

This is a repository copy of A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews of Validated Dietary Assessment Tools.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/122319/

Version: Published Version

Proceedings Paper:

Hooson, J, Hancock, N orcid.org/0000-0002-1768-2971, Greenwood, DC et al. (6 more authors) (2016) A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews of Validated Dietary Assessment Tools. In: Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. Nutrition Society Summer Meeting - New technology in nutrition research and practice, 11-14 Jul 2016, Dublin, Ireland. Cambridge University Press, E239-E239.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665116002548

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews of Validated Dietary Assessment Tools

J. Hooson¹, N. Hancock¹, D.C. Greenwood², S. Robinson³, V.J. Burley1, M. Roe4, T. Steer⁵, P.A. Wark⁶ and J.E. Cade¹ on behalf of the DIET@NET Consortium

¹Nutritional Epidemiology Group, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK,

²Division of Biostatistics, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK,

³MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK,

⁴IFR, Norwich, NR4 7UA, UK,

⁵MRC HNR, Cambridge, CB1 9NL, UK and

⁶Global eHealth Unit, Imperial College London, London, W6 8RP, UK

Measuring dietary intake accurately is important to improve understanding of the role of diet in health(1). This, however, is challenging due to the difficulty of measuring diet and limitations of methods used(2). The DIET@NET project aims to provide a central resource where researchers can identify and access the most appropriate dietary assessment tools (DATs) for their work, through the Nutritools.org website. The aim of this study was to identify validated DATs that can be used in UK population studies and are eligible for inclusion on the Nutritools.org website.

A systematic review of systematic reviews was conducted searching 7 electronic databases. To be included systematic reviews had to have conducted a comparative analysis on validated DATs that measured some aspect of food or nutrient intake. Data on the identified DATs were extracted from the original papers. Cross checking with other resources was conducted to ensure no validated DATs were missed. If not provided, mean differences and Limits of Agreement were calculated to allow comparison between the validated DATs. From the 43 systematic reviews identified, data for 62 UK validated DATs were extracted. The majority of the DATs were

FFQs, with 44 DATs validated in adults and 13 in children. DAT comparison proved challenging due to the different analytical approaches used. Below is an example of one of the plots produced to compare statistical data between the DATs. The plot shows a modest variation in the mean differences between the DATs that have been validated for energy in children; however the limits of agreement for most of the DATs are +/- 500 kcal.



Figure 1. Comparison of energy intake (kcal) between DATs and the reference method in children. Circles in the first plot represents mean differences.

Size of the circle represents sample size. Horizontal lines denote Limits of Agreement.

The review has collated into one place validation evidence on the DATs identified from the systematic reviews, which when provided online, will be a useful resource to compare the DATs available. The analysis has also developed a new approach to comparing DATs and presented this visually for the first time in a forest like plot. This could be a useful aid to researchers in selecting suitable DATs.

This project was funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) (ref: MR/L02019X/1).

1. O'Sullivan A, Gibney MJ, Brennan L (2011) The American journal of clinical nutrition 93, 314–321.

2. Collins CE, Jane Watson T. Burrows (2010) International journal of obesity 34, 1103–1115.