

Geo-economic variations in epidemiology, patterns of care, and outcomes in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: insights from the LUNG SAFE prospective cohort study

Laffey, J. G., Madotto, F., Bellani, G., Pham, T., Fan, E., Brochard, L., ... Pesenti, A. (2017). Geo-economic variations in epidemiology, patterns of care, and outcomes in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: insights from the LUNG SAFE prospective cohort study. DOI: 10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30213-8

Published in:

The Lancet Respiratory Medicine

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/, which permits distribution and reproduction for noncommercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

- 1 Title: Geo-economic variations in epidemiology, patterns of care and outcome in
- 2 patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Insights from the LUNG SAFE
- 3 prospective cohort study

- 5 Authors
- 6 John G. Laffey, MD¹, Professor (Full)
- 7 Fabiana Madotto, PhD²
- 8 Giacomo Bellani, MD³
- 9 Tài Pham, MD ⁴
- 10 Eddy Fan, MD ⁵
- 11 Laurent Brochard, MD⁴, Professor (Full)
- 12 Pravin Amin, MD ⁶, Professor (Full)
- 13 Yaseen Arabi MD ⁷, Professor (Full)
- 14 Ednan K. Bajwa, MD⁸
- 15 Alejandro Bruhn, MD⁹
- 16 Vladimir Cerny, MD ¹⁰, Professor (Full)
- 17 Kevin Clarkson, MB¹¹
- 18 Leo Heunks, MD¹², Professor (Full)
- 19 Kiyoyasu Kurahashi, MD ¹³, Professor (Full)
- 20 Jon Henrik Laake, MD 14
- 21 Jose A Lorente, MD ¹⁵, Professor (Full)
- 22 Lia McNamee, BSc ¹⁶
- 23 Nicolas Nin, MD ¹⁷
- 24 Jose Emmanuel Palo, MD¹⁸
- 25 Lise Piquilloud, MD 19
- 26 Haibo Qiu, MD²⁰, Professor (Full)
- 27 Juan Ignacio Silesky Jiménez, MD²¹, Professor (Full)
- 28 Andres Esteban, MD ¹⁷

- 29 Daniel F. McAuley, MD ¹⁶, Professor (Full)
- 30 Frank van Haren, MD ²²
- 31 Marco Ranieri, MD²³, Professor (Full)
- 32 Gordon Rubenfeld, MD²⁴, Professor (Full)
- 33 Hermann Wrigge, MD ²⁵, Professor (Full)
- 34 Arthur S. Slutsky, MD²⁶, Professor (Full)
- 35 Antonio Pesenti, MD ²⁷, Professor (Full)
- 36 On behalf of the LUNG SAFE Investigators and the ESICM Trials Group

38 Author Affiliations:

- ¹Departments of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical
- 40 Science, St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Departments of Anesthesia,
- 41 Physiology and Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto,
- 42 Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ²Research Center on Public Health, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-
- 44 Bicocca, Monza, Italy
- 45 ³Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy; Department
- of Emergency and Intensive Care, San Gerardo Hospital, Monza, Italy
- ⁴ Keenan Research Centre, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital, Toronto,
- 48 Canada; Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto,
- 49 Ontario, Canada; Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Université Paris 06
- ⁵Department of Medicine, University Health Network and Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto,
- Ontario, Canada; Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine and Institute of Health
- 52 Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ⁶Department of Critical Care Medicine, Bombay Hospital Institute of Medical Sciences, Mumbai,
- 54 India
- ⁷ Intensive Care Department, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh,
- 56 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; Respiratory Services, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Ministry of National
- 57 Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; King Abdullah International Medical
- 58 Research Center, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

- ⁸ Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General
- 60 Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
- ⁹Departamento de Medicina Intensiva, Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de
- 62 Chile, Santiago, Chile
- 63 ¹⁰Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative Medicine and Intensive Care, J.E. Purkinje
- 64 University, Masaryk Hospital, Usti nad Labem, Czech Republic; Department of Research and
- Development and Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Charles University in
- 66 Prague, Faculty of Medicine in Hradec Kralove, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic
- 67 ¹¹Department of Anaesthesia, Galway University Hospitals and National University of Ireland,
- 68 Galway, Ireland
- 69 ¹²Department of Intensive Care, VU University Medical Centre Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 70 ¹³Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, School of Medicine, International
- 71 University of Health and Welfare, Narita, Japan
- ¹⁴Division of Critical Care, Department of Anaesthesiology, Rikshospitalet Medical Centre, Oslo
- 73 University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
- 74 ¹⁵CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Hospital Universitario de Getafe, Universidad Europea,
- 75 Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁶Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University of Belfast; Wellcome-Wolfson Institute
- 77 for Experimental Medicine, Belfast; and Regional Intensive Care Unit, Royal Victoria Hospital
- 78 A&E, Grosvenor Road, Belfast, Northern Ireland
- 79 ¹⁷Hospital Español, Montevideo, Uruguay, CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁸Section of Adult Critical Care, Department of Medicine, The Medical City, Pasig, Philippines
- ¹⁹Adult Intensive Care and Burn Unit, University Hospital of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- and Department of Medical Intensive Care, University Hospital of Angers, Angers, France
- 83 ²⁰Department of Critical Care Medicine, Nanjing Zhongda hospital, School of Medicine,
- 84 Southeast University, Nanjing 210009, PR China
- 85 ²¹Department of Intensive Care, Hospital San Juan de Dios, Department of Intensive Care,
- 86 Hospital CIMA San Jose, Council of Critical Medicine, University of Costa Rica, Costa Rica
- 87 ²²Intensive Care Unit, Canberra Hospital; and Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

- 88 ²³SAPIENZA Università di ROMA, Dipartimento di Anestesia e Rianimazione, Policlinico Umberto 89 I, Viale del Policlinico 155, 00161 Roma, Italy ²⁴Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, 90 Canada; Program in Trauma, Emergency and Critical Care, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center, 91 Toronto, Ontario, Canada 92 93 ²⁵Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University of Leipzig, Liebigstr. 94 20, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany 95 ²⁶Keenan Research Center at the Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St. Michael's Hospital, Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, and Department of Medicine, 96 University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada 97 ²⁷ Dipartimento di Anestesia, Rianimazione ed Emergenza Urgenza, Fondazione IRCCS Cà 98 99 Granda-Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico and Dipartimento di Fisiopatologia Medico-Chirurgica e 100 dei Trapianti, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy 101 Corresponding Author: John G. Laffey, Departments of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, 102 Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science, St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, 103 CANADA. E-mail:laffeyj@smh.ca Phone: 1-416-864-5071 104 105 **Word count:** 3,466.
 - **Take-home message**: Patients with ARDS from European high-income countries had higher ARDS severity, received more adjunctive therapies and had a longer hospital length of stay than patients from the rest of the world high-income countries. Lower country gross national income was associated with poorer hospital survival in patients with ARDS.

107

108

109

110

Tweet: Geo-economic variations exist regarding ARDS severity, clinician recognition,
 management approaches, and in patient outcomes from ARDS. [140-character limit]

Abstract

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

Background: There is limited information about the geo-economic variations in demographics, management and outcome of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). **Methods:** The Large observational study to <u>UN</u>derstand the <u>Gl</u>obal impact of <u>Severe Acute</u> respiratory Failur (LUNG SAFE) study was conducted during four consecutive weeks in the winter of 2014 in a convenience sample of 459 ICUs from 50 countries across 5 continents. A key secondary aim was to characterize variations in the demographics, management and outcome of patients with ARDS. We compared patients across three major geo-economic groupings, namely Europe high-income (Europe-High), Rest of World high-income (rWORLD-High), and Middle-income (Middle) countries. Findings: 1,521 (54%) of the patients were recruited from Europe-High, 746 (27%) were from rWORLD-High, and 546 (19%) from Middle countries. Significant geographic variations were demonstrated regarding patient demographics, ARDS risk factors and comorbid diseases. The proportion of patients with-severe ARDS or with P/F ratio <150 was less in rWORLD-High compared to the two other regions. Use of prone position, neuromuscular blockade and ECMO were greatest in Europe-High. Adjusted duration of invasive mechanical ventilation and ICU length of stay were shorter in patients from rWORLD-High. Higher country per capita gross national income was associated with increased hospital survival in patients with ARDS, while the middle-income countries were associated with reduced hospital survival. Interpretation: Important geo-economic differences exist regarding ARDS severity, clinician recognition of ARDS, ARDS management approaches, and in patient outcomes. An independent association exists between per capita income and outcomes in patients with ARDS.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02010073

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed in December, 2016, for articles published since Jan 1 Nov, 1990, with search terms relating to 'acute respiratory distress syndrome' and 'geographic' or 'country'. Specific search terms used were "respiratory distress syndrome, adult"[MeSH Terms] OR ("respiratory"[All Fields] AND "distress"[All Fields] AND "syndrome"[All Fields] AND "adult"[All Fields]) OR "adult respiratory distress syndrome"[All Fields] OR ("acute"[All Fields] AND "respiratory"[All Fields] AND "distress"[All Fields] AND "syndrome"[All Fields]) OR "acute respiratory distress syndrome"[All Fields]) AND geographic[All Fields] OR country[All Fields]. Searches were not limited by language and were supplemented by review of reference lists. We found some studies reporting findings from more limited regions, such as individual countries or small groups of countries, but no study reporting data regarding acute respiratory distress syndrome across major geo-economic groupings.

Added value of this study

Significant variations exist regarding patient demographics, ARDS risk factors and comorbid diseases across the 3 major geo-economic groupings, namely Europe high income (Europe-High), Rest of World high income (rWORLD-High), and Middle Income (Middle) countries. ARDS severity was less overall in Rest of World high-income countries. In terms of patterns of care, the use of prone positioning, neuromuscular blockade and recruitment maneuvers were greatest in Europe-High countries. ICU length of stay was shorter, and the likelihood of unassisted ventilation to day 28 was significantly (P < 0.001) higher, in rWORLD-High countries.

Lower country gross national product was associated with poorer hospital survival in patients with ARDS. The middle-income country grouping was associated with worse ARDS outcomes than either high-income country grouping.

Implications of all the available evidence

Important regional differences exist regarding the demographics, management and outcomes of patients with ARDS. These data identify opportunities to enhance implementation of evidence-based interventions that improve outcome from ARDS.

Introduction

Important geographic and economic variations in regard to the epidemiology, and patterns of care have been described for multiple diseases including diabetes ¹, asthma ², myocardial infarction ^{3,4}, heart failure ⁵, atrial fibrillation ⁶, COPD ⁷, end-stage renal disease ⁸ and breast cancer ⁹. Furthermore, the use of interventions such as blood transfusions ¹⁰, amputation ¹¹, aneurysm repair ¹² and carotid revascularization ¹³, and outcome following procedures such as coronary artery bypass grafting ¹⁴ also vary by region and/or socioeconomic status. Geoeconomic variations in the epidemiology and management of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) may have important implications for patient outcome. To date the extent and the impact of these variations have not been characterized.

The Large observational study to <u>UN</u>derstand the <u>Gl</u>obal impact of <u>Severe A</u>cute respiratory <u>FailurE</u> (LUNG SAFE) study in 459 ICUs in 50 countries in 6 continents found a two-fold difference in the incidence of ARDS across the different continents ¹⁵. ARDS was underrecognized by clinicians, while the use of evidence based ventilatory strategies and adjuncts was lower than expected. Of most concern, ARDS continues to confer a high mortality, with 40% of patients with ARDS dying in hospital.

A key secondary aim of the LUNG SAFE study was to characterize geo-economic variations in demographics, management and outcome of patients with ARDS. We compared patients across three major geo-economic groupings, namely Europe high income (Europe-High), Rest of World high income (rWORLD-High), and Middle Income (Middle) countries.

Methods and Materials

Study Design

The detailed methods has been published elsewhere ¹⁵. In brief, LUNG SAFE was an international, multicenter, prospective cohort study, with a 4 week enrollment window in the winter season in each hemisphere ¹⁵. The study, conceived by the Acute Respiratory Failure Section of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), was endorsed by multiple national societies/networks (*Appendix 1*). All participating ICUs obtained ethics committee approval, and either patient consent or ethics committee waiver of consent. National coordinators (*Appendix 1*) and site investigators (*Appendix 2*) were responsible for obtaining ethics committee approval and for ensuring data integrity and validity.

Patients, Study Design and Data Collection

Inclusion criteria were: admission to a participating ICU (including ICU transfers) within the 4-week enrollment window; and receipt of invasive or noninvasive ventilation (NIV). Exclusion criteria were: age<16 years or inability to obtain informed consent, where required. Patients were classified as having ARDS based on the Berlin criteria ¹⁶. To ensure a more homogenous dataset, we restricted subsequent analyses to the large subset of patients (2,813 of 3,022 patients, 93.1%) fulfilling ARDS criteria on day 1 or day 2 (n=2,813) following the onset of acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF) [*Figure 1*] that received invasive mechanical ventilation (MV) or non-invasive ventilation (NIV). Data from the first day of fulfillment of ARDS criteria were used in these analyses.

Data Definitions

We used the 2016 World Bank countries classification, which accounts for the gross national income per capita (http://databank.worldbank.org/data/home.aspx) to define 3 major geoeconomic groupings: Europe high income (Europe-High), Rest of World high income (rWORLD-High), and Middle Income (Middle) countries for this analysis (*Table 1*).

Duration of invasive ventilation was calculated as the number of days that the patient required invasive mechanical ventilation up to day 28. Driving pressure was defined as plateau pressure minus PEEP. Plateau and driving pressure analysis confined to patients (n=742) in whom plateau pressure was measured and in whom there was no evidence of spontaneous ventilation (i.e. when set and measured respiratory rates were equal). All modes other than volume and pressure control modes were considered to permit spontaneous breathing. Mortality was evaluated at 28-days, ICU and hospital discharge (or to day 90, whichever event occurred first).

Data Management and Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics included proportions for categorical and mean (standard deviation) for continuous variables. The amount of missing data was low ¹⁵, and no assumptions were made for missing data. Data were unadjusted unless specifically stated otherwise. Differences among geo-economic groupings were evaluated using chi-square test (or Fisher exact tests) and ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis test as appropriate. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess normality in data distribution. Bonferroni correction was applied to determine significance in the setting of multiple comparisons.

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to estimate the likelihood of liberation from invasive mechanical ventilation and of hospital mortality within 28 days of AHRF onset. We assumed that patients discharged alive from hospital before 28 days were alive at day 28. The comparison among geo-economic groupings was performed using the log-rank test. We used mixed effect logistic regression to evaluate the association between mortality and ICU-level predictors. We considered a two-level random intercept model for binary responses: the first level was represented by individuals and the second level by the ICUs. Demographic, risk, illness severity and management factors were considered at the first level; gross national income (GNI) per capita, geographic area and ICU characteristics (number of beds, academic ICU, beds per nurse, beds per physician, and percentage of ICU beds in hospital) were used as predictors at the second level. Since some ICUs had few observations to support the normal assumption of the model, we applied the bootstrap method (1,000 samples randomly extracted) to estimate the model parameters, odds ratio (ORs) and the confidence intervals (CIs). The individual predictors (level one) were identified through the stepwise approach (forward and backward selection combined with a significance level of 0.05 both for entry and retention) applied to a logistic regression. These predictors were included in a mixed effect logistic regression model and then, one by one, we evaluated the statistical significance of each ICU variable (level two). Analogously, we applied the mixed effect Poisson regression to evaluate the association between ICU-level predictors and ICU length of stay and duration of invasive mechanical ventilation.

Statistical analyses were performed with R, version 3.0.2 (R Project for Statistical Computing, (http://www.R-project.org) and SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All p-values were two-sided, with p-values <0.05 considered as statistically significant. The study protocol, case report form and full statistical analysis plan are included in *Appendix 3*.

RESULTS

Of the 12,906 patients screened for the LUNG SAFE study, 2,813 (22%) fulfilled ARDS criteria at day 1 or day 2 following enrollment. Of these, 1,521 (54%) were recruited from Europe-High, 746 (27%) from rWORLD-High, and 546 (19%) from Middle countries [*Figure 1*]. ARDS comprised 23% of screened patients in Europe-High, compared to 21% in both rWORLD-High and in Middle countries [*Table 1*]. Overall, (298 of 459) 65% of participating ICUs were in academic hospitals, with a median of 14 beds per ICU, and a median nurse to bed daytime ratio of 0.6, and physician to bed ratio of 0.3. Table 1 details the number of ARDS patients enrolled by country and geo-economic region, and data on the structure and organization of participating ICUs.

Patient Demographic Characteristics

Patients from Europe-High countries were significantly older, and there was a stepwise increase in body mass index in patients from Middle to Europe-High to rWORLD-High countries. Diabetes mellitus, chronic renal failure and liver disease were significantly more frequent in rWORLD-High countries, while congestive heart failure was highest in patients from Middle countries [Figure e1A]. Pneumonia was the dominant risk factor for ARDS across each group [Figure e1B]. Extra-pulmonary sepsis was highest in Middle countries, while differences were also seen regarding, trauma, inhalational injury, pancreatitis, and the absence of risk factors [Figure e1B].

Patient Illness Severity

ARDS severity varied by region, with mild ARDS significantly higher and severe ARDS significantly lower in rWORLD-High compared to the Europe-High or Middle countries [*Table 2; Figure e1C*]. rWORLD-High had a significantly lower proportion of patients with a P/F ratio <150 and a lower proportion of patients with persistent severe ARDS compared to both Europe-High and Middle countries [*Table 2*]. Mean P/F ratios were significantly higher in rWORLD-High while non-pulmonary SOFA scores were lower in Europe-High countries [*Table 2*].

Clinician Recognition of ARDS

Clinician recognition of mild and moderate, but not severe, ARDS was lower in rWORLD-High compared to the Europe-High or Middle countries [*Table 3*].

Ventilator Management of ARDS

In rWORLD-High countries, more patients (66%) received lower tidal volumes ($\leq 8 \text{ mL/kg PBW}$) compared to 62% in Europe-High and Middle countries [*Figure 2A*]. Plateau pressure measurement was highest in patients from Middle countries [*Table 3*]. The distribution of plateau pressures and driving pressures were similar in Europe-High and the rWORLD-High, but differed in Middle countries, with 18% of patients having a driving pressure $<7.5 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ [*Figure 2B-C*]. Over 50% of patients in Middle countries had a PEEP $<7.5 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$, compared to 44% in Europe-High and 40% in rWORLD-High, respectively [*Figure 2D*]. The proportion of patients receiving 'protective' ventilation, defined as a tidal volume $\leq 8 \text{ mL/kg PBW}$ and plateau pressure of $\leq 30 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$, was not different across the geo-economic groupings [*Table 3*]. Spontaneous ventilation in early ARDS was significantly more frequent in patients from rWORLD-High countries compared to Europe-High [*Table 3*]. Inspired oxygen use differed by

region, with lower FiO₂ (FiO₂ \leq 0.4) greater in rWORLD-High countries, and higher FiO₂ more frequent in Europe-High countries [*Figure 2E*]. Hypercapnia was more frequent in patients from Europe-High countries, while hypocapnia was more frequent in patients in Middle countries [*Figure e2A*]. Modes of ventilation differed, with least use of pressure support and greatest use of SIMV in Middle countries. Use of volume and pressure control ventilation modes was similar across the geo-economic groupings [*Figure e2B*].

Use of adjunctive measures

There was no significant variation in the use of NIV [*Figure 2F*]. The use of neuromuscular blockade, and prone positioning in all patients and in the subgroup with a P/F ratio <150 [Table e1] were significantly higher in patients from Europe-High countries. ECMO use in patients was significantly lower in the Middle countries. Use of recruitment maneuvers was lower in rWORLD-High countries. Adjustment for ARDS severity did not explain the regional differences in the use of adjunctive measures.

Outcome from ARDS

Unadjusted duration of invasive ventilation and ICU length of stay were lower in rWORLD-High countries [*Table e2*]. Kaplan-Meier analyses demonstrated significant differences in the probability of weaning from invasive ventilation at each level of ARDS severity [*Figure 3 and e3*]. Probability of weaning was greater in patients from rWORLD-High countries compared to Europe-high (P < 0.001, log rank test) and middle-income countries (P < 0.001, log rank test). Multivariate analyses demonstrated that the adjusted incidence rate ratio for duration of

invasive mechanical ventilation, and ICU length of stay was significantly higher in Europe-High countries, but not Middle countries, compared to rWORLD-High countries [Figure 4; Tables e3-4].

Unadjusted ICU and hospital mortality were both lower in rWORLD-High countries [Table e2].

Kaplan-Meier analyses demonstrate that 28-day survival was highest in rWORLD-High countries, and lowest in Middle countries [Figure 3]. Multivariate analyses demonstrated that the adjusted incidence rate ratio for ICU and hospital survival significantly better in rWORLD-High and Europe-High, countries, compared to Middle countries [Figure 4; Tables e5-6]. There was no impact of differences in approaches to mechanical ventilation on ICU or hospital mortality by geo-economic region [Tables e5-6]. Patient level variables associated with hospital mortality included age, active or hematologic neoplasm, chronic liver failure, acidosis, P/F ratio, non-pulmonary SOFA score, and respiratory rate. There was no independent association between ICU level variables, including ICU size, beds per nurse/physician, and the percentage of

A separate multivariate analysis across all countries (i.e. without any grouping), and using per capita GNI as a continuous variable, demonstrated that outcome from ARDS is independently associated with GDI [*Table 4*]. Respiratory (42%) and cardiovascular failure (37%) were the most common factors leading to ICU death, with no significant geographic variation seen [*Table e7*]. Decisions around limitation of life sustaining measures were less frequent in Middle (17%) compared to Europe-High (27%) or rWORLD (26%) countries.

academic ICUs, and hospital mortality [*Tables e5-6*].

Discussion

In this prospective observational cohort study, we found important differences in ARDS severity patterns, the extent of clinician recognition, and approaches to management of patients with ARDS across geo-economic regions. Patients from Europe-High countries had longer durations of mechanical ventilation and ICU stays compared to patients from rWORLD high countries. We demonstrate for the first time to our knowledge that indices of national socioeconomic status are associated with patient survival from ARDS.

While there were significant demographic differences among the patients from the 3 geoeconomic regions, the impact on patient outcome appears limited. The older age of patients
from Europe-High countries may partly explain the higher unadjusted mortality in this region, as
age was independently associated with hospital mortality in this and in prior studies ¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Of the
pre-existing comorbidities associated with poor outcome, only chronic liver failure, was
different, being higher in rWORLD-High countries. The pattern of critical illness seemed to differ,
with patients from Europe-High countries having greater ARDS severity, and a higher proportion
with persistent severe ARDS, while patients from rWORLD-High countries had more severe
systemic illness. As has previously been demonstrated, both ARDS severity and non-pulmonary
SOFA scores were independently associated with hospital mortality ¹⁹.

The greater use in Europe-High countries of neuromuscular blockade and prone positioning in patients with a P/F ratio <150, may reflect the fact that the evidence for these approaches was largely developed in Europe-High countries ^{20,21}, and may have penetrated to a lesser extent in

the rest of the world. Other possible explanations include the unavailability of cisatracurium in some countries, and lack of 'hands-on' experience with prone positioning. Even in Europe-High countries, however, prone positioning was used in <10% of patients with P/F <150, the population in which proning improves outcome ²⁰. A large clinical trial is being conducted in the US by the PETAL network [ROSE trial, NCT02509078] to address any ongoing scientific uncertainty regarding the efficacy of neuromuscular blockade in ARDS. These variations in the use of adjunctive measures are not explained by differences in ARDS severity profile, by economic differences (with the possible exception of ECMO, which was used less in middle-income countries), or by differences in ARDS recognition. The lack of regional variation in the use of NIV suggests that barriers to the use of adjuncts can be addressed ²². Understanding and addressing local barriers and facilitators to the implementation of simple and relatively inexpensive adjunctive measures, such as prone positioning and neuromuscular blockade, should be prioritized, given their potential to improve survival from ARDS.

Patients from Europe-High countries required longer durations of mechanical ventilation and longer ICU stays compared to patients from countries of similar levels of wealth outside Europe. These differences persisted after adjustment for ARDS severity and other covariates.

Importantly, we did not find any association between ICU level variables and outcome from ARDS, suggesting that the differences in ICU organization and/or staffing do not explain the effect of income. This suggests that differences in approaches to ARDS management may have important implications for these highly patient-centered outcomes. Potential explanations include the fact that the proportion of patients that received larger tidal volumes was lowest in

rWORLD-High countries and highest in Europe-High countries, a concern given that higher tidal volume was associated with worse outcome in these patients²³. The greater use of higher FiO₂ in patients from Europe-High countries is also a concern given the recent demonstration that use of high FiO₂ in the critically ill may be harmful²⁴. Other potential explanations include differences in practices surrounding weaning from ventilation, but we do not have any data to examine this issue. Interestingly, clinician recognition of ARDS was marginally lower in rWORLD countries, although the difference was least marked for severe ARDS. Decision making around limiting life sustaining measures was similar in Europe-High and rWORLD-High countries, so this is unlikely to explain these differences. Better understanding of the reasons underlying differences in the approach to the use of lower tidal volume ventilation, inspired oxygen concentrations, and adjuncts to ventilation, may facilitate further improvement of these patient relevant outcomes in patients with ARDS.

Respiratory failure and cardiovascular failure were the most important factors leading to death in the ICU, across all regions. This contrasts to some degree with prior studies suggesting respiratory failure is less commonly the cause of deaths from ARDS ^{25,26}. However, these studies did define respiratory failure relatively narrowly, confining it to severe gas exchange failure. They also attributed deaths to syndromes such as sepsis as well as to organ failures. We limited the list of possible factors to the different organ systems, and did not ask for a 'cause of death' per. Possible additional explanations for our findings include improvement in outcomes from the shock phases of critical illness ²⁷, and potentially the increasing role of decision making to limit life sustaining measures where these are deemed futile.

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

The demonstration that national-level indices of wealth are associated patient survival from ARDS is a novel and provocative finding. It does not appear to be explained by differences in ICU organization or staffing. This association does not necessarily reflect a different quality of care or resources in the ICU but may reflect a lower access to critical care services or preventative medicine ²⁸. The latter is supported by data that residents from lower income areas have significantly higher rates of ICU admission ²⁹, and often with higher severity of illness ³⁰. These data build on a growing body of evidence demonstrating the effect of socioeconomic status on survival from illness. Similar effects of income on patient survival have been demonstrated in patients with sepsis ^{31,32}, underlining the impact of economic status on outcomes from critical illness. In patients with diabetes, the percentage of deaths attributable to high blood glucose or diabetes that occurs prior to age 70 is higher in low- and middleincome countries than in high-income countries¹. Socioeconomic differences contributed to outcome in patients with COPD in China 7. In an analysis of health administrative data from Ontario, Canada, asthma-specific mortality rates were 60% higher among those in the lowest compared with highest socioeconomic status ³³. In patients following acute myocardial infarction in Italy, lower levels of education were associated with increased odds of 30-day mortality³⁴. Socioeconomic status also contribute to survival rates in patients with breast cancer³⁵. These data present a significant public health challenge, as they further highlight the disadvantages of lower socioeconomic status on outcomes from diverse major medical conditions. Future studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms by which difference in

socioeconomic status mediate differences in outcome, so that targeted interventions can be designed and evaluated.

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

431

432

Limitations: This study has a number of limitations. Our patient cohort is a convenience sample, and therefore may not be representative of actual clinical practice in ICUs across the globe. This could lead to bias in results, particularly where certain types of ICUs e.g. academic ICUs may be over-represented. We did not have any low-income countries in our study, perhaps underlining the resource dense nature of critical care. Our focus on winter months does not allow us to obtain annual incidence figures for ARDS since previous studies have demonstrated an increased incidence of ARDS in the winter months. We did not have access to the source data for the patients in the enrolling ICUs, and it is possible that not all patients with ARDS in participating centers were enrolled. However, enrollment of patients with ARDS from participating ICUs met expectations based on their recorded 2013 admission rates, while data from lower recruiting ICUs was not different from that from higher enrolling ICUs, suggesting the absence of reporting biases. We instituted a robust data quality control program in which all centers were requested to verify data that appeared inconsistent or erroneous. While we have adjusted our analyses to account for known measured confounders, the possibility remains that some of our findings may arise from unmeasured or residual confounding. Lastly, our assumptions that patients discharged from the hospital before day 28 were alive at that time point, and that inpatients at day 90 survived to hospital discharge are further limitations.

Conclusions: Important geo-economic differences exist regarding ARDS severity, clinician recognition of ARDS, and the management of ARDS. An independent association exists between per capita income and outcomes in patients with ARDS.

Acknowledgments:

Funding/Support: This work was funded and supported by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM), Brussels, Belgium, by St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Canada, and by the University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy.

Role of the funding source: The study funders and sponsor had no role in the study design, in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data, or in the writing of the report. JL, FM, GB, TP and EF had access to the raw data. The corresponding author had full access to all of the data and the final responsibility to submit for publication.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors declare no conflicts of interest in relation to the present study. *Author Contributions*: JGL, GB, TP and EF contributed to literature searching, study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, drafting of figures, manuscript writing and revisions. FM contributed to data analysis, figure design and data interpretation. LB, AE, DM, FV, MR, GR, HW, AS and AP contributed to study design, data collection, data interpretation, manuscript writing and revisions. PA, YA, EKB, AB, VC, KC, LH, KK, JHL, JAL, LM, NN, JEP, LP, HQ and JISJ contributed to data collection, data interpretation, manuscript writing and revisions.

474 **REFERENCES**

- 475 1. World Health Organization. Global report on Diabetes; 2016.
- 2. Chamberlain JM, Teach SJ, Hayes KL, Badolato G, Goyal MK. Practice Pattern Variation in the Care of Children With Acute Asthma. *Acad Emerg Med* 2016; **23**(2): 166-70.
- 3. Brooks JM, Cook EA, Chapman CG, et al. Geographic variation in statin use for complex acute myocardial infarction patients: evidence of effective care? *Med Care* 2014; **52 Suppl 3**: S37-44.
- 480 4. Spatz ES, Beckman AL, Wang Y, Desai NR, Krumholz HM. Geographic Variation in Trends and Disparities in Acute Myocardial Infarction Hospitalization and Mortality by Income Levels, 1999-2013. *JAMA Cardiol* 2016; **1**(3): 255-65.
- 5. Chioncel O, Mebazaa A. Characteristics of Intensive Care in Patients Hospitalized for Heart Failure in Europe. *Heart Fail Clin* 2015; **11**(4): 647-56.
- Oldgren J, Healey JS, Ezekowitz M, et al. Variations in cause and management of atrial fibrillation in a
 prospective registry of 15,400 emergency department patients in 46 countries: the RE-LY Atrial
 Fibrillation Registry. *Circulation* 2014; 129(15): 1568-76.
- Yin P, Feng X, Astell-Burt T, et al. Spatiotemporal Variations in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary
 Disease Mortality in China: Multilevel Evidence from 2006 to 2012. COPD 2016; 13(3): 339-44.
- 490 8. Mathur AK, Ashby VB, Sands RL, Wolfe RA. Geographic variation in end-stage renal disease incidence 491 and access to deceased donor kidney transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2010; **10**(4 Pt 2): 1069-80.
- 492 9. Ess S, Savidan A, Frick H, et al. Geographic variation in breast cancer care in Switzerland. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2010; **34**(2): 116-21.
- 494 10. Likosky DS, Al-Attar PM, Malenka DJ, et al. Geographic variability in potentially discretionary red 495 blood cell transfusions after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2014; 496 **148**(6): 3084-9.
- 497 11. Jones WS, Patel MR, Dai D, et al. Temporal trends and geographic variation of lower-extremity
 498 amputation in patients with peripheral artery disease: results from U.S. Medicare 2000-2008. *J Am*499 *Coll Cardiol* 2012; **60**(21): 2230-6.
- 12. Raghupathy A, Nienaber CA, Harris KM, et al. Geographic differences in clinical presentation,
 treatment, and outcomes in type A acute aortic dissection (from the International Registry of Acute
 Aortic Dissection). Am J Cardiol 2008; 102(11): 1562-6.
- 13. Patel MR, Greiner MA, DiMartino LD, et al. Geographic variation in carotid revascularization among Medicare beneficiaries, 2003-2006. *Arch Intern Med* 2010; **170**(14): 1218-25.
- 505 14. Quin JA, Sheng S, O'Brien SM, Welke KF, Grover FL, Shroyer AL. Regional variation in patient risk factors and mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011; **92**(4): 1277-82.
- 507 15. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with 508 acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. *JAMA* 2016; **315**(8): 788-509 800.
- 16. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. *JAMA* 2012; **307**(23): 2526-33.
- 17. Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Peabody E, et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353(16): 1685-93.
- 18. Rubenfeld GD, Herridge MS. Epidemiology and outcomes of acute lung injury. *Chest* 2007; **131**(2): 554-62.
- 19. Brun-Buisson C, Minelli C, Bertolini G, et al. Epidemiology and outcome of acute lung injury in European intensive care units. Results from the ALIVE study. *Intensive Care Med* 2004; **30**(1): 51-61.
- 518 20. Guerin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368**(23): 2159-68.

- 520 21. Papazian L, Forel JM, Gacouin A, et al. Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress 521 syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **363**(12): 1107-16.
- 22. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Non-invasive Ventilation of Patients with ARDS: Insights from the
 LUNG SAFE Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016.
- 23. Laffey JG, Bellani G, Pham T, et al. Potentially modifiable factors contributing to outcome from acute respiratory distress syndrome: the LUNG SAFE study. *Intensive Care Med* 2016; **42**(12): 1865-76.
- 526 24. Girardis M, Busani S, Damiani E, et al. Effect of Conservative vs Conventional Oxygen Therapy on
 527 Mortality Among Patients in an Intensive Care Unit: The Oxygen-ICU Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 528 2016; 316(15): 1583-9.
- 529 25. Stapleton RD, Wang BM, Hudson LD, Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell ES, Steinberg KP. Causes and timing of death in patients with ARDS. *Chest* 2005; **128**(2): 525-32.
- 531 26. Montgomery AB, Stager MA, Carrico CJ, Hudson LD. Causes of mortality in patients with the adult respiratory distress syndrome. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985; **132**(3): 485-9.
- 27. PROCESS Investigators, Yealy DM, Kellum JA, et al. A randomized trial of protocol-based care for early septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **370**(18): 1683-93.
- 535 28. Gabriel LE, Bailey MJ, Bellomo R, et al. Insurance status and mortality in critically ill patients. *Crit* 536 *Care Resusc* 2016; **18**(1): 43-9.
- 537 29. Garland A, Olafson K, Ramsey CD, Yogendran M, Fransoo R. Epidemiology of critically ill patients in intensive care units: a population-based observational study. *Crit Care* 2013; **17**(5): R212.
- 30. Bein T, Hackner K, Zou T, et al. Socioeconomic status, severity of disease and level of family
 members' care in adult surgical intensive care patients: the prospective ECSSTASI study. *Intensive Care Med* 2012; **38**(4): 612-9.
- 31. Vincent JL, Marshall JC, Namendys-Silva SA, et al. Assessment of the worldwide burden of critical illness: the intensive care over nations (ICON) audit. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014; **2**(5): 380-6.
- 32. Schnegelsberg A, Mackenhauer J, Nibro HL, Dreyer P, Koch K, Kirkegaard H. Impact of socioeconomic
 status on mortality and unplanned readmission in septic intensive care unit patients. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2016; **60**(4): 465-75.
- 33. To T, Simatovic J, Zhu J, et al. Asthma deaths in a large provincial health system. A 10-year population-based study. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014; **11**(8): 1210-7.

- 34. Cafagna G, Seghieri C. Educational level and 30-day outcomes after hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction in Italy. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017; **17**(1): 18.
- 35. Nattinger AB, Wozniak EM, McGinley EL, Li J, Laud P, Pezzin LE. Socioeconomic Disparities in
 Mortality Among Women With Incident Breast Cancer Before and After Implementation of Medicare
 Part D. Med Care 2016.

556	Figure legends:
557	Figure 1: Flowchart of the study population
558	§ Patients could have more than one cause for AHRF
559	‡ This is the number of patients included in the primary analysis
560	
561	Figure 2: Ventilator management of patients with ARDS by geo-economic region, including
562	distribution of tidal volume (<i>Panel A</i>), plateau pressures (<i>Panel B</i>), driving pressures (<i>Panel C</i>),
563	PEEP (<i>Panel D</i>), fractional inspired oxygen (<i>Panel E</i>), and adjunctive measures (<i>Panel F</i>).
564	* Indicates between region differences
565	
566	Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier survival curves of probability of weaning from mechanical ventilation
567	(Panel A), and of hospital survival (Panel B) by geo-economic region.
568	Note: Patients discharged before Day 28 were assumed to be alive and off invasive MV on that
569	day.
570	HR: Hazard ratio; HRs were estimated through Cox Proportional Hazard Models and we used
571	rWORLD-HIGH as the reference category.
572	
573	
574	Figure 4: Plots of the adjusted IRRs and 95% confidence intervals for duration of mechanical
575	ventilation and ICU length of stay (<i>Panel A</i>), and adjusted ORs and 95% confidence intervals of
576	ICU and hospital mortality (<i>Panel B</i>) for each geo-economic area.
577	Note: rWORLD-High is the reference point for Panel A and Middle as reference for Panel B.

578	Abbreviation: IRR, incidence rate ratio; OR, odds ratio.
579	
580	
581	ONLINE FIGURES
582	
583	Figure e1: Patient demographics and ARDS severity profile by geo-economic region.
584	Panel A: Frequency of pre-existing comorbidities.
585	Panel B: Frequency of ARDS risk factors.
586	Panel C: Frequency of ARDS severity categories.
587	* Indicates between region differences
588	
589	Figure e2: Distribution of arterial PCO ₂ tensions (<i>Panel A</i>) and modes of ventilation (<i>Panel B</i>)
590	by geo-economic region.
591	* Indicates between region differences
592	
593	Figure e3: Kaplan-Meier survival curves of probability of weaning from mechanical ventilation
594	(Panel A), and of hospital survival (Panel B) in patients with mild, moderate and severe ARDS by
595	geo-economic region
596	Note: Patients discharged before Day 28 were assumed to be alive and off invasive MV on that
597	day.
598	HR: Hazard ratio; HRs were estimated through Cox Proportional Hazard Models and we used
599	rWORLD-HIGH as the reference category.