Accepted refereed manuscript of:

Zarkasi KZ, Taylor RS, Glencross B, Abell GCJ, Tamplin ML & Bowman JP (2017) In vitro characteristics of an Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) hind gut microbial community in relation to different dietary treatments, *Research in Microbiology*, 168 (8), pp. 751-759.

DOI: 10.1016/j.resmic.2017.07.003

© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

1	In vitro characteristics of an Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.)						
2	gastrointestinal microbial community in relation to different dietary						
3	treatments						
4							
5	Kamarul Zaman Zarkasi <sup>a,b</sup> , Richard S. Taylor <sup>c</sup> , Brett D. Glencross <sup>d,e</sup> , Guy C.J. Abell <sup>c</sup> , Mark						
6	L. Tamplin <sup>b</sup> , John P. Bowman <sup>b</sup>						
7							
8	<sup>a</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia						
9	<sup>b</sup> Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture, Food Safety Centre, University of Tasmania, Hobart,						
10	Tasmania, Australia						
11	<sup>c</sup> CSIRO Agriculture, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia						
12	<sup>d</sup> CSIRO Agriculture, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia						
13	<sup>e</sup> Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, Scotland, United Kingdom						
14							
15							
16	Accepted for publication in <i>Research in Microbiology</i> published by Elsevier.						
17							
18	*Corresponding author: Kamarul Zaman Zarkasi						
19	Address: School of Biological Science, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Pulau						
20	Pinang, Malaysia. Fax: +604 6565125.						
21	E-mail address: kamarul.zarkasi@gmail.com (K.Z. Zarkasi)						
22							

- 24 Abstract
- 25

In this study the microbial community dynamics were assessed within a simple *in vitro* model 26 system in order to understand those changes as influenced by diet. The abundance and 27 diversity of bacteria were monitored within different treatment slurries inoculated with 28 salmon faecal samples in order to mimic the effects of dietary variables. A total of five 29 complete diets and two ingredients (plant meal) were tested. The total viable counts (TVC) 30 and sequencing data revealed, there was very clear separation between the complete diets and 31 32 the plant meal treatments suggesting dynamic response by the allochthonous bacteria to the treatments. Automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis (ARISA) results showed the 33 different diet formulations produced different patterns of fragments, with no separation 34 35 between the complete diets. However, the plant based protein ingredients were clearly separated from the other treatments. The 16S rRNA Illumina-based sequencing analysis 36 37 showed that members of the genera Aliivibrio, Vibrio and Photobacterium became predominant on all the complete diets treatments. The plant based protein ingredient 38 treatments only sustained weak growth of the genus Sphingomonas. In vitro based testing of 39 40 diets could be a useful strategy to determine the potential impact of either complete feeds or ingredients on major fish GI tract microbiome members. 41

42

43 Keywords: Intestinal bacteria; *in vitro* model system; 16S rRNA gene; dietary treatments;
44 Atlantic salmon

45

46 Abbreviations: GI – gastrointestinal; DE – digestible energy

- 48 **1. Introduction**
- 49

Gastrointestinal (GI) tract microorganisms serve a variety of functions in the nutrition 50 and health of fish by promoting nutrient supply, preventing the colonization of pathogens, 51 and by immunomodulation [1]. Understanding fish GI tract microbiota and how fish 52 physiology is influenced by the gut microbiome, potentially can lead to improvements in the 53 health of fish, productivity of aquaculture systems and aid in industry sustainability. The 54 Atlantic salmon gut microbiome, as in other fish species is highly dynamic due to the open 55 monogastric nature of the GI tract. Short term changes in communities can be forced by diet 56 manipulation [2, 3] and by antibiotic application [4]. 57

58 We have observed differences in the gut microbiome in relation to faecal consistency 59 [3], which may reflect gastric passage effects as seen in human faecal samples [5]. External influences, such as changes in salinity have been shown to have no effect on the gut 60 61 community in euryhaline fish [6], thus gut and seawater communities are considered not interconnected. Moreover, the gut microbiome in freshwater systems was actually more 62 reflective of environment than diet [7]. Among fish species, variations in geographical 63 location, the diet composition, and the ecosystem they dwell within has been implicated in 64 shaping the overall gut microbiome [2]. 65

Farmed salmonids generally require a diet containing substantial levels of both
fishmeal and fish oil, which contain quite unique protein and lipids nutrient profiles [8].
Numerous studies have supplemented and altered diet composition to observe responses in
fish growth performance [9-13]. Comparatively recently there has also been a focus on using
diets to improve or assist the stability of GI health, which may improve overall fish health
status, feed utilization, growth performance and productivity [14, 15]. However,
environmental and management complexity of current Atlantic salmon farming systems,

represents a challenge in devising diet formulations that have predictable and stable effects,
and that can at least maintain current expectations of farm-based growth performance under
varying environmental conditions.

76 There has been considerable success in replacing fishmeal and fish oil with other protein or lipid raw materials, such as lupin kernel, soybean, faba beans, field peas, 77 microalgae (as the protein sources), canola oil, sunflower oil and poultry fat [9, 16-23]. The 78 reliance of aquaculture on fishmeal as a protein source and fish oil as a lipid source has been 79 recognised for a long time as a significant risk for the industry [24]. Over the recent past 80 81 decades there have been a multitude of studies examining a range of different raw materials (both animal and plant) that have potential application in reducing reliance on these resources 82 for aquaculture [19, 22]. 83

84 Few studies specifically focussed on the effect of a plant protein meal on the fish GI tract microbial communities have been performed in terms of determining potential impacts 85 on community structure [25, 26]. Despite having a high level of carbohydrate and protein 86 87 content, some plant ingredients can contain anti-nutritional factors, which hinder protein digestion. It is unknown however, whether these ingredients can also affect the gut 88 microbiome. Previous studies have observed that soybean meal inclusion in the diet increased 89 the abundance of the allochontous microbial community and its diversity, even though the 90 91 effects of this change in the microbiome to the fish health are still need for further study to 92 better understand it potential [12, 18].

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of different diets on the dynamics of the Atlantic salmon GI tract microbiome community of using a simple *in vitro* model. The study included a range of diet formulations, including some modified formulations where fish meal and fish oil had been largely substituted with poultry and plant meal products to determine if these components promoted a different gut microbiome.

# 99 2. Materials and Methods

100

### 101 2.1 Fish diets

Five different diet formulations were prepared including diets with different fish meal 102 and fish oil levels, a low protein (LP), a high protein (HP), and a commercial standard (CS) 103 diet with an intermediate protein and lipid content. The CS diet was modified to yield two 104 different diets. The first formulation had fish meal largely replaced with poultry meal and 105 106 lupin kernel meal and is referred to as the LM diet. In the second formulation fish oil was replaced with poultry oil and is referred here as the PO diet. In addition to these complete diet 107 formulations samples of ingredients lupin kernel meal (LK) and field pea (*Pisum sativa*) 108 109 meals (PE) were also tested independently to determine if they are capable of influencing the microbiome. The general composition of each of the diets is shown in Table 1. Each of the 110 111 diets were manufactured based on methods reported in Glencross et al. [27]. Each of the raw materials used (either in the formulation or as a test material) was milled using a Retsch rotor 112 mill with a 750 µm screen to create flour prior to incorporation in the diet mashes. 113

114

# 115 2.2 Atlantic salmon faecal collection

The faecal samples were collected during November 2013 from Tassal Group Ltd Robert's Point lease located within the D'Entrecasteaux Channel, Bruny Island, Tasmania, Australia. Samples were collected by randomly seining a large group of fish, crowding the fish in the seine to minimize bias and subsequently dip-netting individual fish into 17 ppm Aqui-S anesthetic (Aqui-S, Lower Hutt, New Zealand). The fish were approximately 2-3 kg average weight. The faecal samples were collected from ten apparently healthy salmon by gently squeezing the hind gut into individual sterile plastic zip-lock bags [3]. Samples were immediately transferred on ice to the laboratory and processed within three hours. Between
each sampling the anal region of each specimen was wiped with an ethanol swab to ensure no
cross-contamination of skin mucosal microbes.

126

### 127 2.3 In vitro gut model system

In vitro fermentation was conducted in three replicates for each of the diets shown in 128 Table 1 and a negative control (a sample of the inocula in the medium without feed added). 129 The diets were crushed and suspended at 10 g/L as a slurry in the basal growth medium. The 130 131 basal growth medium contained the following compounds: NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 4 g/L; K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g/L; KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g/L; MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.09 g/L; CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.09 g/L; sea salts 30 g/L (Sigma, St 132 Louis, US); resazurin, 0.5 mg/L; hemin, 10 mg/L (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, US); and 133 134 sterile water, 1L. The faecal samples collected from ten individual fish were pooled with equal contributions per fish [28, 29]. Then samples were homogenized and diluted 1:2 135 (wt/vol) in marine broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, England). A faecal slurry sample of 1 ml was 136 then aseptically inoculated into the 1200 ml growth medium and incubated at 20°C, with 137 mixing periodically performed during incubation using large-capacity incubator shaker 138 (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, US). The Anaerogen system produces an atmosphere containing 139 approximately 90:10 N<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> with O<sub>2</sub> content reduced below 0.1% within 1 h. The sampling 140 141 time points of 0, 3, 6, 12 and 24 hours were determined by prior analysis of pH in a trial run 142 where pH was found to decline and stabilize at the 24 h time point, the pH original inoculum was pH 8.10. Samples (5 mL) were taken from the three-replicate growth medium per diet 143 and processed for microbial enumeration and DNA extraction. 144

145

### 146 2.4 DNA extraction and microbial enumeration

147 DNA extraction was performed using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen Sciences, Germantown, MD, US) following the manufacturer's instruction and standard protocols. 148 Samples collected from the *in vitro* fermentation at 0, 3, 6, 12 and 24 hours were serially 149 150 diluted using marine broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, England) and plated onto marine agar (MA), thiosulfate-citrate-bile salts-sucrose (TCBS) agar and De Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) agar 151 (Oxoid, Basingstoke, England) [30]. The plates were incubated at 20°C for 48-72 hours in 152 order to determine the total viable counts. The plates that possessed between 30 and 300 153 colonies were counted manually to obtain estimates of bacterial numbers (colony forming 154 155 units/gram wet weight).

156

# 157 2.5 Automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis (ARISA)

The bacterial community structure was fingerprinted using ARISA [31]. Polymerase
chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed using primers 1392F (5'-

160 GYACACCGCCCGT-3') and 23SR (5'-GGGTTBCCCCATTCRG-3') [32]. The PCR

161 conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 34

162 cycles of 94°C for 1 minute, 55°C for 1 minute, 72°C for 2 minutes and final extension at

163 72°C for 10 minutes and soaking at 15°C. PCR products were purified using UltraClean PCR

- 164 Clean-Up Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, US). PCR-amplified fragments were
- 165 prepared for capillary electrophoresis separation using dsDNA Reagent Kit, 35-1,500 bp

166 (Advance Analytical Technologies, Ames, IA, US) mixed with  $2 \mu L$  of DNA samples.

167 Capillary electrophoresis was performed using a Fragment Analyzer<sup>TM</sup> (Advanced Analytical

168 Technologies, Ames, IA, US) following the manufacturer's standard protocols.

- 169 Electrophoretograms with peaks of different sizes were obtained and each peak represented
- 170 an operational taxonomic unit (OTU) and was identified by its fragment size. Fragment

Analyzer output files were further analyzed by PROSize (Advanced Analytical Technologies,Ames, IA, US).

173

# 174 2.6 MiSeq Illumina-based 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene amplicon was applied to the 42 samples collected 175 from the *in vitro* model system, to examine the microbial communities present in each of the 176 samples, which were collected at the initial time point of 0 h and at 24 h. Sequencing was 177 carried out by Research and Testing Laboratories (Lubbock, Texas, USA) using the Illumina 178 179 MiSeq platform. Pair-ended PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene V3 region was carried using 341F and 519R primers that possessed 12 bp barcode tags. FASTQ files generated were 180 merged using PEAR [33], these were then trimmed to remove the primer, barcode and 181 182 adapter regions using an internally developed algorithm at Research and Testing Labs (Lubbock, Texas, USA). The seed sequence for each cluster was then sorted by length and 183 clustered with a 3% divergence cut-off to create centroid clusters. Clusters containing only <2 184 sequences or <100 bp in length were then removed. Seed sequences were again clustered at a 185 3% divergence level using USearch to confirm whether any additional clusters appeared. 186 Consensus sequences from these clusters were then accurately obtained using UPARSE [34]. 187 Each consensus sequence and its clustered centroid of reads was then analyzed to remove 188 189 chimeras utilizing UCHIME in the *de novo* mode [35]. After chimera removal, each 190 consensus sequence and its centroid cluster were denoised in UCHIME in which base position quality scores of >30 acted as the denoising criterion. Sequence de-replication and 191 OTU demarcation was further performed in USEARCH and UPARSE to yield OTUs that 192 193 were aligned using MUSCLE [36] and FastTree [37] that infers approximate maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees. OTUs were then classified using the RDP Classifier [38] 194

195 against the curated GreenGenes 16S rRNA gene database [39] utilizing the May 2013 database update. 196

197

#### 198 2.7 Statistical analysis

PRIMER6 and PERMANOVA+ (version 6.1.12 and version 1.0.2; Primer-E, 199 Ivybridge, UK) respectively were used to conduct permutation multivariate analysis of 200 variance (PERMANOVA) [40], and canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) [41] to 201 202 assess the influence of different diets on community compositions. For this analysis, results 203 data collected from the ARISA and MiSeq Illumina-based 16S rRNA gene sequencing was tabulated with the size bins combined across the samples, square root transformed and a 204 205 resemblance matrix created by calculation of Bray-Curtis coefficients. PERMANOVA was 206 conducted using default settings with 9999 permutations, while CAP was conducted using 207 default settings. Multiple pairwise comparisons of beta-diversity were also performed. The PERMANOVA derived significance values were considered significant when P < 0.01, while 208 209 0.01 < P < 0.05 were considered only marginally significant [3, 42].

210

**3. Results** 211

212

215

#### 3.1 Growth responses 213

Bacterial growth on marine agar and TCBS agar is visualized in Fig. 1. Bacterial 214 growth occurred after 3-6 h adaptation lag phase and reached log 6-7 CFU/ml within 24 h.

No growth was observed on MRS agar and samples collected from the negative control. The 216

TVC progression over time was consistent across all complete diets for marine agar and 217

TCBS agar with growth poorer by approximately 1 log unit on the plant meals. 218

### 220 3.2 ARISA profiles

The different diet formulations produced different patterns of ARISA fragments (P <221 0.01) but the results also indicated a significant effect of sampling time (0 h vs 24 h, P <222 223 0.01), however the interaction between diet and sampling time was not significant (P = 0.15, Supplementary Table S1) indicating bacteria growing within the system inevitably become 224 predominant. Further analysis using pairwise tests showed that populations varied either 225 significantly (P < 0.01) or marginally significant (0.01 < P < 0.05) among the several diets 226 tested (Supplementary Table S2). No clear separation was observed between the HP and CS 227 228 diets (P = 0.75), CS and LP diets (P = 0.27), CS and LM diets (P = 0.08) or between the LP and LM diets (P = 0.08). These differences are illustrated in canonical analysis of principal 229 coordinates (CAP) plots which show that clustering can be readily correlated on the basis of 230 231 diets. The microbial profiles emerging from cultures based on purely plant based protein ingredient material (LK and PE) were clearly separated from the other diets (Fig. 2). 232

233

### 234 3.3 Composition of the microbial community grown in the in vitro system

Three replicates in vitro samples from different diets were analysed for bacterial 235 composition by using the Illumina Mi-Seq platform. The community observed in the 236 inoculum was more diverse but was dominated by members of family Vibrionaceae 237 238 (Supplementary Table S3). The bacteria present also included those likely associated with the 239 feed itself [43] including representatives of the genera Sphingomonas, Paenibacillus, Pectobacterium and Methylobacterium. These taxa are non-marine, mainly aerobic species 240 that are relatively abundant due to the heavy dilution of faecal material (cells added <104 241 242 CFU/ml) against a high feed background. The taxa observed are consistent with possible DNA extraction reagents though the feed as a source cannot be ruled out at this stage. Other 243 244 bacteria may originate from the skin (Propionibacterium, Staphylococcus) or are other

245 members of the gut microbiome of fish (*Carnobacterium*, *Escherichia*, *Obesumbacterium*,
246 *Holophaga*) (Supplementary Table S3).

Following 24 h fermentation all complete diet samples were dominated by sequences 247 affiliated with the family Vibrionaceae (Aliivibrio, Photobacterium and Vibrio) making up 248 >90% of total reads (Fig. 3). Feed associated bacteria did not grow and represented a very 249 small proportion of reads after 24 h (Supplementary Table S3). The CS diet formulation 250 supported mainly growth of Aliivibrio finisterrensis (77% of total normalized reads), Vibrio 251 tasmaniensis (15%), Photobacterium phosphoreum (3%), and Aliivibrio fischeri (4%). The 252 253 LP diet cultures were dominated by Aliivibrio finisterrensis (86%) and Aliivibrio fischeri (12%). Diet HP, however, was dominated by the salmon derived species Vibrio tasmaniensis 254 (43% of total reads) Aliivibrio finisterrensis (16%), Photobacterium phosphoreum (17%), 255 256 Vibrio ichthyoenteri/V. scophthalmi (13%), and Aliivibrio fischeri (3% of total reads) (Fig. 3). By comparison, the diets PO and LM differed in containing mainly unclassified Vibrio 257 ichthyoenteri/V. scophthalmi (making up 36-54% of reads), Aliivibrio finisterrensis (30-45% 258 of reads), and Vibrio tasmaniensis (8-14% of reads). Other bacterial species that grew in the 259 HP, CS, LP, PO and LM diets based on the reads and TVCs increasing relative to the 260 inoculum included Pseudoalteromonas spp., Vibrio aestuarianus, Photobacterium leiognathi, 261 and unclassified Photobacterium spp. (Fig. 3). Lactic acid bacterial species were not 262 observed to grow in the *in-vitro* system, however it should be noted these bacteria had low 263 264 abundance in the starting inoculum (Fig. 3). Strict anaerobes also were not detected. CAP analysis of the sequence data (Fig. 2) reiterated the outcomes of ARISA analysis showing 265 essentially similar statistical relationships between samples. 266

267

## 268 3.4 In-vitro fermentation of plant based protein ingredients

269 The 100% plant based protein ingredient treatments (LK and PE) did not support the growth of most of the bacteria originating in the faecal inoculum including any members of 270 the family of Vibrionaceae. Most of the bacterial reads detected were classified as 271 272 Sphingomonas species and represented 99% (diet LK) and 98% (diet PE) of the total bacterial sourced reads respectively (data not shown). This was against an overwhelming plant DNA 273 background with most 16S rRNA reads classified as chloroplast 16S rRNA. This result 274 correlates with the finding from the PERMANOVA and CAP analysis (Supplementary Table 275 276 S2, Fig. 2 and Fig. 4).

277

# 278 **4. Discussion**

279

280 This study investigated and analysed the growth responses of Atlantic salmon gastrointestinal tract associated bacteria within different diet formulations using a simple in 281 282 vitro fermentation system. Though this system does not attempt to replicate the salmon GI tract the experiments are based on the principal that bacterial growth is controlled largely by 283 several basic criteria: temperature, nutrient availability, O<sub>2</sub> availability and pH. TVCs 284 obtained (10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>7</sup> CFU/ml) after 24 h were lower than the bacterial populations in the inocula 285 (typically  $10^7$  to  $10^9$  CFU/g of faeces wet weight). This is due to dilution, bacteria having to 286 adapt to the diet slurries since the nutrient regime being different to in situ GI tract (distal 287 288 intestine) conditions. In in situ GI tract, bacteria are exposed to gut secretions, mucous and different nutrient profiles due to the prior absorption that predominantly occurs in the 289 stomach than the diets directly [44]. The endpoint (24 h) microbial community was 290 influenced to a degree by specific diets according to the CAP and PERMANOVA analysis 291 (Supplementary Table S1, Fig. 2) with the composition also dictated by the starting inoculum 292 293 community. The rapid growth of Vibrionaceae in diet slurries seems to reflect the Atlantic

salmon GI microbial community since this group of bacteria has found previously abundant
in Tasmanian Atlantic salmon [3, 28, 29, 42, 45]. *Vibrionaceae* appeared to predominate in
most faecal samples (>70%) reaching densities of 10<sup>8</sup>-10<sup>9</sup> CFU/g, however other bacteria can
become predominant for reasons that cannot yet be explained [3].

Amongst the complete diets, the HP, CS and LP diets produced similar outcomes 298 suggesting that the differences in protein to lipid ratio were not significant enough to have a 299 marked effect on growth of different species in the in vitro system. The low fish meal (LM) 300 and low fish oil (PO) diets have qualitatively similar species structure though individual 301 302 species abundances change. The alteration of these components though disparate appears to lead to a similar outcome that could be coincidental and determined by stochastic forces. 303 304 Overall, the manipulation of protein and lipids did not have a demonstrably major effect on 305 the outcomes of the experiment since it can be presumed the Vibrionaceae are able to grow 306 on the fish meal and oil present in all diets.

The results are also very likely affected by the high level of Vibrionaceae (mean 54% 307 308 of reads) in the starting faecal inocula. This level is however typical of the Vibrionaceae composition in faeces from Tasmanian salmon [28, 29, 42, 45]. This would inevitably 309 provide a large advantage to this group of species given they have fast growth rates. The lag 310 phase and 24 h time frame of the experiment, meant that to enclose the mean time for gastric 311 312 passage in salmon during summer in Tasmania, was possibly also not long enough for some 313 taxa to adapt and grow, such as the lactic acid bacteria. These bacteria were only at low abundance in the starting inoculum. 314

Aliivibrio finisterrensis was one of the most abundant bacterial species through all the complete diet culture results and was most promoted on the low (LP) and intermediate (CS) fish meal content diets. This bacterial species was originally isolated from the Manilla clam (*Ruditapes phillipinarum*) [46], and has been found to predominate in the intestinal tract of

Tasmanian farmed Atlantic salmon [3, 28, 42]. Aliivibrio finisterrensis also predominates 319 during the warmer months based on data obtained to date [28]. In the HP, PO and LM diet 320 formulations the most abundant bacterial species were Vibrio ichthyoenteri/V. scophathalmi, 321 322 together with Aliivibrio finisterrensis. Vibrio spp. such as Vibrio tasmaniensis, V. ichthyoenteri/V. scophthalmi, V. aestuarianus and V. splendidus, appear to be normal 323 microbiota in the salmon GI tract, since they have also been observed in the Northern 324 325 hemisphere [30]. Other bacterial species detected in this study *Photobacterium phosphoreum*, Photobacterium spp., Pseudomonas spp., Pseudoalteromonas spp., Sphingomonas spp., and 326 327 Aliivibrio fischeri are common bacteria that can be found and previously isolated from the salmon GI tract [3, 21, 28, 29, 42, 47]. 328

Since the LK and PE treatments were purely plant derived ingredients materials, the 329 330 lack of response by most of the detected microbes after 24 h suggests that the nutrients in the 331 lupin kernel and pea meals are either not accessible or the meals contain inhibitory substances [48]. These could include phytogenic substances, mainly essential oils and flavonoids that 332 usually have generalised antimicrobial properties [49]. The phytogenicity of the plant meals, 333 if any, used here is uncertain, however since the slurry only consisted of the meals the effect 334 would have been concentrated relative to what would be a typical situation where the lupins 335 were a component of a more complex formulated diet (e.g. in diet PO). Only Sphingomonas, 336 337 present initially at high levels in the inocula (average 16% of reads) was able to be detected. 338 This aerobic genus was common in faecal samples analysed in Zarkasi et al. [42] is known to possess extensive detoxification and xenobiotic degradative capabilities as well as an ability 339 to grow under conditions of nutrient stress [50]. It is unclear whether plant meal diet 340 341 supplements have any capacity to select for this particular genus of bacteria in complete diets though the data raises this possibility. Further analysis is required to better understand the 342

metabolic properties of the *Sphingomonas* detected and any role it may play in the gutmicrobiome of farmed salmon.

According to Kotzamanis et al. [51] the manipulation of fish meal by replacement 345 with fish protein hydrolysates (FPH) appeared to boost bacterial proliferation, and specific 346 families of bacteria such as Vibrio spp., could be favoured by high doses of FPH. Besides, it 347 is likely that the processing conditions used in the extrusion of the feed also sterilise the 348 349 resultant pellets [27]. Factors that also potentially favour *Vibrionaceae* included the high salt content (3% w/v) of the basal medium. Future experiments should examine some alternatives 350 351 to the methodology used in the *in vitro* system established here, including testing lower temperatures, altering the atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> content, inoculum preparation and amount 352 added, application of mixing, overall culture volumes, predigestion of diets via enzymes, 353 354 additives such as bile salts, and pH control.

The study presented here examined the potential of different nutritional treatment on 355 bacterial community members of Atlantic salmon gastrointestinal tract using a simple in vitro 356 system. A critical extension of the present study would be to correlate microbial observations 357 with diet digestibilities and other nutritional performance criteria [52]. With further 358 improvements additional experiments could be implemented in the testing of different diet 359 formulations and the use of other diet additives, including probiotics, prebiotics, phytogenic 360 361 additives, activated carbon and different forms of the core ingredients (non-heat treated 362 versus heat-treated). The results obtained suggest that such a system could provide an option for screening specific diet formulations as to how they influence the GI tract community 363 structure. The data revealed salmon GI tract bacterial community members were influenced 364 365 and dynamic in the presence of different nutritional treatments. Beside, the data may be useful in developing a more predictive basis of the impact of feed ingredients on GI tract 366

367	microbiomes of farmed fish species, and more studies need to be conducted for further									
368	understand it potential for aquaculture industry.									
369										
370	Con	flict of interest								
371										
372	The authors have declare no conflict of interest.									
373										
374	Acknowledgements									
375										
376		Thanks are extended to the Australian Seafood Cooperative Research Centre, Tassal								
377	Group and Skretting Australia for in-kind support and research funding (project 2011/701),									
378	and to the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) for in									
379	kind support in diet formulation and extrusion. Thanks also extended to University of									
380	Tasmania animal ethic committee for the ethic approval (A12001; 19 August 2011). The									
381	authors would also like to thank David Ratkowsky for statistical advice and David Blyth of									
382	CSIRO (Bribie Island, Queensland) for extruding the diets used in this study.									
383										
384	Refe	erences								
385										
386	[1]	Nayak SK. Role of gastrointestinal microbiota in fish. Aquac Res 2010; 41:1553-1573.								
387	[2]	Llewellyn MS, McGinnity P, Dionne M, Letourneau J, et al. The biogeography of the								
388		Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) gut microbiome. ISME J 2015; 10:1280-1284.								
389	[3]	Zarkasi KZ, Taylor RS, Abell GC, Tamplin ML, et al. Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.)								
390		gastrointestinal microbial community dynamics in relation to digesta properties and								
391		diet. Microb Ecol 2016; 71:589–603.								

- Burridge L, Weis JS, Cabello F, Pizarro J, et al. Chemical use in salmon aquaculture: a
  review of current practices and possible environmental effects. Aquaculture 2010;
  306:7-23.
- 395 [5] Vandeputte D, Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, Tito RY, et al. Stool consistency is strongly
  396 associated with gut microbiota richness and composition, enterotypes and bacterial
  397 growth rates. Gut 2015; 65:57-62.
- Schmidt VT, Smith KF, Melvin DW, Amaral-Zettler LA. Community assembly of a
  euryhaline fish microbiome during salinity acclimation. Mol Ecol 2015; 24:2537-2550.
- 400 [7] Lyons PP, Turnbull JF, Dawson KA, Crumlish M. Phylogenetic and functional
  401 characterization of the distal intestinal microbiome of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus*402 *mykiss* from both farm and aquarium settings. J Appl Microbiol 2016; 122:347–363.
- 403 [8] Sargent J, Tacon A. Development of farmed fish: a nutritionally necessary alternative
  404 to meat. Proc Nutr Soc 1999; 58:377-383.
- 405 [9] Hillestad M, Johnsen F. High-energy/low-protein diets for Atlantic salmon: effects on
  406 growth, nutrient retention and slaughter quality. Aquaculture 1994; 124:109-116.
- 407 [10] Peres H, Oliva-Teles A. Effect of dietary lipid level on growth performance and feed
  408 utilization by European sea bass juveniles (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Aquaculture 1999;
  409 179:325-334.
- 410 [11] Einen O, Roem A. Dietary protein/energy ratios for Atlantic salmon in relation to fish
  411 size: growth, feed utilization and slaughter quality. Aquacult Nutr 1997; 3:115-126.
- [12] Sørensen M, Penn M, El-Mowafi A, Storebakken T, et al. Effect of stachyose, raffinose
  and soya-saponins supplementation on nutrient digestibility, digestive enzymes, gut
  morphology and growth performance in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*, L). Aquaculture
  2011; 314:145-152.
- [13] Karalazos V, Bendiksen E, Bell JG. Interactive effects of dietary protein/lipid level and
  oil source on growth, feed utilisation and nutrient and fatty acid digestibility of Atlantic
  salmon. Aquaculture 2011; 311:193-200.
- [14] Dimitroglou A, Merrifield DL, Carnevali O, Picchietti S, et al. (2011) Microbial
  manipulations to improve fish health and production A Mediterranean perspective.
  Fish Shellfish Immun 2011; 30:1-16.
- 422 [15] Askarian F, Zhou Z, Olsen RE, Sperstad S, et al. Culturable autochthonous gut bacteria
- in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) fed diets with or without chitin. Characterisation by
- 424 16S rRNA gene sequencing, ability to produce enzymes and *in vitro* growth inhibition
- 425 of four fish pathogens. Aquaculture 2012; 326:1-8.

- 426 [16] Carter C, Hauler R. Fish meal replacement by plant meals in extruded feeds for Atlantic
  427 salmon, *Salmo salar* L. Aquaculture 2000; 185:299-311.
- 428 [17] Korsnes K, Nicolaisen O, Skår CK, Nerland AH, et al. Bacteria in the gut of juvenile
  429 cod *Gadus morhua* fed live feed enriched with four different commercial diets. ICES J
  430 Mar Sci 2006; 63:296-301.

[18] Bakke-McKellep AM, Penn MH, Salas PM, Refstie S, et al. Effects of dietary soyabean
meal, inulin and oxytetracycline on intestinal microbiota and epithelial cell stress,

- 433 apoptosis and proliferation in the teleost Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Br J Nutr
  434 2007; 97:699-713.
- 435 [19] Gatlin DM, Barrows FT, Brown P, Dabrowski K, et al. Expanding the utilization of
  436 sustainable plant products in aquafeeds: a review. Aquac Res 2007; 38:551-579.

437 [20] Glencross B, Palta J, Berger J. Harvesting the benefits of lupin meals in aquaculture

- 438 feeds. Lupins for health and wealth Proceedings of the 12th International Lupin
- 439 Conference, Fremantle, Western Australia, 14-18 September 2008. International Lupin
  440 Association 2008; pp. 496-505.
- [21] Ringø E, Sperstad S, Kraugerud OF, Krogdahl Å. Use of 16S rRNA gene sequencing
  analysis to characterize culturable intestinal bacteria in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)
  fed diets with cellulose or non-starch polysaccharides from soy. Aquac Res 2008;
  39:1087-1100.
- 445 [22] Glencross BD. Exploring the nutritional demand for essential fatty acids by aquaculture
  446 species. Rev Aquacult 2009; 1:71-124.
- 447 [23] Molina-Poveda C, Lucas M, Jover M. Evaluation of the potential of Andean lupin meal
  448 (*Lupinus mutabilis* Sweet) as an alternative to fish meal in juvenile *Litopenaeus*449 *vannamei* diets. Aquaculture 2013; 410:148-156.
- 450 [24] Tacon AG, Metian M. Global overview on the use of fish meal and fish oil in
  451 industrially compounded aquafeeds: Trends and future prospects. Aquaculture 2008;
  452 285:146-158.
- 453 [25] Silva FCdP, Nicoli JR, Zambonino-Infante JL, Kaushik S, et al. Influence of the diet on
  454 the microbial diversity of faecal and gastrointestinal contents in gilthead sea bream
- 455 (*Sparus aurata*) and intestinal contents in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). FEMS
- 456 Microbiol Ecol 2011; 78:285-296.
- [26] Reveco FE, Øverland M, Romarheim OH, Mydland LT. Intestinal bacterial community
  structure differs between healthy and inflamed intestines in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Aquaculture 2014; 420:262-269.

- 460 [27] Glencross B, Blyth D, Tabrett S, Bourne N, et al. An assessment of cereal grains and
  461 other starch sources in diets for barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) implications for
- 462 nutritional and functional qualities of extruded feeds. Aquacult Nutr 2012; 18:388-399.
- 463 [28] Hatje E, Neuman C, Stevenson H, Bowman JP, et al. Population dynamics of *Vibrio*464 and *Pseudomonas* species isolated from farmed Tasmanian Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*465 *salar* L.): A seasonal study. Microb Ecol 2014; 68:679-687.
- [29] Neuman C, Hatje E, Zarkasi KZ, Smullen R, et al. The effect of diet and environmental
  temperature on the faecal microbiota of farmed Tasmanian Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Aquac Res 2016; 47:660-672.
- [30] Hovda MB, Lunestad BT, Fontanillas R, Rosnes JT. Molecular characterisation of the
  intestinal microbiota of farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Aquaculture 2007;
  272:581-588.
- 472 [31] Fisher MM, Triplett EW. Automated approach for ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis
  473 of microbial diversity and its application to freshwater bacterial communities. App
  474 Environ Microb 1999; 65:4630-4636.
- [32] Brown MV, Schwalbach MS, Hewson I, Fuhrman JA. Coupling 16S-ITS rDNA clone
  libraries and automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis to show marine microbial
  diversity: development and application to a time series. Environ Microb 2005; 7:14661479.
- [33] Zhang J, Zhang Y, Liu SN, Han Y, et al. Modelling growth and bacteriocin production
  by *Pediococcus acidilactici* PA003 as a function of temperature and pH value. Appl
  Biochem Biotechnol 2012; 166:1388-1400.
- 482 [34] Edgar RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads.
  483 Nat Methods 2013; 10:996-998.
- 484 [35] Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, et al. UCHIME improves sensitivity and
  485 speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 2011; 27:2194-2200.
- 486 [36] Edgar RC. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and
  487 space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 2004; 5:113.
- 488 [37] Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2 approximately maximum-likelihood trees
  489 for large alignments. PLOS One 2010; 5:e9490.
- 490 [38] Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid
- 491 assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. App Environ Microb
  492 2007; 73:5261-5267.

- [39] DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, et al. Greengenes, a chimera-checked
  16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. App Environ Microb
  2006; 72:5069-5072.
- [40] Anderson MJ, Connell SD, Gillanders BM, Diebel CE, et al. Relationships between
  taxonomic resolution and spatial scales of multivariate variation. J Anim Ecol 2005;
  74:636-646.
- [41] Anderson MJ, Willis TJ. Canonical analysis of principal coordinates: a useful method
  of constrained ordination for ecology. Ecology 2003; 84:511-525.
- [42] Zarkasi KZ, Abell GC, Taylor RS, Neuman C, et al. Pyrosequencing-based
  characterization of gastrointestinal bacteria of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) within
  a commercial mariculture system. J App Microbiol 2014; 117:18-27.
- 504 [43] Salter SJ, Cox MJ, Turek EM, Calus ST, et al. Reagent and laboratory contamination
  505 can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. BMC Biol 2014; 12:87.
- 506 [44] Austreng E. Digestibility determination in fish using chromic oxide marking and
  507 analysis of contents from different segments of the gastrointestinal tract. Aquaculture
  508 1978; 13:265-272.
- 509 [45] Green TJ, Smullen R, Barnes AC. Dietary soybean protein concentrate-induced
  510 intestinal disorder in marine farmed Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* is associated with
  511 alterations in gut microbiota. Vet Microbiol 2013; 166:286-292.
- [46] Beaz-Hidalgo R, Doce A, Balboa S, Barja JL, et al. *Aliivibrio finisterrensis* sp. nov.,
  isolated from Manila clam, *Ruditapes philippinarum* and emended description of the
  genus *Aliivibrio*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2010; 60:223-228.
- 515 [47] Holben W, Williams P, Saarinen M, Särkilahti L, et al. Phylogenetic analysis of
  516 intestinal microflora indicates a novel *Mycoplasma* phylotype in farmed and wild
  517 salmon. Microb Ecol 2002; 44:175-185.
- 518 [48] Saeed S, Tariq P. Antibacterial activities of *Mentha piperita*, *Pisum sativum* and
   519 *Momordica charantia*. Pakistan J Bot 2005; 37:997.
- 520 [49] Ganguly S. Phytogenic growth promoter as replacers for antibiotic growth promoter in
  521 poultry birds. Adv Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2013; 2:e119.
- 522 [50] Balkwill DL, Fredrickson JK, Romine MF (2006) *Sphingomonas* and related genera.
  523 The prokaryotes. Springer; 2006. pp. 605-629.
- 524 [51] Kotzamanis Y, Gisbert E, Gatesoupe F, Zambonino Infante J, et al. Effects of different
- dietary levels of fish protein hydrolysates on growth, digestive enzymes, gut
- 526 microbiota, and resistance to *Vibrio anguillarum* in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus*

- 527 *labrax*) larvae. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Mol Integr Physiol
- 528 2007; 147:205-214.
- 529 [52] Glencross B, Booth M, Allan G. A feed is only as good as its ingredients a review of
  530 ingredient evaluation strategies for aquaculture feeds. Aquacult Nutr 2007; 13:17-34.

# 532 Figure Legends

534	Fig. 1. Total viable counts (TVC) in the <i>in vitro</i> model system experiment according to the
535	time of sampling. TVC are derived from the colony numbers appearing on a) marine agar and
536	b) TCBS agar (see Table 1 for abbreviations).
537	
538	Fig. 2. Canonical analysis of principal coordinates plots showing faecal community similarity
539	on the basis of diet (see Table 1).
540	
541	Fig. 3. Relative abundance of the bacterial species present in the <i>in vitro</i> model system shown
542	as average percentile values with standard deviations. Community composition was
543	determined by Illumina MiSeq 16S rRNA gene amplicon analysis.
544	
545	Fig. 4. CAP plot of showing comparisons of salmon faeces-derived bacterial assemblages
546	analysed by 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing arising on a range of diets and dietary
547	ingredients within an in vitro model system at 20°C (see Table 1).
548	







572 Figure 2



Figure 3 Relative abundance of the bacterial species present in the *in vitro* culture system
shown as average percentile values with standard deviations. Community composition was
determined by Illumina MiSeq 16S rRNA gene amplicon analysis. The faecal inoculum
composition was determined from 10 samples per diet. The composition after 24 h at 20°C
were determined in duplicate (see Table 1 for abbreviations).





585 Figure 4

Diet group	HP	CS	LP	PO	LM	LK	PE
Composition and energy:							
Protein (%)	50	45	40	45	45	37	24
Lipid (%)	20	25	30	25	25	8	1
Digestible energy (Mj/kg)	18.0	18.8	19.6	18.8	18.4	13.2	ND
Protein to digestible energy ratio	27.7	23.9	20.4	23.9	24.4	28.0	ND
Ingredients:							
Fishmeal (%)	71.2	63.5	55.8	63.5	16	0	0
Fish oil (%)	13.4	63.5	24.8	0	18.1	0	0
Wheat flour (%)	14.8	19.1	18.8	16.8	12.3	0	0
Wheat gluten (%)	0	16.8	0	0	0	0	0
Lupin kernel meal (%)	0	0	0	0	10	100	0
Poultry meal (%)	0	0	0	0	40	0	0
Poultry oil (%)	0	0	0	19.1	0	0	0
Vitamin/minerals premix (%)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0
Yttrium oxide (%)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0
Pisum sativa meal (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	100

**Table 1** The composition of diet formulations and ingredients utilised in this study.

588 ND: no data available for Atlantic salmon.