Understanding the mobilization of metal pollution associated with historical mining in a carboniferous upland catchment

3 Magaly Valencia Avellan¹, Rebecca Slack², Anthony Stockdale³, Robert Mortimer⁴

 ¹water@leeds, School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JZ, UK.
 ² The Royal Horticultural Society, Harlow Carr, Crag Lane, Beckwithshaw, Harrogate, North Yorkshire, HG3 1QB.
 ³School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JZ, UK.
 ⁴School of Animal, Rural and Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University, Brackenhurst Campus, Southwell, Nottinghamshire, NG25 0QF, UK.
 E-mail contact: magyvalencia80@gmail.com

11

12 Abstract

Point and diffuse pollution from metal mining has led to severe environmental damage 13 14 worldwide. Mine drainage is a significant problem for riverine ecosystems, it is commonly acidic (AMD), but neutral mine drainage (NMD) can also occur. A representative environment 15 for studying metal pollution from NMD is provided by Carboniferous catchments characterised 16 by a circumneutral pH and high concentrations of carbonates, supporting the formation of 17 secondary metal-minerals as potential sinks of metals. The present study focuses on 18 understanding the mobility of metal pollution associated with historical mining in a 19 Carboniferous upland catchment. In the uplands of the UK, river water, sediments and spoil 20 wastes were collected over a period of fourteen months, samples were chemically analysed 21 22 to identify the main metal sources and their relationships with geological and hydrological factors. Correlation tests and principal component analysis suggest that the underlying 23 limestone bedrock controls pH and weathering reactions. Significant metal concentrations 24 25 from mining activities were measured for zinc (4.3 mg/l), and lead (0.3 mg/l), attributed to processes such as oxidation of mined ores (e.g. sphalerite, galena) or dissolution of 26 precipitated secondary metal-minerals (e.g. cerussite, smithsonite). Zinc and lead mobility 27 indicated strong dependence on biogeochemistry and hydrological conditions (e.g. pH and 28 29 flow) at specific locations in the catchment. Annual loads of zinc and lead (2.9 and 0.2 tonnes/year) demonstrate a significant source of both metals to downstream river reaches. 30 Metal pollution results in a large area of catchment having a depleted chemical status with 31 likely effects on the aquatic ecology. This study provides an improved understanding of 32 geological and hydrological processes controlling water chemistry, which is critical to 33 34 assessing metal sources and mobilization, especially in neutral mine drainage areas.

35 *Keywords:* neutral mine drainage, water quality, carboniferous catchment, metal mobility.

36 **1. Introduction**

Anthropogenic activities have become an important driver of the global biogeochemical cycling of metals. Present day and historic mining have caused the release of heavy metals into fluvial environments. Globally, pollution from metal mining has led to severe damage to riverine ecosystems in many catchments^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}. In the United Kingdom, old mines from the 18th and 19th centuries represent the major diffuse source of metals having an adverse effect in aquatic ecosystems ^{7, 8, 9, 10}. Rivers draining these mining areas are heavily affected by metal pollution as mineral veins present elevated concentrations of lead and zinc ores with variable
concentrations of cadmium, barium and fluorine¹¹.

45

Water chemistry in surface waters are particularly vulnerable to biogeochemical and 46 47 hydrological processes which are controlled by seasonality^{12, 13, 14}. In this context, knowledge about metal mobility in natural water systems is extremely complex¹⁵. Biogeochemical 48 49 partitioning of metals results in a diversity of forms. Within the dissolved phase metals are present as hydrated free ions, and associated with organic and inorganic complexes. Within 50 51 the suspended particulate phase, metals may be complexed with inorganic or organic particles and biota or be present as discrete metal minerals. Adsorption and desorption of metals 52 depend on a number of factors including pH, redox conditions, mineral ore sources and the 53 composition of suspended particulate matter. For this reason, understanding the mechanisms 54 related to metal distribution is a key issue as they determine the mobility and toxicity of the 55 metals within aquatic ecosystems, which can support efforts to manage or mitigate pollution¹⁶. 56 57

Several countries have developed guidelines to obtain good ecological and chemical status of 58 and ground waters¹⁷. In the European Union (EU), the implementation of the Water Framework 59 Directive (WFD) obliges member states to assess surface waters through improved catchment 60 61 scale management (River Basin Management Plans, RBMPs). However, surface water bodies 62 such as headwater streams have been excluded from early RBMPs due to their small size. Studies from Freeman et al.¹⁸, Dodds and Oakes¹⁹, and Meyer et al.²⁰ have shown the 63 64 importance of these waterbodies as biodiversity richness, migration corridors, origin of stream 65 networks and diffuse source of chemicals. Consequently, sound management is crucial for maintaining ecosystem health in higher order streams that are targeted by the WFD aims. 66

67

68 A serious environmental hazard caused by mining is the generation of acid mine drainage (AMD). Mine drainages, spoil wastes run-off and spoil erosion constantly discharge large 69 70 amounts of dissolved and particulate metals through AMD, representing a persistent and acute pollution source and reducing water and sediment quality^{21, 13, 14}. Studies of mine wastes 71 72 chemistry have identified two types of mine effluents, acid mine drainage (low pH and high 73 concentration of dissolved sulphate) and circumneutral mine drainage (major ion concentrations reflect the mineralogy of the catchment bedrock)^{22, 23}. Thus, mine drainage is 74 dependent on the geologic setting, local water chemistry, kinetic rates, and permeability of ore 75 and gangue minerals^{24, 25, 26, 27, 28}. Mine drainage flowing through Carboniferous limestone 76 77 host rock is consequently metal-rich but with a circumneutral pH^{13, 14}. Research from Lindsay et al.²⁹ and Desbarats and Dirom³⁰ indicates that circumneutral mine drainage might support 78 79 natural attenuation of some metal-sulphides (e.g. ZnS, PbS) through the precipitation of

secondary minerals. Consequently, catchments under these conditions may be more
vulnerable to environmental harm due to changes in geochemical or hydrological conditions,
producing high pulses of dissolved metal concentrations or long leaching processes in
response to decades of chemical weathering^{31, 32}.

84

Another long-standing metal pollution problem is physical and chemical mobilisation of metals 85 through the passive dispersal and active transformation of abandoned tailings, spoil heaps, 86 bed sediments and contaminated floodplains. Studies on metal transportation from mine 87 wastes have reported the mobility of metals over long distances as free ions and complexed 88 forms within rivers. In addition, solid phases can be stored within floodplain deposits for 89 90 decades to millennia^{33, 34, 8, 35, 36, 37}. In an area of the UK with Carboniferous bedrock, the north Pennines, historical metal mining has directly affected surface and subsurface floodplain soils 91 with heavy metal concentrations above background levels³⁸. Specifically, the Yorkshire Ouse 92 93 basin which drains the Pennine Orefield is estimated to contain 620 million tonnes of lead and 94 640 million tonnes of zinc stored within its floodplains³. Given the large differences in chemistry between acid and circumneutral mine drainage, particularly in the concentrations of protons 95 96 and of Fe and AI whose solubility is controlled by pH, there will be significant differences in 97 the degree of availability of metal forms that can interact with aquatic organisms. This 98 necessitates dedicated studies of such Carboniferous catchments.

99

100 This study aims to understand metal occurrence and mobilization in a Carboniferous limestone upland catchment impacted by former lead and zinc mining. Comprehensive water monitoring 101 and analysis of sediment and spoil samples are used to describe the effects of historical 102 mining on a whole small river catchment in the northern Pennines region of North Yorkshire, 103 104 UK. We sought to provide a better understanding of how geochemical processes control the 105 concentration and mobility of dissolved metals in neutral metal-rich drainage. The results have wider implications for management strategies of potential environmental harm in such 106 107 catchments.

108

2. Site characteristics of Hebden Beck

110 <u>2.1. Lithology and geology</u>

Hebden Beck is a sub-catchment of the River Wharfe located in the northern Pennines region
of the United Kingdom and within the Yorkshire Dales National Park. Hebden Beck rises from
Grassington Moor and is joined by multiple tributaries including Coalgrove Beck, Bolton Gill
and Loss Gill before the confluence with the Wharfe. It is approximately 12 km long, with the

115 upper reach (6.4 km) of the main channel being the most heavily impacted by historic mine working. It drains an area of 26 km² and subsequently flows into the River Wharfe which drains 116 the Wharfedale valley. The Wharfe flows into the River Ouse and ultimately reaches the sea 117 at the Humber Estuary which is one of the largest in the UK (24,750 km²). The geology of 118 Hebden Beck is dominated by Millstone Grit sandstone (approx. 20 km²) but crucially also 119 contains bands of Carboniferous limestone (approx. 6 km²) (Figure 1). The catchment cover 120 comprises 46% peatlands, predominantly in the upstream areas, 35% Carboniferous 121 limestone, mainly in the south and 19% glacial sediment, predominantly in the west³⁹. 122

123 <u>2.2. Ore processing and mine wastes</u>

Hebden's orefield comprised coal and lead-zinc mineral deposits, where galena (PbS) is the 124 most common mineral, but with associated sphalerite (ZnS), chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂), barite 125 $(BaSO_4)$, fluorite (CaF_2) calcite $(CaCO_3)$ and witherite $(BaCO_3)^{39}$. These minerals occur mostly 126 127 in vertical veins along fault planes. Early mine workings were open cuts and shafts to extract 128 deeper layers of lead ore. In later years until around 1850, the hushing method was used to 129 scour away the soil using the erosive power of water to expose mineral veins. This method 130 required the construction of dams to control streams, and manmade channels to divert water. Horizontal drainage levels (adits) were driven from the valley bottoms to enable deeper 131 working and easier removal of minerals. Lead ores were crushed, classified and bagged at 132 the dressing floors located at the surface close to the mines, then transported to the smelting 133 134 mills to be processed. Water power was also applied at the dressing floors and smelt mills, 135 therefore spoil tips or mine wastes are located next to rivers. Approximately, 124 mining 136 features exist in the Hebden Beck catchment area, however main features are 5 lead-zinc mines, 15 adits, 7 spoil tips and 4 smelters. From 1700-1900, 1686.5 tonnes of lead were 137 extracted from these mines⁴⁰. 138

139 **3. Methods**

140 <u>3.1. Sampling strategy</u>

Sites were selected from the most impacted area, covering an area of 5 km² including point and diffuse sources flowing downstream from mine sites. A total of sixteen sites were chosen for water sampling including the main channel, minor and major tributaries, including a source pool feeding a tributary (Table 1). Eight of these sites (about 3.3 km) were part of a water quality monitoring programme performed by the Environment Agency³⁹. The other eleven sites were selected based on their proximity to mine wastes (e.g. tailings, spoils). Monthly sampling campaigns were carried out from November 2013 to December 2014.

148 3.1.1. Water sampling

Samples were taken from downstream to upstream (H1 to H15) in order to minimise 149 contamination of other sites by disturbance⁴¹. At each site, a sample was taken with a pre acid 150 washed (10% HNO₃, Nitric acid-Sigma Aldrich 69% and Milli-Q water) 750 ml polypropylene 151 bottle attached to a plastic pole. Four subsamples were then extracted from this bottle. For 152 total metals, unfiltered samples were placed individually into a pre-weighted 50 ml tube 153 (polypropylene) containing 1 ml of preservation solution (10% HNO₃) to reach 1% v/v of the 154 final volume and pH $\leq 2^{41, 42}$. For dissolved metals analysis, samples filtered through syringe 155 filters (0.45 µm, polyethersulfone-hydrophillic, Sartorius) were placed individually into a pre-156 157 weighted 50 ml tube (polypropylene). Then preservation solution (10% HNO₃) was added as used for total metals. For quantifying major anions, the sample was filtered (Sartorius syringe 158 filters 0.45 µm, polyethersulfone-hidrophillic) and placed into polypropylene tubes. 159 For inorganic and organic carbon analysis the samples were passed through syringe filters (0.45 160 161 µm, nylon-polypropylene, Avonchem) and placed into polypropylene tubes. All samples were 162 kept in a cool box during sampling and transported the same day to the laboratory for storage. Samples for major anions analysis were stored frozen at -20°C while samples for all other 163 analyses were refrigerated at 4°C. 164

A carbon Analyser (Analytik Jena Multi N/C2100) was used for measuring carbon compounds 165 (dissolved inorganic carbon-DIC and dissolved organic carbon-DOC), Ion Chromatographer 166 for major cations (Ca, Mg) and anions (Cl⁻, NO₃²⁻, SO₄²⁻) (Dionex ICS-3000), and SEAL 167 Analytical AA3 was used for orthophosphate quantification. For metal analysis, nine elements 168 169 were measured (Pb, Ba, Cd, Sr, Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, Al), using inductively coupled plasma mass 170 spectrometry (ICP-MS; Thermo Fisher iCAPQc) with specific limits of detection (Pb: 0.0001 μg/l, Ba: 0.06 μg/l, Cd: 0.0001 μg/l, Sr: 0.08 μg/l, Zn: 67 μg/l, Cu: 0.05 μg/l, Fe: 0.11 μg/l, Mn: 171 $0.04 \mu q/l$, Al: 0.16 $\mu q/l$). Field blanks (n=3) and replicates (n=3) were collected at each 172 173 sampling campaign.

174 3.1.2. Sediment and spoil sampling

175 Most sites were dominated by large rocks and coarse sediment. Sediment samples were 176 collected during a single campaign at specific sites (H14, H12, H10 and H1) to assess the 177 evolution of mineral composition. Plastic scoops were used for their collection by wading along 178 a cross-section of the stream, sediments were sieved through a <250 um stainless steel mesh 179 and transferred into 50ml polypropylene tubes. Spoil sampling was carried out at single spoil 180 heaps at Grassington Moor (GM) and the Beaver spoil area (B1), in addition a further sample was collected at the Beaver spoil area that represented material that had been subjected tomovement and size sorting by the actions of rainfall events (B2).

In the laboratory, sediment samples were centrifuged at 3200 rpm for 10 mins to allow removal 183 of the supernatant. The supernatant was decanted and the resulting slurries were placed in a 184 185 petri dish to air dry. After drying, sediments were placed into zip log bags for disaggregation. Spoil samples (B1, B2, and GM) were dried to calculate percentage water composition. The 186 187 surface area was also measured to estimate the mineral area available for dissolution 188 reactions. This was performed using the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller method (BET; 189 Micromeritics Gemini VII 2390a) on 2 g of sample dried overnight under N₂ gas at 75 °C. In addition, both sediment and spoil samples were analysed by X-ray Diffraction (XRD, Bruker 190 D8-Discover instrument) for determining mineralogy of the major constituents and X-ray 191 Fluorescence (XRF, Innovex X-5000) for chemical composition. The minimum mineral 192 193 fractions required for detection on this instrument is 2-3%. The standard reference material STSD-3 (stream sediment) was used as XRF quality control. 194

195 3.1.3. In situ measurements

Pre-calibrated multiple sensor probes (Model HQ30d flexi 1032) were used in the field to measure pH, dissolved oxygen (DO: mg/l) and conductivity (EC: μ S/cm). Flow rate (m³/s) was calculated from in situ flow velocity measurements (m/s) (flow meter: Global 800-876) together with data from river depth (m) and width (m). Flow data from the UK Environment Agency gauging station (H2) was also obtained from their continuous monitoring records. This flow data together with metal concentrations were used for the calculation of annual metal loading and comparison with Environmental quality standards (EQS) for freshwater in the UK³⁹.

203 <u>3.2. Data analysis</u>

204 3.2.1. Geochemical modelling

The PHREEQC code (version 3.)^{43, 44} was used for modelling main geochemical reactions 205 occurring in aqueous solutions. This software allows the prediction of mineral precipitation that 206 potentially controls the composition of the aqueous phase. Equilibrium reactions and 207 thermodynamic constants were retrieved from the built-in WATEQ4F database^{45, 46, 47}. Mineral 208 saturation indices and metal free ion activities for hydroxide, carbonate and sulphate minerals 209 were calculated for the pH range 3.5-9 and based on mean values across our field sites and 210 all sampling dates: temperature 10 °C, SO₄²⁻ (\bar{x} : 13592 µg/l) and Cl⁻ (\bar{x} : 7730 µg/l). With 211 calculations for carbonate minerals the pCO₂ was fixed at 3 times the atmospheric 212 concentration (0.0012 atm), consistent with typical supersaturation of this gas in streams. 213

These model predictions are compared with metal free ion activities calculated for each sampling site and date to investigate the controlling mineral phases.

216 3.2.2. Principal Component Analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to identify the main factors influencing metals distribution. Linear correlation analysis was applied to evaluate the relationships among the studied metals, other compounds and in situ parameters. Results of Pearson and Spearman tests showed no significant difference between them. As such, we report the Pearson correlation, as this test is more sensitive for the identification of outliers. Both test were performed using Rstudio (version 3.1.0).

223 **4. Results**

224 <u>4.1. Characterising metal pollution in the catchment</u>

In water samples, metal concentrations occurred in the following order for total: 225 Zn>Fe>Sr>Ba>Pb>Al>Mn>Cd>Cu and dissolved forms: Zn>Fe>Sr>Ba>Al>Pb>Mn>Cd>Cu 226 227 (Table 1-SI). Two metals, Zn and Pb were chosen as the focus for this study based on their significant concentrations derived from mining activities (Table 2). Annual pH averages 228 229 reflected the considerable contribution of the underlying limestone bedrock showing a dominant circumneutral pH (mean= 6.8) in 80% of the studied sites. Some sites (e.g. H4 and 230 H13) represent moorland runoff with little interaction with underlying rock, thus pH is lower 231 232 (<6.3) due to high DOC and no carbonate buffering.

233 Across the catchment, Zn_D was the most abundant pollutant with concentrations ranging from 95.7 to 3220.5 µg/l in perennial tributaries. Ephemeral tributaries also showed high 234 235 concentrations up to 4252.3 μ g/l while sites along the main channel had Zn_p concentrations from 158.9 to 510.2 $\mu g/l.$ The second toxic pollutant of concern was $\mathsf{Pb}_{D},$ where main 236 contributions were observed in ephemeral tributaries with ranges from 4.4 to 284.2 µg/l, and 237 perennial tributaries ranged from 1.9 to 157.6 µg/l. The main river channel showed 238 concentrations of Pb from 16.6 to 80.7 µg/l. Major cations were dominated by calcium, with 239 240 concentrations from 2.6-54.9 mg/l, and major anions comprised sulphate (3-24.9 mg/l), nitrate 241 (1-17.8 mg/l), phosphate (0.002 to 0.1 mg/l) and chloride (7.2-10.5 mg/l). Dissolved inorganic 242 carbon concentrations ranged from 0.9 to 42.7 mg/l and dissolved organic carbon from 1.2 to 243 16.8 mg/l (Table 2-SI). Field blanks measurements shown concentrations below limit for all 244 the elements and replicates a standard deviation of $\leq \pm 0.5 \ \mu g/l$ (Cd and Cu), $\leq \pm 3.6 \ \mu g/l$ (Mn 245 and Sr), $\leq \pm 12 \mu g/l$ (Pb, Al, Ba).

246 From mineralogical analysis of spoil and sediment samples, the most abundant minerals were 247 guartz (SiO₂) and fluorite (CaF₂). Spoil samples (B1, B2 and GM) included barite (BaSO₄) as an additional dominant mineral, at B1 and GM other secondary minerals like muscovite 248 $(KAl_2(Si_3AIO_{10})(OH)_2)$ and kaolinite $(Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4)$ were present, furthermore at B1 the 249 mineral cerussite (PbCO₃) was detected. In sediments (H14, H12, H10, H1), the mineralogy 250 of H14 was similar to B2 (principally the presence of quartz, fluorite and barite) whereas H12 251 and H10 contained calcite (CaCO₃), and H1 (140 m from the confluence with the River Wharfe) 252 presented mainly quartz and calcite. Chemical composition analysis detected significant 253 fractions of Pb in spoils B1 (35.1 g/kg) and Zn in GM (34.2 g/kg), while for sediments, major 254 255 Pb concentrations were present in H12 (15.3 g/kg) and Zn in H14 (11.9 g/kg). Total Pb plus Zn in the sediment samples showed a decrease the further downstream the sample origin 256 (Figure 2). Analysis of water composition and surface area in spoil samples showed higher 257 258 percentages of water composition in GM (34.29%) followed by B2 (18.77%) and B1 (18.6%), while for surface area values were: GM (20.6 m²/g), B1 (5.82 m²/g), B2 (1.34 m²/g). 259

260 <u>4.2. Mineral phases controlling dissolved metal concentrations</u>

261 The metals Zn and Pb are the most significant toxic pollutants derived from mining activities. However, we modelled the geochemical behaviour of the additional metals AI, Fe, Ba and Sr 262 as they are present in significant concentrations in the catchment. Geochemical modelling 263 264 predicted that kaolinite (Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄) may account for the Al source with the solubility being 265 controlled mainly by amorphous AI oxide phases (AI(OH)₃). Similar to aluminium, Fe 266 concentrations are more likely derived from complex minerals such as jarosite 267 $(KFe^{3+}_{3}(OH)_{6}(SO_{4})_{2})$, which is a secondary source of Fe commonly associated with mining areas. Iron solubility is controlled by amorphous phases such as ferrihydrite (Fe(OH)₃). The 268 supersaturation of the AI and Fe phases may suggest the presence of some colloidal metal 269 measured as part of the dissolved fraction. Barium concentrations are controlled by barite 270 271 (BaSO₄), while Sr activity was too low to infer a controlling phase, perhaps being controlled by a mineral where it is present as a secondary metal. Lead and Zn concentrations are largely 272 regulated by secondary minerals as metal-carbonates, cerussite (PbCO₃) and smithsonite 273 274 $(ZnCO_3)$, respectively (Figure 3).

275 <u>4.3. Key chemical relationships</u>

Table 3 summarises correlation analysis of metals derived from mining activities (Pb, Zn) and bedrock weathering (Ca) with major water chemistry parameters (pH, SO_4^{2-} , DIC and DOC). Zinc presented good correlation with SO_4^{2-} (r=0.6), Ca showed very strong association with DIC (r=0.9), while Pb presented poor correlations (r≤0.3). Principal component analysis (PCA) 280 shows key geochemical processes in influencing the water chemistry of Hebden Beck (Figure 281 4). The first component (PC1) with a 32% of variance indicates strong correlations between dissolved and particulate forms of calcium, magnesium, with DIC, EC and pH, reflecting 282 weathering of the bedrock, while moderate correlation between iron with DOC refers to the 283 284 transport of metals through colloidal matter in aquatic systems. Furthermore, the second component (PC2) with 21% of variance shows good correlations between strontium, zinc and 285 cadmium with SO_4^{2-} , reflecting the oxidation of sulphide minerals. Other in situ parameters like 286 temperature, DO, flow and anions (NO₃²⁻ and Cl⁻) were not significantly associated to metals 287 when considering all sites. 288

Complementary linear regression analysis was carried out at sites with highest concentrations of Zn_D and Pb_D (H15, H14, H12 and H7) for evaluating their relationships with DIC and $SO_4^{2^-}$. Since H15 is a reservoir with lower variance in chemical concentrations, it was not considered for this and further analysis. Strong correlations between Zn_D with DIC (R²= 0.95) and $SO_4^{2^-}$ (R²= 0.93) were identified at H7. Moderate correlations between Zn_D with $SO_4^{2^-}$ (R²= 0.4) and Pb with DIC (R²= 0.5) were present at site H12 (Figure 5 and 6). No significant correlation was evident at site H14, therefore results are not shown.

296 <u>4.4. Seasonality and trends</u>

Across the sampling campaigns, water temperature ranged from 3.6 to 15.6 °C, with higher values in summer (July) and lowest in winter (February). Consistent with temperature, low monthly average of EC values were recorded in winter (78 μ S/cm) and high average values during summer (209 μ S/cm). Dissolved oxygen levels showed similar values, ranging from 10.2-14.0 mg/l, with lower concentrations recorded in winter (December) and highest levels in autumn (September). For flow, highest annual rates were recorded in November (2666.1 l/s) and lowest rates in July (54.0 l/s) (Table 3-SI).

Fluctuations were also dependent on the type of tributaries (e.g. perennial and ephemeral) 304 305 (Table 4-SI). Flow values in perennial tributaries ranged from 3.0 to 192.7 l/s and in ephemeral from 9.0 to 57.0 l/s when flow was measurable, as some tributaries were dry for 5 or 6 months. 306 307 Across all tributaries, two significant sites were identified as metal contributors of Zn_D (H12: 4252.3 μ g/l and H7: 3220.5 μ g/l) and Pb_D (H12: 284.2 μ g/l) (Table 1). Across all sites, mean 308 values of pH were highest in September (7.1) and lowest in November 2013 (5.6) (Table 3-309 310 SI). In individual sites, pH means ranged from 3.9 to 7.5, showing large monthly variations $(SD \ge \pm 0.7)$ in sites H15, H11, H9, and H1P. Despite these variances a circumneutral pH (6.2-311 312 7.4) was predominant in the catchment.

313 Trends of flow and pH were considered to analyse their influence on metals concentration. 314 Regression analysis was performed using all sampling sites. However, the results 315 demonstrate the site specific nature of trends, with no catchment wide trends revealed (Figure 2-SI). We investigate further the trends for H2, as the chemistry is representative of a 316 317 significant distance of the downstream reach and it has limited dilution before entering the River Wharfe. Strong positive relationships were present for Pb_{p} -flow (R²= 0.51) and Zn_{p} -pH 318 (R²= 0.58) and no clear relationships for Pb_D-pH (R²= 0.06) and Zn_D-flow (R²= 0.02) (Figure 319 320 7).

321 <u>4.5. Annual metal load</u>

The contribution of Pb_{D} and Zn_{D} in the catchment was estimated through the annual metal load (tonne/year). Only site H2 was considered for this calculation due to the availability of flow data and the lack of significant additional mine runoff downstream. Table 4 shows the average annual load of Pb is 0.2 tonne/year and for Zn 2.9 tonne/year. These values were compared with well-established EQS metals showed maximum exceedances of 12-fold for Pb and Zn.

328

329 **5. Discussion**

In the catchment, biogeochemical actions such as weathering and erosion are significant 330 331 processes in the generation of dissolved metals, which are likely derived from bedrock weathering and oxidation or dissolution of mineral ores. Carboniferous limestone bedrock, 332 mainly composed of calcite ($CaCO_3$) is weathered, releasing significant amounts of calcium 333 and carbonate, and creating a neutralizing capacity and circumneutral pH in environments 334 335 surrounded by sulphide ore wastes. The influence of the geology in the catchment was shown 336 by strong relationships between Ca_{D} with DIC (r=0.9, p<0.001) and pH (r= 0.6, p<0.001). For metals derived from mining activities, a potential primary source of Zn_D is the oxidation of 337 338 sphalerite (ZnS) as good correlation is observed between Zn_D and SO_4^{2-} (r= 0.6, p<0.001) (Table 3), however, additional Zn_D concentrations may be attributed to the presence of 339 secondary zinc minerals (e.g. smithsonite)⁴⁸. Contributions of Pb_D are associated with 340 dissolution of metal-carbonate compounds (cerussite) rather than oxidation of metal-sulphide 341 as no correlation between Pb_{D} with SO_4^{2-} was identified (r < -0.1, p<0.001) (Table 3). The 342 presence of secondary minerals such as metal-carbonate might affect the solubility and 343 mobility of metals as they present slower dissolution kinetics than primary minerals^{49, 50, 51, 52}. 344 345

346 Geochemical modelling has revealed the importance of secondary minerals such as 347 carbonates, sulphates and hydroxides in the control of dissolved metals (Figure 3). For instance, Pb and Zn concentrations are greatly influenced by the dissolution of metal-348 carbonate forms (e.g. cerrusite and smithsonite) ^{53, 49}. Carbonates released from the 349 dissolution of metal-carbonate also contribute to the river alkalinity, enhancing the buffering 350 capacity of the system. In addition, the source of AI can be associated to the presence of 351 kaolinite which was identified by the XRD analysis in most of the spoil/sediment. The presence 352 of Fe concentrations can be associated to jarosite although this mineral was not detected by 353 XRD analysis, it is a common secondary Fe mineral in mining areas ^{27, 28}. Aluminium and Fe 354 solubility are controlled by amorphous phases, specifically $AI(OH)_3$ and $Fe(OH)_3$ (known as 355 ferrihydrite). The presence of hydroxide compounds in the catchment could affect metal 356 mobility, as they might sorb or co-precipitate with metals like Pb and Zn, acting as natural 357 scavengers of these toxic elements⁵⁴. Nordstrom⁵⁵ indicated that hydrology is another factor 358 359 influencing metals mobility as concentrations of constituents in natural waters depend to a 360 large extent on the rate of dissolution relative to flow rate. This condition was observed in the upper site of the catchment (a pond) as concentrations of metals were closer to saturation due 361 362 to longer residence time of the water than under stream flow conditions (Figure 1-SI). 363 Clustered sites with high metal free ion activities were identified and associated to sites with 364 low pH values (<5) possible caused by high DOC concentrations (>8 mg/l), which are not considered in the modelling. The presence of metal-organic complexes will reduce the 365 activities of metal free ions. 366

367

Analysis from sediments and spoils were consistent with water chemistry results showing Zn 368 (11.9 and 34.2 g/kg) and Pb (15.3 and 35.1 g/kg) as major metals present in spoil due to lower 369 370 extraction efficiency methods common in historical mining⁴⁰. Preliminary sequential batch leaching experiments of spoil samples into deionised water showed consistent Zn and Pb 371 372 concentrations of at least one order of magnitude above other metals across several leaching cycles (except Pb in GM where it is not present in significant concentrations). For leaching 373 with acid (0.1 M HCl) most Zn was solubilised in the first two batches. Similar concentrations 374 of Pb and Ca were leached but over five acid addition cycles, suggesting different dissociation 375 kinetics of Pb and Zn minerals⁵⁶. Future work will explore further the kinetics of leaching from 376 the mineral forms in the spoils and sediments. Mineralogical results revealed the presence of 377 secondary minerals such as cerussite (PbCO₃) as a source of Pb. Current chemical 378 379 characterisation is in agreement with previous studies of water quality, metal composition and 380 flux in the Yorkshire Pennine Orefield¹⁴.

382 The impact of former metal mining on water quality has been evidenced by Pb, Zn and Cd pollution in the Yorkshire Pennine region, particularly in Hebden Beck^{14, 39}. In expanding the 383 range of Hebden Beck tributaries from earlier works, we have included sites close to mine 384 wastes (e.g. tailings, spoils) for the identification of principal sources of metals. Major 385 contributions of Zn_p and Pb_p were identified from mine water discharges (H7) and spoil wastes 386 (H12)¹⁴. For these two sites there are statistically significant relationships between Zn and Pb 387 with SO_{4²⁻} and DIC inferring the composition of their respective mineral sources. At site H12, 388 an extended area covered by spoil wastes from Yarnbury mines, moderate correlations were 389 identified between Zn_{D} with SO_4^{2-} (R²= 0.4), and Pb_D with DIC (R²= 0.5) reflecting the Zn_{D} 390 contribution from the oxidation of sphalerite and Pb_D contribution from the dissolution of 391 392 cerussite (Figure 6). These correlations revealed the type and grade of ores mined during the 393 eighteen and nineteenth century, producing spoils with different particle size and permeability, influencing their capacity to form secondary minerals⁵⁷. At site H7, a mine channel from Bolton 394 Haw, strong correlations were observed between Zn with SO_4^{2-} (R²= 0.93) and DIC (R²= 0.95) 395 (Figure 5). Both correlations suggest the oxidation of sphalerite as main Zn source, however, 396 if all sulphate was from ZnS the expected molar ratio between Zn_D and SO₄²⁻ should be 1:1 397 instead of the observed value of 5:1. Furthermore, the mineralogical and geochemical results 398 399 did not show extensive evidence of pyrite mixed in mineral veins (correlation of Fe/SO42- r= -0.5; p= 0.076). Thus, secondary zinc minerals such as smithsonite (ZnCO₃), hydrozincite 400 (5ZnO.2CO₂.3H₂O) and hermimorphite (Zn₄[Si₂O₇](OH)₂.H₂O), should be considered as 401 possible sinks⁴⁹. No correlation for Pb was observed in this site, probable due to the presence 402 403 of low concentrations (4.3 μ g/l) as consequence of aging⁵⁸ or the sorption effect of biofilms, becoming a significant sink for Pb⁵⁹. 404

405

In Hebden Beck, flow events can alter the river water chemistry and metal concentrations¹⁴. 406 407 During base flow conditions, the circumneutal pH and buffering capacity are maintained by 408 groundwater rather than surface water. This condition contributes with the presence of 409 secondary zinc minerals and other carbonate minerals that sequester zinc, also influence the 410 complexation of Pb with carbonate and organic matter, as well as its transformation in other forms like hydroxide, oxyhydroxide, hydroxysulfate minerals, limiting solubility and further 411 weathering^{49, 60, 55, 52}. Flow fluctuations caused by drought or heavy rainfall allowed the 412 413 identification of major point and diffuse sources (H7 and H12) and their metal contributions under different flow events. At the point source H7 (mine adit-Bolton Haw), metal 414 concentrations were generally constant at both flow conditions, therefore this site can be 415 considered as a continuous source of metals (particularly for Zn: 3220.5 µg/l). At the diffuse 416 417 source H12 (ephemeral tributary-draining spoil wastes from Yarnbury mine), metal 418 concentrations become more significant during high flow, but greater contributions were 419 observed after dry period (e.g. July [0 l/s, Zn_D and Pb_D below detection limits], August [8.4 l/s, 5709.0 μ g Zn_D/I, 419.2 μ g Pb_D/I]). This might be explained by the capacity of soluble sulphate 420 minerals to store metals (e.g. Zn) during dry seasons and release them into the environment 421 during wet seasons⁶¹. In addition, Byrne et al. ⁶² and Canovas et al. ⁶³ have indicated the 422 influence of runoff produced by storms in increasing metals dissolved from weathered metal 423 salts (smithsonite, cerussite) located in superficial mine spoils. Rothwell et al. ⁶⁴ showed 424 425 differences in metal concentrations not only between base and high flow conditions but also within and between storm events. Thus, further studies of metal concentrations and fluxes 426 427 under a range of hydrological conditions are pertinent since the frequency and magnitude of 428 floods are increasing the transport of dissolved and particulate metal forms from sources to 429 river channels and floodplain soils, which are often used for agriculture⁶⁵.

Seasonal variations of pH and flow were considered to assess metal mobility. In the main 430 channel (H2)strong correspondence occurred between pH-Zn_p (r= 0.7) and flow- Pb_p (r= 0.6) 431 432 while relationships for Pb_p-pH and Zn_p-flow were unclear. The absence of a relationship of Zn with flow suggests that dissolution of zinc minerals is not kinetically limited (Figure 7), 433 434 although solubility been shown to depend on mineral composition is some cases⁵⁷. The Pb relationship with flow may be related to greater flushing of areas where minerals have had 435 longer to leach Pb into waters (e.g., H15 or the ephemeral pond feeding H12) (Figure 2-SI). 436 Sims et al.⁶⁶ have also reported the role of flow in the generation of suspended matter, 437 438 affecting the transport Pb forms. Once they enter into the aquatic system they tend to be adsorbed to suspended mater, while for the case of carbonate minerals they are likely to break 439 down in acid waters, liberating significant quantities of Pb to sediments further down the river⁶⁷. 440 441 Thus, understanding the chemical tendencies of Zn and Pb under local pH and flow conditions 442 is extremely important for estimating the potential fate and extent of polluters.

Metal contributions from point and diffuse sources decreased downstream (H2) (Zn_D: 479.4 443 μ g/l, Pb_D: 35.1 μ g/l), indicating a dilution effect from non-mine affected tributaries. Two dilution 444 behaviours were observed in the main river, an abrupt reduction of Zn_D after high 445 concentrations were converged with relatively clean tributaries (from 3220.5µg/l (H7) and 446 4252.3 μ g/l (H12) to 444.4 μ g/l (H10)), and a gradual decrease of Pb_D (284.2 μ g/l (H12) to 447 80.7µg/l (H10)) as dilution is likely to be related to the distribution of particulate matter from 448 449 sediments⁶⁸. Pb forms showed a higher fraction present as particulate (≥50%) in certain tributaries (e.g. H12, H11, H8, H3, H1) where dissolved organic carbon (H12 and H11>16 450 mg/l) and other complexing compounds like bicarbonates (DIC in H8, H3 and H1>22 mg/l) or 451

452 hydroxides (e.g. $Fe(OH)_3$ and $Al(OH)_3$) may bind Pb. Thus, knowing solubility and speciation 453 properties of Zn and Pb could help in the explanation of their mobility. Low solubility of Pb conceals high concentrations released at diffuse sources, due to binding to particulates⁶⁹. In 454 addition, sorption properties also affect metal dynamics, for instance, Pb has a greater affinity 455 456 for binding to dissolved organic matter and surface reactive mineral complexes, as reflected in higher fractions present as particulate forms. These mechanisms are fundamentally 457 associated with metal speciation, bioavailability and toxicity. The bioavailability of Zn and Pb 458 in Hebden Beck has been assessed, revealing quality standard failures of Pb and Zn 459 throughout the catchment at all monitoring sites³⁹. 460

Calculations of the dissolved Zn and Pb being transported downstream to the River Wharfe 461 indicate annual loads of 0.2 tonnes/year of Pb and 2.9 tonnes/year of Zn. Although, these 462 loads might increase depending on physical or chemical conditions caused by seasonal 463 464 variations or particular flow conditions. Several studies in river systems have reported that metals associated with suspended sediments can make a major contribution to the total load 465 of metals^{70, 71}. Horowitz ⁷² compared and contrasted metal concentrations in suspended and 466 bottom sediments versus dissolved levels, results indicated that bottom sediment 467 468 concentrations were more than 100,000 (5 orders of magnitude) times higher than dissolved 469 levels. Applying this approach in a downstream site (H1), higher results were observed for Pb 470 (>430,000) and to a lesser extent for Zn (>17,000). Considering the importance of sediments 471 in the transport and cycling of metals further work is needed in Hebden Beck. Comparisons 472 between maximum measured concentrations of total dissolved metal concentrations in the 473 main channel (site H2) with established regulatory limits for metals indicated that maximum 474 annual concentrations of Al_p (188 μ g/l), Fe_p (657 μ g/l), Ba_p (306 μ g/l) and Sr_p (356.4 μ g/l) were within established regulatory limits (e.g. Al: 200 μ g/l; Fe: 1000 μ g/l; Ba: 1000 μ g/l; Sr: 475 1500 μ g/l). Conversely, maximum annual concentrations of Pb_p (87 μ g/l) and Zn_p (607 μ g/l), 476 477 when compared with environmental guality standards (EQS) showed maximum exceedances of 1200% with likely ecological effects ^{73, 74} (Table 4). 478

Studies on the effects of geochemical parameters on a number of parameters associated to
plant and microbial communities have indicated geochemical parameters exert strongest
effect on these biotic communities and therefore is of greatest concern from the perspective
of restoration.

483 Metal toxicity and bioavailability are mainly controlled by metal concentrations, pH conditions 484 and concentration of organic matter. Although each of these factors might have a stronger 485 effect on biotic communities. For example, Ramsey⁷⁵ reported that soil acidity and organic

486 matter concentration exerted stronger effects on plant and microbial community than metals. 487 Thus, discriminating the influence of these key factors in biological processes is important from the perspective of dealing ecotoxicological effects of metals and potential restoration 488 efforts^{5, 76}. In this context, environmentally friendly and cost-effective techniques such as 489 bioremediation has been developed for heavy metal removal/recovery where microbial 490 491 remediation is particularly used in mine drainages due to the ability of microorganisms to generate alkalinity and immobilise metals⁷⁷. For instance, in the UK the sulphur-reducing 492 bacteria (e.g. Desulfovibrio vulgaris) has been used in the treatment of mine drainage due to 493 its diverse metabolic strategies to reduce sulphate (SO₄²⁻) to hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and 494 495 other elements like iron (Fe(III)), oxygen or compounds like nitrate and nitrite and fumarate⁷⁸. Aquatic organisms such as diatoms and invertebrates have an important role as biomonitors 496 and bioindicators for assessing the impact of metal pollution. These organisms together with 497 498 established EU-WFD classification tools and diversity indices (e.g. ASPT, N-TAXA) were used 499 in a preliminary research in this catchment, however the effects of elevated metal levels were 500 unclear⁷⁹. Studies in neutral mine drainage carried out by Byrne et al.⁸⁰ indicated that the use of standard macroinvertebrate biotic and diversity indices (EU-WFD tools) could lead to 501 502 erroneous classifications of aquatic ecosystem health. These results revealed that failure in 503 the interpretation of biogeochemical interactions could lead to inaccurate analysis of 504 organisms at risk of exposure, hence ineffective management decisions. Thus, the 505 assessment of metal effects in living organisms is complex since biota might have different 506 responses according to physiological processes and metals are subject to a range of factors 507 affecting their level of reactivity, toxicity and bioavailability¹⁵. Considering WFD goals, more nuanced approaches are needed for assessing metals and their ecological effects. In this 508 context, current chemistry data and updated chemical speciation tools will be used in future 509 510 work to assess metal availability and toxicity and advising improvements to river basin 511 management plans.

512 6. Conclusions

- Underlying limestone bedrock controls pH and weathering reactions, and therefore metal
 mobility within such catchments.
- Mobilisation of Zn and Pb have a strong dependence on site specific biogeochemistry and hydrological conditions. No dependence of Zn with flow suggests that Zn_D has no kinetic limitations on Zn mineral dissolution, whereas Pb_D varied according with flow variations, reflecting its tendency to be complexed with colloidal or particulate forms.

- Point sources are regular contributors of Zn_D despite flow fluctuations, diffuse sources like
 spoil wastes produced higher contribution of Zn_D and Pb_D in overflow conditions after dry
 periods.
- Not all contributions of Zn_D and Pb_D are derived directly from oxidation of sphalerite and
 galena. Mineralogical and geochemical analysis revealed the contribution of secondary
 minerals such as smithsonite and cerussite, which are continuously leaching into the river
 and represent an added complexity for future remediation.
- Metal pollution results in a large area of catchment having a depleted chemical status with likely effects on the aquatic ecology.

528 Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Secretariat for Higher Education, Sciences, Technology and Innovation of Ecuador (SENESCYT in Spanish) through a PhD scholarship granted to M. Valencia Avellan in June 2013 (Grant No. 82-ARG5-2013). The authors express their gratitude to the Yorkshire Dales National Park for granting the sampling permission in Hebden Beck and to the UK-Environmental Agency for sharing scientific reports and flow data (gauging station-F1960).

535 References

- 536 1. A. J. Horowitz, K. A. Elrick and R. B. Cook, *Hydrol. Process.*, 1993, **7**, 403-423.
- M. G. Macklin, in *Floodplain processes.*, ed. M. G. Anderson, Walling, D. E. and Bates,
 P. D., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Chichester, England., 1996, pp. 441-460.
- 539 3. K. A. Hudson-Edwards, C. Schell and M. G. Macklin, *Applied Geochemistry*, 1999, 14, 1015-1030.
- 541 4. S. A. Nagorski, J. N. Moore and D. B. Smith, *Mine Water and the Environment*, 2002, 21, 121-136.
- 543 5. J. R. Miller, K. A. Hudson-Edwards, P. J. Lechler, D. Preston and M. G. Macklin, 544 *Science of The Total Environment*, 2004, **320**, 189-209.
- G. W. Fernandes, F. F. Goulart, B. D. Ranieri, M. S. Coelho, K. Dales, N. Boesche, M.
 Bustamante, F. A. Carvalho, D. C. Carvalho, R. Dirzo, S. Fernandes, P. M. Galetti Jr,
 V. E. G. Millan, C. Mielke, J. L. Ramirez, A. Neves, C. Rogass, S. P. Ribeiro, A. Scariot
 and B. Soares-Filho, *Natureza & Conservação*, 2016, **14**, 35-45.
- 549 7. M. G. Macklin, in *Gregory, K. J. Fluvial Geomorphology of Great Britain*, ed. J. N. C.
 550 Committee, Chapman and Hall, London, 1997, vol. 201-238.
- 551 8. K. A. Hudson-Edwards, *Mineralogical Magazine*, 2003, **67**, 205-217.
- 552 9. M. Olías, J. M. Nieto, A. M. Sarmiento, J. C. Cerón and C. R. Cánovas, *Science of The* 553 *Total Environment*, 2004, **333**, 267-281.

- L. J. Oulton, M. P. Taylor, G. C. Hose and C. Brown, *Ecotoxicology*, 2014, 23, 1022 1029.
- 556 11. K. Hudson-Edwards, M. Macklin and M. Taylor, *Science of The Total Environment*, 1997, **194**, 437-445.
- 558 12. L. A. Warren and E. A. Haack, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 2001, **54**, 261-320.
- 559 13. P. Byrne, P. J. Wood and I. Reid, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 2012, **42**, 2017-2077.
- A. Jones, M. Rogerson, G. Greenway, H. A. B. Potter and W. M. Mayes, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2013, **20**, 7570-7581.
- 563 15. S. Luoma, Rainbow, P., *Metal contamination in Aquatic Environments: Science and Lateral Management*, Cambridge University Press, New York, United States., 2008.
- 565 16. J. F. Elder, *Metal biogeochemistry in surface-water systems; a review of principles and concepts*, Report 1013, 1988.
- 567 17. S. E. Apitz, M. Elliott, M. Fountain and T. S. Galloway, *Integrated environmental* 568 assessment and management, 2006, **2**, 80-85.
- 569 18. M. C. Freeman, C. M. Pringle and C. R. Jackson, *Journal*, 2007.
- 570 19. W. K. Dodds and R. M. Oakes, *Environmental Management*, 2008, **41**, 367-377.
- J. L. Meyer, D. L. Strayer, J. B. Wallace, S. L. Eggert, G. S. Helfman and N. E. Leonard,
 Journal, 2007.
- 573 21. W. M. Mayes, D. Johnston, H. A. B. Potter and A. P. Jarvis, *Science of The Total* 574 *Environment*, 2009, **407**, 5435-5447.
- 575 22. D. Banks, V. P. Parnachev, B. Frengstad, W. Holden, A. A. Vedernikov and O. V. 576 Karnachuk, *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 2002, **198**, 287-296.
- 577 23. A. Akcil and S. Koldas, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2006, **14**, 1139-1145.
- 578 24. A. Navarro, X. Font and M. Viladevall, *Mine Water and the Environment*, 2015, **34**, 329-342.
- 580 25. G. Plumlee, K. Smith, M. Montour, W. Ficklin and E. Mosier, *The environmental geochemistry of mineral deposits. Part B: case studies and research topics*, 1999, 6, 373-432.
- 583 26. C. A. Cravotta lii, *Applied Geochemistry*, 2008, **23**, 166-202.
- 584 27. C. A. Cravotta lii, *Applied Geochemistry*, 2008, **23**, 203-226.
- 585 28. J. Sánchez España, E. López Pamo, E. Santofimia, O. Aduvire, J. Reyes and D. 586 Barettino, *Applied Geochemistry*, 2005, **20**, 1320-1356.
- 587 29. M. B. J. Lindsay, P. D. Condon, J. L. Jambor, K. G. Lear, D. W. Blowes and C. J.
 588 Ptacek, *Applied Geochemistry*, 2009, **24**, 2212-2221.
- 589 30. A. J. Desbarats and G. C. Dirom, *Applied Geochemistry*, 2007, **22**, 415-435.

- 590 31. P. M. Heikkinen, M. L. Räisänen and R. H. Johnson, *Mine Water and the Environment*,
 591 2009, 28, 30-49.
- B. Lottermoser, *Mine Wastes: Charaterization, Treatment and Environmental Impacts. 3rd Edition*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 2010.
- 594 33. J. R. Miller, *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 1997, **58**, 101-118.
- 595 34. M. Gosar, S. Pirc and M. Bidovec, *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 1997, 58, 125 596 131.
- 597 35. K. A. Hudson-Edwards, H. E. Jamieson, J. M. Charnock and M. G. Macklin, *Chemical Geology*, 2005, **219**, 175-192.
- 599 36. R. R. Cave, J. E. Andrews, T. Jickells and E. G. Coombes, *Estuarine, Coastal and* 600 *Shelf Science*, 2005, **62**, 547-557.
- 601 37. M. P. Taylor and K. A. Hudson-Edwards, *Environmental Pollution*, 2008, **152**, 193-204.
- 80. B. H. Johnston D., Jones C., Rolley S., Watson I., Pritchard J., *Abandoned mines*and the water environment. Science project: SC030136-41, UK-Environment Agency,
 Bristol, UK, 2008.
- 39. J. Barber, Humber River Basin District: Hebden Beck: WFD Investigation. Mining
 Pollution: Catchment Characterisation Report, UK-Environment Agency, pp(37),2014.
- 40. M. C. Gill, *The Grassington Mines*, Northern Mine Research Society, 1993.
- 41. A. Apha, Standard methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1995, 20.
- 42. US Environmental Protection Agency and Office of Research and Development,
 Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory: Handbook for sampling and sample preservation of water and wastewater, Ohio, United States, 1982.
- 43. U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), PHREEQC (Version 3) A Computer Program for
 Speciation, Batch-Reaction, One-Dimensional Transport, and Inverse Geochemical
 Calculations https://wwwbrr.cr.usgs.gov/projects/GWC_coupled/phreeqc/, (accessed
 June 2, 2017).
- 616 44. D. L. Parkhurst and C. Appelo, 1999.
- 45. D. K. Nordstrom, L. N. Plummer, D. Langmuir, E. Busenberg, H. M. May, B. F. Jones
 and D. L. Parkhurst, ACS Publications, 1990.
- 46. J. W. Ball and D. K. Nordstrom, User's manual for WATEQ4F, with revised
 thermodynamic data base and test cases for calculating speciation of major, trace, and
 redox elements in natural waters, U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, California, USA,
 1991.
- 47. J. I. Drever, *The Geochemistry of Natural Waters: Surface and Groundwater Environments, 436 pp*, third ed. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ., 1997.
- 48. C. Tame, K. A. Hudson-Edwards and H. A. B. Potter, *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, 2017, **17**, 284-287.

- 49. C. Nuttall and P. Younger, *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 2002,
 198, 241-250.
- 50. S. A. Carroll, P. A. O'Day and M. Piechowski, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 1998, **32**, 956-965.
- 631 51. D. M. Carmona, Á. Faz Cano and J. M. Arocena, *Geoderma*, 2009, **150**, 150-157.
- 632 52. H. E. Jamieson, *Elements*, 2011, **7**, 381-386.
- 633 53. X. Li and I. Thornton, Applied Geochemistry, 2001, 16, 1693-1706.
- 54. L. S. Balistrieri, S. E. Box, A. A. Bookstrom and M. Ikramuddin, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 1999, **33**, 3347-3353.
- 636 55. D. K. Nordstrom, *Applied Geochemistry*, 2011, **26**, 1777-1791.
- 637 56. B. Osbourne and A. Stockdale, unpublished work.
- 638 57. B. Palumbo-Roe, J. Wragg, M. R. Cave and D. Wagner, *Environmental Science and* 639 *Pollution Research*, 2013, **20**, 7699-7710.
- 640 58. P. lavazzo, P. Adamo, M. Boni, S. Hillier and M. Zampella, *Journal of Geochemical* 641 *Exploration*, 2012, **113**, 56-67.
- A. S. Templeton, T. P. Trainor, S. J. Traina, A. M. Spormann and G. E. Brown, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
 2001, **98**, 11897-11902.
- 645 60. D. K. Nordstrom, *Aqueous pyrite oxidation and the consequent formation of secondary* 646 *iron minerals*, Soil Science Society of America, 1982.
- 647 61. H. E. Jamieson, C. Robinson, C. N. Alpers, R. B. McCleskey, D. K. Nordstrom and R.
 648 C. Peterson, *Chemical Geology*, 2005, **215**, 387-405.
- 649
 62. P. Byrne, I. Reid and P. J. Wood, *In: Proceedings of the International Mine Water*650
 651
 651
 Conference, 19-23 October 2009, Pretoria, South Africa, ISBN 978-0-09802623-5-3,
 651
 124-129., 2009.
- 652 63. C. Cánovas, C. Hubbard, M. Olías, J. Nieto, S. Black and M. L. Coleman, *Journal of* 653 *Hydrology*, 2008, **350**, 25-40.
- 64. J. J. Rothwell, M. G. Evans, S. M. Daniels and T. E. H. Allott, *Journal of Hydrology*, 2007, **341**, 90-104.
- 656 65. S. F. Lynch, L. C. Batty and P. Byrne, *Minerals*, 2014, **4**, 52-73.
- 657 66. D. B. Sims, P. S. Hooda and G. K. Gillmore, *Environment and Pollution*, 2013, **2**, 22.
- 658 67. K. A. Hudson-Edwards, M. G. Macklin, C. D. Curtis and D. J. Vaughan, *Environmental* 659 *Science & Technology*, 1996, **30**, 72-80.
- 660 68. P. E. T. Douben, *Environmental Pollution*, 1989, **61**, 211-226.
- 661 69. D. Langmuir, *Aqueous environmental geochemistry*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle 662 River, N.J, 1997.

- 663 70. I. D. L. Foster and S. M. Charlesworth, *Hydrol. Process.*, 1996, **10**, 227-261.
- A. J. Horowitz, K. A. Elrick, J. A. Robbins and R. B. Cook, *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 1995, **52**, 135-144.
- A. J. Horowitz, *A primer on sediment-trace element chemistry*, Report 2331-1258, US
 Geological Survey; Books and Open-File Reports Section [distributor], 1991.
- 668 73. UK-Environment Agency, Chemical Standards Data Base.
 669 <u>http://evidence.environment-agency.gov.uk/ChemicalStandards/Home.aspx</u>,
 670 (accessed June 5, 2017).
- 671 74. Department of Environmental Protection and State of New Jersey, Division of Water
 672 Monitoring and Standards, <u>http://www.state.nj.us/dep/wms/</u>, (accessed June 2, 2017).
- F. W. Ramsey, The relationship between microbial communities processes and mine
 waste contamination in upper Clark Fork River alluvial soils. University of Montana.
 Theses, Dissertations, Professional Papers. 9582, 2006.
- 76. I. A. Dennis, T. J. Coulthard, P. Brewer and M. G. Macklin, *Earth Surface Processes* and Landforms, 2009, **34**, 453-466.
- 678 77. C. N. Mulligan, R. N. Yong and B. F. Gibbs, *Engineering Geology*, 2001, **60**, 193-207.
- 679 78. A. Jarvis, C. Gandy, M. Bailey, J. Davis, P. Orme, J. Malley, H. Potter and A.
 680 Moorhouse, 2015. Metal removal and secondary contamination in a passive metal
 681 mine drainage treatment system.10th International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage
 682 & IMWA International Conference. Santiago, Chile.
- 683 79. Environment Agency, *Report on ecological sampling to assess the impacts of metal*684 *pollution: Hebden Beck and Ashfoldside Beck*, UK-Environment Agency, Bristol,
 685 United Kingdom, 2014.
- 80. P. Byrne, I. Reid and P. J. Wood, *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, 2013,
 15, 393-404.

688

Figures and Tables 701



Legend

- Sampling sites .
- Mine structures (e.g. dressing floors, adits, shafts, smelt mills) 1
- Waterways
- Elevation (m)

Geology-Bedrock

- Millstone grit (mudstone, siltstone, sandstone)
- 702
- Liddesdale-Yoredale (limestone, sandstone, siltstone, mudstone)
- Figure 1. Hebden Beck with sampling sites and mine structures located in the Millstone grit and Liddesdale-Yoredale bedrock. Blue arrows indicate the direction of flow. 703 704

707 708 Table 1. Sampling sites along Hebden Beck. Table indicates type of sample, site elevation, coordinates, and distance from River Wharfe. Sites are listed from upstream to downstream. Locations adopted from the Environment Agency monitoring programme are indicated with (*).

Site	Site Description	Type of sample	Elev. (m)	Coordinates		From R. Wharfe
ID				East	North	(m)
H15	Head water reservoir (Next-smelt mill)	Water	368	402798	466766	4970
GM	Grassington moor, spoil wastes	Spoil	380	403014	466663	
H14	Perennial tributary (Coalgrove Beck)	water, sediment	294	402413	466106	4207
H13	Ephemeral tributary	Water	287	402443	465931	4030
B1	Beaver, spoil wastes (from heap)	Spoil	320	402087	465660	
B2	Beaver, spoil wastes (silt runoff)	Spoil	317	402163	465630	
H12	Ephemeral tributary (downstream- Yarnbury mine-Beaver spoil)	water, sediment	285	402451	465822	3921
H11	Perennial tributary (Loss Gill Dike)	Water	278	402597	465578	3637
H10*	Main channel	water, sediment	267	402656	465324	3377
H9*	Perennial tributary (Bolton Gill)	Water	266	402661	465285	3338
H8*	Ephemeral tributary (from-Yarnbury mine)	Water	268	402632	465176	3226
H7*	Perennial tributary (Adit) – Bolton Haw	Water	266	402648	465164	3206
H6*	Main channel	Water	257	402630	464916	2958
H5*	Perennial tributary (Duke's adit)	Water	256	402638	464793	2836
H4	Ephemeral tributary (Waterfall)	Water	254	402668	464604	2645
H3*	Perennial tributary (Laneshaw adit)	Water	246	402632	464550	2580
H2*	Main channel at gauging station	Water	235	402488	464275	2271
H1P	Perennial tributary	water	233	402382	464104	2071
H1	Main channel - Confluence R. Wharfe	water, sediment	152	402695	462400	140

712 Table 2. Mean, maxima and minima from water chemical analysis. Metal forms are denoted as total (T) and dissolved (D). Description of sites are indicated as main channel (MC), ephemeral tributaries (ET) and perennial tributaries (PT). Units are in µg/l. Values below the detection limit are represented by

(b/d).

Site	Description		Pb _T	Pb _D	Ζn _T	Zn _D
H15	Reservoir	Ave	316.7	279.6	2058.8	2028.0
		Max	411.9	423.6	2542.4	2759.5
		Min	96.3	103.6	722.4	1080.7
H14	PT	Ave	178.3	157.6	1318.6	1397.9
		Max	292.3	375.5	1778.9	2193.1
		Min	106.9	75.7	864.7	900.3
H13	ET	Ave	227.1	205.9	260.4	207.4
		Max	331.3	283.4	1619.8	1435.5
		Min	141.2	138.0	b/d	b/d
H12	ET	Ave	686.4	284.2	5168.8	4252.3
		Max	2701.2	439.9	12619.3	7438.4
		Min	261.8	184.1	66.5	73.6
H11	PT	Ave	64.0	31.6	295.7	410.5
		Max	765.6	355.2	3493.9	5276.2
		Min	3.7	b/d	b/d	b/d
H10	MC	Ave	108.1	80.7	468.8	444.4
		Max	268.1	145.2	787.4	777.0
		Min	11.8	3.1	b/d	b/d 33.5
H9	PT	Ave	28.5	20.8	125.2	95.7
		Max	171.9	94.3	572.2	518.6
		Min	12.7	2.0	b/d	b/d
H8	ET	Ave	38.1	19.0	318.5	269.0
		Max	123.5	28.2	438.3	435.2
		Min	12.2	5.3	b/d	b/d
H7	PT	Ave	7.7	4.3	3440.2	3220.5
		Max	21.1	47.7	5425.8	4312.3
		Min	0.8	b/d	2062.0	1936.7
H6	MC	Ave	60.4	49.4	537.6	510.2
		Max	102.0	85.6	664.1	674.1
		Min	20.5	13.8	468.3	390.2
H5	PT	Ave	60.4	54.3	883.5	867.2
		Max	132.3	157.8	1216.5	1206.0
		Min	17.1	10.0	688.0	613.5
H4	ET	Ave	8.3	4.4	b/d	b/d
		Max	26.7	6.6	68.6	68.6
		Min	3.2	b/d	b/d	b/d
H3	PT	Ave	2.8	0.2	b/d	b/d
		Max	10.6	0.7	98.6	84.7
		Min	0.05	b/d	b/d	b/d
H2	MC	Ave	46.7	39.4	515.0	485.9
		Max	93.5	87.4	765.4	606.9
		Min	17.0	4.2	194.5	360.5
H1P	PT	Ave	3.0	1.9	b/d	b/d
		Max	5.2	8.3	68.6	68.5
		Min	0.8	b/d	b/d	b/d
H1	MC	Ave	31.1	16.6	217.5	158.9
		Max	146.8	43.7	375.9	302.9
		Min	5.1	b/d	77.9	67.8



Figure 2. Lead and zinc composition in spoils and sediments.

- , ...



Figure 3. Aluminium, Fe, Ba, Sr, Pb and Zn activity as a function of pH, $SO_{4^{2-}}$ (13592 µg/l), Cl⁻ (7730 µg/l) and $pCO_2 = 0.0012$ atm. Theoretical saturation of mineral forms are represented by solid lines and calculated metal free ion activity of experimental data by dots.

Table 3. Relationships between metals (Pb, Zn, and Ca) with pH, SO4²⁻, DIC and DOC. Pearson correlation coefficient is denoted as r, p-value as p and confidence interval (95%) as CI.

	Stats	рН	SO4 ²⁻	DIC	DOC
Pb	r	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4	0.3
D	р	0.084	0.194	<0.001	<0.001
	CI	[-0.257 0.016]	[-0.228 0.047]	[-0.517 -0.284]	[0.135 0.393]
Zn _D	r	0.2	0.6	0.04	-0.2
	р	0.008	<0.001	0.588	0.022
_	CI	[0.051 0.319]	[0.517 0.692]	[-0.101 0.176]	[-0.295 -0.024]
Ca _D	r	0.6	0.7	0.9	-0.6
	р	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	CI	[0.526 0.698]	[0.571 0.730]	[0.963 0.978]	[-0.701 -0.529]
			•	•	



Figure 4. Scree plot from PCA analysis, horizontal axis shows projections of the first principal
 component PC1 which represents 32% of the total variance and the vertical axis the second component
 PC2 representing the 21% of variance.









Figure 6. Relationships in tributary H12 between Zn_D with SO_4^{2-} and Pb_D with DIC.



Figure 7. Trends of metals in function of flow and pH in H2. Panel a and b show trends of Pb and panel c and d indicate Zn trends. Solid lines represent regression lines.

Table 4. Estimation of annual Pb and Zn load by using flow records from Environment Agency (EA)
 gauging station at H2 (main channel).

Stats	H2-Flow (I/s) from EA station	Pb _D (µg/l)	Pb _D (tonne/year)	Zn _D (µg/l)	Zn _D (tonne/year)
Average	189	39.4	0.2	485.9	2.9
Maximum ^(a)	556	87.4	1.5	606.9	10.0
Minimum ^(b)	36	4.2	<0.1	360.5	<0.9
EQS-Hardness based		7.2		50.0	

a) Maximum values recorded in February 2014.

b) Minimum values recorded in July 2014.