



Walling, L. H. (2017). Hecke eigenvalues and relations for Siegel Eisenstein series of arbitrary degree, level, and character. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 13(2), [325]. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S179304211750021X>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.1142/S179304211750021X](https://doi.org/10.1142/S179304211750021X)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)  
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via World Scientific at <http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/S179304211750021X>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

## University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms>

# HECKE EIGENVALUES AND RELATIONS FOR DEGREE $n$ SIEGEL EISENSTEIN SERIES OF SQUARE-FREE LEVEL

LYNNE H. WALLING

ABSTRACT. We describe a basis of Siegel Eisenstein series of degree  $n$ , square-free level  $\mathcal{N}$  and arbitrary character  $\chi$ ; then, without using knowledge of their Fourier coefficients, we evaluate the action of the Hecke operators  $T(q)$ ,  $T_j(q^2)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) for primes  $q|\mathcal{N}$ . We find the space of Siegel Eisenstein series with square-free level has a basis of simultaneous eigenforms for these operators, and we compute the eigenvalues, thereby obtaining a multiplicity-one result. We then compute the action of the Hecke operators  $T(p)$ ,  $T_j(p^2)$  on a basis of Siegel Eisenstein series of level  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  provided  $4 \nmid \mathcal{N}$  and  $p$  is a prime with  $p \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , and from this construct a basis of simultaneous eigenforms.

## §1. Introduction

Remark that space of Eisenstein series is invariant under Hecke operators

DEFINE:

$\Gamma_\infty^+$

Refer to notation  $\mathcal{E}_k^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$

## §2. Defining Siegel Eisenstein series

For  $k, n, \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\chi$  a character modulo  $\mathcal{N}$ , we want to define a degree  $n$ , weight  $k$ , level  $\mathcal{N}$  Eisenstein series with character  $\chi$  for each element of the quotient  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash Sp_n(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ . Given  $\gamma_\rho \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , the natural object to define is

$$\mathbb{E}_\rho(\tau) = \sum_{\gamma} \bar{\chi}(\gamma) 1(\tau) | \gamma_\rho \gamma$$

where  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  varies so that  $\Gamma_\infty \gamma_\rho \gamma$  varies over the (distinct) elements of  $\Gamma_\infty \gamma_\rho \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ , and

$$1(\tau) | \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(C\tau + D)^{-k}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F41.

*Key words and phrases.* Siegel modular forms, Eisenstein series, Hecke operators.

for  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . If well-defined, this series converges absolutely uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_{(n)}$  provided  $k \geq n + 2$  (and hence is analytic).

[?? it is majorised by the level 1 Eisenstein series in the case  $k$  is even; what about when  $k$  is odd??]

Hence we assume  $k \geq n + 2$ . However, defined as above,  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  may not be well-defined. Thus we over-sum, producing a well-defined function  $\mathbb{E}'_\rho$  that is 0 whenever the above sum for  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  is not well-defined, and is a multiple of  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  when  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  is well-defined.

Note that when  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty^+$ ,  $1(\tau)|\gamma = 1(\tau)$ . Thus taking  $\gamma_j^* \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$  so that

$$\Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) = \cup_j \Gamma_\infty^+ \gamma_j^* \text{ (disjoint),}$$

and setting

$$\mathbb{E}^*(\tau) = \sum_j 1(\tau)|\gamma_j^*,$$

$\mathbb{E}^*$  is well-defined (and converges absolutely uniformly on compact subsets, so is analytic). With

$$\Gamma_\rho^+ = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) : \Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \gamma = \Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \},$$

take  $\delta_i \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $\delta'_\ell \in \Gamma_\rho^+$  so that

$$\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) = \cup_i \Gamma_\rho^+ \delta_i \text{ (disjoint), } \Gamma_\rho^+ = \cup_\ell \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \delta'_\ell \text{ (disjoint)}$$

(note that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \Gamma_\rho^+$ ). Thus

$$\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) = \cup_{i,\ell} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \delta'_\ell \delta_i \text{ (disjoint).}$$

Set  $G_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\gamma_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} G_\pm & \\ & G_\pm \end{pmatrix}$ ; remembering  $\Gamma(\mathcal{N})$  is a normal subgroup of  $Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\infty^+ \gamma_\rho \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) &= \cup_{i,\ell} (\Gamma_\infty^+ \gamma_\rho \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \delta'_\ell \delta_i \cup \Gamma_\infty^+ \gamma_\pm \gamma_\rho \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \delta'_\ell \delta_i) \\ &= \cup_{i,\ell} (\Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \delta'_\ell \delta_i \cup \Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\pm \gamma_\rho \delta'_\ell \delta_i). \end{aligned}$$

Now set

$$\mathbb{E}'_\rho = \sum_{i,\ell} \bar{\chi}(\delta'_\ell \delta_i) \mathbb{E}^* | \gamma_\rho \delta'_\ell \delta_i + \sum_{i,\ell} \bar{\chi}(\gamma_\pm \delta'_\ell \delta_i) \mathbb{E}^* | \gamma_\pm \gamma_\rho \delta'_\ell \delta_i.$$

Since  $\Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\pm = \gamma_\pm \Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}^* | \gamma_\pm = (-1)^k \mathbb{E}^*;$$

hence  $\mathbb{E}'_\rho = 0$  if  $\chi(-1) \neq (-1)^k$ .

Assume now that  $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$ . Then, since  $\Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \delta'_\ell = \Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}^* | \gamma_\rho \delta'_\ell = \mathbb{E}^* | \gamma_\rho$ , and hence

$$\mathbb{E}'_\rho = 2 \left( \sum_\ell \bar{\chi}(\delta'_\ell) \right) \sum_i \bar{\chi}(\delta_i) \mathbb{E}^* | \gamma_\rho \delta_i.$$

Here  $\delta'_\ell$  varies over a set of representatives for the group  $\Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \backslash \Gamma_\rho^+$  (and we know  $\chi$  is trivial on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ ), so unless  $\chi$  is trivial on  $\Gamma_\rho^+$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}'_\rho = 0$ .

Note that  $\gamma_\pm \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$ . So when  $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$ , we have  $\Gamma_\infty \gamma_j^*$  varying twice over the distinct elements of  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma_\infty \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ , and

$$\mathbb{E}^* = \mathbb{E}^* | \gamma_\pm = (-1)^k \mathbb{E}^*.$$

Hence when  $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$  and  $k$  is odd,  $\mathbb{E}^* = 0$ , and thus  $\mathbb{E}'_\rho = 0$ . When  $\mathcal{N} > 2$  or  $k$  is even,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow i\infty} \mathbb{E}^*(\tau) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \mathcal{N} \leq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{N} > 2, \end{cases}$$

and  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow i\infty} \mathbb{E}'_\rho(\tau) | \gamma_\rho^{-1} = 2[\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) : \Gamma_\rho^+] \lim_{\tau \rightarrow i\infty} \mathbb{E}^*(\tau)$ . (see §4 [Freitag, 1996]).

Also, with  $\gamma'_j = \gamma_\rho^{-1} \gamma_j^* \gamma_\rho$ , we have

$$\Gamma_\infty \gamma_\rho \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) = \cup_{i,j} \Gamma_\infty \gamma_j^* \gamma_\rho \delta_i = \cup_{i,j} \Gamma_\infty \gamma_\rho \gamma'_j \delta_i.$$

(The above unions over  $i, j$  are disjoint when  $\mathcal{N} > 2$ .)

Thus we have proved the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume  $\chi(1) = (-1)^k$ .*

- (1) *For  $\gamma_\rho \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  is well-defined if and only if  $\chi$  is trivial on  $\Gamma_\rho^+$ . When well-defined,  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  is a nonzero multiple of  $\mathbb{E}'_\rho$ , and  $\mathbb{E}'_\rho \neq 0$  when  $\mathcal{N} > 2$  or  $k$  is even.*
- (2) *Suppose  $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$  and  $k$  is odd. Then  $\mathbb{E}'_\rho = 0$ , so either  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  is not well-defined or  $\mathbb{E}_\rho = 0$ .*

Next we give a description of a convenient choice of representatives corresponding to the Eisenstein series.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $\gamma \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , there exists some  $\gamma_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ M_\rho & I \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \gamma_\rho \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ . When  $\mathcal{N}$  is square-free, take  $\rho = (\mathcal{N}_0, \dots, \mathcal{N}_n)$  to be a (degree  $n$ ) multiplicative partition of  $\mathcal{N}$ , meaning  $\mathcal{N}_0 \cdots \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}$ . Take  $M_\rho$  diagonal so that  $M_\rho \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q}$  for each prime  $q$  dividing  $\mathcal{N}_d$  ( $0 \leq d \leq n$ ); then as  $\rho$  varies,  $\gamma_\rho$  varies over a set of representatives for  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash Sp_n(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ . Further, when  $\mathcal{N}$  is square-free and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \gamma_\rho \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  if and only if  $\text{rank}_q M = \text{rank}_q M_\rho$  for each prime  $q | \mathcal{N}$  (where  $\text{rank}_q M$  denotes the rank of  $M$  modulo  $q$ ).*

(When  $4 \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , we give a more detailed description of a set of representatives for  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  in §?.)

*Proof.* Given  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , note that we have  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \gamma_\rho \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  if and only if  $(M_\rho \ I) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M \ N)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ . We proceed algorithmically to first construct a pair  $(M' \ N') \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M \ N)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  with  $N' \equiv I \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$ .

Fix a prime  $q$  dividing  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $q^t \parallel \mathcal{N}$ . By Lemma ??, we can choose  $E_0, G_0 \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $E_0, G_0 \equiv I \pmod{q^t}$  and  $E_0 N {}^t G_0^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^t}$  where  $N_1$  is  $d \times d$  and invertible modulo  $q$  (so  $d = \text{rank}_q N$ ). We can adjust  $E_0, G_0$  so that  $N_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & I \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^t}$ , some  $a$ . Similarly, we can choose  $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \equiv I \pmod{q^t}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^t}$ . Then

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u & & & v \\ & I_{n-1} & & \\ w & & & x \\ & & & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$$

and  $E_0(M \ N) \begin{pmatrix} G_0 & \\ & {}^t G_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \equiv \left( \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \pmod{q^t}$  with  $M_1$   $d \times d$ .

By symmetry,  $M_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{q^t}$ ; since  $(M, N) = 1$ ,  $M_4$  is invertible modulo  $q$ . Thus we can find  $E'_1, G'_1 \in SL_{n-d}(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $E'_1, G'_1 \equiv I \pmod{q^t}$ ,

$$M'_4 = E'_1 M_4 G'_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & a' \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^t}.$$

Take  $E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & E'_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & G'_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $W = \begin{pmatrix} 0_d & & \\ & I_{n-d-1} & \\ & & \bar{a}' \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\bar{a}' a' \equiv$

$1 \pmod{q^t}$ ; then

LYNNE: CHECK THIS

$$\begin{aligned} (C \ D) &= E_1 E_0 (M \ N) \begin{pmatrix} G_0 & \\ & {}^t G_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & \\ & {}^t G_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & W \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \left( \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M'_2 \\ M'_3 & M'_4 \end{pmatrix} I \right) \pmod{q^t}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $(C \ D) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M \ N)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  with  $(C \ D) \equiv (M \ N) \pmod{q^t}$  and  $D \equiv I \pmod{q^t}$ .

Next, suppose  $p$  is another prime dividing  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $p^r \parallel \mathcal{N}$ . Applying the above process to the pair  $(C \ D)$ , we obtain a pair  $(C' \ D') \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M \ N)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  with  $(C' \ D') \equiv (M \ N) \pmod{q^t p^r}$  and  $D' \equiv I \pmod{q^t p^r}$ . Continuing, we obtain  $(M' \ N') \in$

$GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  with  $N' \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$ . Thus  $(\mathcal{N}M' \ N')$  is a coprime symmetric pair, so there exist  $K', L'$  so that  $\mathcal{N}|L'$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} K' & L' \\ M' & N' \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ; note that we must have  $K' \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$  since  $L' \equiv 0(\mathcal{N})$  and  $N' \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$ . Since  $M'$  is necessarily symmetric modulo  $\mathcal{N}$ , we can choose a symmetric matrix  $M''$  so that  $M'' \equiv M'(\mathcal{N})$ ; set

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} {}^tN' & -{}^tL' \\ -{}^tM' & {}^tK' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ M'' & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\delta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ , and  $(M'' \ I) = (M' \ N')\delta \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ .

Now suppose  $\mathcal{N}$  is square-free and  $M$  is an integral symmetric matrix. We show that there is some  $(M' \ N') \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M\ I)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  so that  $N' \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$  and  $M' \equiv M_\rho(\mathcal{N})$  where  $M_\rho$  is diagonal and, for each prime  $q$  dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $M_\rho \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q)$  where  $d = \text{rank}_q M$ . Then the argument of the preceding paragraph gives us  $(M_\rho \ I) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M\ I)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ . So it suffices now to show that for each prime  $q|\mathcal{N}$ , there are  $E \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  so that  $E, \gamma \equiv I(\mathcal{N}/q)$ , and  $E(M\ I)\gamma \equiv (C\ I)(q)$  where  $C = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $d = \text{rank}_q M$ .

If  $\text{rank}_q M = 0$  then there is nothing to do. Suppose not; first consider the case that  $q$  is odd. By §92 of [O'M], we know there exists  $E' \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_q)$  so that  $E' M {}^t E'$  is diagonal with  $E' M {}^t E' \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q)$ ,  $M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & I \end{pmatrix}$  with  $q \nmid a$ . Thus we can find  $E \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $E \equiv I(\mathcal{N}/q)$ ,  $E \equiv E'(q)$ . Then

$$E(M\ I) \begin{pmatrix} {}^t E & \\ & E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = (M' \ I)$$

where  $M' \equiv (E' M {}^t E') (q)$ . Take  $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \equiv I(\mathcal{N}/q)$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{a}-1 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} (q)$ . Set

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u & & v & \\ & I_{n-1} & & 0 \\ w & & x & \\ & & 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\gamma \equiv I(\mathcal{N}/q)$  and  $(M' \ I)\gamma \equiv (C\ I)(q)$  where  $C = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Now suppose  $q = 2$ . By Lemma ?? there is some  $E \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $E \equiv I(\mathcal{N}/q)$  and  $EM {}^t E \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q)$ , where either  $M_1 = I_d$  or  $M_1 = A_1$ ,  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \cdots \perp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (d \times d \text{ where } d = \text{rank}_q M)$ . In the first case, we are done.

Otherwise, take  $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $A \equiv I \pmod{q}$  and  $A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \\ & I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q}$ ; set  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} {}^tEA & {}^tE(A-I) \\ & E^{-1}A \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $\gamma \equiv I \pmod{q}$ , and  $E(M I)\gamma \equiv (C I) \pmod{q}$  where  $C = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{N}$  is square-free,  $\chi$  is a character modulo  $\mathcal{N}$  so that  $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$ , and  $\rho = (\mathcal{N}_0, \dots, \mathcal{N}_n)$  is a multiplicative partition of  $\mathcal{N}$  (as in Proposition 2.2; so  $\mathcal{N}_0 \cdots \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}$ ). Then  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  is well-defined if and only if  $\chi_q^2 = 1$  for all primes  $q|\mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $q$  is a prime dividing  $\mathcal{N}_d$  where  $0 < d < n$ . Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . By Lemma ??, there exist  $G = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix}, G' = \begin{pmatrix} u' & v' \\ w' & x' \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $G, G' \equiv I \pmod{q}$ ,

$$G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & \bar{\alpha} - \alpha \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q}, \quad G' \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q}.$$

Let  $A, B, C, D, E, W$  be the  $n \times n$  matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} u & & \\ & I & \\ & & u' \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} v & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & v' \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} w & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & w' \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & I & \\ & & x' \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} u' & & v' \\ & I & \\ w' & & x' \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 - 1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $E \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , and

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} E & \\ & {}^tE^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & W \\ & I \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_\infty^+.$$

Further,  $\delta\gamma_\rho\gamma' \equiv \gamma_\rho^+ \pmod{q}$ . Set  $\gamma'' = (\delta\gamma_\rho\gamma')^{-1}\gamma_\rho$ . So  $\gamma'' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $\gamma'\gamma'' \in \Gamma_\rho$  with  $\chi(\gamma'\gamma'') = \chi_q^2(\alpha)$ . Thus the condition that  $\chi_q^2 = 1$  for all primes  $q|\mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_n)$  is necessary for  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  to be well-defined.

Now suppose  $\chi_q^2 = 1$  for all primes  $q|\mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_n)$ , and suppose  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_\rho^+$ . Thus there exist  $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} {}^tE^{-1} & WE \\ & E \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_\infty^+$ ,  $\gamma' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$  so that  $\delta\gamma'\gamma_\rho\gamma = \gamma_\rho$ .

Fix a prime  $q|\mathcal{N}_d$ ,  $0 \leq d \leq n$ .

When  $d = 0$ , we have  $ED \equiv I \pmod{q}$ , so  $\det D \equiv \det \bar{E} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  and  $\chi_q(\det D) = 1$ . When  $d = n$ , we have  $EA \equiv I \equiv A {}^tD \pmod{q}$ , so  $\det D \equiv \det E \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  and  $\chi_q(\det D) = 1$ .

Now suppose  $0 < d < n$ . Write

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ D_3 & D_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \\ E_3 & E_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_1, D_1, E_1$  are  $d \times d$ . Then we have  $E_3(A_1 \ A_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ ; since the rows of  $(A_1 \ A_2)$  are linearly independent modulo  $q$ , we must have  $E_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Also,

$$E_1(A_1 \ A_2) \equiv (I_d \ 0) \pmod{q}, \quad E_4(D_3 \ D_4) \equiv (0 \ I_{n-d}) \pmod{q},$$

so  $A_2, D_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ ,  $A_1 \equiv \overline{E_1} \pmod{q}$ ,  $D_4 \equiv \overline{E_4} \pmod{q}$ . Since  $A^t D \equiv I \pmod{q}$ , we must have  $D_1 \equiv {}^t E_1 \pmod{q}$ . Thus we have

$$\det D \equiv \det E_1 \cdot \det \overline{E_4} \equiv (\det E_1)^2 \pmod{q}$$

and

$$\chi_q(\det D) = \chi_q^2(\det E_1) = 1.$$

Consequently  $\chi(\gamma) = \chi(\det D) = 1$ , and hence the condition that  $\chi_q^2 = 1$  for all primes  $q | \mathcal{N} / (\mathcal{N}_0 \mathcal{N}_n)$  is sufficient for  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$  to be well-defined.  $\square$

We now give a robust definition of  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$ .

**Definition.** Having fixed  $n, k, \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $k \geq n + 2$ ,  $\chi$  a character modulo  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $\gamma_\rho \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , we define

$$\mathbb{E}_\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2[\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}):\Gamma_\rho^+]} \mathbb{E}'_\rho & \text{if } \mathcal{N} > 2, \\ \frac{1}{4[\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}):\Gamma_\rho^+]} \mathbb{E}'_\rho & \text{if } \mathcal{N} \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

**Remark.** Suppose that  $G_\pm M_\rho = M_\rho G_\pm$ . Then for  $G \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ , we have  $G(M_\rho I)\gamma = GG_\pm(M_\rho I)\gamma_\pm\gamma$ . So with  $\gamma_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ M_\rho & I \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $\Gamma_\infty \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \gamma = \Gamma_\infty \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \gamma_\pm \gamma$  (since  $\gamma_\pm \in \Gamma_\infty$ ), but  $\Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \gamma = \Gamma_\infty^+ \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_\rho \gamma_\pm \gamma$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$  (since  $\gamma_\pm \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$ ). Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_\rho(\tau) = m_\rho \sum_{\gamma} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) 1(\tau) | \gamma_\rho \gamma$$

where  $\gamma$  varies so that  $\Gamma_\infty^+ \gamma_\rho \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) = \cup_{\gamma} \Gamma_\infty^+ \gamma_\rho \gamma$  (disjoint), and

$$m_\rho = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{N} \leq 2, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

LYNNE: THIS NEXT DEFINED EARLIER?

We let  $\mathcal{E}_k^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$  denote the space spanned by these forms.



### §3. Defining Hecke operators

For each prime  $p$ , we define Hecke operators  $T(p)$ ,  $T_j(p^2)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) acting on Siegel modular forms; then we describe explicit sets of matrices that give the action of these operators.

Fix a prime  $p$ ; set  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  and take  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$ . We define

$$f|T(p) = p^{n(k-n-1)/2} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{\chi}(\gamma) f|\delta^{-1}\gamma$$

where  $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} pI_n & \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\gamma$  varies over  $(\delta\Gamma\delta^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \setminus \Gamma$ , and for  $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$f(\tau)|\gamma' = (\det \gamma')^{k/2} \det(C\tau + D)^{-k} f((A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}).$$

We define

$$f|T_j(p^2) = p^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{\chi}(\gamma) f|\delta_j^{-1}\gamma$$

where  $\delta_j = \begin{pmatrix} X_j & \\ & X_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $X_j = \begin{pmatrix} pI_j & \\ & I_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\gamma$  varies over  $(\delta_j\Gamma\delta_j^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \setminus \Gamma$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$ . For  $0 \leq r, n_0 + n_2 \leq n$ , let*

$$D_r = \begin{pmatrix} pI_r & \\ & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{n_0, n_2} = \begin{pmatrix} pI_{n_0} & & \\ & I & \\ & & \frac{1}{p}I_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (n \times n),$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_r &= D_r SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) D_r^{-1} \cap SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), \\ \mathcal{K}_{n_0, n_2} &= D_{n_0, n_2} SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) D_{n_0, n_2}^{-1} \cap SL_n(\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$f|T(p) = p^{n(k-n-1)/2} \sum_{0 \leq r \leq n} \chi(p^{n-r}) \sum_{G, Y} f| \begin{pmatrix} D_r^{-1} & \\ & \frac{1}{p}D_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y {}^t G \\ & {}^t G \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $G$  varies over  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_r$  and  $Y$  varies over

$$\mathcal{Y}_r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sym}}^{n, n} : Y_0 \text{ } r \times r, \text{ varying modulo } p \right\}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} f|T_j(p^2) &= p^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{n_0 + n_2 \leq j} \chi(p^{j-n_0+n_2}) \sum_{G, Y} f| \begin{pmatrix} D_{n_0, n_2}^{-1} & \\ & D_{n_0, n_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y {}^t G \\ & {}^t G \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where  $G$  varies over  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_{n_0, n_2}$  and  $Y$  varies over  $\mathcal{Y}_{n_0, n_2}$ , the set of all integral, symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_0 & Y_2 & Y_3 & 0 \\ {}^t Y_2 & Y_1/p & 0 & \\ {}^t Y_3 & 0 & & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $Y_0$   $n_0 \times n_0$ , varying modulo  $p^2$ ,  $Y_1$   $(j - n_0 - n_2) \times (j - n_0 - n_2)$ , varying modulo  $p$  provided  $p \nmid \det Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$   $n_0 \times (j - n_0 - n_2)$ , varying modulo  $p$ , and  $Y_3$   $n_0 \times (n - j)$ , varying modulo  $p$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}x_n$  (a reference lattice).

By Lemma ??, as  $G$  varies over  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_r$ ,  $\Omega = \Lambda G D_r$  varies over all lattices  $\Omega$ ,  $p\Lambda \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \Lambda$  with  $[\Lambda : \Omega] = p^r$ . Thus by Proposition 3.1 and (the proof of) Theorem 6.1 in [HW], claim (1) of the proposition follows.

For  $\Omega$  another lattice on  $\mathbb{Q}\Lambda$ , let  $\text{mult}_{\{\Lambda: \Omega\}}(a)$  be the multiplicity of the value of  $a$  among the invariant factors  $\{\Lambda : \Omega\}$ . By Lemma ??, as  $G$  varies over  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_{n_0, n_2}$ ,  $\Omega = \Lambda G D_{n_0, n_2}$  varies over all lattices  $\Omega$ ,  $p\Lambda \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \frac{1}{p}\Lambda$ , with  $\text{mult}_{\{\Lambda: \Omega\}}(1/p) = n_2$ ,  $\text{mult}_{\{\Lambda: \Omega\}}(p) = n_0$ . Thus by Proposition 3.1 and (the proofs of) Theorems 4.1 and 6.1 in [HW], claim (2) of the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Remark.** For  $\mathcal{N}' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  so that  $p \nmid \mathcal{N}'$ , we can choose  $G, Y$  in the above proposition so that  $G \equiv I$  ( $\mathcal{N}'$ ) and  $Y \equiv 0$  ( $\mathcal{N}'$ ). Also, if  $p|\mathcal{N}$ , then

$$f|T(p) = p^{n(k-n-1)/2} \sum_Y f| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p} I_n & \frac{1}{p} Y \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $Y$  varies over  $\mathcal{Y}_n$ , and

$$f|T_j(p^2) = p^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{G, Y} f| \begin{pmatrix} D_{j,0}^{-1} & \\ & D_{j,0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y {}^t G \\ & {}^t G \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $G$  varies over  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_{j,0}$  and  $Y$  varies over  $\mathcal{Y}_{j,0}$ .

LYNNE: CHECK THESE ABOVE SUMS

#### §4. Hecke operators on Siegel Eisenstein series of square-free level

Throughout this section, we assume  $\mathcal{N}$  is square-free,  $\chi$  is a character modulo  $\mathcal{N}$  so that  $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$ ; further, we assume either  $\mathcal{N} > 2$  or  $k$  is even. Take a multiplicative partition  $\rho = (\mathcal{N}_0, \dots, \mathcal{N}_n)$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  (so  $\mathcal{N}_0 \cdots \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}$ ), and assume that  $\mathbb{E}_\rho \neq 0$  (so by Proposition 2.3,  $\chi_{q'}^2 = 1$  for all primes  $q'|\mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N}_0 \mathcal{N}_n)$ ). Take

diagonal  $M_\rho$  as in Proposition 2.2,  $\gamma_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ M_\rho & I \end{pmatrix}$ .

With  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$  so that  $\Gamma_\infty^+ \beta = \Gamma_\infty^+ \gamma_\rho \gamma$ , we can determine how to compute  $\chi(\gamma)$  from  $(M \ N)$ .

Suppose  $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{\infty}^+ \gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ ; so  $(M \ N) = E'(M_{\rho} \ I)\gamma$  for some  $E' \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ . Fix  $q$  and take  $d = \text{rank}_q M_{\rho}$ . Thus  $\text{rank}_q M_{\rho} = d$ , so we can find  $E, G \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $EMG \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q)$  where  $M_1$  is  $d \times d$  and invertible modulo  $q$ . Write  $EN^t G^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \\ N_3 & N_4 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $N_1$  is  $d \times d$ ; since  $M^t N$  is symmetric, we must have  $N_3 \equiv 0 (q)$ . Hence

$$EMG \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv EE' \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} AG (q),$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \\ 0 & N_4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv EE' \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} B + D \right) {}^t G^{-1} (q).$$

Given the shape of  $EMG$ , we must have  $EE' \equiv \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \\ 0 & E_4 \end{pmatrix} (q)$  where  $E_1$  is  $d \times d$  and  $E_1, E_4$  are invertible modulo  $q$ , and then  $AG \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} (q)$  where  $A_1$  is  $d \times d$ ; since  $\mathcal{N}|C$ ,  $A_1, A_4$  are invertible modulo  $q$ . We have  $A^t D \equiv I (q)$ , so  $D^t G^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_4 \end{pmatrix} (q)$  where  $D_1$  is  $d \times d$  and  $D_1, D_4$  are invertible modulo  $q$ . Further, we must have

$$A_1 {}^t D_1 \equiv I_d, \quad A_4 {}^t D_4 \equiv I_{n-d}, \quad E_1 A_1 \equiv M_1, \quad E_4 D_4 \equiv N_4 (q).$$

So

$$\det \bar{M}_1 \cdot \det N_4 \equiv \det \bar{E}_1 \cdot \det E_4 \cdot \det \bar{A}_1 \cdot \det D_4 \equiv (\det \bar{E}_1)^2 \cdot \det D (q).$$

Note that when  $d = 0$   $D \equiv N (q)$ , and when  $d = n$ ,  ${}^t D \equiv \bar{A} \equiv \bar{M} (q)$ . When  $0 < d < n$ , we have  $\chi_q^2 = 1$  so

$$\chi_q(\det \bar{M}_1 \cdot \det N_4) = \chi_q(\det D).$$

Thus we can define  $\chi_q(M, N) = \chi_q(\det \bar{M}_1 \cdot \det N_4)$ , and

$$\chi(M, N) = \prod_{q|\mathcal{N}} \chi_q(M, N).$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(M \ N)} \bar{\chi}(M, N) \det(M\tau + N)^{-k}$$

where  $(M \ N)$  varies over coprime symmetric pairs so that

$$SL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M_{\rho} \ I)\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) = \cup_{(M \ N)} SL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M \ N) \text{ (disjoint)}.$$

Now we prove the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** Fix a prime  $q|\mathcal{N}$ , and fix a multiplicative partition  $\sigma = (\mathcal{N}'_0, \dots, \mathcal{N}'_n)$  of  $\mathcal{N}/q$ . For  $0 \leq d \leq n$ , let  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_d}$  denote  $\mathbb{E}_{\rho'}$  where  $\rho' = (\mathcal{N}_0, \dots, \mathcal{N}_n)$ ,

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}'_i & \text{if } i \neq d, \\ q\mathcal{N}'_d & \text{if } i = d. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_d}|T(q) &= q^{kd-d(d+1)/2} \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q}I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_d}, \begin{pmatrix} qI_d & \\ & I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{t=0}^{n-d} q^{-dt-t(t-1)/2} \beta(d+t, t) \text{sym}_q^\chi(t) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d+t}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{sym}_q^\chi(t) = \sum_U \chi_q(\det U),$$

$U$  varying over  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{sym}}^{t,t}$ .

**Remark.** In Lemma ?? we evaluate  $\text{sym}_q^\chi(t)$ .

?? WHAT IF  $n - \ell = 0$  and  $\chi_1 \neq 0$ ? Have  $\mathbb{E}_t = 0$  for  $0 < t < n$ . How do we modify this argument to get  $\mathbb{E}_0|T(q) = \mathbb{E}_0 + **\mathbb{E}_n$ ??

*Proof.*

LYNNE: ??  $n - \ell \mapsto d$ ??

Write  $\mathbb{E}_d$  for  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_d}$ . We know  $\mathbb{E}_d(\tau)$  is a sum over representatives for  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of coprime pairs  $(M \ N)$  with  $\text{rank}_q M = d$ ; we can assume  $q$  divides the lower  $n - d$  rows of  $M$ . By Proposition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_d(\tau)|T(q) &= q^{-n(n+1)/2} \sum_{M,N,Y} \det(M\tau/q + MY/q + N)^{-k} \\ &= q^{kn-n(n+1)/2} \sum_{M,N,Y} \det(M\tau + MY + qN)^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y$  varies over  $\mathcal{Y}_n$ . We have

$$\det(M\tau + MY + qN)^{-k} = q^{-k(n-d)} \det(M'\tau + N')^{-k}$$

where

$$(M' \ N') = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q}I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} (M \ MY + qN).$$

We know the upper  $d$  rows of  $M$  are linearly independent modulo  $q$ , as are the lower  $n - d$  rows of  $N$ . Thus  $(M', N') = 1$ , and  $\text{rank}_q M' \geq d$ . Also note that

$$\det(M\tau + MY + qN)^{-k} = q^{-(n-d)k} \det(M'\tau + N')^{-k}.$$

Recall that we can assume  $Y \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Also, we know  $\mathbb{E}_d$  is supported on the  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ -orbit of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M_\rho, I)$ . Take  $(M, N) = (M_\rho, I)\gamma$  where  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ . Take a prime  $q' | \mathcal{N}$  and let  $d' = \text{rank}_{q'} M_\rho$ . Choose  $E \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $AE \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q'}$  where  $A_1$  is  $d' \times d'$  (possible since we necessarily have  $\text{rank}_{q'} A = n$  since  $q' | \mathcal{N}$ ). Then since  $A^t D \equiv I \pmod{q'}$ , we have  $D^t E^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & D_2 \\ 0 & D_4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q'}$  with  $D_1$   $d' \times d'$ . Thus

$$(M, N) \begin{pmatrix} E & \\ & {}^t E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q'},$$

and

$$(M', N') \begin{pmatrix} E & \\ & {}^t E^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D'_4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q'}$$

where, modulo  $q'$ ,

$$A'_1 \equiv \begin{cases} A_1 & \text{if } d' \leq d, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{q} I_d & \\ & I \end{pmatrix} A_1 & \text{if } d' \geq d; \end{cases}$$

$$D'_4 \equiv \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} qI & \\ & I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} D_4 & \text{if } d' \leq d, \\ D_4 & \text{if } d' \geq d. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{q'}(M', N') &= \chi_{q'}(M' E, N' {}^t E^{-1}) = \chi_{q'}(\det \bar{A}'_1 \cdot \det D'_4) \\ &= \chi_{q'}(q^{d-d'}) \cdot \chi_{q'}(\det \bar{A}_1 \cdot \det D_4), \\ \chi_{q'}(\det \bar{A}_1 \cdot \det D_4) &= \chi_{q'}(M, N), \\ \chi_{q'}(q^{d-d'}) &= \chi_{q'} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q} I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} M, \begin{pmatrix} qI_d & \\ & I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} N \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{q'}(M', N') &= \chi_{q'}(M' E, N' {}^t E^{-1}) \\ &= \chi_{q'}(\det \bar{A}'_1 \cdot \det D'_4) \\ &= \chi_{q'} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & \frac{1}{q} I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} M_\rho, \begin{pmatrix} qI & \\ & I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} \right) \chi_{q'}(M, N). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}/q}(M, N) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & \frac{1}{q} I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} M_\rho, \begin{pmatrix} qI & \\ & I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} \right) \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}/q}(M', N').$$

Reversing, take  $(M' N')$  a coprime symmetric pair with  $\text{rank}_q M' = d+t$ ; assume  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, d+t} \neq 0$ . We need to count the equivalence classes  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M N)$  so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q}I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} (M \ MY + qN) \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M' N').$$

For any  $E \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have  $\begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & qI_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} E \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q}I_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if  $E \in \mathcal{K}_d$ . Thus we need to count the number of  $E \in \mathcal{K}_d \backslash SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sym}}^{n,n}$  (varying modulo  $q$ ) so that

$$(M N) = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & \\ & qI_{n-d} \end{pmatrix} E(M' (N' - M'Y)/q)$$

is a coprime pair. We can assume the top  $d+t$  rows of  $M'$  are linearly independent modulo  $q$ , and that  $q$  divides the lower  $n-d-t$  rows of  $M'$ . To have  $\text{rank}_q M = d$ , we need to choose  $E$  so that the top  $d$  rows of  $EM'$  are linearly independent modulo  $q$ ; using Lemma ?? there are

$$q^{d(n-d-t)} \beta(d+t, d) = q^{d(n-d-t)} \beta(d+t, t)$$

choices for  $E$ . We need to choose  $Y$  so that  $N$  is integral and  $(M, N) = 1$ ; equivalently, for any  $G \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , we need  $N {}^t G^{-1}$  integral and  $(MG, N {}^t G^{-1}) = 1$ . Using left multiplication by  $\mathcal{K}_d$ , we can adjust the choice of  $E$  so that the lower  $n-d-t$  rows of  $EM'$  are divisible by  $q$ , and then we can choose  $G \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that

$$EM'G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q)$$

where  $M_1$  is  $d \times d$ ,  $M_5$  is  $t \times t$ , and  $M_1, M_5$  are invertible modulo  $q$ . Write

$$EN' {}^t G^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 & N_3 \\ N_4 & N_5 & N_6 \\ N_7 & N_8 & N_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G^{-1}Y {}^t G^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 & Y_3 \\ {}^t Y_2 & Y_4 & Y_5 \\ {}^t Y_3 & {}^t Y_5 & Y_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $N_1, Y_1$  are  $d \times d$  and  $N_5, Y_4$  are  $t \times t$ . By symmetry,  $N_7, N_8 \equiv 0 (q)$ , and then since  $(M', N') = 1$ , we must have  $\text{rank}_q N_9 = n-d-t$ . Also, as  $Y$  varies over  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{sym}}^{n,n}$ , so does  $G^{-1}Y {}^t G^{-1}$ . To have  $N$  integral, we need  $(Y_1 \ Y_2 \ Y_3) \equiv \overline{M}_1(N_1 \ N_2 \ N_3) (q)$ . Then by symmetry, we find  $N_4 \equiv M_5 {}^t Y_2 (q)$ . So to have  $(M, N) = 1$ , we need  $\text{rank}_q(N_5 - M_5 Y_4) = t$ , or equivalently,

$$\text{rank}_q(N_5 - M_5 Y_4) {}^t M_5 = t.$$

As  $Y_4$  varies over  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{sym}}^{t,t}$ , so does  $N_5 - M_5 Y_4 {}^t M_5$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_q(M, N) &= \chi_q(\det \overline{M}_1 \cdot \det(N_5 - Y_4 M_5) \cdot \det N_9) \\ &= \chi_q(\det \overline{M}_1 \cdot \det \overline{M}_5 \det N_9) \cdot \chi_q(\det(N_5 - M_5 Y_4) {}^t M_5) \\ &= \chi_q(M', N') \cdot \chi_q(\det(N_5 - M_5 Y_4) {}^t M_5). \end{aligned}$$

We have no constraints on  $Y_5$  and  $Y_6$ , so as we vary  $Y$  subject to the above conditions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_Y \bar{\chi}_q(M, N) &= \bar{\chi}_q(M', N') \cdot q^{(n-d-t)(n-d+t+1)/2} \sum_{U \in \mathbb{F}_{\text{sym}}^{t,t}} \bar{\chi}_q(\det U) \\ &= \bar{\chi}_q(M', N') q^{(n-d-t)(n-d+t+1)/2} \text{sym}_q^\chi(t), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

This theorem allows us to diagonalise the space of Eisenstein series. To aid in our description of this, we define a partial ordering on multiplicative partitions of  $\mathcal{N}$ , as follows.

**Definition.** For  $\rho, \beta$  multiplicative partitions of  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $Q|\mathcal{N}$ , we write  $\beta = \rho(Q)$  if, for every prime  $q|Q$ , we have  $\text{rank}_q M_\beta = \text{rank}_q M_\rho$ . Similarly, we write  $\beta > \rho(Q)$  if, for every prime  $q|Q$ , we have  $\text{rank}_q M_\beta > \text{rank}_q M_\rho$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $q$  be a prime dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ . For  $\rho$  a partition of  $\mathcal{N}$  so that  $\mathbb{E}_\rho \neq 0$ , there are  $a_{\rho,\alpha}(q) \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $a_{\rho,\rho}(q) = 1$  and*

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha = \rho(\mathcal{N}/q) \\ \alpha \geq \rho(q)}} a_{\rho,\alpha}(q) \mathbb{E}_\alpha$$

is an eigenform for  $T(q)$  with eigenvalue

$$\lambda_\rho(q) = q^{kd-d(d+1)/2} \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I_d & \\ \hline \frac{1}{q} I & \end{array} \right) M_\rho, \left( \begin{array}{c|c} qI_d & \\ \hline & I \end{array} \right) \right)$$

where  $d = \text{rank}_q M_\rho$ . Further, suppose  $\alpha = \rho(\mathcal{N}/q)$ ,  $\alpha > \rho(q)$ , with  $d = \text{rank}_q M_\rho$ ,  $d+t = \text{rank}_q M_\alpha$ ; then we have  $a_{\rho,\alpha}(q) \neq 0$  if and only if either (1)  $\chi_q = 1$ , or (2)  $\chi_q^2 = 1$  and  $t$  is even.

*Proof.* By Lemma ??  $\text{sym}_q^\chi(t) = 0$  if and only if (1)  $\chi_q^2 \neq 1$ , or (2)  $\chi_q \neq 1$  and  $t$  is odd. Thus by Theorem 4.1,

$$\text{span} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_\alpha : \alpha = \rho(\mathcal{N}/q), \alpha \geq \rho(q), \text{ so that either (1) } \chi_q = 1, \text{ or} \right. \\ \left. (2) \chi_q^2 = 1 \text{ and } \text{rank}_q M_\alpha - \text{rank}_q M_\rho \text{ is even} \right\}$$

is invariant under  $T(q)$ , and the matrix for  $T(q)$  on this basis is upper triangular with nonzero upper triangular entries. Then the standard process of diagonalising an upper triangular matrix yields the result.  $\square$

We now prove a multiplicity-one result for the Eisenstein series of square-free level.

**Corollary 4.3.** Suppose  $\mathbb{E}_\rho \neq 0$ . For  $\alpha \geq \rho$  ( $Q$ ) and prime  $q|Q$ , set  $a_{\rho,\alpha}(q) = a_{\rho,\sigma}(q)$  where  $\sigma = \rho$  ( $\mathcal{N}/q$ ),  $\sigma = \alpha$  ( $q$ ), and set

$$a_{\rho,\alpha}(Q) = \prod_{q|Q} a_{\rho,\alpha}(q).$$

Then with

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho = \sum_{\alpha \geq \rho(\mathcal{N})} a_{\rho,\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) \mathbb{E}_\alpha,$$

for every prime  $q|\mathcal{N}$  we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho|T(q) = \lambda_\rho(q) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho$$

(where  $\lambda_\rho(q)$  is defined in Corollary 4.2).

*Proof.* Fix a prime  $q|\mathcal{N}$ . For  $\alpha \geq \rho$  ( $\mathcal{N}$ ), take  $\beta = \alpha$  ( $\mathcal{N}/q$ ),  $\beta = \rho$  ( $q$ ). Then  $a_{\rho,\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) = a_{\rho,\beta}(\mathcal{N}/q) a_{\rho,\alpha}(q)$ . Hence

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho = \sum_{\substack{\beta \geq \rho(\mathcal{N}/q) \\ \beta = \rho(q)}} a_{\rho,\beta}(\mathcal{N}/q) \sum_{\substack{\alpha = \beta(\mathcal{N}/q) \\ \alpha \geq \beta(q)}} a_{\rho,\alpha}(q) \mathbb{E}_\alpha.$$

We argue that when  $a_{\rho,\beta}(\mathcal{N}/q) \neq 0$ , we have  $a_{\rho,\alpha}(q) = a_{\beta,\alpha}(q)$  and  $\lambda_\rho(q) = \lambda_\beta(q)$ .

Fix  $\beta$  so that  $\beta \geq \rho$  ( $\mathcal{N}/q$ ),  $\beta = \rho$  ( $q$ ), and suppose  $a_{\rho,\beta}(\mathcal{N}/q) \neq 0$ . Take  $Q|\mathcal{N}/q$  so that  $\beta = \rho$  ( $\mathcal{N}/Q$ ),  $\beta > \rho$  ( $Q$ ). Thus  $a_{\rho,\beta}(\mathcal{N}/q) = a_{\rho,\beta}(Q)$ . Since  $a_{\rho,\beta}(Q) \neq 0$ , for each prime  $q'|Q$  we have either (1)  $\chi_{q'} = 1$ , or (2)  $\chi_{q'}^2 = 1$  and  $\text{rank}_{q'} M_\beta - \text{rank}_{q'} M_\rho$  is even.

Suppose  $q'$  is a prime dividing  $Q$  so that  $\chi_{q'} \neq 1$ . Set  $r = \text{rank}_{q'} M_\rho$ ,  $r + t = \text{rank}_{q'} M_\beta$  (so  $t$  is even). Then for  $0 \leq d \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{q'} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q'} I \end{array} \right) M_\rho, \left( \begin{array}{cc} qI_d & \\ & I \end{array} \right) \right) &= \chi_{q'} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q'} I \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_r & \\ & 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} qI_d & \\ & I \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \chi_{q'}(q^{r-d}) & \text{if } d \leq r, \\ \chi_{q'}(q^{d-r}) & \text{if } d \geq r \end{cases} \\ &= \chi_{q'}(q^{d-r}) \end{aligned}$$

(since  $\chi_{q'}^2 = 1$ ). Similarly,

$$\chi_{q'} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q'} I \end{array} \right) M_\beta, \left( \begin{array}{cc} qI_d & \\ & I \end{array} \right) \right) = \chi_{q'}(q^{d-r-t})$$

and  $\chi_{q'}(q^{d-r-t}) = \chi_{q'}(q^{d-r})$  since  $t$  is even and  $\chi_{q'}^2 = 1$ .

For each prime  $q''|\mathcal{N}/Q$ , we either have  $\beta = \rho$  ( $q''$ ) or  $\chi_{q''} = 1$ . Thus for  $0 \leq d \leq n$ ,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q'} I \end{array} \right) M_\rho, \left( \begin{array}{cc} qI_d & \\ & I \end{array} \right) \right) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_d & \\ & \frac{1}{q'} I \end{array} \right) M_\beta, \left( \begin{array}{cc} qI_d & \\ & I \end{array} \right) \right).$$



Hence  $\lambda_\beta(q) = \lambda_\rho(q)$ . Further, with  $\sigma_d, \alpha_d$  partitions of  $\mathcal{N}$  so that  $\sigma_d = \rho(\mathcal{N}/q)$ ,  $\text{rank}_q M_{\sigma_d} = d$ ,  $\alpha_d = \beta(\mathcal{N}/q)$ ,  $\text{rank}_q M_{\alpha_d} = d$ , the matrix for  $T(q)$  on  ${}^t(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_0}, \dots, \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_n})$  is equal to the matrix for  $T(q)$  on  ${}^t(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_0}, \dots, \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_n})$ , and hence  $a_{\rho, \sigma_d}(q) = a_{\beta, \alpha_d}(q)$ ,  $0 \leq d \leq n$ .  $\square$

Now we evaluate the action of  $T_j(q^2)$  on  $\mathbb{E}_\rho$ . Note that since the Hecke operators commute, the multiplicity-one result of Corollary 4.3 tells us that each  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho$  is also an eigenform for  $T_j(q^2)$ . So we could simply do enough computation to find the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\rho, j}(q^2)$ , but we take just a bit more effort and give a complete description of  $\mathbb{E}_\rho | T_j(q^2)$ . Then in Corollary 4.5 we compute the  $T_j(q^2)$  eigenvalues.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Assume  $\mathcal{N}$  is square-free, and fix a prime  $q|\mathcal{N}$ . For  $\sigma$  a multiplicative partition of  $\mathcal{N}/q$  and  $0 \leq d \leq n$ , let  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_d}$  be the level  $\mathcal{N}$  Eisenstein series as in Theorem 4.1; suppose  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_d} \neq 0$ .*

For  $0 \leq j, d \leq n$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_d} | T_j(q^2) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-d} A_j(d, t) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d+t}};$$

when  $\chi_q = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_j(d, t) &= q^{(j-t)d - t(t+1)/2} \beta(d+t, t) \\ &\cdot \sum_{d_1=0}^j \sum_{d_5=0}^{j-d_1} \sum_{d_8=0}^{d_5} q^{a_j(d; d_1, d_5, d_8)} \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(D_{d_1, r} M_{\sigma_d} D_j^{-1}, D_{d_1, r}, D_j) \\ &\cdot \beta(d, d_1) \beta(t, d_5) \beta(n-d-t, d_1+n-d-j-d_8) \\ &\cdot \beta(t-d_5, d_8) \text{sym}_q^\chi(t-d_5-d_8) \text{sym}_q^\chi(d_5, d_8), \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = j - d_1 - d_5 + d + 8$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} a_j(d; d_1, d_5, d_8) &= (k-d)(2d_1 + d_5 - d_8) + d_1(d_1 - d_8 - j - 1) - d_8(d_5 + t) - d_5(d_5 + 1)/2 + d_8(d_8 + 1)/2. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

[LYNNE: DEFINE  $\text{sym}_q^\chi(b, c)$ ]

(Note that  $\text{sym}_q^\chi(t-d_5-d_8)$ ,  $\text{sym}_q^\chi(d_5, d_8)$  are evaluated in Lemmas ???.)

*Proof.* Fix  $d = \text{rank}_q M_\rho$ ; to ease some notation later, set  $\ell = n - d$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_{n-\ell} | T_j(q^2) = q^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{G, Y} \mathbb{E}_{n-\ell} \left| \begin{pmatrix} D_j^{-1} & \\ & D_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y {}^t G \\ & {}^t G \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

where  $D_j = \begin{pmatrix} qI_j & \\ & I_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $G \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_j$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_j$  with  $\mathcal{Y}_j$  the set of matrices

$\begin{pmatrix} U & V \\ {}^tV & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  so that  $U \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sym}}^{j,j}$  varies modulo  $q^2$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{Z}^{j,n-j}$  varies modulo  $q$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{n-\ell}(\tau)|T_j(q^2) \\ &= q^{j(-n-1)} \sum_{G,Y} \sum_{M,N} \det(M(D_j^{-1}G^{-1}\tau + D_j^{-1}Y {}^tG) {}^tG^{-1}D_j^{-1} + N)^{-k} \\ &= q^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{G,Y} \sum_{M,N} \det(MD_j^{-1}G^{-1}\tau + MD_j^{-1}Y {}^tG + N {}^tGD_j)^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

(where  $(M \ N)$  varies over coprime symmetric pairs with  $\text{rank}_q M = n - \ell$ ).

Take a coprime symmetric pair  $(M \ N)$  with  $\text{rank}_q M = n - \ell$ . Let  $d_1$  be the rank of the first  $j$  columns of  $M$ ; using row operations, we can assume  $M =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ qM_3 & M_4 \\ qM'_5 & qM'_6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } M_1 \text{ is } d_1 \times j \text{ (so } \text{rank}_q M_1 = d_1), M_4 \text{ is } d_4 \times (n-j) \text{ with}$$

$\text{rank}_q M_4 = d_4 = n - \ell - d_1$ . Correspondingly, write  $N = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \\ N_3 & N_4 \\ N'_5 & N'_6 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $N_1$  is

$d_1 \times j$  and  $N_4$  is  $d_4 \times (n-j)$ . Take  $r$  so that  $\text{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ M'_5 & N'_5 \end{pmatrix} = n - d_4 - r$ ; so using row operations, we can assume

$$(qM'_5 \ qM'_6 \ N'_5 \ N'_6) = \begin{pmatrix} qM_5 & qM_6 & N_5 & N_6 \\ q^2M_7 & qM_8 & N_7 & qN_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $M_6, N_6$  are  $(\ell-r) \times (n-j)$  and  $\text{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ M_5 & N_5 \end{pmatrix} = n - d_4 - r$ . Note that since

$(M, N) = 1$ , we must have  $\text{rank}_q N_7 = r$ . Then with  $D_{d_1,r} = \begin{pmatrix} qI_{d_1} & & \\ & I & \\ & & \frac{1}{q}I_r \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$D_{d_1,r}(M \ N) \begin{pmatrix} D_j^{-1} & \\ & D_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & qM_2 & q^2N_1 & qN_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 & qN_3 & N_4 \\ M_5 & qM_6 & qN_5 & N_6 \\ M_7 & M_8 & N_7 & N_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

has  $q$ -rank  $n$ . Hence for any  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_j$ ,

$$(M' \ N') = D_{d_1,r}(M \ N) \begin{pmatrix} D_j^{-1} & \\ & D_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y {}^tG \\ 0 & {}^tG \end{pmatrix}$$

is a coprime symmetric pair with  $\text{rank}_q M' = n - \ell + t$  for some  $t \geq 0$ . Note that  $\det(M' \tau + N')^{-k} = q^{k(d_1-r)} \det(MD_j^{-1}G^{-1}\tau + MD_j^{-1}Y {}^tG + ND_j {}^tG)^{-k}$ .

Similar to the computation in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(M, N) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(D_{d_1,r}M_{\sigma_d}D_j^{-1}, D_{d_1,r}D_j)\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(M', N').$$

Reversing, take a coprime pair  $(M' N')$  with  $\text{rank}_q M' = n - \ell + t$ . We need to count the equivalence classes  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M N)$  so that

$$D_{d_1, r}(M N) \begin{pmatrix} D_j^{-1} & \\ & D_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y {}^t G \\ 0 & {}^t G^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M' N').$$

For  $E_1, E_2 \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and

$$(M_i N_i) = D_{d_1, r}^{-1} E_i(M' N') \begin{pmatrix} G & -GY \\ 0 & {}^t G^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_j & \\ & D_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have  $(M_1 N_1) \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})(M_2 N_2)$  if and only if  $E_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{d_1, r} E_2$ . Thus we need to count the number of triples  $E, G, Y$  with  $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d_1, r} \backslash SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $G \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_j$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_j$  so that

$$(M N) = D_{d_1, r}^{-1} E(M' N') \begin{pmatrix} G & -GY \\ 0 & {}^t G^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_j & \\ & D_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is an integral coprime pair with  $\text{rank}_q M = n - \ell$  (that  $M {}^t N$  is symmetric is automatic).

For  $E, G \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , let  $(M_1 M_2)$  be the top  $d_1$  rows of  $EM'G$  with  $M_1$  size  $d_1 \times j$ ; similarly, let  $(N_1 N_2)$  be the top  $d_1$  rows of  $EN' {}^t G^{-1}$  with  $N_1$  size  $d_1 \times j$ . To have  $M$  integral we need  $q|M_2$ . To have  $N$  integral, we will need to solve

$$N_1 \equiv M_1 U + M_2 {}^t V \pmod{q^2}, \quad N_2 \equiv M_1 V \pmod{q}$$

Since  $(M', N') = 1$  and  $q|M_2$ , we must have  $\text{rank}_q(M_1 N_1 N_2) = d_1$ ; thus we can only solve the above congruences if  $\text{rank}_q M_1 = d_1$ . So suppose we have chosen  $E, G$  to meet this condition; write

$$EM'G = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \\ M_5 & M_6 \\ M_7 & M_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad EN' {}^t G^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \\ N_3 & N_4 \\ N_5 & N_6 \\ N_7 & N_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $M_1, N_1$  are  $d_1 \times j$ ,  $M_4, N_4$  are  $d_4 \times (n - j)$ ,  $M_5, N_5$  are  $(n - r - d) \times j$  where  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} U & V \\ {}^t V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Y}_j$ . To have  $\text{rank}_q M = n - \ell$ , we need to have  $\text{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_4 \\ 0 & M_6 \end{pmatrix} = n - \ell$ ; so suppose we have chosen  $E, G$  to meet this condition as well. Then, using left multiplication from  $\mathcal{K}_{d_1, r}$  and right multiplication from  $\mathcal{K}_j$ , we can assume  $\text{rank}_q M_4 = d_4 = n - \ell - d_1$  and  $M_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Now write  $M_i = (A'_i A_i)$ ,  $N_i = (B'_i B_i)$  where, for  $i$  odd,  $A'_i, B'_i$  have  $d_1$  columns, and for  $i$  even,  $A'_i, B'_i$  have  $d_4$  columns. By adjusting further using  $\mathcal{K}_{d_1, r}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_j$ , we can assume that  $\text{rank}_q A'_1 = d_1$ ,  $\text{rank}_q A'_4 = d_4$ ,  $A'_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}$  for  $i \neq 1, 4$ ,  $A_1, A_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , and with  $d_i = \text{rank}_q A_i$  for  $i = 5, 7, 8$ , we can assume

$$A_5 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q\alpha'_5 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^2}, \quad A_6 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q\alpha'_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q^2},$$

$$A_7 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q), \quad A_8 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_8 \end{pmatrix} (q)$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is  $d_i \times d_i$  (and hence invertible modulo  $q$ ),  $\alpha'_5$  is  $(\ell - r - d_5) \times (j - d_1 - d_5 - d_7)$ , and  $\alpha'_6$  is  $(\ell - r - d_5) \times (n - j - d_4 - d_8)$ ; here the first  $d_5$  and last  $j - d_1 - d_5 - d_7$  columns of  $A_7$  are 0 modulo  $q$ , and the top  $r - d_7 - d_8$  and bottom  $d_8$  rows of  $A_7$  are 0 modulo  $q$ . Correspondingly, write

$$B_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 & \beta_5 & \beta_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_7 = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 & \delta_5 & \delta_6 \\ \delta_7 & \delta_8 & \delta_9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_8 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_3 & \epsilon_4 \\ \epsilon_5 & \epsilon_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by symmetry, we have  $\beta_4, \beta_5, \gamma_4, \delta_1, \delta_2, \epsilon_2 \equiv 0 (q)$ , and  $q$  must divide the lower  $\ell - r - d_5$  rows of  $B'_5$  and the upper  $r - d_7 - d_8$  rows of  $B'_7$ .

With  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} U & V \\ {}^tV & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (as above), write

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ {}^tU_2 & U_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ V_3 & V_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $U_1$  is  $d_1 \times d_1$  and  $V_1$  is  $d_1 \times d_4$ . To have  $N$  integral, we need

$$N_1 \equiv A'_1(U_1 \ U_2) (q^2), \quad N_2 \equiv A'_1(V_1 \ V_2) (q), \quad B_2 \equiv A'_4 {}^tV_3 (q).$$

With these (unique) choices of  $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, V_3$ , the symmetry of  $M' {}^tN'$  implies that

$$B'_3 {}^tA'_1 \equiv A'_4 {}^tB'_2 \equiv A'_4 {}^tV_2 {}^tA'_1 (q),$$

so we automatically get  $B'_3 \equiv A'_4 {}^tV_2 (q)$ . Hence with these choices of  $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, V_3$ , the top  $n - \ell$  rows of  $N$  are integral. We have already ensured the top  $n - \ell$  rows of  $M$  are integral with  $q$ -rank  $n - \ell$ , and we know the lower  $\ell$  rows of  $M$  are 0 modulo  $q$ . So we need to choose  $U_3, V_4$  so that the lower  $\ell$  rows of  $N$  are integral with  $q$ -rank  $\ell$ .

By symmetry, we have

$$B'_5 {}^tA'_1 \equiv A_5 {}^tB_1 + A_6 {}^tB_2 \equiv A_5 {}^tU_2 {}^tA'_1 + A_6 {}^tV_2 {}^tA'_1 (q^2),$$

$$B'_6 {}^tA'_4 \equiv A_5 {}^tB_3 \equiv A_5 V_3 {}^tA'_4 (q),$$

$$B'_7 {}^tA'_1 \equiv A_7 {}^tB_1 + A_8 {}^tB_2 \equiv A_7 {}^tU_2 {}^tA'_1 + A_8 {}^tV_2 {}^tA'_1 (q).$$

So to have  $N$  integral, we need to choose  $E, G$  so that  $\beta_6 \equiv 0 (q)$ , and  $U_3$  so that  $B_5 \equiv A_5 U_3 (q)$ . With such choices, the lower  $\ell$  rows of  $N$  are congruent modulo  $q$  to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (B_5 - A_5 U_3 - A_6 {}^tV_4)/q & 0 & B_6 - A_5 V_4 \\ 0 & B_7 - A_7 U_3 - A_8 {}^tV_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, since  $(M', N') = 1$ , when  $\beta_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , we will necessarily have  $\text{rank}_q \gamma_3 = \ell - r - d_5$  (recall that  $\beta_4, \beta_5, \gamma_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ ). Write

$$U_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 \\ {}^t\mu_2 & \mu_4 & \mu_5 \\ {}^t\mu_3 & {}^t\mu_5 & \mu_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 & \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 & \nu_4 \\ \nu_5 & \nu_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mu_1$  is  $d_5 \times d_5$ ,  $\mu_4$  is  $d_7 \times d_7$ ,  $\nu_2$  is  $d_5 \times d_8$ , and  $\nu_4$  is  $d_7 \times d_8$ . Note that

$$B_7 - A_7 U_3 - A_8 {}^t V_4 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 - \alpha_7 {}^t \mu_2 & \delta_5 - \alpha_7 \mu_4 & \delta_6 - \alpha_7 \mu_5 \\ \delta_7 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_2 & \delta_8 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_4 & \delta_9 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_6 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q}.$$

So to have

$$\text{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (B_5 - A_5 U_3 - A_6 {}^t V_4)/q & 0 & B_6 - A_5 V_4 \\ 0 & B_7 - A_7 U_3 - A_8 {}^t V_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we need to choose  $E, G$  so that  $\text{rank}_q \delta_3 = r - d_7 - d_8$ . We know that  $\gamma_3$  is  $(\ell - r - d_5) \times (n - j - d_4 - d_8)$  and  $\delta_3$  is  $(r - d_7 - d_8) \times (j - d_1 - d_5 - d_7)$ . Thus if  $\beta_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$  and  $\text{rank}_q \delta_3 = r - d_7 - d_8$ , we have

$$\ell - r - d_5 \leq n - j - d_4 - d_8, \quad r - d_7 - d_8 \leq j - d_1 - d_5 - d_7,$$

and consequently  $r = j - d_1 - d_5 + d_8$  (recall that  $n - \ell = d_1 + d_4$ ). Then we use right multiplication from  $\mathcal{K}_j$  to modify  $G$  so that we can assume  $\beta_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}$ .

Thus we need to choose  $\mathcal{K}_{d_1, r} E, G \mathcal{K}_j$  so that (adjusting the coset representatives  $E, G$ ), the top  $d_1$  rows of  $EM'$  have  $q$ -rank  $d_1$ , the top  $d_1 + d_4 + d_5$  rows of  $EM'$  have  $q$ -rank  $d_1 + d_4 + d_5$  (where  $0 \leq d_5 \leq j - d_1$ ), and  $q$  divides rows  $d_1 + d_4 + d_5 + 1$  through  $n - d_7 - d_8$  of  $EM'$ ; Lemma ? tells us that the number of such  $\mathcal{K}_{d_1, r} E$  is

$$\beta(d', d + d_5) \beta(n - d', n - r - d - d_5) \beta(d + d_5, d_1) \cdot q^{(d+d_5)(r+d+d_5-d')+d_1(n-d-d_5)}$$

where  $d = \text{rank}_q M$ ,  $d' = \text{rank}_q M'$  (note that after choosing  $E$  as in the lemma, we can use left multiplication from  $\mathcal{K}_{d_1, r}$  to ensure rows  $d_1 + d_4 + d_5 + 1$  through  $n - d_7 - d_8$  are divisible by  $q$ ). Then we can choose some  $G_0 \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that

$$EM' G_0 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C'' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{q}$$

where  $C$  is  $d_1 \times d_1$  with  $\text{rank}_q C = d_1$ ,  $C'$  is  $(d_4 + d_5) \times (d_4 + d_5)$  with  $\text{rank}_q C' = d_4 + d_5$ . As  $G \mathcal{K}_j$  varies over  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})/\mathcal{K}_j$ , so does  $G_0 G \mathcal{K}_j$ ; Lemma ? tells us that the number of  $G \mathcal{K}_j$  that meet all the necessary criteria as described above is

$$\beta(d_4 + d_5, d_4) \beta(d_7 + d_8, d_8) q^{(d_4+d_5)(j-d_1-d_5)-d_7 d_8}.$$

Having chosen such  $E, G$ , we have seen that to have  $N$  integral,  $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, V_3$  are uniquely determined, and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  are determined modulo  $q$ . To also have  $(M, N) = 1$ , we need to ensure  $\text{rank}_q B = \ell$  where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \alpha_5 \mu_1)/q & (\beta_2 - \alpha_5 \mu_2)/q & (\beta_3 - \alpha_5 \mu_3)/q & \gamma_1 - \alpha_5 \nu_1 & \gamma_2 - \alpha_5 \nu_2 \\ 0 & * & * & \gamma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_5 - \alpha_7 \mu_4 & \delta_6 - \alpha_7 \mu_5 & 0 & 0 \\ \delta_7 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_2 & \delta_8 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_4 & \delta_9 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_6 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $\delta_3$  square and invertible modulo  $q$ ; so we need  $\delta_5 - \alpha_7 \mu_4$  (which is square) to be invertible modulo  $q$ . By symmetry, we know  $(\delta_5 - \alpha_7 \mu_4) {}^t \alpha_7$  is symmetric; writing  $\mu_4 = \mu'_4 + q\mu''_4$  where  $\mu'_4, \mu''_4$  vary over symmetric  $d_7 \times d_7$  matrices modulo  $q$ ,  $(\delta_5 - \alpha_7 \mu'_4) {}^t \alpha_7$  does as well. (So there are  $q^{d_7(d_7+1)/2} \text{sym}(d_7)$  ways to choose  $\mu_4$  so that  $\delta_5 - \alpha_7 \mu_4$  is invertible modulo  $q$ .) So to have  $B$  invertible, we need

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \alpha_5 \mu_1)/q & \gamma_1 - \alpha_5 \nu_1 & \gamma_2 - \alpha_5 \nu_2 \\ 0 & \gamma_3 & 0 \\ \delta_7 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

to be invertible modulo  $q$ . We previously noted that  $\gamma_3$  is invertible modulo  $q$ , so we need

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \alpha_5 \mu_1)/q & \gamma_2 - \alpha_5 \nu_2 \\ \delta_7 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

to be invertible modulo  $q$ , or equivalently, we need

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \alpha_5 \mu_1) {}^t \alpha_5 / q & (\gamma_2 - \alpha_5 \nu_2) {}^t \alpha_5 \\ (\delta_7 - \alpha_8 {}^t \nu_2) {}^t \alpha_8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

to be invertible modulo  $q$ , and this latter matrix is symmetric modulo  $q$ .

Now we compute  $\sum_Y \bar{\chi}_q(M, N) \chi_q(M', N')$ . First, we choose a permutation matrix  $G_1 \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so that

$$EM'GG_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A'_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_7 & A_8 \end{pmatrix} (q),$$

$$EN' {}^t G^{-1} {}^t G_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B'_1 & B'_2 & B_1 & B_2 \\ B'_3 & B'_4 & B_3 & B_4 \\ B'_5 & B'_6 & B_5 & B_6 \\ B'_7 & B'_8 & B_7 & B_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

(recall that since  $G_1$  is a permutation matrix,  ${}^tG_1^{-1} = G_1$ ). Then

$$MG_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & & & \\ & A'_4 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q),$$

$$N {}^tG_1^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & (B_5 - A_5U_3 - A_6 {}^tV_4)/q & B_6 - A_5V_4 \\ 0 & 0 & B_7 - A_7U_3 - A_8 {}^tV_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q).$$

Then we choose permutation matrices  $E'_2, G'_2 \in GL_{n-d_1-d_4}(\mathbb{Z})$  so that

$$E'_2 \begin{pmatrix} A_5 & 0 \\ A_7 & A_8 \end{pmatrix} G'_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_5 & & & \\ & \alpha_8 & & \\ & & \alpha_7 & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} (q),$$

$$E'_2 \begin{pmatrix} (B_5 - A_5U_3 - A_6 {}^tV_4)/q & B_6 - A_5V_4 \\ B_7 - A_7U_3 - A_8 {}^tV_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t(G'_2)^{-1}$$

$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \alpha_5\mu_1)/q & \gamma_2 - \alpha_5\nu_2 & * & * & * \\ \delta_7 - \alpha_8 {}^t\nu_2 & 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_5 - \alpha_7\mu_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & \gamma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_3 \end{pmatrix} (q).$$

Set  $E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1+d_4} & \\ & E'_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_1+d_4} & \\ & G'_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\chi_q(\det(E_2G_1G_2))\chi_q(M', N') = \chi_q(E_2EM'GG_1G_2, E_2EN'{}^t(GG_1G_2)^{-1})$$

$$= \bar{\chi}_q(\det A'_1 \cdot \det A'_4 \cdot \det \alpha_5 \cdot \alpha_7 \cdot \det \alpha_8)\chi_q(\det \gamma_3 \cdot \det \delta_3). \blacksquare$$

On the other hand,

$$\chi_q(\det(E_2G_1G_2))\chi_q(M, N) = \chi_q(E_2MG_1G_2, E_2N{}^t(G_1G_2)^{-1})$$

$$= \bar{\chi}_q(\det A'_1 \cdot \det A'_4)\chi_q(\det \gamma_3 \cdot \det \delta_3)$$

$$\cdot \chi_q \left( \det \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \alpha_5\mu_1)/q & \gamma_2 - \alpha_5\nu_2 \\ \delta_7 - \alpha_8 {}^t\nu_2 & \end{pmatrix} \cdot \det(\delta_5 - \alpha_7\mu_4) \right). \blacksquare$$

Thus

$$\bar{\chi}_q(M, N)\chi_q(M', N') = \chi_q \left( \det \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \mu_1 {}^t\alpha_5)/q & \gamma_2 - \nu_2 {}^t\alpha_5 \\ \delta_7 - {}^t\nu_2 {}^t\alpha_8 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \det(\delta_5 - \mu_4 {}^t\alpha_7) \right); \blacksquare$$

recall that we have already noted that

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\beta_1 - \mu_1 {}^t\alpha_5)/q & \gamma_2 - \nu_2 {}^t\alpha_5 \\ \delta_7 - {}^t\nu_2 {}^t\alpha_8 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \delta_5 - \mu_4 {}^t\alpha_7$$

are symmetric modulo  $q$ . Thus

$$\sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \chi_q \left( \det \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{\alpha}_5 \beta_1 - \mu_1)/q & \bar{\alpha}_5 \gamma_2 - \nu_2 \\ \bar{\alpha}_8 \delta_7 - {}^t\nu_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \det(\bar{\alpha}_7 \delta_5 - \mu_4) \right) = \text{sym}_q^\chi(d_5, d_8),$$

and

$$\sum_{\mu_4} \chi_q(\det(\bar{\alpha}_7 \delta_5 - \mu_4)) = \text{sym}_q^\chi(d_7).$$

We have seen that  $\mu_2, \mu_3$  are determined modulo  $q$ , but unconstrained further modulo  $q^2$ ,  $\mu_5, \mu_6$  are unconstrained modulo  $q^2$ , and  $\nu_1, \nu_3, \nu_4, \nu_5, \nu_6$  are unconstrained modulo  $q$ . Hence there are

$$q^{(j-d_1)(n-d_1-d_4+1)-d_5(j-d_1+d_8+1)-d_7(d_7+1)/2} \text{sym}(d_7) \text{sym}(d_5, d_8)$$

choices for  $Y$  so that  $M, N$  are integral with  $(M, N) = 1$ . Hence, having fixed  $E, G$  and then summing over those  $Y$  that meet the conditions determined above,

$$\sum_Y \bar{\chi}_q(M, N) \chi_q(M', N') = q^{(j-d_1)(n-d_1-d_4+1)-d_5(j-d_1+d_8+1)-d_7(d_7+1)/2} \text{sym}_q^\chi(d_7) \text{sym}_q^\chi(d_5, d_8). \blacksquare$$

To simplify the formula for  $A_j(d, t)$ , we note that  $r = j - d_1 - d_5 + d_8$ ,  $d = d_1 + d_4 = n - \ell$ ,  $d' = d + t$ ,  $t = d_5 + d_7 + d_8$ ,  $d_1 + d_5 + d_7 \leq j$ ,  $d_4 + d_8 \leq n - j$ , and  $d_8 \leq d_5$ . Using this information yields the formula for  $a_j(\ell; d_1, d_5, d_8)$ . Also, we know  $\beta(m, s) = \beta(m, m - s)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(d_1 + d_4 + d_5, d_1) \beta(d', d_1 + d_4 + d_5) \beta(d_4 + d_5, d_4) \\ &= \frac{\mu(n - \ell + d_5, d_1) \mu(n - \ell + t, t - d_5) \mu(n - \ell - d_1 + d_5, d_5)}{\mu(d_1, d_1) \mu(t - d_5, t - d_5) \mu(d_5, d_5)} \frac{\mu(t, d_5)}{\mu(t, d_5)} \\ &= \frac{\mu(n - \ell +, d_1 + t) \mu(t, d_5)}{\mu(d_1, d_1) \mu(t, t) \mu(d_5, d_5)} \\ &= \frac{\mu(n - \ell + t, t) \mu(n - \ell, d_1) \mu(t, d_5)}{\mu(t, t) \mu(d_1, d_1) \mu(d_5, d_5)} \\ &= \beta(d + t, t) \beta(d, d_1) \beta(t, d_5). \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the formula for  $A_j(d, t)$ , subject to the constraints on the  $d_i$ . Taking  $0 \leq d_1 \leq j$ ,  $0 \leq d_5 \leq j - d_1$ , and  $0 \leq d_8 \leq d_5$ , the summand in the formula for  $A_j(d, t)$  is 0 if the other constraints on the  $d_i$  are not met.  $\square$

As discussed after Theorem ??, we know we have a basis  $\{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho\}_\rho$  of simultaneous eigenforms for the space of Eisenstein series of degree  $n$ , weight  $k$ , square-free level  $\mathcal{N}$ , and character  $\chi$ , and these are eigenforms for all Hecke operators  $T(p)$ ,  $T_j(p^2)$  where  $p$  is any prime. Below we compute the eigenvalues for  $T_j(q^2)$  (where, as above,  $q|\mathcal{N}$ ); in later work we compute the eigenvalues for  $T(p)$ ,  $T_j(p^2)$  for  $p$  any prime not dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ .



**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $\rho$  be a multiplicative partition of  $\mathcal{N}$ , and suppose  $\mathbb{E}_\rho \neq 0$ . Then with  $d = \text{rank}_q M_\rho$ , for a prime  $q|\mathcal{N}$  and  $d = \text{rank}_q M_\rho$ , we have  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho|T_j(q^2) = \lambda_{\rho,j}(q^2)\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho$  where*

$$\lambda_{\rho,j}(q^2) = q^{jd} \sum_{d_1=0}^j q^{d_1(2k-2d-j+d_1-1)} \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0}(q^{2d_1}) \chi_{\mathcal{N}_n}(q^{2(j-d_1)}) \beta(d, d_1) \beta(n-d, j-d_1).$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, we know that  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\rho$  is an eigenform for  $T_j(q^2)$  with eigenvalue  $A_j(d, 0)$ . In general, with  $r = j - d_1 - d_5 + d_8$ , and prime  $q'|\mathcal{N}/q$  so that  $d' = \text{rank}_{q'} M_\rho$ , we know  $\chi_{q'}^2 = 1$  for  $q'|\mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_n)$  and thus

$$\chi_{q'}(D_{d_1,r} M_\rho D_j^{-1}, D_{d_1,r} D_j) = \begin{cases} \chi_{q'}(q^{d_5-d_8}) & \text{if } 0 < d' < n, \\ \chi_{q'}^2(q^{d_1}) \chi_{q'}(q^{d_5-d_8}) & \text{if } d' = 0, \\ \chi_{q'}^2(q^{j-d_1}) \chi_{q'}(q^{-d_5+d_8}) & \text{if } d' = n. \end{cases}$$

Since in the sum for  $A_j(d, 0)$  we have  $d_5, d_8 = 0$ , the corollary follows.  $\square$

L.H. WALLING, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY WALK, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL BS8 1TW ENGLAND