


Article

Enhancement of the Electrical Conductivity and Interlaminar Shear Strength of CNT/GFRP Hierarchical Composite Using an Electrophoretic Deposition Technique

Amin Haghbin ¹, Gholamhossein Liaghat ^{1,2,*}, Homayoun Hadavinia ² , Amir Masoud Arabi ³ and Mohammad Hossein Pol ⁴

¹ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Tarbiat Modares University, Jalal Ale Ahmad Highway, 14115-111 Tehran, Iran; a.haghbin@modares.ac.ir

² Faculty of Science, Engineering and Computing, School of Engineering, Kingston University, London SW15 3DW, UK; h.hadavinia@kingston.ac.uk

³ Department of Inorganic Pigments and Glazes, Institute for Color Science and Technology, 16688-36471 Tehran, Iran; aarabi@icrc.ac.ir

⁴ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tafresh University, 39518-79611 Tafresh, Iran; m_h_pol@tafreshu.ac.ir

* Correspondence: ghlia530@modares.ac.ir; Tel.: +98-21-8288-3387

Received: 30 August 2017; Accepted: 20 September 2017; Published: 22 September 2017

Abstract: In this work, an electrophoretic deposition (EPD) technique has been used for deposition of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) on the surface of glass fiber textures (GTs) to increase the volume conductivity and the interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) of CNT/glass fiber-reinforced polymers (GFRPs) composites. Comprehensive experimental studies have been conducted to establish the influence of electric field strength, CNT concentration in EPD suspension, surface quality of GTs, and process duration on the quality of deposited CNT layers. CNT deposition increased remarkably when the surface of glass fibers was treated with coupling agents. Deposition of CNTs was optimized by measuring CNT's deposition mass and process current density diagrams. The effect of optimum field strength on CNT deposition mass is around 8.5 times, and the effect of optimum suspension concentration on deposition rate is around 5.5 times. In the optimum experimental setting, the current density values of EPD were bounded between 0.5 and 1 mA/cm². Based on the cumulative deposition diagram, it was found that the first three minutes of EPD is the effective deposition time. Applying optimized EPD in composite fabrication of treated GTs caused a drastic improvement on the order of 10⁸ times in the volume conductivity of the nanocomposite laminate in comparison with simple GTs specimens. Optimized CNT deposition also enhanced the ILSS of hierarchical nanocomposites by 42%.

Keywords: electrophoretic deposition; carbon nanotube; hierarchical composite; interface; glass fiber; interlaminar shear strength

1. Introduction

In hierarchical composites, nanoscale reinforcements are integrated with traditional composites to manipulate the interfacial or interphase properties between fibers and the polymer resin. Reinforcing the traditional fiber-reinforced polymers (FRPs) with carbon nanotubes (CNTs) has shown many improvements in toughness, stiffness, strength, and electrical conductivity. The main purpose of adding CNTs in the structure of FRPs is for improving fiber/matrix adhesion in the composite interphase, which has different properties from the bulk of the resin, in order to improve the dominant out-of-plane properties of the matrix. Numerous reports confirm improvements in interfacial shear

strength (IFSS) [1,2], interlaminar fracture toughness [3,4], and fatigue resistance of CNT/epoxy FRPs [5,6]. Although FRPs have remarkable properties along the fiber directions, they are weak in the matrix-rich interlaminar regions, where a nimble load transfer at the interface of the load-bearing fibers and the matrix is essential. CNTs are one type of nanostructure that can play an essential role in reinforcing the fiber–polymer interface in FRP laminates. Several experimental investigations [3,7,8], computational models [9,10], multi-scale material modeling [11–13], and other studies have shown the benefit of utilizing CNTs in polymers and FRPs to improve the mechanical/electrical performance of composite structures under various loading conditions. CNTs can increase the strength of glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRPs) laminates, especially their out-of-plane and shear loading capacities [14]. In conventional methods, CNTs frequently below 2 wt % are dispersed in the polymer matrix using mechanical mixing techniques such as shear mixing, rolling, or ultrasonic dispersion.

EPD is a widely-used coating technique in industry with advantages such as low-energy usage and the ability to homogeneously coat complex shapes with well-adhered films of controlled thickness and density [15]. In EPD, electrically charged CNTs in a suspension will migrate toward the deposition surface of opposite charge provided by the energy of an external power supply. Subsequently, a smooth layer of CNTs is deposited at the interface of the fibers and the polymer matrix of the composite structure. A comprehensive review on EPD theories and mechanisms has been generated by Corni et al. [16].

Naeimi et al. [17] studied the governing parameters in the EPD for preparing luminescent composites containing CNTs on the surface of aluminum. They fabricated luminescent nanocomposites by the aid of EPD [18]. Raddaha et al. [19] investigated the influence of voltage, deposition time, and concentration of chitosan on EPD of chitosan composite coatings on stainless steel substrate. They could control the chitosan film thickness through varying the EPD parameters. Battisti et al. [20] developed EPD of CNTs on carbon fibers as a continuous process on a laboratory-scale. They showed the amount of deposited CNT essentially depends on the applied current, and that it reached a maximum at around 1 A, falling off at higher currents. Double-notch compression testing for measurement of the macroscopic interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) showed up to 40% improvement, but did not yield a correlation with the amount of deposited CNT.

An et al. [15] applied EPD for deposition of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) on the surface of carbon fiber fabrics for production of hierarchical carbon/epoxy composites. They have reported a good adhesion between the carbon nanotubes and sized carbon fiber, which resulted in significant increases in the shear strength and fracture toughness of the final composite due to the relatively high levels of MWCNTs that occupied the resin-rich interlaminar regions. Lee et al. [21] functionalized CNTs by two methods to make different electrical charges for both anodic and cathodic EPDs. Hybrid reinforcements with micron-sized carbon fibers, MWCNTs, and carbon nanofibers (CNFs) were prepared by anodic and cathodic EPD processes. From short beam testing, they reported that CNFs did not improve the ILSS of FRPs, while CNTs in best practice (cathodic EPD) enhanced the ILSS of FRPs by around 13%, compared to that of the composite without nanoparticles. Zhang et al. [22] compared EPD with a dip coating method for deposition of MWCNTs onto non-conductive glass fiber surfaces. Their results showed that the electrical resistance value of coated fibers reached the semi-conductive range. The interfacial shear strength (IFSS) of single EPD fiber composites exhibited more than 30% improvement, irrespective of whether the coating included the silane coupling agent or not. The electrical resistance measurement of single fiber/epoxy composites under tensile loading indicated this semiconductive glass fiber composite is capable of early warning before composite fracture, and the inherent damage can be monitored simultaneously.

Several researchers have studied the mechanism of interaction between sizing materials and polymer resins in the interphase, and have investigated the effect of sizing coupling agents on the mechanical behavior of GFRPs [23–26]. An et al. [27] have extended their previous work on producing CNTs/carbon fabrics hierarchical composite structures by EPD [15] to glass fiber. Considerable increases in the in-plane shear strength, laminate conductivity, and electrical-resistance sensitivity

to applied shear-strain of the glass/epoxy laminates were reported. Zhang et al. [25] proposed a facile method to prepare hybrid CNT/carbon fiber (CF) by combining EPD with a sizing process. The introduction of MWCNT in the CF/PPEK composites resulted in an enhancement of IFSS by 35.6% compared to re-sized CF reinforced PPEK composite. Li et al. [28] suggested EPD as a promising method for depositing a uniform layer of CNTs onto nonconductive woven glass fibers and manufacturing composites at a large loading of amine-functionalized MWCNTs which widens the application of GFRPs in different applications. Compared with untreated GFRP, the ILSS of the MWCNT/GFRP composites with EPD duration time of 10 min increased by 23.6% and 17.6% at room temperature and 77 K, respectively.

The non-conduciveness of FRPs has been one of the main weak points of these materials to be utilized widely in aerospace industries. In lightning strikes and several sensor and actuator applications in industry, the question always rises, whether a reduction in the conductivity of a component has an adverse effect on its proper functionality. GFRPs are poorer electrical and thermal conductors relative to aluminum alloys that have been used in aircraft structure as the principle material and they are susceptible to sever damage in the event of a lightning strike [29]. So, forming conductive layers in the GFRP structures assures the electrical and thermal functionality of these materials and can even improve their application in several noble opportunities. CNTs, CNFs, graphite particles, and other conductive nanomaterials are proposed to produce the mentioned layer through fuzzy smart FRP structures [30–32]. Above a critical concentration of CNT fillers, pathways are formed where the composites containing these fillers become electrically conductive. The percolation threshold of CNTs in nanocomposites depends on the dispersion, alignment, aspect ratio, degree of surface modification of CNTs, polymer types, and composite processing methods [30]. The percolation threshold of CNTs is reported at only 0.0021 wt % [33,34]. Bekyarova et al. [35] utilized EPD to selectively deposit CNTs on woven carbon fabrics. They have reported around 30% improvement in ILSS of fabricated hybrid composites with CNT-deposited fabrics and significant improvement in their out of plane electrical conductivity. Improvement in FRPs volume conductivity through EPD of CNTs on the surface of fibers has been reported in different studies successfully [27,35,36].

From reviewing the literature it is clear that the improvement of the mechanical/electrical properties of FRPs through deposition of CNTs is chiefly affected by the quantity of CNTs and the quality of their bonding at the interphase. It was also found that the specification of the final CNT layer on the fibers depends on EPD parameters including CNT concentration in suspension, CNT functional groups, surface morphology of fibers, process duration, electric field strength, and the dimensions of electrodes. Therefore, it is essential to establish the influence of each of these EPD process parameters on the quality and quantity of deposited CNTs.

In the present study, after describing the mechanism of glass fiber surface activation, glass fibers were prepared for bonding with CNTs. Various EPD experiments were conducted to investigate the effect of individual parameters such as EPD field strength, suspension concentration, process duration, electrode dimensions, and glass fibers quality on the deposited layer of CNTs. Surface morphology and chemical characterization of specimens were analyzed to verify the formation of required bonds on the interface. The results are presented according to deposited CNT mass percentage (relative to initial weight of GT specimen) and process current density diagrams. An optimized EPD process has been chosen for preparing CNT-deposited composite laminates. Specimens of GFRP with nanocomposite have been made using pristine and reinforced glass fiber textures (GTs). The electrical characterization of fabricated hierarchical nanocomposites was evaluated through measuring the volume conductivity of specimens both parallel and normal to the fibers. Finally, the short beam strength (SBS) test were conducted according to standard (ASTM D-2344) to evaluate the ILSS of each specimen.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Processing

In this study, high quality woven E-GTs (AF251100, Colan, Australia) fabric has been used. Chemical treatments were performed by 3-(tri-methoxysilyl) propyl amine from Merck & Co. Inc. (Kenilworth, NJ, USA). EPD suspension was prepared with carboxyl functionalized MWCNTs (8–15 nm) supplied by Neutrino Co. (Tehran, Iran) (hereafter referred as CNTs) which dispersed thoroughly in ethanol (99.9% pure) in various concentrations. All EPD experiments has been performed using a DC power supply capable of producing 20 volts to 800 volts of electric potential difference between the electrodes. The process time and the generated current during each experiment based on particles movements and deposition can be also recorded. After the EPD process, coated GT specimens were dried in a vacuum oven, and a high precision digital weight scale was used to measure their weight after they exposed to extra treatment procedures. For laminate manufacturing, the bisphenol-F type Shell 1001 epoxy resin (Shell, The Hague, Netherlands) and its compatible curing agent with E-GTs glass fibers has been used in a hand layup process.

2.2. Glass Fibers Treatment

Glass fibers, as inorganic materials, interact with organic CNTs both chemically and physically. One of the most effective methods for improving the strength of heat-curable resins is by the use of silane coupling agents, provided that the silane coupling agent contains organic functional groups which can be involved in the curing reaction of the resin. In this study, raw (as received) and de-sized GTs were treated with silane coupling agent to prepare specimens for EPD. 3-(tri-methoxysilyl) propyl amine diluted by 1 vol % in solution of acetic acid and deionized water in PH equal to 4 and mixed mechanically for around 45 min, then GTs has been immersed in the solution for one hour. Finally, excess water was removed and the specimens dried at 110 °C for 15 min. The mechanism of chemical bonding between the GT surface and CNTs through the silane coupling agent treatment process has been presented separately in the attached electronic supplementary information file.

2.3. Electrophoretic Deposition

In EPD, it is essential to use conductive materials as electrodes, but glass fibers are nonconductive and a trick should be applied here. In this study, following previous research [2,22,27], GTs were vertically attached to the electrodes with a nonconductive spacer to enable penetration of nanoparticles through the fibers. Table 1 presents the ranges of parameters which are playing the most effective role in EPD process. In this study, these variables were controlled in individual experiments, keeping all other parameters constant.

Table 1. Parameters to be evaluated in electrophoretic deposition (EPD) of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) on glass fiber textures.

Parameter	Unit	Ranges
Electric field strength	V/cm	20, 50, 100, 150, 200
CNT concentration	g/L	0.1, 0.25, 0.5
Process duration	minute	1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15
Electrode dimensions	m ²	9, 18, 28, 36, 48
Glass fibers surface quality	-	simple, de-sized, simple treated, de-sized treated

An adjustable DC power supply generated electricity potential on two copper plates as electrodes with 2.5 cm apart. Previously prepared GTs have been weighed accurately and attached to the positive electrode (anode), and hold vertically in an appropriate amount of suspension beaker during the process. The electrodes arrangement and the required instruments for EPD are schematically illustrated in Figure 1. For each case, the calculated weight of CNTs has been dispersed in absolute ethanol using

an ultrasonic mixer until a smooth suspension is achieved. Less than 2 wt % (regarding CNT weight) of poly-vinyl-pyrrolidone (PVP) was added as dispersant in the suspension. During each experiment, electric current was recorded in order to determine current density of the process. The current density was computed by measuring the actual deposited area on GTs after drying and weighing of specimens. After drying of specimens, the deposited mass is calculated by weighing the specimens and the effectiveness of the EPD process was calculated.




Figure 1. Glass fiber texture (GT) arrangement in suspension during the EPD process.

2.4. Surface Morphology

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) LEO 1445 VP (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) was used to study the CNT deposition quality on the surface of the specimens. In order to further ensure that the required bonds between CNTs and GTs are formed, a Spectrum One Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) has been used for different GTs spectroscopy. The FTIR were prepared for different specimens including raw (as received) and de-sized GTs at various stages described in Section 2.2, including amino treatment, CNT deposition, and dehydration.

2.5. Composite Manufacturing

All composite specimens were prepared through a compressed hand lay-up method. Fourteen layers of various types of GTs were saturated with epoxy matrix. The epoxy has been applied to specimens in two ways: CNT-modified epoxy (CNT/EP) and plain epoxy. CNT/EP GFRPs which are referred to as conventional nanocomposites, were fabricated according to the routine manufacturing method of CNT reinforced FRP [37,38]. Initially functionalized CNT equal to 0.5 wt % of epoxy has been dispersed in 100 mL of ethanol using an ultra-sonication probe for around one hour. When the suspension stabilized and CNTs dispersed thoroughly, the solution was added to previously weighed epoxy and put on the heater stirrer for final mixing. CNTs can easily disperse in diluted epoxy, but the ethanol should be removed by the aid of temperature and pressure. When the mixture reached to the initial weight and all ethanol was evaporated, the smooth black polymer remains were used in the composite lay-up process, after addition of polymer hardener and mixing properly for a few minutes. Two layers of Teflon sheets were placed on both sides of the specimens for releasing them easily from the mold after curing. All specimens were under controlled pressure in the mold while curing for 10 h at room temperature. Based on the epoxy data sheet, the final strength of the composites is achieved after post curing. Therefore, manufactured specimens were kept in an oven at 70 °C for 6–8 h. Based on calculations, the final composites achieved a fiber volume fraction of around $60 \pm 2\%$.

2.6. Interlaminar Shear Strength Evaluation

In order to evaluate the stiffening effect of CNT addition to the GFRPs structure, the ILSS of nanocomposites has been measured by short beam strength (SBS) testing according to ASTM D-2344 standard. The specimens of each type of 14 layers composites have been prepared with dimensions of 15 mm × 5 mm × 2.5 mm. According to the outcomes of investigations on EPD parameters optimization, all samples were exposed to 100 V/cm field strength EPD process in 0.25 g/L CNT concentration for three minutes.

In each case, five specimens were placed on 3 mm diameter round supports with 10 mm distance span. In the universal testing machine, a 6 mm diameter bar loaded the specimen at the middle of span at a cross-head displacement rate of 1 mm/min. From recorded loads, the maximum load for calculation of the ILSS was obtained. The ILSS (FSBS in MPa) is calculated using Equation (1) where P , b , and t are the average maximum recorded load for all specimens (N), the specimen's width (mm), and the specimen's thickness (mm), respectively.

$$F^{SBS} = 0.75 \times \frac{P}{b \times t} \quad (1)$$

2.7. Electrical Investigations

The volume conductivity of all fabricated composite specimens has been evaluated using the two-point probe technique. A Keithley 610C electrometer (Keithley Instruments, Cleveland, OH, USA) was used to accurately measure the conductivity of the specimens. The volume conductivity of each specimen was measured in both the through thickness and in-plane directions, in which the former test was performed normal to woven fibers and the latter parallel to fibers' direction. All composite specimens were prepared with the same dimensions, were polished, and fixed tightly in a gold coated fixture to assure the suitable conductivity between the specimen connections to the electrometer electrodes. Uncertainty of measurements was avoided by testing three specimens of each composite type and the average values were recorded.

3. Results & Discussions

3.1. Deposition Quality at Nanoscale

Figure 2 illustrates the SEM images of CNT-deposited GTs for three different conditions of the EPD process. The lowest suspension concentration (0.1 g/L) and applied field strength (20 V/cm) outcome is shown in Figure 2a, while the highest amounts for mentioned parameters resulted in a specimen that is depicted in Figure 2b. Meanwhile, the optimum conditions which will be described in the following sections resulted in deposition on the surfaces as shown in Figure 2c,d at two different magnifications.

When higher field strength and suspension concentration were used, agglomerated CNTs were deposited on the surface of glass fibers. This unpleasant experience is due to a high energy process which causes a stimulus for CNTs to find each other and gather for better stability before deposition. In such experiments, the agglomerated CNT movements produce visible current in the suspension beaker. Such high voltage electrophoretic deposition (HVEPD) is also concurrent with temperature rise in the suspension, especially when the highest concentration of CNTs was used. These conditions abandon the process from stable conditions as the process goes on. As shown in the SEM images, the quality of this type of deposition is not homogenous and will not end with interactions between individual CNTs and the glass fiber surface. This did not satisfy the main purpose of this study which was to build a CNT network for enhancing electrical conductivity and reinforce the nanocomposites interface.

On the other hand, using the lowest field strength and concentration does not fulfill the deposition objectives and will not create the required conditions for CNTs to bond with the glass fibers. Consequently, it is obvious that in order to achieve an acceptable CNT network and reinforcement at

the interface of nanocomposites, an optimized process should be established through a comprehensive investigation of the dominant parameters.




Figure 2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of CNT deposited glass fibers for (a) poor, (b) strong, and (c,d) optimum EPD parameters setting.

3.2. Chemical Characterization of CNT/GFRP Interface

The FTIR spectroscopy of simple GT and fully prepared CNT-GT has been illustrated in Figure 3a. First in functional groups, peaks around 3436 , 2919 , and 2851 cm^{-1} are same in both specimens. However, the first peak which is attributed to the presence of OH bonds and primary and secondary amines is more intense in the deposited specimen. The drastic increase in the intensity of this peak in the deposited specimen is due to the presence of new NH_2 and also OH bonds produced in the treatment process. Two other common peaks on both diagrams are due to CH_2 and CH_3 in aliphatic components which are part of the fiber sizing material. The noteworthy point in the diagrams is the new peak in the deposited specimen at 1624 cm^{-1} which belongs to NH bonds in primary amides. Therefore, it can be concluded that the amide bonds were fabricated and chemical attachments between glass fibers and CNTs were formed. Another unique peak in the deposited diagram is at around 1384 cm^{-1} which belongs to COO groups in carboxylic acids, or the functional groups in the CNTs. In addition, the peak at 1026 cm^{-1} , which appeared just in deposited specimen, is due to Si–O–Si which shows a sign of CNT grafted glass fibers. The intensity of bonds with peaks at 1480 cm^{-1} , 1260 cm^{-1} , 1249 cm^{-1} , 1110 cm^{-1} , and 500 cm^{-1} , which belong to N, Si, and C in the silanes, decreased. This shows effective transformation of silanes to amide bonds at the interface. Another exclusive peak in the deposited diagram is a peak at 800 cm^{-1} that expresses CH out of plane bonds which are formed during dehydration.

Figure 3b compares the FTIR spectrum of silane-treated GT with intact simple glass mats. The most remarked point in the diagram is the shifting of the strong peak from 889 cm^{-1} to 1031 cm^{-1} . This represents transferring the weak NH_2 bonds in the glass fibers to stronger Si–O–Si primary amines,

which formed on the fibers surface. No severe change can be addressed in the peaks attributed to the functional groups in the two specimens. It should be mentioned that the equality in the intensity of the OH groups in both specimens is evidence that no more OH or NH_2 formed with treatment of GTs by silane alone. The increase in intensity of both other peaks is a sign of more amine compounds in the surface of glass fibers due to treatment. The strong peak around 1495 cm^{-1} is because of NH_3 presence in amino acids due to sizing.

In Figure 3c, the effect of CNT deposition is investigated, in which treated GT and treated CNT-deposited GTs spectroscopies are compared. A new peak at 888.8 cm^{-1} appeared due to CH_2 out of plane wagging that is present in functional groups of CNTs. In Figure 3d, the effect of dehydration on treated, deposited GTs has been evaluated. The notable change is the intensity of NH stretch vibrations at 3436.7 cm^{-1} . These bonds' vibrations increased through novel amide formation on the surface of glass fibers between treated glass and carboxyl CNTs. Moreover, the intensity of CH_2 bonds also decreased, as such bonds contribute to and are consumed in amide formation. Shifting the peak at 1500 cm^{-1} to 1624 cm^{-1} and 1473 cm^{-1} is also a sign of changing the NH^{3+} in amino acids into NH in primary and secondary amides on the surface of fibers. Changing the peaks from 711 cm^{-1} to 800 cm^{-1} after dehydration is a sign of changing CO bonds in carboxylic acids to the CH out of plane bonds, exactly the required change in bonds for the performed dehydration. All observations from the FTIR test results and the interpretations from Lambert et al. [39], reveal that suitable treatment of glass fibers with previously mentioned amino silanes and proper chemical bonding between functional groups on CNTs and amine groups on the surface of glass fibers has been achieved.




Figure 3. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy of GTs at different stages of treatment and CNT deposition: (a) Simple GT and fully prepared CNT-GT, (b) Silane-treated GT with intact simple GT, (c) Treated GT and treated CNT-deposited GTs, and (d) The effect of dehydration on treated, deposited GTs.

3.3. Effect of Field Strength and Suspension Concentration

CNT migration and deposition on the glass fiber surface should be analyzed according to EPD mechanisms. In EPD, the dispersed particles' interactions in the liquid are based on the fundamental

theory of Derjaguin–Landau–Verwey–Overbeek (DLVO) [40]. However, in all EPD mechanism theories, the main role in the migration and deposition process is played by the electric field. Sarkar et al. [41] in their Electrical Double Layer (EDL) theory explained that when a particle and its shell are moving towards the electrode with opposite charge, the axisymmetric configuration of the double layer is distorted, and it is thinner ahead and thicker behind due to the applied electric field and fluid dynamics. So, this will decrease the thickness of the double layer from behind and make an appropriate situation for other particles to approach the electrode, and make Van der Waals bonds with the previous particle. This theory mainly explains the ions' deposition and does not seem to apply for the deposition of CNTs. Hamaker et al. [42] described flocculation by particle accumulation in CNT deposition and explained similarities between electrophoresis and gravitational forces in deposition. They observed that applied forces from the arriving particle are enforced with the electric field and will overcome the inter-particle repulsion and result in deposition. In addition to all electrochemical theories, experimental validations are required before practical application of a deposition method for fabrication of a structure from nano-reinforced GFRP material.

For evaluation of the exact influence of field strength and suspension concentration, first, a unique suspension for a series of experiments with various field strengths was prepared. Current density and field strength experiments were performed on 10 cm² simply treated GT specimens for three minutes. Figure 4 illustrates the current density diagrams for three different suspension concentrations in three field strengths. Current density shows the capability of charged particles to deposit on the GT during the process. Logically, at a fixed concentration of suspension, according to the theories of EPD mechanisms, this capability would be greater at higher imposed field strengths. It is noteworthy that current density in the majority of experiments was steady, except at the highest concentration and especially at the greatest field strength. From Figure 4, it can be seen that up to 100 V/cm field in the process, the current density will rise up gradually with the concentration increase, and at the highest fields a drastic increase in process current density was recorded for the highest concentration.




Figure 4. Current density diagrams for different field strength and particle concentration in the EPD process of CNTs on glass fibers.

The uniformity of current density during a three minute process may be related to some facts that were identified in EPD experiments regarding duration in the following section, but it will not stay uniform as the process going on further. According to EPD mechanism theories, the rate of deposition will decrease when the particles are attached to the surface and the upcoming particles want to over

coat the initial coating. The current density study showed that instability will occur at high field strengths and concentrations, and the optimum conditions for these parameters were obtained. These results should be cross-checked with the deposition mass results shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. Effect of field and concentration on deposition mass in EPD of CNTs on GTs.

It is also important to mention that although the deposition increases as the field increases at any specific concentration, the best increase in deposition (around 8.5 times) was achieved at lower concentration (0.1 g/L) when the field is increased 10 times (from 20 V/cm to 200 V/cm). Deposition mass can be increased by suspension enrichment in each field strength, but the best improvement (5.4 times) was observed in the lowest field (20 V/cm). According to the results from the current density and deposition mass diagrams, it can be concluded that both field strength and suspension concentration will increase the deposited mass of CNTs on the surface of glass fibers. However, the rate of deposition at the lowest parameters' values is higher. Visual inspections of each process also revealed steady and smooth deposition at lower field strength and suspension concentrations. On the contrary, at higher field strength, a sort of turbulent stream was observed in the suspension which can be addressed with scattered current density records in the diagram in Figure 4. This unpleasant event was more severe at higher concentrations, when there were numerous particles exposed to greater forces in the process.

To the best of authors' observations on the experiments, it is not possible to raise the field strength unconditionally for improving the deposition quantity and quality. This means that although the charges are transferring inside the suspension, they are not certainly deposited on the GT. They may contact the oppositely charged electrode and leave the surface without any useful deposition in the process. Practically, the field should be maintained high enough to reach to optimum deposition of CNTs on GTs for each suspension. It is noteworthy to mention that preparing a lower concentration of CNTs in suspension is vastly recommended due to problems in the CNTs dispersion. It is recommended to minimize the concentration and optimize the field strength as much as possible to achieve the best deposition. Images of CNT-deposited GTs at various field strengths and CNT concentrations are shown in Figure 6 for better understanding of deposition quality.

By visual inspection, the best deposition quality achieved was at 0.10 g/L and 0.25 g/L CNT concentration and at 50 V/cm and 150 V/cm field strengths, where the deposited layers of CNTs are in the highest possible packing, are smooth, have no cracks in the surface, and the particles cover the glass fibers uniformly. According to the results shown in Figures 4 and 5, it can be concluded that the best deposition can be obtained when the current density of the process falls between 0.5 mA/cm to 1 mA/cm. This range assures the stability of particle movements in suspension, deposition quality

and quantity. This conclusion is restricted to three-minute EPD and for the predefined dimensions of electrodes and samples.




Figure 6. Effect of different field strengths and CNT concentrations in EPD of CNTs on the surface of GTs.

3.4. Effect of Process Duration

In the next attempt for evaluating the governing parameters for EPD experiments, six batches of 0.25 g/L suspension concentrations were prepared, and 10 cm² GTs were exposed to 100 V/cm field strength for different periods of time. The results in Figure 7 show that in the first 2 min of the deposition process, the mass increased linearly with time (the slope is 1). Thereafter, the rate of deposition was reduced as the slope of the mass–time diagram decreased. The deposition rate at higher process time was stabilized at 0.2 as the process continued. In the same diagram, the cumulative deposition mass is also shown with bars. It is evident that in a 15 min EPD process, around half of the deposition mass occurs in the first three minutes. As a result, the first three minutes of the EPD process can be chosen as the effective process time for the selected conditions.




Figure 7. Effect of EPD time on deposition mass of CNTs on the glass fibers.

Current density diagrams in Figure 8 illustrate the role of initial deposition and their effect for upcoming particles' interactions with deposited ones, as described by EPD mechanism theories [16,41,43–45].

For processes with longer duration, inhomogeneity on the CNTs layer such as cracks in the CNTs packing could occur. One of the novel applications of forming a packed conductive layer of CNTs in the composite structure is enabling them to be used as failure sensors for structural health monitoring [46]. Cracks destroy the homogeneity of the conductive layer in the structure, and therefore are not acceptable when structural health monitoring is the objective. The crack formation may be a result of greater particle deposition, but not with the required strength of attachment to the electrodes. A remedy for this problem may be altering the field strength in the process when the process time exceeds the effective time. Increasing the field strength may help the process and result in sufficiently tight CNTs layers on the GT.




Figure 8. Effect of EPD time on deposition of CNTs on the glass fibers.

It should be noted that in the experiments in this study, there was no suspension recirculation system to maintain a constant CNT concentration during the process. Therefore, in the experiments as the process goes on, there were fewer particles migrating toward the deposition electrode. This means that the observed reduction in deposition mass is affected not only by governing EPD theories, but also by restrictions in the experiments.

3.5. Influence of Glass Fiber Surface Quality

According to the latest EPD mechanism theories, Fukada et al. [40] proposed a theory based on reduction of H^+ concentration in the vicinity of the deposition electrode due to particle discharge or any other reactions. It seems that deposition of CNTs on the surface of glass fibers also involves dependency on the local pH of the suspension near the electrode, as explained by this theory. According to treatment procedure, the concentration of H^+ increased on the surface of the glass fibers due to interactions with the coupling agent when treated fibers were utilized in the EPD experiments.

The mass deposition observations in a series of EPD experiments with 0.25 g/L CNT concentration, 100 V/cm field, and three minutes duration on simple, simple treated, de-sized, and de-sized/treated samples in the EPD cell are presented in Figure 9. The diagram reveals that glass surface quality and specification will play a key role in the deposition of CNTs by the EPD technique. More importantly, depositions are not just physical attachments, but they will also participate in strong chemical interactions with available ions on the surface of the glass fibers. This has been previously examined

in EPD mechanism theories, but now it can be assured that CNTs comply with such theories when they are deposited on GTs.




Figure 9. Effect of glass fiber surface treatment on deposition mass of CNTs in EPD.

The current density of the specimens illustrated in Figure 10 verifies the effect of complete treatment (de-sized and amino functionalized) on the particles which are deposited on the glass fiber by the EPD technique. Figure 10 shows the possibility of applying lower field strengths or concentrations when activated glass fibers are supposed to be deposited. Such surface modifications will not only help designers in conducting a smooth and stable process with pleasant results, but will also help characterize the chemical interactions on the surface which will reinforce the interface for further nanocomposite manufacturing.




Figure 10. Effect of glass fiber surface specifications on current density of CNT deposition during EPD.

3.6. Effect of Specimen's Dimensions

Figure 11 presents the variation of CNT deposition mass on GTs in EPD when the dimensions of the specimen were increased about five-fold. Although no remarkable variations were observed in small specimens, when the electrodes' and specimens' dimensions enlarged further, CNT deposition also increased, but not gradually. The rate of deposition growth was higher when wider specimens were used in the process. According to EPD mechanism theories [39,40], the higher surface areas in the process will cause greater absorptions on the surface. The experiments confirm the theory and also show a practical way for efficient EPD applications in industry. More investigations are required

to find a relation between glass fiber texture dimensions and CNT deposition rate in EPD. Current density diagrams show more stable processes when wider specimens are employed. All observations demonstrate the feasibility of this process for large scale production in which better results can also be achieved.




Figure 11. Effect of GT dimensions on CNTs deposition by EPD.

3.7. Short Beam Strength Experiments

Table 2 demonstrates all fabricated composite samples and their ILSSs. The ILSS of samples shows the effect of sizing on the shear performance of composites. Basically, sizing treatments performed during the manufacturing of GTs have an influence of more than 16% on the ILSS depending on the professional technique that was used in the factory. Dispersing 0.5 wt % CNTs in the matrix does not have a substantial influence on the ILSS of GFRPs when the modified epoxy is applied to raw or treated GT samples.

The results agree with the reports by Fan et al. with the same amount of CNTs in the epoxy [37]. Fully treated glass fiber surfaces with CNT deposited by EPD resulted in around 42% improvement in the ILSS of GFRPs. This is due to reinforcing of the composite interface with chemical grafts between the glass fiber surface and CNTs. This great achievement was fulfilled through uniform deposition of CNTs on the activated fibers in a controlled EPD process.

Table 2. Interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) of CNT-reinforced nanocomposites with different glass fiber treatment.

Glass Fiber Specifications	Epoxy Type	Sample Code	ILSS (MPa)	% Improvement
Raw	Plain	S	30.3	19.8
Raw	CNT modified	CS	29.9	18.2
De-sized	Plain	X	25.3	-
De-sized/Treated	Plain	XT	25.9	2
De-sized/Treated/Deposited	Plain	XTD	35.8	41.6
De-sized/Treated/Deposited	CNT modified	CXTD	32	26.5

Using CNT-modified epoxy instead of pristine epoxy with de-sized/treated/deposited glass fibers improved the ILSS of GFRP by about 27%. Therefore, deposition of CNTs on the glass fibers is more effective for improving in mechanical performance of GFRPs.

Figure 12 shows the load–deflection curves of specimens under SBS tests. The results show that any modification on the surface of glass fibers will cause a decrease in the magnitude of deflection

of the specimen at the maximum recorded load. De-sized (X) and de-sized/treated (XT) processes decrease the maximum load as well as the deflection at the maximum load. Applying CNT-modified epoxy in modified glass fibers will not improve the ILSS of a composite relative to the simple glass fibers. The reason may be related to the high amount of CNT in the composite structure which may disintegrate the uniformity of polymer chains and destroy the load interactions between the chains.




Figure 12. Load–deflection behavior of neat and various CNT-reinforced nanocomposites in short beam strength (SBS) tests.

Various improvements in the ILSS of GFRPs have been obtained due to CNT reinforcement in the entire matrix or in the interphase. Lee et al. [21] increased the ILSS of GFRPs through EPD of CNTs by up to 13%. Tzounis et al. [47], after activating CNTs and glass fibers with proper agents, dip-coated CNTs on the glass fibers in two cases. They have reported that the ILSS of GFRP with physical adsorption was improved by about 13%, but in the case of chemical grafting increased by around 48%. Li et al. [28] compared the EPD duration on the shear performance of CNT-reinforced GFRPs and reported that the ILSS improved by 10% and 24% through applying EPD for three and ten minutes for deposition of CNTs on the surface of glass fibers, respectively.

Our results agree well with the investigations of Rathore et al. [38], who dispersed different amounts of CNTs in the epoxy instead of attaching the CNTs on the interface. They showed that no drastic improvement was achieved in the ILSS with 0.5 wt % CNT-modified epoxy, while in the best conditions, the ILSS improved by 15% through reinforcing the epoxy with 0.3 wt % CNTs. In a rather similar manufacturing method, Fan et al. [37] reported ILSS improvement by around 3% with 0.5 wt % CNT-dispersed epoxy, although they fabricated the samples via a vacuum-assisted resin transfer molding (VARTM) method. They proposed a modern composite manufacturing technique which enables addition of up to 2 wt % CNTs in the matrix of nanocomposites and enhanced the ILSS of CNT-modified GFRPs by around 11%. They showed that their composite manufacturing method can mainly improve the CNT influence on the ILSS of GFRPs. Lili et al. [48] also dispersed different types of CNTs in the epoxy and, in the best conditions, reported just an 8% increase in the ILSS of GFRPs. Liu et al. succeeded to enhance the ILSS of GFRPs by 25% through simultaneous application of a coupling agent, a curing agent, and 0.5 wt % of CNTs in the epoxy matrix. In addition to CNT reinforcement, Chandrasekaran et al. [49] applied modifications in the vacuum-assisted resin transfer molding (VARTM) method for composite manufacturing to improve shear performance, and were successful in enhancing the effect of CNT on the ILSS from 6 wt % up to 21 wt %. Wichmann et al. [50]

also increased the ILSS of CNT-reinforced GFRPs by 16% through dispersing 0.3 wt % CNTs in the epoxy matrix.

The outstanding results of the present study are due to surface engineering on the glass fibers and also optimization of the EPD parameters to achieve the highest possible CNT deposition, thus improving the interface of the subsequent nanocomposites. There are several other possibilities to increase the interlaminar performance of GFRPs. Among them are applying more professional surface activation on the surface of fibers and CNTs, utilizing curing and coupling agents in the matrix structure, modifying the lay-up in the composite, and improving the latest production techniques of composite laminates.

3.8. Electrical Conductivity of Nanocomposites

The electrical volume conductivities of all simple, treated, and deposited composite samples mentioned in Table 2 are presented in Figure 13. Rationally, the electrical conductivity parallel to fibers of all GFRPs was found to be slightly higher than in the normal orientation. This is due to the presence of a non-conductive resin layer between the glass fabrics in the normal direction. The conductivity of the reference samples with raw GTs does not change when either de-sized GTs (X) are used in composite laminates or when CNTs are dispersed in the epoxy matrix (CS) while fabricating the composite laminates. Therefore, incorporating CNTs in the polymer matrix did not improve the conductivity of GFRPs noticeably, because no continuous conductive interparticle tunnels are formed in the composite structure. Surprisingly, comparing the conductivity of CNT-deposited GT laminates fabricated with plain (XTD) and CNT-modified epoxy (CXTD), it was found that dispersing CNTs in the entire matrix decreased the conductivity of the samples. This phenomenon may be a result of discontinuity in the epoxy materials imposed by dispersed CNTs and probable voids.




Figure 13. Electrical volume conductivity of various conventional and CNT-deposited GFRPs.

Chemical treatment of GTs resulted in trivial improvements in the laminates' conductivities which is due to charged functional groups created on their surface, as mentioned in Section 2.2. The best improvement in the electrical volume conductivity of composites was achieved when CNTs were deposited on treated GTs and plain epoxy (XTD) was used to fabricate hierarchical composites. Remarkably, EPD of CNTs on the GTs has improved the conductivity of GFRPs from 3.06×10^{-12} to 2.36×10^{-4} S.cm⁻¹ in the parallel direction and from 9.33×10^{-13} to 4.17×10^{-6} S.cm⁻¹ in the

normal direction. The results successfully agreed with An et al. [27], who measured conductivities for electrophoretically deposited CNT on GT laminates.

4. Conclusions

Electrophoretic deposition of CNTs on the surface of glass fibers has been found to be a versatile method to incorporate a conductive layer between nonconductive glass fiber laminates. In addition, it reinforces the fiber/matrix interface in GFRP at the nanoscale. Our study has shown that this technique improves the interlaminar shear strength of GFRPs through productive nanoscale grafts between CNTs and GTs, and also improves the effective involvement between fuzzy GTs and the epoxy matrix at the composite interface. The major enhancement has been achieved by appropriate utilization of EPD along with chemical grafting of the CNT/glass fibers surfaces and physical adsorption. The morphology of functionalized, deposited, and reinforced glass fiber surfaces confirmed the effectiveness of the designed chemical reactions between CNTs and glass fibers.

A uniform and effective CNT layer on the activated glass fibers can be formed through optimized EPD and has been achieved by comprehensive experimentation to understand the influence of the individual parameters on the EPD process. In this regard, the effect of the electric field strength, CNT suspension concentration, process duration, specimen dimensions, and glass fiber surface quality on the quality and quantity of CNT deposition have been evaluated. Among several alternatives for EPD parameters, the highest improvement (42%) in the ILSS of GFRPs was attributed to de-sized treated glass fibers which were exposed to 100 V/cm field in 0.25 g/L CNT concentration for three minutes. The best interlaminar shear performance of the nanocomposites was achieved by utilization of CNT-deposited GTs impregnated with plain epoxy.

The main goal of lightning strike protection in aircraft structures is to avoid damage or to reduce it to an acceptable level. Since CNTs exhibit high aspect ratios and high electrical conductivity, they are excellent fillers for fabrication of electrically conductive composites. CNT deposition on nonconductive glass fibers, along with robust grafting, produced a conductive layer in GFRPs, resulting in an improvement in the volume conductivity of the nanocomposites which consequently can increase their application feasibility in electronics and also as sensors in smart structures. In the current work, by applying optimized EPD in the fabrication of composites with treated GTs, a drastic improvement in the volume conductivity of the nanocomposite laminate on the order of 10^8 times has been achieved in comparison with simple GT specimens.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/10/10/1120/s1. The mechanism of chemical bonds formation between GT surface and CNTs through silane coupling agent treatment process mentioned in Section 2.2 are available online in the supplementary file.

Acknowledgments: This work has been supported financially and technically by Tarbiat Modares University under scientific supervision of Institute for Color Science and Technology (ICST) experts. Specimen's fabrication and experiments performed in Impact laboratory of Tarbiat Modares University. The EPD inspections, FTIR spectroscopy and SEM images prepared in the ICST. Authors gratefully acknowledge Farhood Najafi in ICST for his scientific contribution in this project. The authors also acknowledge significant assistance of Alireza Naeimi in ICST for his supervision on EPD experiments.

Author Contributions: All authors have been collaborating with each other to obtain a high-quality research output. Amin Haghbin conceived and designed the experiments. He carried out the sample preparations, material analyses, and characterization tests; Amir Masoud Arabi directed the CNT deposition experiments and analyzed the EPD outcomes and associated test results. Mohammad Hossein Pol supervised the composite manufacturing procedure and ILSS experiments. Homayoun Hadavinia supervised the electrical characterization experiments and played a prominent role in writing the paper. Gholamhossein Liaghat supervised the total project and support the project tendencies. Gholamhossein Liaghat and Homayoun Hadavinia have done data analysis and precisely reviewed the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Godara, A.; Gorbatiikh, L.; Kalinka, G.; Warriier, A.; Rochez, O.; Mezzo, L.; Luizi, F.; van Vuure, A.W.; Lomov, S.V.; Verpoest, I. Interfacial shear strength of a glass fiber/epoxy bonding in composites modified with carbon nanotubes. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *70*, 1346–1352. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Li, M.; Gu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Z. Interfacial improvement of carbon fiber/epoxy composites using a simple process for depositing commercially functionalized carbon nanotubes on the fibers. *Carbon* **2013**, *52*, 109–121. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Eskizeybek, V.; Avci, A.; Gülce, A. The Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness of chemically carbon nanotube grafted glass fabric/epoxy multi-scale composite structures. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* **2014**, *63*, 94–102. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Domun, N.; Hadavinia, H.; Zhang, T.; Sainsbury, T.; Liaghat, G.H.; Vahid, S. Improving the fracture toughness and the strength of epoxy using nanomaterials—A review of the current status. *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 10294–10329. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Chou, T.-W.; Gao, L.; Thostenson, E.T.; Zhang, Z.; Byun, J.-H. An assessment of the science and technology of carbon nanotube-based fibers and composites. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *70*, 1–19. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Davis, D.C.; Wilkerson, J.W.; Zhu, J.; Hadjiev, V.G. A strategy for improving mechanical properties of a fiber reinforced epoxy composite using functionalized carbon nanotubes. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *71*, 1089–1097. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Tehrani, M.; Boroujeni, A.Y.; Hartman, T.B.; Haugh, T.P.; Case, S.W.; Al-Haik, M.S. Mechanical characterization and impact damage assessment of a woven carbon fiber reinforced carbon nanotube–epoxy composite. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *75*, 42–48. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Grujicic, M.; Angstadt, D.C.; Sun, Y.P.; Koudela, K.L. Micro-mechanics based derivation of the materials constitutive relations for carbon-nanotube reinforced poly-vinyl-ester-epoxy based composites. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2007**, *42*, 4609–4623. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Haghbin, A.; Khalili, S.M.R. Effect of chiral angle on tensile behavior modeling of single-walled carbon Nanotubes. *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.* **2014**, *21*, 505–515. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Gates, T.; Odegard, G.; Frankland, S.; Clancy, T. Computational materials: Multi-scale modeling and simulation of nanostructured materials. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *65*, 2416–2434. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Khalili, S.M.R.; Haghbin, A. Investigation on design parameters of single-walled carbon nanotube reinforced nanocomposites under impact loads. *Compos. Struct.* **2013**, *98*, 253–260. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Khalili, S.M.R.; Haghbin, A. Multi-scale modeling of nonlinear tensile behavior in single-walled carbon nanotube reinforced nanocomposites. *Int. J. Model. Optim.* **2011**, *1*, 199–204. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Khalili, S.M.R.; Haghbin, A. The effect of nanotube specifications on multi-scale modeling of nanocomposites. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2012**, *110*, 1237–1244. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Grujicic, M.; Pandurangan, B.; Koudela, K.L.; Cheeseman, B.A. A computational analysis of the ballistic performance of light-weight hybrid composite armors. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2006**, *253*, 730–745. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. An, Q.; Rider, A.N.; Thostenson, E.T. Electrophoretic deposition of carbon nanotubes onto carbon-fiber fabric for production of carbon/epoxy composites with improved mechanical properties. *Carbon N. Y.* **2012**, *50*, 4130–4143. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Corni, I.; Ryan, M.P.; Boccaccini, A.R. Electrophoretic deposition: From traditional ceramics to nanotechnology. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2008**, *28*, 1353–1367. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Naeimi, A.; Arabi, A.M.; Gardeshzadeh, A.R.; Shafiee Afarani, M. Study of electrophoretic deposition of ZnS:Ag/CNT composites for luminescent applications. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2014**, *25*, 1575–1582. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Naeimi, A.; Arabi, A.M.; Shafiee Afarani, M.; Gardeshzadeh, A.R. In situ synthesis and electrophoretic deposition of CNT–ZnS:Mn luminescent nanocomposites. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2014**, *26*, 1403–1412. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Raddaha, N.S.; Cordero-arias, L.; Cabanas-polo, S.; Virtanen, S.; Roether, J.A.; Boccaccini, A.R. Electrophoretic deposition of Chitosan/h-BN and Chitosan/h-BN/TiO₂ composite coatings on stainless steel (316L) substrates. *Materials* **2014**, *7*, 1814–1829. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

20. Battisti, A.; Ojos, D.E.L.; Ghisleni, R.; Brunner, A.J. Single fiber push-out characterization of interfacial properties of hierarchical CNT-carbon fiber composites prepared by electrophoretic deposition. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *95*, 121–127. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Lee, S.-B.; Choi, O.; Lee, W.; Yi, J.-W.; Kim, B.-S.; Byun, J.-H.; Yoon, M.-K.; Fong, H.; Thostenson, E.T.; Chou, T.-W. Processing and characterization of multi-scale hybrid composites reinforced with nanoscale carbon reinforcements and carbon fibers. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* **2011**, *42*, 337–344. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Zhang, J.; Zhuang, R.; Liu, J.; Mäder, E.; Heinrich, G.; Gao, S. Functional interphases with multi-walled carbon nanotubes in glass fibre/epoxy composites. *Carbon* **2010**, *48*, 2273–2281. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Tanoglu, M.; Ziaee, S.; Mcknight, S.H. Investigation of properties of fiber / matrix interphase formed due to the glass fiber sizings. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2001**, *6*, 3041–3053. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Warriar, A.; Godara, A.; Rochez, O.; Mezzo, L.; Luizi, F.; Gorbatikh, L.; Lomov, S.V.; VanVuure, A.W.; Verpoest, I. The effect of adding carbon nanotubes to glass/epoxy composites in the fibre sizing and/or the matrix. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* **2010**, *41*, 532–538. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Zhang, S.; Liu, W.B.; Hao, L.F.; Jiao, W.C.; Yang, F.; Wang, R.G. Preparation of carbon nanotube/carbon fiber hybrid fiber by combining electrophoretic deposition and sizing process for enhancing interfacial strength in carbon fiber composites. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *88*, 120–125. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Eskizeybek, V.; Avci, A.; Gülce, A. Preparation and mechanical properties of carbon nanotube grafted glass fabric/epoxy multi-scale composites. *J. Adv. Compos. Mater.* **2017**, *26*, 169–180. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. An, Q.; Rider, A.N.; Thostenson, E.T. Hierarchical composite structures prepared by electrophoretic deposition of carbon nanotubes onto glass fibers. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2013**, *5*, 2022–2032. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Li, J.; Wu, Z.; Huang, C.; Li, L. Multiscale carbon nanotube-woven glass fiber reinforced cyanate ester/epoxy composites for enhanced mechanical and thermal properties. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *104*, 81–88. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Karch, C.; Metzner, C. Lightning Protection of Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastics—An Overview. In Proceedings of the International Conference on lightning protection (ICLP 2016), Estoril, Portugal, 25–30 September 2016.
30. Cardoso, P.; Silva, J.; Paleo, A.J.; Simoes, R.; Lanceros, S. The dominant role of tunneling in the conductivity of carbon nanofiber-epoxy composites. *Phys. Status Solidi A* **2010**, *7*, 407–410. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Veedu, V.P.; Cao, A.; Li, X.; Ma, K.; Soldano, C.; Kar, S.; Ajayan, P.M.; Ghasemi-Nejhad, M.N. Multifunctional composites using reinforced laminae with carbon-nanotube forests. *Nat. Mater.* **2006**, *5*, 457–462. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Yamamoto, N.; Guzman de Villoria, R.; Wardle, B. L. Electrical and thermal property enhancement of fiber-reinforced polymer laminate composites through controlled implementation of multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *72*, 2009–2015. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Sun, X.; Sun, H.; Li, H.; Peng, H. Developing Polymer Composite Materials: Carbon Nanotubes or Graphene? *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 1–24. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Sandler, J.K.W.; Kirk, J.E.; Kinloch, I.A.; Shaffer, M.S.P.; Windle, A.H. Ultra-low electrical percolation threshold in carbon-nanotube-epoxy composites. *Polymer* **2003**, *44*, 5893–5899. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Bekyarova, E.; Thostenson, E.T.; Yu, A.; Kim, H.; Gao, J.; Tang, J.; Hahn, H.T.; Chou, T.-W.; Itkis, M.E.; Haddon, R.C. Multiscale carbon nanotube-carbon fiber reinforcement for advanced epoxy composites. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*, 3970–3974. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Tamrakar, S.; An, Q.; Thostenson, E.T.; Rider, A.N.; Haque, B.Z.; Gillespie, J.W. Tailoring interfacial properties by controlling carbon nanotube coating thickness on glass fibers using electrophoretic deposition. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 1501–1510. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Fan, Z.; Santare, M. H.; Advani, S.G. Interlaminar shear strength of glass fiber reinforced epoxy composites enhanced with multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* **2008**, *39*, 540–554. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Rathore, D.K.; Prusty, R.K.; Kumar, D.S.; Ray, B.C. Mechanical performance of CNT-filled glass fiber/epoxy composite in in-situ elevated temperature environments emphasizing the role of CNT content. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* **2016**, *84*, 364–376. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Lambert, J.B. *Introduction to Organic Spectroscopy*; Macmillan: London, UK, 1987.

40. Fukada, Y.; Nagarajan, N.; Mekky, W.; Bao, Y.; Kim, H.-S.; Nicholson, P.S. Electrophoretic deposition—Mechanisms, myths and materials. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2004**, *39*, 787–801. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Sarkar, P.; Nicholson, P.S. Electrophoretic deposition (EPD): Mechanisms, Kinetics, and Application to Ceramics. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1996**, *79*, 1987–2002. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Hamaker, H.C. Formation of a deposit by electrophoresis. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1940**, *35*, 279–287. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Besra, L.; Liu, M. A review on fundamentals and applications of electrophoretic deposition (EPD). *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **2007**, *52*, 1–61. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Boccaccini, A.R.; Zhitomirsky, I. Application of electrophoretic and electrolytic deposition techniques in ceramics processing. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2002**, *6*, 251–260. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Boccaccini, A.R.; Cho, J.; Subhani, T.; Kaya, C.; Kaya, F. Electrophoretic deposition of carbon nanotube–ceramic nanocomposites. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2010**, *30*, 1115–1129. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Rausch, J.; Mäder, E. Health monitoring in continuous glass fibre reinforced thermoplastics: Tailored sensitivity and cyclic loading of CNT-based interphase sensors. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *70*, 2023–2030. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Tzounis, L.; Kirsten, M.; Simon, F.; Mäder, E.; Stamm, M. The interphase microstructure and electrical properties of glass fibers covalently and non-covalently bonded with multiwall carbon nanotubes. *Carbon* **2014**, *73*, 310–324. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Lili, S.; Yan, Z.; Yuexin, D.; Zuoguang, Z. Interlaminar Shear Property of Modified Glass Fiber-reinforced Polymer with Different MWCNTs. *Chin. J. Aeronaut.* **2008**, *21*, 361–369. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Chandrasekaran, V.C.S.; Advani, S.G.; Santare, M.H. Role of processing on interlaminar shear strength enhancement of epoxy/glass fiber/multi-walled carbon nanotube hybrid composites. *Carbon N. Y.* **2010**, *48*, 3692–3699. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Wichmann, M.H.G.; Sumfleth, J.; Gojny, F.H.; Quaresimin, M.; Fiedler, B.; Schulte, K. Glass-fibre-reinforced composites with enhanced mechanical and electrical properties—Benefits and limitations of a nanoparticle modified matrix. *Eng. Fract. Mech.* **2006**, *73*, 2346–2359. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).