (What about the Newborns with Mild
11 Encephalopathy on Admission?). *Am J Perinatol* 2015 Jan 9;33:195–202.

12 54 Gunn AJ, Laptook AR, Robertson NJ, Barks JD, Thoresen M, Wassink G, et al.:
13 Therapeutic hypothermia translates from ancient history in to practice 2016; DOI:
14 10.1038/pr.2016.198

15 55 Seok J, Warren HS, Cuenca AG, Mindrinos MN, Baker H V, Xu W, et al.: Genomic
16 responses in mouse models poorly mimic human inflammatory diseases. *Proc Natl*
17 *Acad Sci U S A* 2013 Feb 26;110:3507–12.

18 56 Fowlkes A, Giorgi A, Erdman D, Temte J, Goodin K, Di Lonardo S, et al.: Viruses
19 associated with acute respiratory infections and influenza-like illness among
20 outpatients from the Influenza Incidence Surveillance Project, 2010-2011. *J Infect Dis*
21 2014 Jun 1;209:1715–25.

22 57 Stridh L, Mottahedin A, Johansson ME, Valdez RC, Northington F, Wang X, et al.:
23 Toll-Like Receptor-3 Activation Increases the Vulnerability of the Neonatal Brain to
24 Hypoxia–Ischemia. *J Neurosci* 2013;33.

25 58 Leon LR, White AA, Kluger MJ: Role of IL-6 and TNF in thermoregulation and survival
26 during sepsis in mice. *Am J Physiol* 1998 Jul;275:R269-77.

27 59 Akira S, Kishimoto+ T: IL-6 and NF-IL6 in Acute-Phase Response and Viral Infection.
28 *Immunol Rev* 1992 Jun;127:25–50.

- 1 60 Gotsch F, Romero R, Kusanovic JP, Mazaki-Tovi S, Pineles BL, Erez O, et al.: Ovid:
2 The Fetal Inflammatory Response Syndrome. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2007 Sep;50:652–
3 83.
- 4 61 Foxman EF, Storer JA, Fitzgerald ME, Wasik BR, Hou L, Zhao H, et al.: Temperature-
5 dependent innate defense against the common cold virus limits viral replication at
6 warm temperature in mouse airway cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2015 Jan 20;112:827–
7 832.
- 8 62 Weston EJ, Pondo T, Lewis MM, Martell-Cleary P, Morin C, Jewell B, et al.: The
9 burden of invasive early-onset neonatal sepsis in the United States, 2005-2008.
10 Pediatr Infect Dis J 2011 Nov;30:937–41.
- 11 63 Hornik CP, Fort P, Clark RH, Watt K, Benjamin DK, Smith PB, et al.: Early and late
12 onset sepsis in very-low-birth-weight infants from a large group of neonatal intensive
13 care units. Early Hum Dev 2012 May;88:S69–S74.
- 14 64 Hamer DH, Darmstadt GL, Carlin JB, Zaidi AK, Yeboah-Antwi K, Saha SK, et al.:
15 Etiology of Bacteremia in Young Infants in Six Countries. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2014;
16 DOI: 10.1097/inf.0000000000000549
- 17 65 Schwandner R, Dziarski R, Wesche H, Rothe M, Kirschning CJ: Peptidoglycan- and
18 lipoteichoic acid-induced cell activation is mediated by toll-like receptor 2. J Biol Chem
19 1999 Jun 18 [cited 2016 May 5];274:17406–9.
20

1 **Conflict of interest statement**

2 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

3 **Acknowledgements**

4 This study was supported by the Norwegian Research Council ([NFR 214356/F20](#)). We are
5 also grateful for additional support from the German Research Council (H.S.). We thank
6 Professor Lars Walløe for advice on statistical analysis.

1 **Figures legends**

2
3

4 **Figure 1. Hemispheric area loss (%).**

5 Bars show median with 95% confidence interval. Postnatal day 7 (P7) rat pups were injected
6 intraperitoneally with vehicle (Veh) or PAM₃CSK₄ (PAM). After an 8-hour-delay all pups had
7 their left carotid artery ligated before 50 minutes of 8% hypoxia. Pups were randomized to 5
8 hours of normothermia treatment (NT) (37°C) or hypothermia treatment (HT) (32°C), with 7
9 days' survival. PAM injected animals treated with NT (PAM-NT) had significantly more injury
10 compared to the Veh-NT group. HT provided significant neuroprotection in PAM-injected
11 group (PAM-HT). *p=0.01, ***p=0.0002.

12

13 **Figure 2. Hippocampal area loss (%).**

14 Bars show median with 95% confidence interval. PAM₃CSK₄-injected (PAM) pups receiving
15 normothermia treatment (NT) (PAM-NT) lost significantly more hippocampal tissue than
16 vehicle-injected pups (Veh-NT) receiving the same treatment. Hypothermia treatment (HT)
17 was significantly neuroprotective in PAM-injected pups (PAM-HT) *p=0.03, **p=0.003.

18

19 **Figure 3. Correlation between regional and hemispheric area loss.**

20 Symbols represent unique animals, with lines denoting the correlation between hemispheric
21 area loss and area loss in hippocampus (circles) ($R^2=0.77$), thalamus (squares) ($R^2=0.78$) or
22 cortex (triangles) ($R^2=0.89$).

23

24 **Figure 4. Hippocampal neuroncount.**

25 Symbols represent the number of hippocampal neurons in the hippocampal CA1 region of
26 each animal. Lines show the median. The neuroncount was significantly lower in PAM₃CSK₄-
27 injected (PAM) animals compared to vehicle-injected (Veh) animals in the normothermia (NT)

1 groups. Hypothermia (HT) provides significant neuronal rescue after PAM sensitization.
2 *p=0.01, **p=0.008 (A).

3 Representative images from the hippocampal CA1 region are shown from each experimental
4 group (B).

5

6 **Figure 5. Iba1 density (microglial activation) relative to cross-sectional brain area.**

7 PAM₃CSK₄-injected (PAM) animals treated with normothermia (NT) (PAM-NT) have a greater
8 degree of microglial activation relative to remaining tissue, compared to vehicle-injected
9 (Veh) pups receiving the same treatment (Veh-NT).
10 Hypothermia treatment (HT) counteracts this effect. *p=0.035, **p=0.006.

11

12 **Figure 6. Representative images of microglia stained for Iba 1.**

13 *Above:* A vehicle-injected (Veh) normothermia treated (NT) (Veh-NT) animal showing
14 ramified resting microglia with a small soma and long slender branched processes. *Below:* A
15 PAM₃CSK₄-injected (PAM-NT) animal demonstrating proliferation and upregulation of
16 activated microglia, with big round soma and retracted processes.