1	
2	Biology of the world's largest invertebrate, the colossal squid
3	(Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni): a short review
4	
5	
6	Rui Rosa ^{1*} , Vanessa M. Lopes ¹ , Miguel Guerreiro ¹ , Kathrin Bolstad ² , José C.
7	Xavier ^{3,4}
8	
9	
10	
11	¹ MARE – Marine Environmental Sciences Centre, Laboratório Marítimo da Guia,
12	Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal.
13	² Institute for Applied Ecology New Zealand, Auckland University of Technology,
14	Auckland, New Zealand.
15	³ MARE – Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre (MARE), Department of Life
16	Sciences, University of Coimbra, 3004-517 Coimbra, Portugal.
17	⁴ British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, High Cross,
18	Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET, United Kingdom.
19	
20	
21	* Corresponding author: rrosa@fc.ul.pt
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

34 Abstract

The present study aims to review the current biological knowledge on the largest 35 (heaviest) living invertebrate, the colossal squid Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni 36 (Robson, 1925). This squid is known to be endemic off the Southern Ocean (SO), 37 with a circumpolar distribution spreading from the Antarctic continent up to the 38 Sub-Antarctic Front. Small juveniles (< 40 mm mantle length) are mainly found from 39 the surface to 500 m, and the late juvenile stages are assumed to undergo 40 ontogenetic descent to depths reaching 2000m. Thus, this giant spends most part of 41 its life in the meso- and bathypelagic realms, where it can reach a total length of 6 42 meters. The maximum weight recorded so far was 495 kg. Mesonychoteuthis 43 44 hamiltoni is presently reported from the diets of 17 different predator species, comprising penguins, sea birds, fishes and marine mammals, and feeds on 45 myctophids, Patagonian tootfish, sleeper shark and other squids. Isotopic analysis 46 places the colossal squid as one of the top predators in the SO. Based on phylogenetic 47 inferences, it is assumed that this squid is not capable of high-speed predator-prey 48 interactions, but it is rather an ambush predator. Their eyes, the largest in the planet, 49 50 seemed to have evolved to detect very large predators (e.g. sperm whales) rather than to detect mates or preys at long distances. On the other hand, and like many 51 other deep-sea animals, it possesses light-emitting organs on the eyes, which may 52 provide ventral camouflage and counter-illumination or some sort of deceptive 53 technique to capture their prey. Although *M. hamiltoni* is quite abundant in the SO, 54 its life existence remains one of the ocean's great mysteries. This unique 55 invertebrate giant continues to attract considerable attention from media and the 56 public in general, but its study also constitutes a valuable source of insight into the 57 biophysical principles behind body-size evolution. 58

59

60 **Keywords:** colossal squid, *Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni*, cranchiids, Southern Ocean;

- 61 gigantism
- 62

63 Introduction

The Southern Ocean consists of a system of deep-sea basins separated by the 64 65 Scotia Ridge, the Kerguelen plateau (Indian section) and the Macquarie Ridge (South of New Zealand). While the south is limited by the Antarctic continent, the northern 66 part is surrounded by the Antarctic Polar Frontal Zone (APFZ), beginning at the 67 Antarctic convergence / Antarctic Polar Front (APF). The main surface current is the 68 Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC), which runs eastwards around the continent 69 (Orsi et al. 1995); Moore et al. (1997); (Moore et al. 1999). These associated fronts 70 create an obstacle to north-south "meridional" circulation, and the discontinuities 71 of temperature and salinity in the APFZ constitute an important barrier in 72 biogeographical interactions (Barnes et al. 2006). Nonetheless, Antarctic life is rich 73 74 and diverse with high levels of endemism (Arntz et al. 1994; Clarke and Johnston 2003). 75

Within this unique region, cephalopods are known to play a key role in the 76 77 marine food webs, because they constitute a vital alternate food source to Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba* (Collins and Rodhouse 2006). They are important prey, and 78 79 thus sustain large populations of penguins, procellariform birds, seals and toothed whales (Clarke 1980; Xavier et al. 2002a; Cherel and Duhamel 2004; Cherel et al. 80 2004; Cherel and Hobson 2005; Cherel and Hobson 2007; Cherel et al. 2007; Xavier 81 et al. 2011). Yet, despite their important ecological role, the basic knowledge of the 82 83 bio-ecology of Southern Ocean cephalopods is still poorly known (Xavier et al. 1999; Xavier et al. 2014; Alvito et al. 2015; Guerreiro et al. 2015), probably due to an 84 85 absence of a fishery industry. This may be related to the low number of exploitable species (Xavier et al. 2007), lack of basic knowledge of the species' biology, stocks' 86

unpredictability, and the relative inaccessibility of these stocks for exploration and
study (Rodhouse et al. 1996; Rodhouse 1998; Collins and Rodhouse 2006).

89 The majority of the resident Southern Ocean cephalopod fauna comprises endemic species of octopods (cirrate and incirrate), and oegopsid squids, which 90 differ greatly from the taxa found at lower latitudes. There is also a total absence of 91 myopsid squids and cuttlefish, and normally abundant families are rare. Unique 92 Southern Ocean taxa include the squid families Psychroteuthidae and 93 Batoteuthidae, and the genera Psychroteuthis, Kondakovia, Alluroteuthis, 94 Slosarczykovia, Batoteuthis and Mesonychoteuthis. Moreover, several of these 95 cephalopods occupy similar niches to (and compete with) some pelagic top 96 predators, namely sharks and large fishes (Rodhouse and White 1995; Rodhouse et 97 98 al. 1996; Cherel and Duhamel 2004; Cherel and Hobson 2005). One of these is the 99 most massive living cephalopod - the colossal squid Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni 100 (Robson 1925)(Fig. 1). This squid is known to be a Southern Ocean endemic, and 101 although it is preyed by many top predators, its basic biology and ecology remain 102 one of the ocean's great mysteries. This review aims to summarize the currently 103 scattered bio-ecological knowledge of the elusive, largest living invertebrate; an 104 overview of the species' taxonomy and detailed treatment of the morphology will be treated in a separate forthcoming publication (Bolstad et al. in prep). 105

- 106
- 107

7 Polar gigantism and some key morphological features of the colossal squid

For a long time polar scientists have observed that organisms of such areas can reach remarkably large sizes. Polar gigantism is notable tool for understanding the biophysical principles and ecological theories (e.g. temperature-size rule, Bergmann rule, oxygen availability coupled with low metabolic rates hypothesis) 112 behind body size evolution (Atkinson 1994; Chapelle and Peck 1999; Chapelle and Peck 2004; Vermeij 2016). Although not consensual, some argue that this 113 114 phenomenon may be linked to the fact that polar giant taxa share common evolutionary histories with deep-sea taxa. In other words, polar gigantism is 115 associated with abyssal gigantism. Yet, it is worth noting that the evolutionary 116 history of (benthic) Southern Ocean fauna is complex and the respective "invasions" 117 worked both ways (i.e. shelf to deep and vice versa) (Brandt et al. 2007; Strugnell et 118 al. 2011). One extreme case of polar gigantism in the Southern Ocean (where other 119 examples of this phenomenon include foraminiferans, sponges, ctenophores, 120 isopods, copepods, amphipods, pycnogonids, pteropods, annelids, echinoderms) is 121 the colossal squid (Fig. 1), which was first described by Robson (1925) from 122 123 fragments from two squids obtained from sperm whale stomach contents. Posteriorly, the description of its beaks was done by Klumov and Yukhov (1975), 124 125 McSweeny (1970), and then reviewed by Clarke (1980) and Xavier and Cherel (2009). 126

The colossal squid belongs to the family Cranchiidae, or "glass" squids, which 127 128 are generally small-to-medium sized species; M. hamiltoni is several orders of magnitude larger than some other cranchild species, attaining a maximum mantle 129 length (ML) of 2.5 meters and total length (TL) of 6 meters. The maximum weight 130 recorded to date was 495 kg (Fig. 1) (Jereb and Roper 2010). Apart from the coelom 131 (fluid-filled buoyancy chamber), while most cranchiids have translucent bodies (see 132 examples in Fig. 2 A, B and C), M. hamiltoni has much more muscle density (Fig. 2 D 133 134 and E). A further notable difference between the colossal squid and other cranchilds is the presence of swivelling hooks on its tentacle clubs (Voss 1980; Voss et al. 1992) 135 136 (Fig. 2 G). Thus, the colossal squid is a clear "outlier" in the cranchid group.

137

138 Early stages

139 Records of egg masses and hatchlings are essentially non-existent. Between 1962 and 1985, only 33 juveniles were reported, all caught using RMT and IKMT 140 nets (Fig. 3). Their mantle length (ML) ranged from 3 to 146 mm, with a clear 141 relationship between juvenile size and depth of capture. In fact, individuals below 142 ML 40 mm have been mostly found between the surface and 500 m (Fig. 3) (see 143 more juvenile morphometric information in McSweeny 1970; Fillipova 1972; 144 Filippova 1979; Rodhouse and Clarke 1985; Filippova 1991; Filippova and 145 Pakhomov 1994; Lu and Williams 1994; Anderson and Rodhouse 2002; Filippova 146 2002). Nonetheless, the majority of the specimens known so far have been collected 147 148 by trawls (N = 155; Fig. 3) but besides their location no other relevant data (e.g. ML, life stage) are available. 149

150

151 Age, growth and reproduction

152 Besides the characteristic low temperatures, the Southern Ocean is also well 153 known by the drastic annual cycles of productivity. The oscillations from abundance 154 to famine are thought to be associated with increased resistance to starvation, reduced competition and unusually slow growth rates (Lindstedt and Boyce 1985; 155 Arnett and Gotelli 2003; Clarke 2003). Deep-sea and polar environments are also 156 usually linked with low metabolic rates and longer longevity. For instance, Robison 157 et al. (2014) recently reported a female deep-sea benthic octopus Graneledone 158 159 boreopacifica undertaking an astonishing 53-month brooding period (by far the longest egg-brooding period ever reported for any animal). If brooding comprises 160 161 about a quarter of this octopod lifespan, this species may be among the longest-lived

of all cephalopods. Their findings are unparalleled by those from the well-studied 162 163 shallow-water species and highlights the notion of how little we know about life in 164 marine extreme environments (deep-sea and poles). Within this context, one might imagine that *M. hamiltoni* may live much longer than their temperate and tropical 165 166 counterparts, i.e. far longer than 12 to 18 months. The most common method for measuring growth in cephalopods, and consequent age determination, is counting 167 growth increments on statoliths (Lipinski 1986; Rodhouse and Hatfield 1990); 168 however, M. hamiltoni material reported to date has not yet been sufficient to 169 validate the periodicity of statolith-ring formation for this species. 170

The colossal squid takes longer to mature and reproduce than its more northern relatives, becoming mature at lengths of at least 1m and weight above 30 kg (Jereb and Roper 2010). Although in colder waters cephalopods tend to produce a low number of large eggs (Collins and Rodhouse 2006), the colossal squid's potential fecundity has been estimated at a maximum of 4.2 million oocytes (Jereb and Roper 2010), which makes it one of the most fecund cephalopods, especially when compared to other polar cephalopod fauna (Collins and Rodhouse 2006).

178

179 Distribution

Part of the known distribution of the colossal squid is based on the rare encounters with live or recently dead specimens aboard (mainly) toothfish fishing (e.g. Fig. 1 D and E) vessels and the stomach contents of the squid's predators. *Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni* has a circumpolar distribution, ranging from the Antarctic continent (excluding respective shelves and Kerguelen Plateau) up to the Sub-Antarctic Front (SAF) (Fig. 4). Based on Xavier et al. (2015b), the highest values of the species' predicted habitat suitability are found: i) between the Weddell Sea 187 (Atlantic sector) and the west of the Kerguelen archipelago (~60°E), and ii) between
180°E and 120°W in the Ross/Amundsen areas.

- 189
- 190

90 Vertical (ontogenetic) movements

Available information on colossal squid's vertical migrating habits is very 191 192 scarce. As shown in Figure 3, small juveniles (< 40 mm ML) are mainly found from the surface to 500 m. The higher productivity near the surface may confer the young 193 194 higher chances of feeding between hatching and their probable migration to deeper waters. At late juvenile stages, *M. hamiltoni* is assumed to conduct an ontogenetic 195 descent to depths around 2000m (Lu and Williams 1994). Thus, the colossal squid 196 197 likely spends the majority of its life (late juvenile, subadult and adult stages) in the meso- and bathypelagic zones of the Southern Ocean. Nonetheless, it is worth noting 198 that female specimens have been captured by fishing vessels at depths shallower 199 200 than the abovementioned range, suggesting that females may migrate to shallower waters to spawn, as do other cranchilds. 201

202

203 **Predator and prey interactions**

The undigested remains of colossal squid (beaks, tentacular hooks or sucker rings) have been found in a great variety of predators' stomach contents. Presently, *M. hamiltoni* is known from the diets of 17 different predator species, comprising penguins, sea birds, fishes and marine mammals (Table 1). The larger predators of the colossal squids are the sperm whales (Clarke 1980) and the sleeper sharks (Cherel and Duhamel 2004) (Fig. 5), but in contrast to the former, the latter is a bottom scavenger and ambush predator. One of the more remarkable predator observations has been made at the Kerguelen Islands, where 66% of the shark
stomachs contained colossal squid remains (Cherel and Duhamel 2004).

213 Colossal squid beaks have also been found quite frequently in the Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichus eleginoides) stomach contents (Fig. 5), and in turn, beak-214 shaped bites and scratches from club hooks (matching the colossal squid's) have 215 also been found along the bodies of captured Patagonian toothfish (Remeslo et al. 216 2015). This may suggest reciprocal predator-prey dynamics. Patagonian toothfish 217 may be able to forage squids larger themselves because they attack dead or 218 moribund colossal squids. Remeslo et al. (2015) also argue that, due to anatomical 219 constraints (namely tooth shape), the toothfish may only scavenge the leftovers of 220 other predators, including the colossal squid itself. Cannibalism is also very common 221 222 among cephalopods, including giant squids (Bolstad and O'Shea 2004; Ibáñez and Keyl 2010). 223

224 Seabirds, namely albatrosses, are also know to scavenge *M. hamiltoni* (Fig. 5). 225 Albatross stomachs often contain the remains of cephalopod prey that would have 226 been several times heavier that their own mean size (Croxall and Prince 1994; 227 Xavier et al. 2003b; Xavier and Croxall 2007; Alvito et al. 2015; Guerreiro et al. 2015). In fact, in certain periods (e.g. end of interbreeding/beginning of breeding 228 period) scavenging plays a crucial role in the diets of wandering (*Diomedea exulans*), 229 black-browed (Thalassarche melanophrys) and grey-headed (Thalassarche 230 chrysostoma) albatrosses, as more than 60% of the cephalopod remains recovered 231 had potentially been scavenged (more than 95% of the total estimated mass of 232 233 cephalopods consumed) (Alvito et al. 2015).

Regarding the squid's own feeding ecology, *M. hamiltoni* specimens with intact or undigested stomach contents remain unknown. Moreover, dietary studies

236 in cephalopods are difficult to conduct as gut contents are usually in an advanced 237 state of digestion, making identification of prey items difficult to impossible. 238 Cephalopods also macerate their preys finely before ingestion, using their beaks and radula. It has been suggested that *M. hamiltoni* feeds on myctophids, Patagonian 239 toothfish, sleeper sharks and other squids (Jereb and Roper 2010; Roberts et al. 240 2011). Based on phylogenetic (metabolic) inferences and polar gigantism 241 constraints, Rosa and Seibel (2010) argued that the colossal squid is probably not a 242 predator capable of high-speed predator-prey interactions (see also section 3.5). 243 Therefore, the very large but immobilized (or weakened) toothfish specimens 244 captured by longline would present easy, opportunistic prey for *M. hamiltoni* 245 (Yukhov 2012; Remeslo et al. 2015). 246

A number of studies have also used a different approach to determine the trophic position of the colossal squid, namely the use of isotopic analysis (e.g. Cherel and Hobson 2005). Nitrogen isotopes ratio (δ^{15} N) indicate the consumer's trophic position, whereas carbon isotopes ratio (δ^{13} C) can provide information on habitat occupation. Assessment of the nitrogen signatures have demonstrated that *M. hamiltoni* is definitely one of the top predators in the Southern Ocean (Fig. 6).

253

254 *Vision*

Giant (*Architeuthis dux*) and colossal squids possess the largest eyes in the World oceans (Fig. 7), and on the planet (Walls 1942; Land and Nilsson 2002). Since both of these squid species are pelagic organisms that share (or compete for) similar ecological niches with other (vertebrate) top predators, the tremendous differences in eye size may indicate a different purpose for the use of eyes. Nilsson et al. (2012)

260 suggested that the very large eyes may have conferred an evolutionary advantage in increased ability to detect large predators (especially sperm whales), rather for 261 262 utility in detecting mates or prey at long distances. These authors modelled large 263 squids' visual range and proposed that the giant eyes enable them to detect sperm whales as they trigger plankton bioluminescence while swimming through the 264 water column. In other words, as whales conduct hunting dives, they attain 265 266 considerable speed and agitate the deep waters where a great variety of bioluminescent organisms thrive. The colossal squid's eyes, due to their large retina, 267 are able to detect such small sources of light from a distance, although they may not 268 necessarily register the predators before being detected themselves by the whale's 269 sonar (the range of which can exceed 120 m). The squid may, however, be visually 270 271 warned in sufficient time to attempt an escape (Nilsson et al. 2012). Nonetheless, it is worth noting that Schmitz et al. (2013) also argued that *M. hamiltoni* 's eyes are 272 273 within the expected allometric range for squids, and thus may be a simple result of 274 a phylogenetically conserved trait.

275

276 *Metabolism*

Rosa and Seibel (2010) calculated the metabolic rates and energy 277 requirements of the colossal squid through a depth-temperature gradient 278 representative of the Southern Ocean (Fig. 8). This phylogenetic inference was 279 conducted based on other deep-sea cranchild data and followed the expected 280 allometric relationship between body size and metabolism (Seibel 2007; Seibel and 281 Drazen 2007; Rosa et al. 2009). Based on their findings, the authors argued that the 282 colossal squid "is not a voracious predator capable of high-speed predator-prey 283 284 interactions. It is, rather, an ambush or sit-and-float predator that uses the hooks on

285 its arms and tentacles to ensnare prey that unwittingly approach". The colossal squid was long thought to be an intrepid predator, due to the "kraken"-related myths and 286 287 stories associated with its large size, but after this study, it has been postulated that the colossal squid perhaps does not deserve such a reputation. The question that we 288 now raise is: does an organism need to be an active pursuit predator to be fearsome? 289 For instance, white sharks, leopards, crocodiles, large monkfish and pythons are all 290 ambush predators (many with low energy demands), and it is their capability to hide 291 and strike without warning that makes them inherently aggressive. 292

293

294 Bioluminescence

Like the great majority of deep sea inhabitants, M. hamiltoni possesses light-emitting 295 296 organs (photophores), in the form of two elongate structures on the ventral surface of each eye (Fig. 9) (Herring et al. 2002). The smaller is located anteriorly while the 297 298 larger is crescent-shaped and located posteriorly around the outside rim of the iris 299 (Voss 1980). *M. hamiltoni* photocytes contain crystalloids whose profiles appear as 300 rectangular blocks or elongate needles, and the reflector platelets are unusual, being 301 associated with a microtubular array that confers their edges a comb-like 302 appearance (Fig. 9). Interestingly, within Cranchiidae, members of the subfamily Cranchiinae obliterate the silhouette of the eyeball by illuminating numerous small 303 ventrally directed photophores, whereas the taoniines (including *M. hamiltoni*) use 304 fewer, more elaborate, elongate reflectors to spread the light from the photophores 305 over the entire projected ventral surface of the eyeball (Herring et al. 2002). Like all 306 taoniines, the two photophores of the *M. hamiltoni* have a mirror-image 307 arrangement in which the illuminated surface of each light-emitting organ extends 308 309 towards the other, across the main ventral area of the eyeball. The subocular

photophores of *M. hamiltoni* may serve as ventral camouflage and counter-310 311 illumination, to blend in with downwelling light when seen from below (Young 312 1975; Young 1977; Young et al. 1980). Yet, this seems to be not useful in large squid individuals since their main predators are not visually-orientated hunters. 313 Photophores may also be used as a deceptive technique - when illuminated, other 314 organisms may disregard the colossal squid as a threat, allowing it to approach its 315 prey. Alternatively, the photophores may be used as "flashlights" to more accurately 316 predict the distance needed to stretch its hooked tentacles and successfully capture 317 318 unwitting prey.

319

320 Future directions

321 Further efforts should be made to understand more about the life of this giant. It has been assumed that *M. hamiltoni* is quite abundant in the Southern Ocean (biomass 322 323 of around 90 million tonnes) and there have been some reports on its interest to 324 fisheries (Jereb and Roper 2010). Every sample, fragment or sighting has proved to 325 be a highly valuable source of information and helped scientists to unveil more and 326 more about *M. hamiltoni*. Baited cameras and remotely operated vehicles could be deployed in the search of live footage, as has happened recently for other deep sea 327 "giant" squids, e.g. Architeuthis dux (Kubodera and Mori 2005) and Taningia danae 328 (Kubodera et al. 2006). M. hamiltoni and other Antarctic organisms has been widely 329 330 used for education and outreach activities worldwide (Xavier et al. 2015a). Besides the fact that these unique invertebrate giants continue to attract considerable 331 332 attention from media and the public in general, it is important to highlight, in the words of Vermeij (2016), that: "Gigantism is (...) a functionally distinct and 333 334 ecologically important condition that is both enabled by resources and compelled by natural selection. The distribution of maximum size in time and space can therefore

inform our understanding of major patterns in the history of life."

337

338 Acknowledgements

- 339 This study benefited from the strategic program of MARE, financed by FCT (MARE -
- 340 UID/MAR/04292/2013). JX is supported by the Investigator FCT program
- 341 (IF/00616/2013) and by the Foundation for Science and Technology (Portugal) and
- is associated to SCAR AnT-ERA, SCAR EGBAMM, ICED, BAS-CEPH programs. RR is
- supported by the Investigator FCT program (IF/01373/2013).
- 344

345 **References**

347	Alvito PM, Rosa R, Phillips RA, Cherel Y, Ceia F, Guerreiro M, Seco J, Baeta A, Vieira RP, Xavier
348	JC (2015) Cephalopods in the diet of nonbreeding black-browed and grey-headed
349	albatrosses from South Georgia. Polar Biology 38:631-641
350	Anderson CIH, Rodhouse PG (2002) Distribution of juvenile squid in the Scotia Sea in relation to
351	regional oceanography. Bulletin of Marine Science 71:97-108
352	Arata J, Robertson G, Valencia J, Xavier J, Eacute C, Moreno CA (2004) Diet of grey-headed
353	albatrosses at the Diego Ramírez Islands, Chile: ecological implications. Antarctic
354	Science 16:263-275 doi doi:10.1017/S095410200400207X
355	Arnett AE, Gotelli NJ (2003) Bergmann's rule in larval ant lions: testing the starvation resistance
356	hypothesis. Ecol Entomol 6:645-650
357	Arntz WE, Brey T, A. GV (1994) Antarctic zoobenthos. Oceanogr Mar Biol Ann Rev 32:241-304
358	Atkinson D (1994) Temperature and organism size - a biological law for ectotherms? . Adv Ecol
359	Res 25:1-58
360	Barnes DKA, Hodgson DA, Convey P, Allen CS, Clarke A (2006) Incursion and excursion of
361	Antarctic biota: past, present and future Global Ecology and Biogeography 15:121-142
362	Bolstad KS, O'Shea S (2004) Gut contents of a giant squid Architeuthis dux (Cephalopoda:
363	Oegopsida) from New Zealand waters. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 31:15-21
364	Brandt A, Gooday AJ, Brandão SN, Brix S, Brökeland W, Cedhagen T, Choudhury M, Cornelius
365	N, Danis B, De Mesel I (2007) First insights into the biodiversity and biogeography of
366	the Southern Ocean deep sea. Nature 447:307-311
367	Chapelle G, Peck LS (1999) Polar gigantism dictated by oxygen availability. Nature 399:114-115
368	Chapelle G, Peck LS (2004) Amphipod crustacean size spectra: new insights in the relationship
369	between size and oxygen. Oikos 106:167-175
370	Cherel Y, Duhamel G (2004) Antarctic jaws: cephalopod prey of sharks in Kerguelen waters.
371	Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 51:17-31 doi
372	10.1016/j.dsr.2003.09.009

373 Cherel Y, Duhamel G, Gasco N (2004) Cephalopod fauna of subantarctic islands: new 374 information from predators. Marine Ecology Progress Series 266:143-156 doi 375 10.3354/meps266143 376 Cherel Y, Hobson KA (2005) Stable isotopes, beaks and predators: a new tool to study the 377 trophic ecology of cephalopods, including giant and colossal squids. Proceedings of 378 Royal Society B 272:1601-1607 379 Cherel Y, Hobson KA (2007) Geographical variation in carbon stable isotope signatures of 380 marine predators: a tool to investigate their foraging areas in the Southern Ocean. 381 Marine Ecology Progress Series 329:281-287 doi 10.3354/meps329281 382 Cherel Y, Hobson KA, Guinet C, Vanpe C (2007) Stable isotopes document seasonal changes in 383 trophic niches and winter foraging individual specialization in diving predators from 384 the Southern Ocean. Journal of Animal Ecology 76:826-836 doi 10.1111/j.1365-385 2656.2007.01238.x 386 Cherel Y, Klages N (1998) A review of the food of albatrosses. In: Robertson G, Gales R (eds) 387 Albatross Biology and Conservation. Surrey Beatty & Sons, Chipping Norton, Australia, 388 pp 113-136 389 Clarke A (2003) Evolution, adaptation, and diversity: global ecology in an Antarctic context. In: 390 Huiskes AHL, Gieskes WWC, Rozema J, Schorno RML, van der Vies SM, Wolff WJ (eds) 391 Antarctic Biology in a Global Context. Int. Biol. Symp. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, pp 392 3-17 393 Clarke A, Johnston NM (2003) Antarctic marine benthic diversity. Oceanogr Mar Biol Ann Rev 394 41:47-114 395 Clarke MR (1980) Cephalopoda in the diet of sperm whales of the southern hemisphere and 396 their bearing on sperm whale biology. Discovery Reports 37:324 397 Clarke MR, Goodall N (1994) Cephalopods in the diets of three Odontoceti cetacean species 398 stranded at Tierra del Fuego, Globicephala melaena (Traill, 1809), Hyperoodon 399 planifrons Flower, 1882 and Cephalorhynchus commersonii (Lacepede, 1804). Antarctic 400 Science 6:149-154 401 Clarke MR, MacLeod N (1982) Cephalopod remains from the stomachs of sperm whales caught 402 in the Tasman sea. Memoirs of the National Museum Victoria 43:25-42 403 Clarke MR, MacLeod N, Paliza O (1976) Cephalopod remains from the stomachs of Sperm 404 whales caught off Peru and Chile. Journal of Zoology 180:477-493 405 Clarke MR, Prince PA (1981) Cephalopod remains in regurgitations of black-browed and grey-406 headed albatrosses at South Georgia. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin 54:1-8 Collins MA, Rodhouse PG (2006) Southern Ocean cephalopods. Advances in Marine Biology 407 408 50:191-265 409 Cooper J, Brown CR (1990) Ornithological research at the sub-Antarctic Prince Edward Islands: 410 a review of achievements. South Africa Trans Nav Antarkt 20:40-57 411 Cooper J, Henley S, Klages N (1992) The diet of the wandering albatross Diomedea exulans at 412 subantarctic Marion Island. Polar Biology 12:477-484 413 Croxall JP, Prince PA (1994) Dead or alive, night or day - how do albatrosses catch squid. 414 Antarctic Science 6:155-162 415 Filippova J (1991) Morpho-ecological aspects of the study of Antarctic squids. Bull Mar Sci 416 49:662 417 Filippova JA (2002) Review of Soviet/Russian studies on squids in the Antarctic Ocean. Bulletin 418 of Marine Science 71:255-267 419 Filippova JA, Pakhomov EA (1994) Young squid in the plankton of Prydz Bay, Antarctica. 420 Antarctic Science 6:171-173 doi doi:10.1017/S095410209400026X 421 Filippova JAY, V. L. (1979) Specific composition and distribution of cephalopod molluscs in 422 meso- and bathypelagic Antarctic waters. Antarktika Doklady Komissi:175-187 423 Fillipova JA (1972) New data on the squids (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida) from the Scotia Sea 424 (Antarctic). Malacologia 11:391-406

425	Fiscus CH, Rice DW, Wolman AA (1989) Cephalopods from the stomachs of sperm whales
426	taken off California. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 83:1-12
427	Fonseca Vd, Petry M (2007) Evidence of food items used by <i>Fulmarus glacialoides</i> (Smith 1840)
428	(Procellariiformes: Procellariidae) in Southern Brazil. Polar Biology 30:317-320 doi
429	10.1007/s00300-006-0185-7
430	Green K, Kerry KR, Disney T, Clarke MR (1998) Dietary studies of light-mantled sooty
431	albatrosses Phoebetria palpebrata from Macquarie and Heard Islands. Marine
432	Ornithology 26:19-26
433	Guerreiro M, Phillips RA, Cherel Y, Ceia FR, Alvito P, Rosa R, Xavier JC (2015) Habitat and
434	trophic ecology of Southern Ocean cephalopods from stable isotope analyses. Marine
435	Ecology Progress Series 530:119-134 doi 10.3354/meps11266
436	Herring PJ, Dilly PN, Cope C (2002) The photophores of the squid family Cranchiidae
437	(Cephalopoda: Oegopsida). Journal of Zoology 258:73-90
438	Ibáñez CM, Keyl F (2010) Cannibalism in cephalopods. Rev Fish Biol Fish 20:123-136
439	Imber MJ (1992) Cephalopods eaten by wandering albatrosses Diomedea exulans L. breeding
440	at six circumpolar localities. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 22:243-263
441	Imber MJ, Berruti A (1981) Proccellariiform seabirds as squid predators. In: Cooper J (ed)
442	Proceedings of the Symposium on Birds of the Sea and Shore. African Seabird Group,
443	Cape Town, pp 43-61
444	Jereb P, Roper CFE (2010) Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of
445	cephalopod species known to date. Myopsidae and Oegopsidae. FAO, Rome
446	Jereb P, Roper CFE, Norman MD, Finn JK (2014) Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and
447	illustrated catalogue of cephalopod species known to date. Volume 3. Octopods and
448	Vampire Squids FAO, Rome
449	Kent S, Seddon J, Roberston G, Wienecke BC (1998) Diet of Adélie penguins Pygoscelis adeliae
450	at Shirley Island, East Antarctica, January 1992. Marine Ornithology 26:7-10
451	Klumov SK, Yukhov VL (1975) Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni Robson, 1925 (Cephalopoda:
452	Oegopsida). Antarktika Doklady Komission 14:159-189
453	Korabelnikov L (1959) The diet of sperm whales in the Antarctic seas. Priroda 3:103-104
454	Kubodera T, Koyama Y, Mori K (2006) Observations of wild hunting behaviour and
455	bioluminescence of a large deep-sea, eight-armed squid, Taningia danae. Proceedings
456	of Royal Society B doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.0236
457	Kubodera T, Mori K (2005) First-ever observations of a live giant squid in the wild. Proceedings
458	of Royal Society B in press
459	Land MF, Nilsson D-E (2002) Animal Eyes. Oxford University Press, Oxford
460	Lindstedt SL, Boyce MS (1985) Seasonality, fasting endurance, and body size in mammals. Am
461	Nat 125:873-878
462	Lipinski MR (1986) Methods for the validation of squid age from statoliths. Journal of the
463	Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 66:505-526 doi
464	doi:10.1017/S0025315400043095
465	Lipinski MR, Jackson S (1989) Surface-feeding on cephalopods by procellariiform seabirds in
466	the southern Benguela region, South Africa. Journal of Zoology 218:549-563
467	Lu CC, Williams R (1994) Contribution to the biology of squid in the Prydz Bay region,
468	Antarctica. Antarctic Science 6:223-229 doi doi:10.1017/S0954102094000349
469	McClain CR, Balk MA, Benfield MC, Branch TA, Chen C, Cosgrove J, Dove AD, Gaskins LC, Helm
470	RR, Hochberg FG (2015) Sizing ocean giants: patterns of intraspecific size variation in
471	marine megafauna. PeerJ 3:e715
472	McSweeny ES (1970) Description of the juvenile form of the Antarctic squid Mesonychoteuthis
473	hamiltoni Robson. Malacologia:323-332
474	Moore JK, Abbott MR, Richman JG (1997) Variability in the location of the Antarctic Polar Front
475	(90 degrees-20 degrees W) from satellite sea surface temperature data. Journal of
476	Geophysical Research-Oceans 102:27825-27833 doi 10.1029/97jc01705

477 Moore JK, Abbott MR, Richman JG (1999) Location and dynamics of the Antarctic Polar Front 478 from satellite sea surface temperature data. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans 479 104:3059-3073 480 Nilsson DE, Warrant EJ, Johnsen S, Hanlon R, Shashar N (2012) A unique advantage for giant 481 eyes in giant squid. Current biology : CB 22:683-688 doi 10.1016/j.cub.2012.02.031 482 Orsi AH, Whitworth T, Nowlin WD (1995) On the meridional extent and fronts of the Antarctic 483 Circumpolar Current Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 484 42:641-673 doi 10.1016/0967-0637(95)00021-w 485 Petrov AF, Tatarnikov VA (2011) Results of investigation of the diet of antarctic toothfish 486 Dissostichus mawsoni (Nototheniidae) in the Lazarev Sea. Journal of Ichthyology 487 51:131-135 doi 10.1134/S0032945210051017 488 Remeslo AV, Yakushev MR, Laptikhovsky V (2015) Alien vs. Predator: interactions between the 489 colossal squid (Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni) and the Antarctic toothfish (Dissostichus 490 mawsoni). Journal of Natural History 49:2483-2491 doi 491 10.1080/00222933.2015.1040477 Ridoux V (1994) The diets and dietary segregation of seabirds at the Subantarctic Crozet 492 493 Islands. Marine Ornithology 22:1-192 494 Roberts J, Xavier JC, Agnew DJ (2011) The diet of toothfish species Dissostichus eleginoides and 495 Dissostichus mawsoni with overlapping distributions. J Fish Biol 79:138-154 doi 496 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03005.x 497 Robertson G, Williams R, Green K, Robertson L (1994) Diet composition of emperor penguin 498 chicks Aptenodytes forsteri at two Mawson Coast colonies, Antarctica. Ibis 136:19-31 499 Robison BH, Seibel BA, Drazen JC (2014) Deep-Sea Octopus (Graneledone boreopacifica) 500 conducts the longest-known egg-brooding period of any animal. Plos One 9:e103437 501 Robson GC (1925) On Mesonychoteuthis, a new genus of oegopsid Cephalopoda. Annals and 502 Magazine of Natural History:272-277 503 Rodhouse P, Hatfield E (1990) Age determination in squid using statolith growth increments. 504 Fisheries Research 8:323-334 505 Rodhouse PG (1998) Large and meso-scale distribution of the ommastrephid squid Martialia 506 hyadesi in the Southern Ocean: a synthesis of information relevant to fishery 507 forecasting and management. Korean Journal of Polar Research 8:145-154 508 Rodhouse PG, Arnbom T, Fedak MA, Yeatman J, Murray AWA (1992) Cephalopod prey of the 509 southern elephant seal, Mirounga leonina L. Canadian Journal of Zoology 70:1007-510 1015 511 Rodhouse PG, Clarke MR (1985) Growth and distribution of young Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni 512 Robson (Mollusca: Cephalopoda): An Antarctic squid. Vie et Milieu 35:223-230 513 Rodhouse PG, Clarke MR, Murray AWA (1987) Cephalopod prey of the wandering albatross 514 Diomedea exulans. Marine Biology 96:1-10 515 Rodhouse PG, Prince PA, Trathan PN, Hatfield EMC, Watkins JL, Bone DG, Murphy EJ, White 516 MG (1996) Cephalopods and mesoscale oceanography at the Antarctic Polar Front: 517 Satellite tracked predators locate pelagic trophic interactions. Marine Ecology Progress 518 Series 136:37-50 doi 10.3354/meps136037 519 Rodhouse PG, White MG (1995) Cephalopods occupy the ecological niche of epipelagic fish in 520 the Antactic Polar Frontal zone. Biological Bulletin 189:77-80 doi 10.2307/1542457 521 Rosa R, Seibel BA (2010) Slow pace of life of the Antarctic colossal squid. Journal of the Marine 522 Biological Association of the United Kingdom 90:1375-1378 doi 523 10.1017/s0025315409991494 Rosa R, Trueblood L, Seibel BA (2009) Ecophysiological influence on scaling of aerobic and 524 525 anaerobic metabolism of pelagic gonatid squids. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 526 82:419-429

527 Schmitz L, Motani R, Oufiero C, Martin C, McGee M, Gamarra A, Lee J, Wainwright P (2013) 528 Allometry indicates giant eyes of giant squid are not exceptional. BMC Evolutionary 529 Biology 13:45 530 Seibel BA (2007) On the depth and scale of metabolic rate variation: scaling of oxygen 531 consumption rates and enzymatic activity in the Class Cephalopoda (Mollusca). Journal 532 of Experimental Biology 210:1-11 533 Seibel BA, Drazen JC (2007) The rate of metabolism in marine animals: environmental 534 constraints, ecological demands and energetic opportunities. Philosophical 535 Transactions of the Royal Society B 362:2061-2078 536 Seibel BA, Thuesen EV, Childress JJ (2000) Light-limitation on predator-prey interactions: 537 consequences for metabolism and locomotion of deep-sea cephalopods. Biological 538 Bulletin 198:284-298 539 Stowasser G, Atkinson A, McGill RAR, Phillips RA, Collins MA, Pond DW (2012) Food web 540 dynamics in the Scotia Sea in summer: A stable isotope study. Deep Sea Research Part 541 II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 59-60:208-221 doi 10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.08.004 542 Strugnell JM, Cherel Y, Cooke IR, Gleadall IG, Hochberg FG, Ibáñez CM, Jorgensen E, 543 Laptikhovsky VV, Linse K, Norman Mea (2011) The Southern Ocean: Source and sink? 544 Deep Sea Res Part II 58:196-204 545 Vermeij GJ (2016) Gigantism and Its Implications for the History of Life. Plos One 11:e0146092 546 doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0146092 547 Voss N, Stephen SJ, Dong Z (1992) Family Cranchiidae. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 548 513:187-210 549 Voss NA (1980) A generic revision of the Cranchiidae (Cephalopoda, Oegopsida). Bulletin of 550 Marine Science 30:365-412 551 Walls GL (1942) The vertebrate eye and its adaptive radiation. Bloomfield Hills, Cranbrook 552 Xavier JC, Allcock L, Cherel Y, Hoving H-J, Lipinski M, Gomes-Pereira JN, Piatkowski U, Pierce G, 553 Rodhouse PG, Rosa R, Liz Shea L, Strugnell J, Vidal E, Villanueva R, Ziegler A (2015a) 554 The future challenges in cephalopod research for the next decade. Journal of the 555 Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 95:999-1015 556 Xavier JC, Cherel Y (2009) Cephalopod beak guide for the Southern Ocean. British Antarctic 557 Survey, Cambridge, UK 558 Xavier JC, Croxall JP (2007) Predator-prey interactions: why do larger albatrosses eat bigger 559 squid? Journal of Zoology 271:408-417 doi 10.1111/j.1469-7998.2006.00224.x 560 Xavier JC, Croxall JP, Reid K (2003a) Inter-annual variation in the diet of two albatross species 561 breeding at South Georgia: implications for breeding performance. Ibis 145:593-610 562 Xavier JC, Croxall JP, Trathan PN, Rodhouse PG (2003b) Inter-annual variation in the 563 cephalopod component of the diet of wandering albatrosses Diomedea exulans 564 breeding at Bird Island, South Georgia. Marine Biology 142:611-622 565 Xavier JC, Phillips RA, Cherel Y (2011) Cephalopods in marine predator diet assessments: why 566 identifying upper and lower beaks is important. ICES Journal of Marine Science 567 68:1857-1864 doi 10.1093/icesjms/fsr103 568 Xavier JC, Raymond B, Jones DC, Griffiths H (2015b) Biogeography of cephalopods in the 569 Southern Ocean using habitat suitability prediction models. Ecosystems 19:220-247 doi 570 10.1007/s10021-015-9926-1 571 Xavier JC, Rodhouse PG, Purves MG, Daw TM, Arata J, Pilling GM (2002a) Distribution of 572 cephalopods recorded in the diet of the Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichus 573 eleginoides) around South Georgia. Polar Biology 25:323-330 574 Xavier JC, Rodhouse PG, Purves MG, Daw TM, Arata J, Pilling GM (2002b) Distribution of 575 cephalopods recorded in the diet of the Patagonian toothfish (Dissostichus 576 eleginoides) around South Georgia. Polar Biology 25:323-330 doi 10.1007/s00300-001-577 0343-x

578 Xavier JC, Rodhouse PG, Trathan PN, Wood AG (1999) A Geographical Information System (GIS) 579 atlas of cephalopod distribution in the southern ocean. Antarctic Science 11:61-62 580 Xavier JC, Walker K, Elliott G, Cherel Y, Thompson D (2014) Cephalopod fauna of South Pacific 581 waters: new information from breeding New Zealand wandering albatrosses. Marine 582 Ecology Progress Series 513:131-142 doi 10.3354/meps10957 583 Xavier JC, Wood AG, Rodhouse PG, Croxall JP (2007) Interannual variations in cephalopod 584 consumption by albatrosses at South Georgia: implications for future commercial 585 exploitation of cephalopods. Marine and Freshwater Research 58:1136-1143 doi 586 10.1071/mf06237 587 Young RE (1975) Transitory eye shapes and the vertical distribution of two midwater squids. 588 Pacific Science 29:243-255 589 Young RE (1977) Ventral bioluminescence countershading in midwater cephalopods. In: Nixon 590 M, Messenger J (eds) Biology of cephalopods Symposia of the Zoological Society of 591 London Nº38 Academic Press, London, pp 161-190 592 Young RE, Kampa EM, Maynard SD, Mencher FM, Roper CFE (1980) Counterillumination and 593 the upper depth limits of midwater animals. Deep-Sea Research Part A 27:671-691 594 Yukhov VL (2012) Antarctic deep-water squid (ADS) Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni, Robson, 595 1925. Distribution and borders of the geographic range. Main results of complex 596 research in the Azov and Black Seas Basin and the World Ocean. Kerch: YugNIRO 597 50:241-248. Russian

598 599

600 Table 1 – List of known predators of the colossal squid (*Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni*)

Predator groups and common names	Species	Geographical location	Reference			
Penguins						
King pengin	Aptenodytes patagonicus	Crozet	Ridoux (1994)			
Adélie penguin	Pygoscelis adeliae	Shirley Islands, East Antarctica	Kent et al. (1998)			
Emperor penguin	Aptenodytes forsteri	Mawson	Robertson et al. (1994)			
Seabirds						
Southern fulmar	Fulmarus glacialoides	South Brazil	Fonseca and Petry (2007)			
Wandering albatross	Diomedia exulans	Marion, Macquarie, Prince Edward, Crozet Is., South Georgia, Antipodes	(Clarke and Prince 1981; Imber and Berruti 1981; Rodhouse et al. 1987; Cooper and Brown 1990); Cooper et al. (1992); (Imber 1992; Ridoux 1994; Cherel and Klages 1998; Xavier et al. 2003a; Xavier et al. 2003b)			
Black-browed albatross	Thalassarche melanophrys	South Georgia	Xavier et al. (2003a)			
Grey-headed albatross	Thalassarche chrysostoma	Diego Ramirez; South Georgia,	(Cherel and Klages 1998; Xavier et al. 2003a; Arata et al. 2004; Alvito et al. 2015)			
Light-mantled albatross	Phoebetria palpebrata	Crozet, Prince Edward Island, Marion Island; Macquarie and Heard islands	(Imber and Berruti 1981; Cooper and Brown 1990; Ridoux 1994; Cherel and Klages 1998; Green et al. 1998)			
White-chinned petrel	Procellaria aequinoctialis	South Africa (Benguela Current); Marion Is.	Lipinski and Jackson (1989)			
Sooty albatross	Phoebetria fusca	Marion and Crozet Islands	(Imber and Berruti 1981; Cooper and Brown 1990; Ridoux 1994; Cherel and Klages 1998)			
Fishes						
Sleeper shark	Somniosus cf. Microcephalus	Kerguelen	Cherel and Duhamel (2004)			
Patagonian toothfish	Dissostichus eleginoides	Crozet, South Georgia	(Xavier et al. 2002b; Cherel et al. 2004)			
Antarctic toothfish	Dissostichus mawsoni	Lazarev Sea, South Sandwich Islands	(Petrov and Tatarnikov 2011; Roberts et al. 2011)			

601				
602	Marine mammals Southern elephant seal	Mirounga leonina	South Georgia	Rodhouse et al. (1992)
603	Sperm whale	Physeter macrocephalus	Antarctica, South America, South	(Korabelnikov 1959; Clarke et al. 1976; Clarke 1980; Clarke
604			sea	and MacLeou 1982; Fiscus et al. 1989)
605	Southern bottlenose whale Long-finned pilot whale	Hyperoodon planifrons Globicephala melaena	South America South America	Clarke and Goodall (1994) Clarke and Goodall (1994)
606				
607				
608				
609				
610				
611				
612				
613				
614				
615				
616				
617				
618				
619				

620

621 Figure captions

622

Figure 1 – Length and weight (logarithmic scale) of the marine invertebrate (grey bars) and vertebrate giants (blue bars), with a special emphasis on the cephalopods: giant octopus (*Enteroctopus dofleini*), giant squid (*Architeuthis dux*) and colossal squid *Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni* (red bar). Cephalopod data from Jereb and Roper (2010) and Jereb et al. (2014). Other groups' data from McClain et al. (2015) and references within.

629

630 Figure 2 – Morphological dissimilarities between the colossal squid (Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni) and other cranchild species. Panels A, B and C 631 show the translucent bodies of deep-sea glass squids *Teuthowenia pellucida* 632 633 and Taonius borealis (copyright: MBARI). Panels D and E show the much 634 denser musculature of the colossal squid (photo credits: AP San Aspring crew of Sanford Company). Panels F, G, H highlight the beak size, the presence of 635 rotating hooks on its tentacles, and eye lens size of the colossal squid 636 (copyright: Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa). 637

638

Figure 3 – Number of colossal squid (*Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni*) specimens caught
in nets (RMT- Rectangular midwater trawl, IKMT – Isaacs-Kidd Midwater
trawl, and other trawls) within the Southern Ocean, and the relationship
between juvenile mantle length (mm) and depth of capture.

643

Figure 4 – Map of the locations of all known colossal squid (*Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni*) occurrences. "Squid" symbol represents locations obtained from nets and the other symbols represent predator diet studies (penguins, seabirds, fish and sharks) [modified from Xavier et al. (2015b)].

648

Figure 5 – Predicted mantle length (mm) of the colossal squid (*Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni*) found in the stomachs remains (namely beaks) of its predators. The
estimated values are based on beaks' morphometrics (namely lower rostral
length) and the respective allometric equation (see Xavier and Cherel 2009).

Abbreviations: A.p. - Aptenodytes patagonicus; Dio.e. - Diomedia exulans;
Diss.e. - Dissostichus eleginoides; G.m. - Globicephala melaena; H.p. Hyperoodon planifrons; P.f. - Phoebetria fusca; P.m. - Physeter macrocephalus;
P.p. - Phoebetria palpebrata; S.m. - Somniosus cf Microcephalus; T.c. Thalassarche chrysostoma. The references for beak data (for the different
predator species) can be found in Table 1.

659

Figure 6 – δ¹⁵ N values (trophic level) of squid (grey bars) and other marine animals
collected in the Southern Ocean. * - corrected (beak) values. Data from
Guerreiro et al. (2015), Stowasser et al. (2012) and references within.

663

Figure 7 – Biggest eyes (mm) in the ocean. Data from Walls (1942), Land and Nilsson
(2002) and Nilsson et al. (2012).

666

Figure 8 – Metabolic ecology of the colossal squid (Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni) in 667 668 the Southern Ocean. Panel A: Effect of size in the routine mass-specific metabolic rate of deep-sea cranchiid squids (blue circles, from a 0.017g 669 Liocranchia valdiviae to 500 kg M. hamiltoni) and of other cephalopod 670 counterparts, including loliginids (green line), coastal ommastrephids (dark 671 672 red line), coastal benthic octopods (red line), pelagic gelatinous octopods (light blue line) and vampire squid (purple line). Value of 20 kg giant squid 673 (Architeuthis spp.; solid triangle) was based on the activity of citrate synthase 674 measured in mantle muscle (Seibel et al. 2000). Rates were standardized to 675 1.5°C assuming a Q10 of 2. Data from Rosa and Seibel (2010) and Seibel 676 677 (2007). Panels B and C represent depth-related changes in temperature in the circum-Antarctic Southern Ocean. Dashed lines represent sub-Antarctic areas 678 (50.58S0.58E; 50.58S90.58E; 50.58S179.58W; 50.58S90.58W) and solid lines 679 represent Antarctic areas (60.58S0.58E; 60.58S90.58E; 60.58S179.58W; 680 60.58S90.58W); (B) projected energy consumption (kcal day-1; based on 4.7 681 kcal/lO₂) as a function of depth (temperature dependence of 2) in the circum-682 683 Antarctic Southern Ocean region. Thick solid line represents the mean values obtained from the different temperature profiles. Thin dashed line represents 684

the 95% confidence interval for the means (modified from Rosa and Seibel2010).

687

Figure 9 – Photophores of the colossal squid (Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni). Panel A 688 shows the light organ at the rear of the eyeball, while Panel B shows the eyelid 689 being pulled back to reveal part of the light organ (at the rear of the eyeball) 690 (copyright: Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa). Panels C and D 691 692 show the colossal squid's photocytes containing paracrystalline aggregates (needle-like or rectangle structures) and Panel E shows the reflector platelets 693 694 with edges of comb-like appearance (modified from Herring et al. 2002; copyright: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.). 695