

destabilization of the mAb in the presence of 10 mM DPA, or by the related quinolinic acid (QA,

pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid). However, fluorescence spectrophotometry did reveal localized

(aromatic) conformational changes to the mAb attributed to DPA, dependent on the salt form. While

precise mechanisms of action remain to be identified, our preliminary data suggest that these DPA

salts are worthy of further investigation as novel ionic excipient for biologics formulation.

#### **1. Introduction**

 Bacterial cells of the orders *Bacillales* and *Clostridiales* initiate the process of sporulation upon sensing conditions of nutrient limitation. Sporulation is a tightly regulated cellular differentiation process that results in the formation of an endospore (hereafter spore), a metabolically dormant cell- type that is adapted to resist physico-chemical and biological challenges for extended periods of time [\(Higgins and Dworkin, 2012;](#page-13-0) [Tan and Ramamurthi, 2014\)](#page-14-0). Whereas the primary protective feature of spores comprises an outermost proteinaceous coat that functions as a molecular barrier to chemical and enzymatic attack [\(McKenney](#page-14-1) et al., 2013), dormancy is achieved principally by two means. First, the cellular protoplast is surrounded by a thick layer of modified peptidoglycan, referred to as the cortex, which probably mechanically enforces the reduced water content of the spore core [\(Paredes-](#page-14-2) [Sabja et al., 2011\)](#page-14-2). Second, the spore core environment is highly mineralised with calcium and other divalent metal ions, which are chelated with pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (dipicolinic acid or DPA). The latter is uniquely associated with bacterial spores in nature, comprising approximately 10-15 % of the dry weight of spores [\(Paredes-Sabja et al., 2011\)](#page-14-2). While the precise function of DPA in spores has not definitively been elucidated, it clearly has a role in the maintenance of spore dormancy i.e. mutant strains of *Bacillus* that lack enzymes involved in DPA synthesis are unstable and lyse before maturity [\(Paredes-Sabja et al., 2011;](#page-14-2) [Setlow, 2014\)](#page-14-3). Similarly, the induced release of DPA from the spore core – whether achieved via nutrient induced germination, or by exposure of spores to certain cationic surfactants or extremely high pressures – results in the loss of spore dormancy and resistance properties [\(Setlow, 2014\)](#page-14-3).

 One potential role for DPA at the molecular level is to promote the stability of essential spore-core located proteins during dormancy and spore germination, perhaps by minimising thermal-induced

 motion and the likelihood of denaturation and aggregation. Given the apparent protective role of DPA in spores, we conjectured whether it would be able to fulfil a similar function as an excipient to pharmaceutical proteins of interest. The most commonly used pharmaceutical excipients include amino acids, polyols, salts, sugars, and surfactants [\(Manikwar et al., 2013\)](#page-13-1). However, considerable effort has been made in recent years to identify and develop new excipients that mitigate the physical and chemical instability of biological drugs [\(Du and Klibanov, 2011;](#page-13-2) [Lee et al., 2014\)](#page-13-3). The use of novel Arg salts, for example, including equimolar formulations with glutamic acid, have been reported to exert synergistic effects in terms of reducing intermolecular attractions and aggregation, compared to Arg.HCl alone [\(Kheddo et al., 2016;](#page-13-4) [Kheddo et al., 2014\)](#page-13-5). Here we report on the use of DPA, and its quinolinic acid (QA) analogue, as novel excipients that may have potential in mAb formulation. This class of biopharmaceutical is of particular interest since subcutaneous injections of mAbs comprise low volume (<1.5 ml) high protein concentration (>100 mg/ml) formulations. Such conditions promote aggregation, reversible self-association and particulate formation, with the resultant solubility and viscosity issues presenting considerable challenges to large-scale manufacture, product stability and delivery [\(Shire et al., 2004\)](#page-14-4).

### **2. Materials and Methods**

# **2.1 Chemicals and reagents**

71 All reagents were purchased at  $> 98\%$  purity. 2.3-Pyridinedicarboxylic acid (quinolinic acid or OA), 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (DPA), Bradford Reagent, calcium hydroxide, lysozyme and Scienceware® Aquet® liquid detergent were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK). Arg, Arg hydrochloride, histidine, histidine hydrochloride, and sodium chloride were acquired from J. T. Baker (Avantor Performance Materials B.V., Deventer, Netherlands). Calcium chloride dihydrate, SYPRO 76 Orange protein gel stain 5000× in DMSO, and Tergazyme were obtained from Macron Chemicals (UK), Invitrogen (Paisley, UK) and Alconox (UK), respectively. MAb A is an IgG1 isotype with MW 78 of 148.2 kDa, extinction coefficient or 1.443 cm<sup>2</sup>/mg and pI 9.30. MAb B is an IgG1 isotype with 79 MW of 148.4 kDa, extinction coefficient of 1.58 cm<sup>2</sup>/mg and pI 7.5-7.8. MAb C is an IgG1 isotype 80 with MW of 149.0 kDa, extinction coefficient of 1.55 cm<sup>2</sup>/mg and pI 9.04. MAbs A, B and C were

- 81 kindly provided by MedImmune Ltd., Cambridge, supplied at 50 mg/mL solution and stored at -80°C 82 until use.
- 

## **2.2 Sample preparation**

 MAb A was defrosted on the bench and gently swirled to mix; 45 mL at 50 mg/mL was then dialysed against 5 L of 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH 6 buffer for two days using dialysis cassettes (Thermo Scientific, Slide-A-Lyzer, 30 kDa MWCO). Dialysis buffer was changed after 3h and after 12h dialysis. After dialysis, the protein concentration was determined by absorbance at 280 nm using a 89 NanoDrop instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Wilmington, USA), mAb A was then concentrated to 150 mg/mL using a Microsep Advance Centrifugal Device with a 30kD MWCO (Pall Corporation, NY, USA) in the absence or presence of different QA and DPA complexes. Before 92 concentration, mAb A was diluted 1:2 using (1) 20 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> 20 mM QA; (2) 20 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> 20 93 mM DPA; (3) 20 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O; (4) 40 mM Arg 20 mM QA; (5) 40 mM Arg 20 mM DPA; (6) 40 mM Arg.HCl. Since both QA and DPA absorb ultra-violet light, the protein concentration was 95 determined by Bradford assay. Briefly, protein samples were diluted  $2000\times$  in the same buffer they were prepared in and 50 µL of each sample added to a 96-well microplate. A calibration curve (0-0.25 97 mg/mL) was prepared using mAb A in 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH6. 200 µL of Bradford reagent was added to each well before incubating with mild agitation at room temperature in the dark for 20 min. Sample absorbance was read subsequently at 595 nm.

# **2.3 Determination of mAb A thermal stability by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

1 mg/mL mAb A samples were prepared in buffers containing various QA and DPA complexes at a

range of concentrations. The following stock solutions used to prepare the samples: 50 mg/mL mAb

A in 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH 6; 20 mM DPA (or QA) in 90 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH 6; 20

mM Ca(OH)2, 20 mM DPA (or QA), 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH6; 40 mM Arg, 20 mM DPA (or

QA), 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH6. Buffer strength had to be increased to 90 mM His instead of

- 25 mM His in order to maintain a stable pH in the presence of 20 mM DPA or QA free acids. Samples
- were prepared using these stock solutions and then diluted in 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH 6 to

 achieve the desired QA and DPA concentrations. Buffers and MilliQ water were degassed by sonication, whereas protein samples were degassed using a degassing station (TA Instruments). Lysozyme was used as a reference protein. 900 µL of each sample and buffer were pipetted into a 96- deepwell plate and samples were heated from 25-90°C using a rate of 2°C/min and an equilibration time of 600 s using a NanoDSC differential scanning calorimeter (TA Instruments). Data analysis was performed in NanoAnalyze 3.6.0 software (TA Instruments). DSC curves were fitted using a two-state 115 model of three independent domains to determine the melting temperature  $(T_m ({}^{\circ}C))$  and enthalpy difference (∆H (KJ/mol) during thermal denaturation of each domain. The entropy difference (∆S 117 (KJ/mol.K)) was determined using the following equation:  $\Delta S = \Delta H/(T_m+273.15)$ 

#### **2.4 Determination of tertiary structure modifications by intrinsic fluorescence spectroscopy**

120 1 mg/mL mAb A in DPA (or QA),  $Ca^{2+}$ -DPA (or QA), Arg-DPA (or QA) (2:1) with DPA or QA concentrations ranging from 0 -10 mM were prepared before adding 300 µL of each sample to a black 96-well microplate (in sextuplicates). Fluorescence emission was monitored between 300-400 nm using a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Stock solutions and samples were prepared as in **2.3**.

# **2.5 Assessing the conformational stability of mAb A solutions by differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF)**

128 An intermediate protein stock solution was prepared by adding 2 µL SYPRO Orange (5000×) to 49 µL of 50 mg/mL mAb A and 144 µL 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH 6 buffer to a final protein concentration of 12.5 mg/mL. The sample was vortexed and protected from light. The intermediate 131 protein stock solution (2  $\mu$ L) was pipetted to individual wells of a 96-well microplate along with 23 µL of each buffer of interest (in triplicates). The buffers consisted of 25 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH 6 133 with: 1 mM, 5 mM and 10 mM of DPA or QA; 10 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM DPA; 10 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, 10 134 mM QA; 10 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O; 20 mM Arg.HCl; 20 mM Arg, 10 mM DPA; 20 mM Arg, 20 mM QA. Thermal unfolding of mAb A then monitored using a Biorad CFX96 Real-Time PCR system using a 136 temperature range of 20 $^{\circ}$ C to 95 $^{\circ}$ C with an increment of 0.2 $^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> and a hold time of 10 s. As mAb

A unfolds, SYPRO Orange binds to its exposed hydrophobic surfaces, resulting in an increase of

fluorescence. Two unfolding events most likely associated with unfolding of CH2 and CH3 domains

of mAb A are observed at temperature of hydrophobicity 1 (Th1) and temperature of hydrophobicity 2

(Th2), respectively.

# **2.6 Cloud point assays**

 mAb A at an initial concentration of 100 mg/mL was diluted 1:2 in the following buffers: 20 mM 144 Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, 20 mM QA; 20 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, 20 mM DPA; 20 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O; 40 mM Arg, 20 mM QA; 40 mM Arg, 20 mM DPA; and 40 mM Arg.HCl. 800 µL of each sample was added to a quartz cuvette 146 and the absorbance measured at 450 nm using a Cary 100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a 147 multicell Peltier and circulating water bath. As samples were cooled from 25<sup>o</sup>C to 4<sup>o</sup>C the absorbance was read incrementally at 0.5°C intervals.

#### **2.7 Viscosity measurements**

 A 1 mL syringe was filled with mAb A at different concentrations (45, 75, 100 and 150 mg/mL) in 25 152 mM His, 120 mM NaCl, pH 6 containing different excipients:  $10 \text{ mM } CaCl<sub>2</sub> \cdot 2H<sub>2</sub>O$ ;  $10 \text{ mM } Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>$ , 10 mM QA, 10 mM Ca(OH)2, 10 mM DPA; 20 mM Arg.HCl; 20 mM Arg, 10 mM QA; and 20 mM 154 Arg, 10 mM DPA. Sample viscosity was measured using an m-VROC<sup>TM</sup> viscometer (Rheosense, Inc., 155 San Ramon, CA, USA). The chip was flushed with each sample for 10 s at a shear rate of 6000 s<sup>-1</sup> and 156 23°C, followed by a second injection for 30 s at a shear rate of 6000 s<sup>-1</sup> at 23°C. The viscosity value measured during the second injection was considered to be more accurate. Between each sample 158 measurement, the chip was flushed at a flow rate of 750  $\mu$ L min<sup>-1</sup> for 60 s in a sequential manner with the following solutions: 1% tergazyme, 1% aquet, filtered MilliQ water and sample buffer. 

**2.8 Analysis of protein aggregation and fragmentation using High Performance Size Exclusion** 

# **Chromatography (HPSEC)**

163 All samples were stored in 3 mL clear vials at 5 and 40 °C. HPSEC analysis was performed on an

164 Agilent HPLC system with a TSK-Gel G3000 column to assess monomer profile of the samples after



166 equipped with a multiple wavelength UV detector set at 280 nm wavelength. The samples were

- 167 diluted to a concentration of 10 mg/mL using phosphate buffered saline (PBS) before loading into the
- 168 column at an injection volume of  $25 \mu L$ . The mobile phase used was 0.1 M sodium phosphate dibasic
- 169 anhydrous 0.1 M sodium sulphate pH 6.8.
- 170
- 171 **3. Results**

# 172 **3.1 Thermal stability of QA and DPA-containing formulations**

 A DSC thermogram of mAb A is illustrated in Figure 1, revealing three visible unfolding events represented by three different peaks. The first peak refers to the unfolding of the CH2 domain at 67.9 ºC, followed by a second peak associated with the unfolding of the CH3 domain at 77.0 ºC. Unfolding 176 of the Fab fragment is represented by the peak at 84.0 °C [\(Fukuda et al., 2014;](#page-13-6) [Kameoka et al., 2007\)](#page-13-7). 177 Values of T<sub>m</sub>, ∆H, and ∆S were determined for mAb A in the presence of QA and DPA, either alone 178 or complexed with Ca<sup>2+</sup> or Arg. Table 1 lists changes in these values ( $\Delta T_m$ ,  $\Delta\Delta H$ , and  $\Delta\Delta S$ ) compared 179 to the values obtained for mAb A in 25 mM His 120 mM NaCl pH 6 without the addition of any organic acid. The differences on melting temperatures were negligible and never above 0.5 ºC. ∆∆S values followed the same trend in the presence of any of the organic acids even at low concentrations, with no significant variation on entropy associated with CH2 domain (∆∆S ≈ 0). CH3 and Fab domains presented positive ∆∆S values in the same order of magnitude and always larger for the Fab domain, which are associated with entropic gain of the mAb in the presence of the organic acid. 185

- 186 **Table 1** Differences in T<sub>m</sub> ( $\Delta T_m$  (°C)),  $\Delta H$  ( $\Delta \Delta H$  (KJ/mol)), and  $\Delta S$  ( $\Delta \Delta S$  (KJ/mol.K) for mAb A in the presence of DPA or QA in different concentrations and complexed with either  $Ca^{2+}$  or Arg (2:1).
- 188



	Fab	0.12	$-74.2$	1.96	
5mM DPA	CH <sub>2</sub>	$-0.3$	$-52.5$	$-0.15$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	$-0.3$	$-2.4$	1.19	
	Fab	$-0.21$	15	2.21	
10mM DPA	CH <sub>2</sub>	$-0.53$	$-33.8$	$-0.10$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	$-0.09$	$-3.9$	1.19	
	Fab	0.08	$-149.3$	1.75	
$10mM Ca2+-DPA$	CH <sub>2</sub>	0.15	$-43.3$	$-0.13$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	0.07	$-17.3$	1.15	
	Fab	0.14	56	2.33	
20mM Arg 10mM DPA	CH <sub>2</sub>	$-0.1$	$-16.3$	$-0.05$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	0.12	4.1	1.21	
	Fab	0.04	6	2.19	
1mM QA	CH <sub>2</sub>	0.32	$-33.2$	$-0.10$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	0.16	$-14.4$	1.15	
	Fab	0.37	$-104.3$	1.88	
5mM QA	CH <sub>2</sub>	0.07	13.1	0.04	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	0.12	$-0.8$	1.19	
	Fab	0.15	97	2.44	
10mM QA	CH <sub>2</sub>	0.02	$-104.2$	$-0.31$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	0.19	$-6.6$	1.18	
	Fab	0.04	44	2.29	
$10mM Ca2+-QA$	CH <sub>2</sub>	0.31	$-19.6$	$-0.06$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	0.28	$-4.4$	1.18	
	Fab	0.35	$-11$	2.14	
20mM Arg 10mM QA	CH <sub>2</sub>	0.43	$-12.9$	$-0.04$	
	CH <sub>3</sub>	0.41	$-4.9$	1.18	
	Fab	0.32	126	2.52	

189

190

#### 191 **3.2 Assessment of local higher structure by intrinsic fluorescence spectrophotometry and DSF**

192 Fluorescence spectrophotometry methods may be used to assess conformational changes of

193 polypeptides by exploiting the intrinsic fluorescence of aromatic amino acids (e.g. Trp). When a

194 polypeptide unfolds or changes conformation, there is a change in the polarity of the

195 microenvironment surrounding the aromatic amino acids, leading to a change in average emission

196 fluorescence wavelength (e.g. 330 nm in a polypeptide where a Trp is fully buried to 350 nm where a

197 Trp is fully exposed to the aqueous environment). In this study, the maximum emission wavelength

198 was kept at 330 nm for the mAb in the presence of either DPA or QA acids or salts. However, a

199 decrease in fluorescence intensity at 330nm was observed with increasing concentrations of the

200 organic acids (DPA and QA) (Figure 2), indicating local changes in the polarity of the

 microenvironment surrounding the aromatic amino acids. This decrease in fluorescence may be due to quenching, indicating a conformational change in the polypeptide upon increased exposure of aromatic amino acids to solvent. At room temperature in the presence of DPA, local changes to the 204 aromatic environment were attenuated by the  $Ca^{2+}$  (Figure 2, filled black squares) but not the Arg 205 (Figure 2, open black triangles) salt forms. The interaction between organic acids and either  $Ca^{2+}$  or 206 Arg is different:  $Ca^{2+}$  acts as a chelating agent, forming a bidentate bond between the calcium cation and two carboxylic groups of the organic acid, whereas Arg forms an ionic interaction between its charged amino group and the negatively charged carboxylic groups of the organic acids. These differences could conceivably affect the quenching process. In contrast, fluorescence intensity of mAb A in the presence of Arg-QA (2:1) (Figure 2, grey open circles) is always slightly higher than in the presence of Ca-QA or QA, indicating that the mAb A was less exposed to the aqueous environment. Notably, these results are in accordance with DSC data presented in Table 1. The Fab fragment of mAb A is thermodynamically stabilized by enthalpic gain (∆∆H = 126 kJ/mol) plus entropic gain 214 ( $\Delta \Delta S = 2.52$  kJ/mol.K) in the presence of Arg-QA (2:1).

 Conformational stability of polypeptides can also be assessed using Real-Time PCR-type instrumentation with an appropriate fluorescent dye (e.g. SYPRO Orange). In such systems folded polypeptides do not bind to the fluorescent dye, producing low extrinsic fluorescence signals. As the polypeptide unfolds or changes conformation, the fluorescent dye binds to the exposed hydrophobic regions producing increased extrinsic fluorescence intensity. In the current work, no significant difference in the temperature of hydrophobicity of mAb A was observed in the presence of variable 221 concentrations of either QA or DPA, or when in the salt form with either  $Ca^{2+}$  or Arg (Figure 3). Again, these results are consistent with the DSC results, indicating that mAb A remains folded in the presence of the organic acids.

# **3.3 Reduction of opalescence by QA and DPA-containing formulations**

Opalescence is a phase transition which is observed when density fluctuations of fluids near the

critical transition point result in scattering of light [\(Kamerzell et al., 2011;](#page-13-8) [Salinas et al., 2010\)](#page-14-5). This

results in the solution developing a cloudy-white and translucent appearance. The degree of

229 opalescence can be influenced by temperature, ionic strength, protein concentration and/or addition of excipients [\(Kamerzell et al., 2011\)](#page-13-8). In this work, opalescence was determined by measuring the absorbance of 50 mg/mL mAb A solutions at 450 nm in the presence of different excipients. This wavelength was selected due to the fact that the pyridine ring absorbs UV light; therefore, absorbance was measured in the visible light region. Even though we did not determine the critical opalescence concentration for the mAb used in this study, the protein concentration was kept at 50 mg/mL, since 235 mAb solutions at higher concentrations (150 mg/mL) were completely clear. The absorbance of mAb 236 A solutions was measured at different temperatures - ranging from  $4^{\circ}$ C to  $25^{\circ}$ C – in the presence of 237 different excipients (Figure 4). At 4 °C, mAb A in buffer presented the highest degree of opalescence, as adjudged by association with the highest absorbance value. Opalescence decreased in the following 239 order:  $Ca^{2+}$ -DPA >  $CaCl_2.2H_2O$  > Arg.HCl =  $Ca^{2+}$ -QA > Arg-DPA (2:1) > Arg-QA (2:1). Essentially, 240 all tested salts of DPA and QA attenuated temperature-induced opalescence of mAb A, with Arg salts of DPA and QA outperforming Arg.HCl. The same trends were observed with increasing 242 temperatures, although the differences between excipients were less obvious at 25 °C.

# **3.4 QA and DPA as viscosity modulators**

 The ability of DPA and QA to reduce the viscosity of mAb A liquid formulations was also investigated. mAb A at a concentration of 150 mg/mL in 25mM His 120mM NaCl pH 6 had a viscosity of 53.77 cP. However, mAb A at concentrations up to 150 mg/mL showed a marked decrease in viscosity when formulated with low concentrations of any of the excipients tested (Figure 249 5), with the viscosity decreasing in the following order: Arg.HCl > CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O > Ca<sup>2+</sup>-DPA > Ca<sup>2+</sup>- $QA = Arg-DPA (2:1) = Arg-QA (2:1)$ . The viscosity of mAb A in the presence of  $Ca^{2+}-QA$ , Arg-DPA 251 (2:1) and Arg-QA (2:1) was reduced approximately 4.5 times when compared to mAb A in His saline buffer, and 2.5 times when compared to mAb A formulated with the same concentration of Arg.HCl (Figure 5).

#### **3.5 Time- and thermal-stability of DPA-containing formulations**

- 256 To exploit the broader applicability of DPA as a pharmaceutical excipient, we have further
- 257 investigated the stability of two additional mAbs (mAb B and mAb C) formulated with DPA. DPA
- 258 concentrations were increased up to 100 mM (200 mM Arg 100 mM DPA) to understand the effects
- 259 of higher excipient concentration on protein stability. Arg salts were selected over  $Ca^{2+}$  salts due to
- 260 the limited aqueous solubility of Ca-DPA. Arg. HCl was used as a positive control (at 200 mM). After
- 261  $\frac{4}{3}$  weeks at 40°C, aggregation was significantly reduced for both mAbs B and C for formulations
- 262 containing either Arg. HCl or Arg-DPA, while there was a slight increase in fragmentation. At  $5^{\circ}$ C
- 263 there was no increase in aggregation or fragmentation even after 12 weeks (Table 2).
- 264
- 265 **Table 2** Percentage of monomer (%Mon), aggregates (%Agg) and fragmentation (%Frag) of mAb B
- 266 and mAb C at  $5^{\circ}$ C and  $40^{\circ}$ C measured by HPSEC. Controls were molecules in their base buffer:
- 267 mAb B in 50 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5 and mAb C in 20 mM sodium succinate pH 6.0,
- 268 respectively.



269

270

271 **4. Discussion**

 The stability of protein-based liquid formulations is of significant interest to the pharmaceutical industry, since the physical instability of biopharmaceuticals can undermine their safety and efficacy. This is particularly relevant at the high protein concentrations required typically for therapeutic administration, where non-specific aggregation is more likely to occur. As such, excipient molecules, such as Arg, are added to biopharmaceutical drugs in order to supress aggregation, minimise phase separation, reduce viscosity and increase the shelf life of the product.

 The underlying mechanisms associated with Arg-mediated suppression of aggregation have not been definitively established but may involve protein-liquid surface tension effects, preferential surface interactions, and/or binding of the Arg guanidinium group to indole groups associated with Trp residues [\(Tsumoto et al., 2004\)](#page-14-6). The role of the anion in Arg salt excipients is also important in terms of conferring protein stability [\(Schneider et al., 2011;](#page-14-7) [Zhang et al., 2016\)](#page-14-8). However, the addition of Arg.HCl is not a universal solution for all formulations. The generation of NOx by Arg and related compounds may also confer physico-chemical destabilising effects on biopharmaceutical formulations [\(Kim et al., 2016\)](#page-13-9). Thus, there is a clear rationale for the introduction of alternative excipients that are useful for attenuating high concentration mAb formulations.

287 Inspired by the employment of  $Ca^{2+}$ -DPA by bacterial spores to promote protein stability during dormancy, this work sought to examine whether DPA and the QA analogue could function as novel excipients in the formulation of a model mAb. Results from the work demonstrated that the viscosity of relatively high concentration mAb A solutions markedly decreased when formulated with low 291 concentrations of Arg-QA (2:1), Arg-DPA (2:1) and  $Ca^{2+}$ -QA. Moreover, these excipients performed 292 considerably better than both Arg.HCl and  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$ .  $2H<sub>2</sub>O$ . Observed decreases in viscosity were to values that are commensurate with fill-finish in manufacture and/or subcutaneous injection i.e. in the range of 15 cP and lower. However, calcium salts of DPA and QA are of limited aqueous solubility and it may be necessary to identify salt forms that facilitate mAb formulation studies at concentrations employed typically in current mAb formulations i.e. 50 to 150 mM. In terms of potential mechanisms of action, structural perturbation of mAb A - in the presence of DPA

 salts in particular - was confined to localised mobilisation of aromatic side chains, at least as adjudged from intrinsic fluorescence analyses. More general conformational changes involving gross changes



- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Du, W., Klibanov, A.M., 2011. Hydrophobic salts markedly diminish viscosity of concentrated
- protein solutions. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 108, 632-636.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Fukuda, M., Kameoka, D., Torizawa, T., Saitoh, S., Yasutake, M., Imaeda, Y., Koga, A., Mizutani,
- A., 2014. Thermodynamic and fluorescence analyses to determine mechanisms of IgG1 stabilization
- and destabilization by arginine. Pharm. Res. 31, 992-1001.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Geoghegan, J.C., Fleming, R., Damschroder, M., Bishop, S.M., Sathish, H.A., Esfandiary, R., 2016.
- Mitigation of reversible self-association and viscosity in a human IgG1 monoclonal antibody by
- rational, structure-guided Fv engineering, mAbs. Taylor & Francis, pp. 1-10.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Higgins, D., Dworkin, J., 2012. Recent progress in *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation. FEMS Microbiol.
- Rev. 36, 131-148.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Kameoka, D., Masuzaki, E., Ueda, T., Imoto, T., 2007. Effect of buffer species on the unfolding and
- the aggregation of humanized IgG. J. Biochem. 142, 383-391.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Kamerzell, T.J., Esfandiary, R., Joshi, S.B., Middaugh, C.R., Volkin, D.B., 2011. Protein–excipient
- interactions: Mechanisms and biophysical characterization applied to protein formulation
- development. Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 63, 1118-1159.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Kheddo, P., Golovanov, A.P., Mellody, K.T., Uddin, S., van der Walle, C.F., Dearman, R.J., 2016.
- The effects of arginine glutamate, a promising excipient for protein formulation, on cell viability:
- Comparisons with NaCl. Toxicol. In Vitro 33, 88-98.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Kheddo, P., Tracka, M., Armer, J., Dearman, R.J., Uddin, S., van der Walle, C.F., Golovanov, A.P.,
- 2014. The effect of arginine glutamate on the stability of monoclonal antibodies in solution. Int. J.
- Pharm. 473, 126-133.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span> Kim, N.A., Hada, S., Thapa, R., Jeong, S.H., 2016. Arginine as a protein stabilizer and destabilizer in liquid formulations. Int. J. Pharm. 513, 26-37.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Lee, H.H., Choi, T.S., Lee, S.J.C., Lee, J.W., Park, J., Ko, Y.H., Kim, W.J., Kim, K., Kim, H.I., 2014.
- Supramolecular inhibition of amyloid fibrillation by cucurbit [7] uril. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 53,
- 7461-7465.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Manikwar, P., Majumdar, R., Hickey, J.M., Thakkar, S.V., Samra, H.S., Sathish, H.A., Bishop, S.M.,
- Middaugh, C.R., Weis, D.D., Volkin, D.B., 2013. Correlating excipient effects on conformational and
- storage stability of an IgG1 monoclonal antibody with local dynamics as measured by
- hydrogen/deuterium‐exchange mass spectrometry. J. Pharm. Sci. 102, 2136-2151.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>McKenney, P.T., Driks, A., Eichenberger, P., 2013. The *Bacillus subtilis* endospore: assembly and
- functions of the multilayered coat. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11, 33-44.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Paredes-Sabja, D., Setlow, P., Sarker, M.R., 2011. Germination of spores of *Bacillales* and
- *Clostridiales* species: mechanisms and proteins involved. Trends Microbiol. 19, 85-94.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Salinas, B.A., Sathish, H.A., Bishop, S.M., Harn, N., Carpenter, J.F., Randolph, T.W., 2010.
- Understanding and modulating opalescence and viscosity in a monoclonal antibody formulation. J.
- Pharm. Sci. 99, 82-93.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Schneider, C.P., Shukla, D., Trout, B.L., 2011. Arginine and the Hofmeister series: The role of ion–
- ion interactions in protein aggregation suppression. J. Phys. Chem. B 115, 7447-7458.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Setlow, P., 2014. Germination of spores of *Bacillus* species: what we know and do not know. J.
- Bacteriol. 196, 1297-1305.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span> Shire, S.J., Shahrokh, Z., Liu, J., 2004. Challenges in the development of high protein concentration formulations. J. Pharm. Sci. 93, 1390-1402.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span> Tan, I.S., Ramamurthi, K.S., 2014. Spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 6, 212-225.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Thakkar, S.V., Kim, J.H., Samra, H.S., Sathish, H.A., Bishop, S.M., Joshi, S.B., Volkin, D.B.,
- Middaugh, C.R., 2012. Local dynamics and their alteration by excipients modulate the global
- conformational stability of an lgG1 monoclonal antibody. J. Pharm. Sci. 101, 4444-4457.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Tsumoto, K., Umetsu, M., Kumagai, I., Ejima, D., Philo, J.S., Arakawa, T., 2004. Role of arginine in
- protein refolding, solubilization, and purification. Biotechnol. Prog. 20, 1301-1308.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Wen, L., Chen, Y., Liao, J., Zheng, X., Yin, Z., 2015. Preferential interactions between protein and
- arginine: effects of arginine on tertiary conformational and colloidal stability of protein solution. Int.
- J. Pharm. 478, 753-761.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Zhang, J., Frey, V., Corcoran, M., Zhang-van Enk, J., Subramony, J.A., 2016. Influence of Arginine
- Salts on the Thermal Stability and Aggregation Kinetics of Monoclonal Antibody: Dominant Role of
- Anions. Mol. Pharmaceutics 13, 3362-3369.

# **Figure Captions**









- **DPA** ۰
- Ca-DPA ٠
- △ Arg-DPA (2:1)
- QA  $\star$
- Ca-QA ٠
- Arg-QA (2:1)  $\circ$





- mAb A
- mAb A Ca-DPA
- mAb A Ca-QA ▼
- mAb A CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O  $\star$
- mAb A Arg.HCl  $\circ$
- mAb A Arg-QA (2:1) о
- mAb A Arg-DPA (2:1) Δ
- **Buffer** .

