THE GAMING STONES OF AREDIOU-VOUPPES:

EXPLORING THE PAST AND CREATING IDENTITY THROUGH MATERIAL REMAINS.

Loveday Harriet Allen

Submitted to the University of Wales in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a degree of Master of Arts

University of Wales Lampeter 2008

Abstract

This dissertation forms a study on material culture from the background of social archaeology, which will focus on the Cypriot site of Arediou-*Vouppes*, a Late Bronze Age production settlement at the foothills of the Troodos Massif. Primary attention will be given to the gaming stones discovered on the site during several seasons of survey and excavation, carried out under the direction of Dr. Louise Steel. I hope to demonstrate how such an aspect of material culture can be used to assist in an understanding of a site's social make up and identity. The gaming stones context and possible function in Arediou will be examined and compared to the use of gaming stones in other Bronze Age Cypriot sites. My aim is to people the past and in so doing challenge the predominantly anonymous narratives of the discipline of archaeology. Ultimately my intention is to remove the so-called 'faceless blobs' of prehistory (Tringham 1991: 94), and replace them with socially active human beings, in so doing giving rightful consideration to the highly complex social dimensions of the site and its material remains.

DECLARATION

This	work ł	nas not	t previ	ously	been	accep	ted in	substa	nce	for	any	degree	and	is 1	not	being
conc	currentl	y subn	nitted	in can	didat	ure fo	r any	degree								

Signed	(candidate)
Date	
STATEMENT 1	
This work is the result of my own investigation correction services have been used, the extent a marked in a footnote(s).	
Other sources are acknowledged by explicit ref bibliography is appended.	erencing under the Harvard System. A
Signed	(candidate)
Date	
STATEMENT 2	
I hereby give consent for my work, if accepted, inter-library loan, and for the title and summary organisations.	
Signed	(candidate)
Date	
NB: Candidates on whose behalf a bar on access (see Note 7), should use the following version of	
I hereby give consent for my work, if accepted, inter-library loan after expiry of a bar on acce	1 1. 0
Signed	(candidate)
Date	

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Dr. Louise Steel and Dr. Samantha Hurn, University of Wales, Lampeter for their insightful supervision, assistance and support during the undertaking of this project. Thanks also to Steve Thomas, University of Wales, for much of the photography, assistance with the images and layout. Also many thanks to Soo Phillips for all the help and support she gave and for proof reading the entire dissertation more times then I like to think! Thanks to Alison South for the drawings of the gaming stones. Thanks also to the Department of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of Wales, Lampeter. To the delegates at BANEA 2008 and the forthcoming Arediou-*Vouppes* excavation team from University of Wales, Lampeter for listening to a preliminary paper of the subject earlier this year. To Paula Jones for her support and helpful comments, Renate Parkinson for kindly giving me some wonderful photos of *mankala* boards in Kenya, Nathan Williams for all his support and for being so patient with me over the past year, and to my family for all their help and support during the time it has taken me to achieve my Masters.

Finally many thanks to the people of Arediou, without whose support this project would have been impossible!

Contents

	Page Nur	nbers
Title Page		i
Abstract		ii
Declarations		iii
Acknowledgements.		iv
Contents		v
List of Figures, Table	es and Graphs	vii
List of Abbreviations	s	xi
Chronological Chart	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	xii
Introduction	Project Background	1
Chapter 1 Arediou	and the Mound of Stones	
	Arediou-Vouppes (Lithosouros):	
	A Cypriot Late Bronze Age production settlement	4
Chapter 2 Locating	the Social Dimensions: Towards an Understanding of the	
Site in its Social Set	eting	
	Overview of Theory and Method	14
	How and Why? The reason behind it	15
	What are the social dimensions? A question of interpretation	19
	Where are the Social Dimensions of the Site? Location and	23
	positioning	
	Relating the Discussion to the Site of Arediou-Vouppes:	28
	Socially contextualising Arediou-Vouppes	

Chapter 3 Gaming Stones In Context

	The Cypriot Gaming Stones: Literature Review	30
	An Introduction to the Archaeology of Gaming Stones	34
	The Cypriot Gaming Stones in Context	35
	The Gaming Stones from Arediou-Vouppes	43
	The History of Gaming Stones: An Overview of the Cypriot	52
	Gaming Stones	
	Recognising the Significance of the Gaming Stones and	60
	Removing the 'Faceless Blobs': Material Culture as more than	
	Passive Reflections of Human Action	
Chapter 4 The C	Gaming Stones of Arediou-Vouppes: Purpose, Significance and	
Meaning		
	The Gaming Stones and their Purpose of Study	65
	Peopling the Past through the Gaming Stones	72
	Peopling the Site of Arediou-Vouppes through	76
	the Gaming Stones	
Conclusion		80
Appendix		
	Appendix 1	82
	Appendix 2	85
	Appendix 3	86
Bibliography		88

List of Figures, Tables and Graphs

Page Nur	nbers
Figures:	
Figure 1: View of Arediou-Vouppes from the west bank of the Aloupos River. (Rod Millard)	2
Figure 2: Map of Cyrus showing sites mentioned in text.	5
Figure 3: Site plan. (Steve Thomas)	8
Figure 4: Unit 1069/998, interpreted as a unit of varying areas and rooms for work/production related activities (Steel 2007; Steel and Thomas In Press; Steel and McCartney 2008 In Press). (Steve Thomas)	9
Figure 5: View of Building 2, contexts [95] showing the well, [92] and a section of [93]. (Loveday Allen)	10
Figure 6: Tomb during excavation showing the stomion or blocking stone. (Steve Thomas)	11
Figure 7: 'Vassilis' daughters light their candles and place them on the earth mound above their father's three day old grave. Then they sit down with their handkerchiefs in their hands and begin to cry and sing.' (Danforth 1982: Pl. 19)	26
Figure 8: The red polished ware terracotta gaming stone from the Hadjiprodromou Collection (Swiny 1986: Fig. 55: c).	31

Figure 9: The earliest example of a Cypriot gaming stone from Lemb-Lakkous (Swiny 1986: Fig. 59: e).	36
Figure 10: Distribution map of Cypriot senet and mehen stones (Swiny 1986: fig. 43: e).	39
Figure 11: AV04-05-01 displaying the four rows of worn indentations suggesting it may have been a variation of a senet board. (Steve Thomas)	44
Figure 12: AV05-06-04 displaying three rows of indentations. The stone has been broken and now only has a total of 18 depressions. (Steve Thomas)	46
Figure 13: AV07-06-01 in situ in the west wall of Building 2. (Loveday Allen)	47
Figure 14: AV06-06-01 demonstrating a whole senet with an additional hollow at one end. (Steve Thomas)	49
Figure 15: The board games painted in the Third Dynasty tomb of Hesy-Re, approximately 2700BC (Kendall 2007: 32, Fig. 4.1 after Emery 1961: 251, Fig. 150).	54
Figure 16: Details of threshold stone from Evdhimou-Stympouli (Swiny 1986: Fig. 54: d).	55
Figure 17: Spiral Gaming Stone S13 from Marki-Alonia (Frankel and Webb 2006: 245, Fig. 6.49).	56

Figure 18: Ivory plaques, interpreted as possible calendars, from Lachish, Gezer and Tell Farah in Israel (Swiny 1986: Fig. 42: f).	59
Figure 19: Unit 1069/1035 where two of the gaming stones were found (AV06-06-01 ([93] – foreground) and AV07-06-01 (west wall of Building 2 [96]). (Steve Thomas)	60
Figure 20: Examples of 20^{th} to 21^{st} century wooden mankala boards from different parts of Africa (Walker 2007: Fig. 28.1).	66
Figure 21: Example of a possible mankala playing surface carved into a rocky outcrop on Lewa Wild Life Conservancy, Kenya. (Renate Parkinson)	67
Figure 22: Two scenes of senet-playing, from the tomb of Mertnetjer-Izezy on the left (the deceased plays with the living) and from the tomb of Kaemankh on the right (Piccione 2007: Figs. 6.2 and 6.3 after Junker 1940: Fig. 9).	73
Figure 23: Room 109 in Unit 1069/998 which has been interpreted as a work room in a general production area (Steel 2007; Steel and Thomas In Press). (Steve Thomas)	76
Figure 24: Two men sitting enjoying a game of backgammon. (Steve Thomas)	78
Figure 25: Satirical scene of a lion and gazelle playing senet (Wilkinson 1992: 210, Fig. 1).	86

	Page Numbers
Plates:	
Plate 1: AV04-05-01 Double Sided Gaming Stone displaying the mehen	82
motif on one side and a possible variation of senet on the other.	
Plate 2: AV05-06-03 Damaged Gaming Stone fragment displaying the	82
characteristic rows of indentations associated with the senet design.	
Plate 3: AV05-06-04 Damaged Gaming Stone displaying three rows of parallel indentations arranged in the senet style.	83
Plate 4: AV06-06-01 Whole senet displaying 30 indentations organised in three parallel rows of 10 with an additional hollow at one end possibly for storing gaming pieces in.	83 r
Plate 5: AV06-06-02 Fragment of a damaged Gaming Stone displaying the three rows of parallel indentations associated with the senet motif.	2 84
Tables: Table 1: The gaming stones from Arediou-Vouppes.	43
Graphs:	
Graph 1: A sample of the Cypriot BA gaming stones	33

List of Abbreviations

Time Periods:

BA Bronze Age

EBA Early Bronze Age
MBA Middle Bronze Age
LBA Late Bronze Age

IA Iron Age

EIA Early Iron Age
MIA Middle Iron Age
LIA Late Iron Age

EC Early Cypriot
MC Middle Cypriot
LC Late Cypriot

LM Late Minoan

LH Late Helladic

Organisations:

SCSP Sydney Cyprus Survey Project

CAARI Cyprus American Archaeological Research Institute

KSU Kent State University

Orientations:

SW South West NW North West

Chronological Chart

Pre Neolithic Neolithic Chalcolithic		ca. 10 000 BC 8200 – 3900 BC 3900 – 2500 BC
Early Cypriote I Early Cypriote II Early Cypriote III	(Early Bronze Age I) (Early Bronze Age II) (Early Bronze Age III)	2500 – 2075 BC 2075 – 2000 BC 2000 – 1900 BC
Middle Cypriote I Middle Cypriote II Middle Cypriote III	(Middle Bronze Age I) (Middle Bronze Age II) (Middle Bronze Age III)	1900 – 1800 BC 1800 – 1725 BC 1725 – 1600 BC
Late Cypriote II Late Cypriote III	(Late Bronze Age I) (Late Bronze Age II) (Late Bronze Age III)	1600 – 1450 BC 1450 – 1200 BC 1200 – 1050 BC
Cypro-Geometric II Cypro-Geometric III		1050 – 950 BC 950 – 900 BC 900 – 750 BC
Cypro-Archaic I Cypro-Archaic II		750 – 600 BC 600 – 480 BC
Cypro-Classical I Cypro-Classical II		480 – 400 BC 400 – 310 BC
Hellenistic I Hellenistic II		310 – 150 BC 150 – 30 BC
Roman Period		30 BC – 330 AD

(Taken from Karageorghis 2006)