

Incremental model learning for spectroscopy-based food analysis

Diaz, K., Georgouli, K., Koidis, A., & Martinez Del Rincon, J. (2017). Incremental model learning for spectroscopy-based food analysis. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemolab.2017.06.002

Published in: Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal: Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights

© 2017 Elsevier B. V. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/,which permits distribution and reproduction for noncommercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Incremental model learning for spectroscopy-based food analysis

Katerine Diaz^a, Konstantia Georgouli^b, Anastasios Koidis^b, Jesus Martinez Del Rincon^{c,*}

^aComputer Vision Centre, Universidad Autonoma de Barcelona, Spain ^bInstitute for Global Food Security, Queens University Belfast, UK ^cInstitute of Electronics, Communications and Information Technology, Queens University Belfast, UK

Abstract

In this paper we propose the use of incremental learning for creating and improving multivariate analysis models in the field of chemometrics of spectral data. As main advantages, our proposed incremental subspace-based learning allows creating models faster, progressively improving previously created models and sharing them between laboratories and institutions without requiring transferring or disclosing individual spectra samples. In particular, our approach allows to improve the generalization and adaptability of previously generated models with a few new spectral samples to be applicable to real-world situations. The potential of our approach is demonstrated using vegetable oil type identification based on spectroscopic data as case study. Results show how incremental models maintain the accuracy of batch learning methodologies while reducing their computational cost and handicaps.

Keywords: Incremental model learning, IGDCV technique, Subspace based learning, Identification, Vegetable oils, FT-IR spectroscopy 2010 MSC: 00-01, 99-00

Preprint submitted to Journal of LATEX Templates

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: kdiaz@cvc.uab.es (Katerine Diaz), kgeorgouli01@qub.ac.uk (Konstantia Georgouli), t.koidis@qub.ac.uk (Anastasios Koidis),

j.martinez-del-rincon@qub.ac.uk (Jesus Martinez Del Rincon)

1 1. Introduction

In the last decade the use of chemometrics in food analysis is steadily gro-2 wing. This is caused because the output of most analytical methods is nowadays 3 multivariate data matrices (spectroscopic, chromatographic/mass spectrometry data, isotopic, sensorial, etc) which cannot be manually analysed and demand appropriate chemometric analysis in order to process and capture the most important and relevant information in the data. Selection of multivariate methods 7 (e.g. classification methods) however is often limited to a set of well known 8 standard methods (e.g. PLS-DA and SIMCA classification methods) and researchers are faced with some persisting problem with the chemometric models 10 that they generate [1]. 11

Among these problems that must be addressed, the generality of the models 12 created to new conditions is the most important one. While extensive research 13 has been done to create models under controlled conditions, for a small problem 14 or dataset, the applicability of those models in real world -e.g. in food testing 15 in the food industry or in routine analysis in a regulated testing laboratory-16 is very scarce. This is due to the overfitting of the model to the calibration 17 set when only one instrument, one analytical laboratory or, in general, one set 18 of assumptions are taken into consideration to create the models. Thus, when 19 these models are tested in other slightly different conditions, they report much 20 lower performances than the expected one. Recalibrating or recreating similar 21 models to work in those situations may be an extremely arduous task, with a 22 similar time and effort scale to the design, and tuning of the first model. 23

To avoid a full recalibration, model updating and calibration transfer techniques have been proposed to cover the transfer of multivariate classification models between different spectrometers [2, 3], temperatures [3, 4], harvesting seasons [4] and even different geographical regions [5]. Calibration transfer techniques [2] allow mapping the new spectra to the primary model spectra domain by calculating a transformation matrix from one domain to the other. Different calibration transfer techniques have been recently explored in chemical sensor

arrays to overcome inherent sensor variability [6, 7, 8]. Only a small set of sam-31 ples are required to be measured in both the primary and secondary conditions. 32 However, in many applications it is not realistic that exactly the same sample 33 can be measured, e.g. the same food sample from two different geographical lo-34 cations. More interesting are methods based on model updating by augmenting 35 sample spectra from a new condition. While many sample would normally be 36 required to span to the new conditions [4], which amounts to a full recalibra-37 tion, approaches based on Tikhonov regularisation (TR) [3, 5] only needs a few 38 samples to update the model. As disadvantage, TR still requires access to the 39 initial samples to recompute the updated model, with the consequent compu-40 tational cost of involving all samples in the optimisation, and its performance 41 heavily relies on a meta-parameter that controls the balance between the initial 42 model and the augmented samples, and which can only be tuned empirically. 43 Finally, some recursive learning approaches [9, 10] propose a framework where 44 both incremental and decremental stages are used to improve the initial model. 45 However, to fully exploit their potential and being able to remove old samples, 46 access to the initial samples is also required. 47

Moreover, new samples are analysed on a routine basis and new data is ge-48 nerated including cases when new component classes are needed to be created 49 (in authentication/adulteration studies, in traceability, proximate analysis pre-50 diction etc). As a result, existing and validated models may stop being useful 51 and/or applicable. It is then necessary to retrain them. However, this requires 52 access to the original samples, which may be lost or unavailable. Similarly, if 53 an external laboratory, or other third party such as a company or an institution 54 wishes to improve an existing model, the access to the original samples may 55 be tricky or impossible, with privacy or confidentiality issues playing a role. In 56 all these previously described situations, it is clear that evolving a chemometric 57 model may be a better solution than recreating or retraining it as a full new 58 batch. This will only require access to the existing models and the new samples. 59 It will also be a more efficient manner to store the information, reducing the 60 memory and physical space required and it can potentially decrease the time to 61

62 create an improved model.

While incremental learning has been used and proposed in other fields [11, 63 12, 13, 9, 10, its intrinsic advantages have been scarcely exploited in the field 64 of food analysis and chemometrics [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. Bhattacharyya et 65 al. [14, 15] applied neural networks for identification of seven different black 66 tea classes. Their incremental approach allow to add new classes of black tea 67 to the original set. In Tudu et al. (2009) [16], the same researchers applied 68 incremental fuzzy logic to the black tea identification. Cernuda et al. [17, 18, 19] 69 proposed a flexible fuzzy inference system for the monitor of the concentration 70 of sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4) , sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4) and zinc sulfate $(ZnSO_4)$ in 71 viscose production and in the melamine resin production process, which allows 72 online adaptation of parameters and structural changes in the model. However, 73 techniques based on neural networks and fuzzy logic are scarcely used in food 74 science, reducing the impact of these incremental approaches, and they require 75 huge amounts of calibration samples to generate the calibration models, which 76 is unlikely for most food analysis scenarios. 77

In this paper we aim to extend the use of incremental learning in the field 78 of food analysis and chemometrics. Among the variety of incremental learning 79 techniques, we have chosen subspace based learning as the family of machine 80 learning to apply due to their proved ability to evolve online [13], the ability 81 to generate efficient models using a reduced number of calibration samples, 82 and the extensive use of some of the basic subspace based methods such as 83 Principal Component Analysis (PCA), and Soft independent modelling of class 84 analogies (SIMCA)- in food science [20, 21], both for exploratory analysis [22] 85 and classification [23, 24, 25]. Thus, the present work introduces the use of an 86 incremental subspace based learning technique, called Incremental Generalized 87 Discriminative Common Vectors (IGDCV), which allows efficiently adding new 88 data samples and classes to a knowledge base. In this way, our methodology 89 is able to update the model to the new scenario without recalculating the full 90 projection or accessing the previously processed calibration data, while retaining 91 the previously acquired knowledge. Our approach is evaluated using vegetable 92

oil type identification [22, 26, 27, 28] as case study and results are compared
against a non incremental learning technique, i.e. an equivalent batch method.
Three different incremental scenarios are tested in this application area: when
new samples are available to improve the model, when new classes must be
identified by the model, and when new instruments are used in the identification
process.

99 2. Incremental Learning Framework

Several incremental feature extraction based on linear subspace methods 100 have been proposed and used on many practical applications. Among them, we 101 find the Incremental approaches of the PCA [29], Linear Discriminant Analysis 102 (LDA) [30] and DCV [31]. While PCA-based incremental approaches are simple 103 and versatile, they are not optimal for discrimination and classification purposes 104 since no class information is used to obtain principal components which may lead 105 to unsuited subspaces. On the contrary, LDA is a supervised technique which 106 makes use of the class information to obtain the most discriminative space by 107 maximizing the distance between classes while minimizing the distance between 108 the samples within the same class. However, LDA-based approaches cannot 109 be applied when the dimension of the sample space is larger than the number 110 of samples in the calibration set, since the within-class scatter matrix will be 111 singular. This problem is known as the Small Sample Size SSS problem [32], 112 and it is frequent in spectroscopic and chromatographic application, where the 113 number of variables per sample is in the order of thousands while the total 114 number of samples used for calibration rarely goes above the hundreds [22]. 115

Among the approaches that have been proposed to solve the SSS problem, the Generalized Discriminative Common Vectors (GDCV) has been proved [13] to provide discriminative subspaces for classification regardless of the SSS assumption. GDCV is a variation of LDA [33, 34] which introduces the idea of approximate extended null and reduced range subspaces of the within-class scatter matrix. Given the good performance of GDCV batch approaches, we proposed the use of Incremental GDCV [13] as the base of our online learning framework for food analysis, where new information is added while retaining the previously acquired knowledge, without accessing the previously processed calibration data.

126 2.1. IGDCV

Formally, let the calibration set X be composed of c classes, where every class j has m_j samples. The total number of samples in the calibration set is $M = \sum_{j=1}^{c} m_j$. Let x_j^i be a d-dimensional column vector which denotes the i^{th} sample from the j^{th} class. The within-class scatter matrix, S_w^X , is defined as,

$$S_w^X = \sum_{j=1}^c \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} (x_j^i - \overline{x}_j) (x_j^i - \overline{x}_j)^T = X_c X_c^T$$
(1)

131

where \overline{x}_j is the average of the samples in the j^{th} class, and the centered data matrix, X_c consists of column vectors $(x_j^i - \overline{x}_j)$ for all j = 1...c and $i = 1...m_j$.

The extension of the null space of S_w^X (which implies restricting the corresponding range space) is done from the Eigen-Value Decomposition (EVD) of S_w^X .

$$EVD(S_w^X): U_r \Lambda_r U_r^T \tag{2}$$

where $U_r \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ are the eigenvectors associated to the nonzero eigenvalues Λ_r . The scattering added to the null space can be measured as the trace $tr(U_{\alpha}^T S_w^X U_{\alpha})$. This quantity is up to $tr(S_w^X)$ when no directions are removed, $U_{\alpha} = U_r$, and decreases as more and more important directions disappear from U_r . Consequently, the scattering preserved after a projection, U_{α} , can be written as follows

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{tr(U_{\alpha}^T S_w^X U_{\alpha})}{tr(S_w^X)}$$
(3)

144

The projection basis fulfilling the above conditions for a given value of α can be obtained through U_r , such that r is reassigned. The α value is the main parameter of GDCV, which can be tuned by using cross-validation over the training set. The GDCV method can be the summarized as

- 149 1. Obtain U_{α} such that $S_w^X = U_r \Lambda_r U_r^T$, where Λ_{α} contains the smallest 150 eigenvalues in Λ_r and $tr(\Lambda_{\alpha}) = \alpha \cdot tr(\Lambda_r)$
- 2. Project class means as $x_{gcv}^j = \overline{x}_j U_\alpha U_\alpha^T \overline{x}_j$. These are the so-called generalized common vectors of each class.
- 153 3. Define $X^{com} = [x_{gcv}^1 \dots x_{gcv}^c]$ and let X_c^{com} be its centered version with 154 regard to the mean, $\overline{x}_{gcv} = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{j=1}^{c} x_{gcv}^j$

4. Obtain the projection $W \in \Re^{d \times (c-1)}$ such that $tr(W^T X_c^{com} X_c^{com} W)$ is maximum.

Thus, by using the projection matrix W, any sample x_i can be projected in the discriminative subspace gdcv for an easier classification, according to

$$x_i^{gdcv} = W^T \cdot (xi - \overline{x}_{gcv}) \tag{4}$$

In an incremental learning scenario, once an initial dataset X has been used to obtain U_{α} , Λ_{α} and W, a new set of sample Y will be available in a later stage to improve the learned projection. This new set of data Y may be composed of a single sample or several ones that may belong to pre existing classes or to fully new categories. In the general case, the new dataset Y consists of n_j samples from each class, resulting in a total of $N = \sum_{j=1}^{c} n_j$ new samples to be considered in the learning process.

The IGDCV method allows obtaining U'_{α} , Λ'_{α} and W' corresponding to the new complete dataset, $[X \ Y]$, without having to reapply the GDCV algorithm to $[X \ Y]$. Instead, they will be obtained incrementally by adding the effect of new data, Y, into the previous solution corresponding to X, such that

$$S_w^Z = S_w^X + Y_c Y_c^T + A A^T \tag{5}$$

where Y_c consists of column vectors $(y_j^i - \overline{y}_j)$ for all $j = 1 \dots c$ and $i = 1 \dots n_j$. $A = [a_1 \dots a_c]$ is a matrix whose columns are the c weighted average differences given by

$$a_j = \sqrt{\frac{m_j n_j}{m_j + n_j}} (\overline{x}_j - \overline{y}_j), \qquad j = 1 \dots c$$
(6)

171

The IGDCV algorithm is summarized as

Algorithm 1. IGDCV Algorithm

Parameter: α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ Input: $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^c$, $N = \sum_{j=1}^c n_j$ From previous iteration: $U_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, $\Lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, $\overline{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^c$ Output: $U'_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r'}$, $\Lambda'_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{r' \times r'}$, $\overline{x}'_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\{m'_j\}_{j=1}^c$ Method:

1. Compute \overline{y}_j , Y_c , A2. Compute $V = orth([Y_c \ A] - U_\alpha U_\alpha^T [Y_c \ A])$ 3. Build $M_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + [U_\alpha \ V]^T Y_c Y_c^T [U_\alpha \ V] + [U_\alpha \ V]^T A A^T [U_\alpha \ V]$ 4. Compute R and Λ' by eigendecomposing M_α 5. Compute $\beta = (1 - \alpha) \frac{tr(\Lambda_r)}{tr(\Lambda')} + \alpha$ 6. Split R and Λ' in R_β and Λ_β by β 7. Let $U'_\alpha = [U_\alpha \ V] R_\beta$ and $\Lambda'_\alpha = \Lambda_\beta$ 8. Update: $m'_j = m_j + n_j, \ j = 1, \dots, c$ $\overline{x}'_j = (m_j \overline{x}_j + n_j \overline{y}_j)/m'_j$ 9. Project class means as $x^j_{gcv} = \overline{x}'_j - U'_\alpha U'^T_\alpha \overline{x}'_j$.

Figure 1: Incremental Generalized Discriminant Common Vector (IGDCV) algorithm.

172

If some of the data vectors in Y correspond to new classes which are not present in X, the expressions of the IGDCV algorithm are valid by extending the value of c and setting $m_j = 0$ in X for all new classes. Both if m_j or n_j are zero for any class j, the corresponding mean is undefined and the corresponding column in A, a_j , should be set to zero. If all data vectors in Y correspond to ¹⁷⁸ new classes, then the whole matrix A is the zero matrix and can be removed ¹⁷⁹ from all expressions.

The overall cost of the IGDCV is dominated by the cost of step 7 in Fig. 1, $O(dr'^2)$ where r' is the expected rank of the range space preserved that heavily depends on the parameter α .

183 2.2. Classification

After applying IGDCV, samples can be projected into a discriminative sub-184 space where meaningful conclusion can be extracted, if used as exploratory 185 analysis, or an automatic classification can be achieved. The performed super-186 vised learning ensures that the different classes to be recognized are as separate 187 as possible, making the classification problem very simple, since the complexity 188 of the problem has been moved to the previous stage. Thus, we have coupled 189 our incremental subspace learning with a k-Nearest Neighbors (kNN) classifier 190 in order to provide this functionality. 191

Two advantages are derived from the use of the KNN classifier. First, given its simplicity, the performance of IGDCV will be directly reflected in the experiments, which could otherwise be masked by a more complex classifier. Second, since no calibration is required in KNN, the online learning of the classifier will be automatic when the subspace is updated.

¹⁹⁷ 3. Case of study

In order to evaluate the potential and advantages of incremental learning, 198 the problem of identifying vegetable oil types using spectroscopic analysis was 199 chosen as case study. This is a relevant case of study [22, 26, 27, 28, 25] brought 200 into attention due to European Regulation 1169/2011, which requires producers 201 of foods that contain refined vegetable oil blends to label the oil types. In this 202 context, deliberate or accidental errors in the label are common, leading to con-203 sumer misinformation [21], so automatic identification and verification of the 204 provided information is required. From an analytical point of view, testing an 205

²⁰⁶ unknown vegetable oil to identify its origin and composition is a very difficult ²⁰⁷ task [27, 35], but where spectroscopy -such as FTIR- and subspace-based met-²⁰⁸ hods have demonstrated their capabilities [22]. However, the performed single ²⁰⁹ lab validation [22, 36, 37] of current approaches, which is common but undesi-²¹⁰ rable in the field, indicates that the real performance in realistic conditions may ²¹¹ be far from the reported accuracy.

212 4. Materials and methods

213 4.1. Samples

A data set of 630 vegetable oil samples was used in this study. Two different 214 classification problems are considered with respect to the number of classes. 215 Calibration models were developed for 6 classes and 12 classes of vegetable oils 216 (see Table 1). For the 6-class problem, the classes to be predicted are labelled 217 as PO: palm oil /palm stearin /palm olein, RS: sunflower /rapeseed oil and 218 their mixtures, PKOC: palm kernel oil /coconut oil and binary mixtures of the 219 above. For the 12-class problem, the classes are PO: palm oil /palm stearin 220 /palm olein, RO: rapeseed, SO: sunflower, PKO: palm kernel, CCO: coconut, 221 and all the binary combinations of the above oils. The 12-class model provides 222 more resolution because it clearly distinguishes between the individual botanical 223 origins, and it is therefore a more complex problem, while the 6-class modeld 224 groups some origins together according to their similarities. This allows us to 225 test our approach at to different levels of complexity, which are related to the 226 expected level of resolution to be detected. 227

228 4.2. FT-IR spectral acquisition

The acquisition of most FT-IR spectra samples was performed using a Nicolet iS5 Thermo spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dublin, Ireland) equipped with a DTGS KBr detector and a KBr beam splitter. Spectra were acquired from 4000 to 550 cm-1 co-adding 32 interferograms at 4 cm-1 resolution with

	Class	Samples		Class	Samples
1	РО	104	1	РО	104
2	\mathbf{RS}	114	2	RO	36
3	PKOC	36	3	SO	23
4	RS-PKOC	83	4	PKO	26
5	RS-PO	181	5	CCO	10
6	PO-PKOC	112	6	RO-PO	98
			7	SO-PO	83
			8	RO-PKO	51
			9	SO-PKO	32
			10	RO-SO	55
			11	PO-PKO	66
			12	PO-CCO	46

Table 1: Different oil types for the 6 and 12-class problem.

a diamond attenuated total reflectance (iD5 ATR) accessory. Absorbance values were recorded at each spectrum point. The final sample spectrum was the
average of three replicates with initial 7157 data points.

Through an interlaboratory experiment sixteen extra FT-IR instruments 236 were used to acquire several extra oil spectra, as shown in Table 2. A total of 23 nine samples including pure oils and oil admixtures were prepared in our lab and 238 sent to each of the instruments participated to collect spectra representatives 239 of most classes with all instruments. The acquisition parameters have been 240 harmonized so that they are compatible with every FT-IR instrument. Linear 241 interpolation was applied to spectra from different instruments in order to get 242 the desirable number of variables. 243

244 4.3. Data pre-treatment

The resulting FT-IR spectral profiles underwent some typical preprocessing techniques in order to reduce or remove any random or systematic variation in the data [38]. Five steps are involved in this phase. Specifically, prior to the application of the multivariate models, Standard Normal Variate (SNV) [39], first order derivative [40], S-Golay filter [41] [polynomial order=2,frame size=9] and

Id	Participant	FT-IR Instrument	Detector	Year	Samples
1	Our lab (Institute for glo-	Thermo Fisher Scien-	DTGS	2012	486
	bal food security, QUB)	tific Nicolet iS5			
2	Teagasc, Food Research	Bio-Rad Excalibur	DTGS	2001	9
	Centre	FTS 3100			
3	PerkinElmer Ltd	PerkinElmer	DTGS	2012	9
		Spectrum 2			
4	PerkinElmer Ltd	PerkinElmer Frontier	DTGS	2013	9
5	Brennan and Co.	Bruker Alpha	DTGS	2013	9
6	Public Analyst Scientific	PerkinElmer	LiTaO3	2007	9
	Services	Spectrum 100			
7	LGC Limited (UK)	PerkinElmer	DTGS	2001	9
		Spectrum One			
8	Premier Analytical Servi-	Bio-Rad Excalibur	DTGS	2002	9
	ces (Premierfoods)	FTS300MX			
9	Institute of Food Rese-	Nicolet MagnaIR 860	DTGS	1998	9
	arch (IFR)	Ŭ			
10	Institute of Food Rese-	Bio-Rad FTS6000	DTGS	1996	9
	arch (IFR)				
11	Institute of Food Rese-	Thermo Fisher	DTGS	2011	9
	arch (IFR)	Scientific Nicolet			
		iN10MX/iZ10			
12	Shimadzu (Mason	Shimadzu IRA nity-1S	DLaTGS	n/a	9
	Technology)	~		/	
13	Antech(IRE)	Thermo Fisher Scien-	DLaTGS	n/a	9
)	tific TruDefender FTX		/	
14	Agri-Food and Bioscien-	PerkinElmer	MIR	n/a	9
	ces Institute (AFBI)	Spectrum One	TGS	ii/ u	v
15	Walloon Agricultural Be-	Bruker Vertex 70	DLaTCS	2007	9
10	search Centre (CRA W)	Diukei vertex 10	DLaTOS	2001	5
16	Walloon Agricultural Po	Bruker Vertex 70	DLaTCS	2012	9
10	sourch Contro (CRA W)	DIUKEI VEITEX (U	DLarG5	2012	3
17	Walloon Agricultural Da	Bruker Verter 70	MCT	2012	0
11	wanoon Agricultural Re-	DIUKEI VEILEX (U		2012	3
	search Centre (CKA-W)				

Table 2: Instruments for the interlaboratory experiment. (Note: $\mathrm{N/a}$ - not available)

Pareto scaling [42] were applied for removing the scatter, correcting the baseline, 250 smoothing the data points and scaling the data for preventing the dominance of 251 high absorbances respectively. At the end of this preprocessing procedure, the 252 irrelevant spectra area was cut out by selecting only the wavelengths between 253 654.23 and 1875.43 cm-1 and between 2520.02 and 3120.74 cm-1, corresponding 254 to relevant fatty acid involved in oil identification [22, 25]. In total, 3781 varia-255 bles are resulted. All chemometric data preprocessing was performed by means 256 of in-house Matlab routines (The MathWorks Inc., USA). 257

258 5. Results

Using our case of study, three scenarios where the potential of incremental 259 learning is relevant will be tested. In the first scenario, an oil type identification 260 model is trained with a few calibration samples. After this initial calibration, 261 new samples for each of the oil types to identify become available and are added 262 to the model for improving the initial performance. In the second scenario, a 263 simple model is initially trained to distinguish between just two oil types, and 264 then extended to identify new oil types, up to 12. In the third scenario, the oil 265 type identification model created by a single lab and using a single spectroscopy 266 analyser is extended and enhanced to be effective when used in other laboratories 267 and instruments. 268

For comparison purposes, the batch version of IGDCV, batch GDCV, is 269 used as a baseline. By using the exact batch equivalent version, we ensure the 270 comparison is performed in the same conditions. The batch version requires to 271 recreate the model every time that several, or even one single sample is available 272 and added to the calibration set and therefore, access to the original samples 273 is always obliged. The aim is then to ensure the same or similar classification 274 performance to the batch method while reducing the computational time and 275 276 removing the requirement of having access to the original calibration samples by the incremental approach. The α parameter was empirically optimised in 277 the range (0, 0.3] with steps of 0.01 for each scenario, so that the batch GDCV 278

provided the best accuracy result prior to any incremental step or addition of any new data. Then, the same value of α is used for both GDCV and IGDCV and keep constant over all the iterations. Thus, we aim to simulate a carefully fined-tuned initial pre-existing model to be further evolved.

283 5.1. First scenario: New samples

In this experiment, we simulate a scenario where, for a given problem, an 284 initial dataset is captured and the corresponding model is created. Then, new 285 samples become available for calibration at different stages that can be used to 286 improve the initial model and its performance. To do so, the 6 classes dataset is 287 used. Cross validation is applied as evaluation protocol to avoid bias regarding 288 the chosen samples. Ten iterations are performed, each with a random 70/30289 split, i.e. the dataset is divided in 70% for calibration and 30% for validation 290 in each iteration with no overlap between calibration and validation sets to 291 avoid bias in the results. Results are then averaged over the splits to generate 292 the final value. From the calibration samples, initially only 12 samples with 293 representatives of all classes are used to generate a model. Then, in incremental 294 step of 4 samples each, the model is evolved. 295

296

Fig. 2 shows the results of both incremental and batch methods, with the 297 preserved scattering parameter set to $\alpha = 0.13$. As expected, models perform 298 better as more calibration samples are available for learning from. Regarding 200 the incremental learning, it can be observed how the accuracy of the incremental 300 approach does not suffer, when compared with the batch algorithm, from not 301 having access to the initial samples but only to the previous model. Moreover, 302 when comparing the computational time required to generate the models (see 303 Fig. 2b), one can notice the great difference in efficiency of using an incremental 304 method regarding regenerating larger and larger models from scratch. 305

306 5.2. Second scenario: New classes

In this experiment, we simulate a scenario where a model has been created for a simpler identification problem that is then extended to cope with a more



(b) Training (TR) time

Figure 2: Batch GDCV and incremental IGDCV methods regarding new samples. Scattering parameter $\alpha = 0.13$.

complex problem. In the initial model, only 2 different oils are expected to be
distinguished (Oil 1, 2) and this is incrementally evolved to identify more and
more classes up to the total of the 12 species.

Similarly to the previous scenario, cross validation is also used as evaluation protocol, where 10 iterations are performed, each with a random 70/30 split, i.e. the 70% of the samples from each class is used for calibration and 30% are reserved for validation. Results are then averaged over the splits to generate the final value and the dispersion bar. In each iteration step, all calibration samples for a new class are added to the previous model.

318

Fig. 3 shown the results of both incremental and batch method. As expected, the more classes must be identified, the more complex the problem and, therefore, the accuracy decreases. Similarly to scenario 1, the potential of incremental learning is stated again by conserving the accuracy of the batch approach while reducing drastically the computational time and the access to the initial samples.

325 5.3. Third scenario: New instruments

In this scenario, we demonstrate the potential of the incremental learning to generalise previously existing models so that they can then be used by others laboratories using different instruments.

It has been shown that models created under controlled conditions, e.g. from 329 a single calibration set when only one instrument was used, perform poorly 330 when operating in real world conditions and report much lower performances 331 than what it is expected from them. This can be corroborated by generating a 332 model trained with 70% of the samples from instruments 1 (see Table 2). This 333 model is first tested with the remaining 30% of the samples belonging to the very 334 same instruments, and then tested with the samples from all other instruments. 335 Similarly to previous scenarios, cross validation is used as evaluation protocol, 336 where 10 random iterations are performed. Results for the 6 and 12 classes 337 problems are depicted in Table 3. 338



Figure 3: Batch GDCV and incremental IGDCV methods regarding new classes. Scattering parameter $\alpha=0.07.$

Table 3: Accuracy of GDCV model when using (2nd column) samples of the same instrument in the test set, and (3rd column) samples of different instruments in the test set to the instrument used in calibration.

Classes	Same Inst. in Test	New Inst. in Test
6	0.72 ± 0.04	0.28 ± 0.06
12	0.62 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.03

It can be noticed how an apparently good model, with reported accuracies 60-70%, underperforms dramatically under more complicated environments or conditions. It is therefore clear the necessity of improving an existing model in order to operate more broadly.

We simulate this situation in this third scenario, where we evaluate the po-343 tential of incremental learning to improve the generality of a previously created 344 model initially created in a single laboratory. An initial model is trained with 345 all samples from a single instrument. Then, the samples of a new instrument 346 are added in a first step to evolve the model, followed by incremental steps of 347 all samples belonging to new 2 instruments in each step. Two experiments are 348 performed, one where the model has to identify 6 classes and the other one with 349 12 classes. Cross validation is used, repeating the experiment 10 times, where 350 different instruments are randomly left out for the validation. In the 6 classes 351 experiment, all samples from 3 different instruments are reserved for evaluating 352 the system and up to 14 instruments are used in calibration. In the 12 classes 353 experiment, all samples from 5 different instruments are kept for evaluating the 354 system and up to 12 instruments are used in calibration. 355

356

Fig. 4 shown the results of both incremental and batch method. It can be noticed how using more instruments and collaborating between different labs allows to radically improved the performance of a given method. Both 6 and 12 class experiments behave similarly with slightly lower performance in the 12 classes due to the higher difficulty of the problem. We can see how



(b) 12 Classes

Figure 4: Accuracy (ACC) rate of the batch GDCV and the incremental IGDCV regarding new samples from new instruments. Scattering parameter $\alpha = 0.02$.

the incremental learning allows not only replicating the batch results but also it improves them regarding computational time, Fig. 5. It is also important to notice, how only a few samples from new instruments are needed (only 9 samples are available, see Table2) in our approach to improve significantly the final accuracy.

367

368 5.4. IGDCV as exploratory analysis tool

Apart from the benefits of using the IGDCV that were described earlier, 369 IGDCV can also be used as an exploratory analysis tool, similarly to PCA 370 [22]. In this regard, projecting the samples in the learned IGDCV can provide 371 valuable information regarding the complexity of the problem, the likelihood 372 of the model to accurately predict the correct answer and the quality of the 373 samples. Furthermore, its incremental nature provides an extra functionality 374 not available in PCA, GDCV or other batch methods, since once a model is 375 created, a specific new sample(s) can be assessed in terms of its adequacy to be 376 included in the analysis and/or in the calibration set of the following iteration 377 of the model. 378

Figure 6 shows the evolution of the model for the 6 class problem in the first scenario, i.e. when samples are incrementally added. It can be observed how, while in the first space it is not very clear what are pure or admixture oil samples due to lack of data, this relationship is clearer the more online learning iterations occurs and more relevant samples are added.

Figure 7 shows the evolution of the model for the 12 class problem in the 384 second scenario, i.e. when samples belonging to new classes are incrementally 385 added. It can be observed how the complexity of the problem grows: while in 386 the first space the 3 classes could be easily identified and separated, the space 387 is more cluttered when the number of classes increases. This visualization can 388 be used to decide which classes could not be resolved, and therefore should be 389 excluded, due to their similar properties which are translated in their overlap 390 in the space. 391



(b) 12 Classes

Figure 5: Training (TR) time of the batch GDCV and the incremental IGDCV regarding new samples from new instruments. Scattering parameter $\alpha = 0.02$.







(c) After 400 samples have been incrementally learned

Figure 6: Samples projected into the two discriminant dimensions of the learned subspace, for the first scenario where samples are added incrementally (6-class problem)



(b) After 4 classes have been incrementally learned



(c) After 9 classes have been incrementally learned

Figure 7: Samples projected into the two discriminant dimensions of the learned subspace, for the second scenario where classes are added incrementally (12-class problem)

Finally, Figure 8 shows the evolution of the model for the 6 class problem 392 in the third scenario, i.e. when samples belonging to new instruments are in-393 crementally added. It can be seen how the initial model is clearly insufficient 394 to solve the problem and how adding more and more instruments seems a good 395 idea to improve discrimination between classes. It could also be used to decide 396 in which moment adding more instruments may not be convenient anymore, 397 since the subspace will not evolve further, as seen between the second and third 398 projections. Please notice how this visualization correlates with the quantitative 399 results in Figure 4, where accuracy improvement reduces after 3 iterations, i.e. 400 6 instruments. 401

As can be seen, by using a incremental method for exploratory analysis, 402 relevant information is provided to food scientist such as the detection of errors 403 in the sample preparation or data generation, or the likelihood of an improved 404 model by using a new batch of samples. Furthermore, this experiments were 405 performed in a fraction of the time required by the batch method GDCV. Thus, 406 Figure 6.b) and c) were generated in 28% and 13% of the batch time respectively, 407 Figure 7.b) and c) in 40% and 22% of the batch time and Figure 8.b) and c) in 408 16% and 14% of the batch time. 409

410 6. Conclusion

In this paper we apply the concept of incremental learning in food science 411 and proposed the use of a subspace based learning method, both in its incremen-412 tal and batch method as a new chemometric analysis tool. GDCV and IGDCV 413 can be used as both classification and exploratory techniques, without some of 414 the constraints that PCA or LDA exhibits, such as requiring large number of 415 samples. The potential of incremental learning to improve and share models 416 between analytical laboratories using different acquisition equipment is demon-417 strated through three different scenarios. By adding a very small number of 418 samples to a preexisting model, our approach allows improving significantly the 419 accuracy as well as to adapt the model to a new problem or scenario. The 420



(a) Initial model using samples from 2 instruments



(b) After samples from 6 instruments have been incrementally learned



(c) After samples from 10 instruments have been incrementally learned

Figure 8: Samples projected into the two discriminant dimensions of the learned subspace, for the third scenario where instruments are added incrementally (6-class problem)

IGCV incremental approach presented here has the advantage of maintaining 421 or improving the accuracy while reducing the computational and spatial cost, 422 and removing the hassle and privacy issues associated to share raw samples and 423 wasting time and effort reproducing the models and tuning the analytical tools. 424 As future work, we aim to extend our incremental subspace learning method to 425 other cases of studies in chemometrics as well as integrating IGDCV as part of 426 a new version of SIMCA. We also aim to study the use of decremental learning 421 in chemometrics and add a decremental stage to our online learning framework. 428

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the participants (research centres, public services and private food testing labs) that helped to perform the interlaboratory experiment. This research was supported with funding from The Department Learning and Employment Northern Ireland (DELNI) (PhD studentship block grant) and the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) of the UK (Grant no. FAO 157).

References

- S. Lohumi, S. Lee, H. Lee, B.-K. Cho, A review of vibrational spectroscopic techniques for the detection of food authenticity and adulteration, Trends in Food Science & Technology 46 (2015) 85–98.
- [2] A. J. Myles, T. A. Zimmerman, S. D. Brown, Transfer of multivariate classification models between laboratory and process near-infrared spectrometers for the discrimination of green arabica and robusta coffee beans, Applied spectroscopy 60 (10) (2006) 1198–1203.
- [3] M. R. Kunz, J. H. Kalivas, E. Andries, Model updating for spectral calibration maintenance and transfer using 1-norm variants of tikhonov regularization, Analytical chemistry 82 (9) (2010) 3642–3649.

- [4] M. Golic, K. B. Walsh, Robustness of calibration models based on near infrared spectroscopy for the in-line grading of stonefruit for total soluble solids content, Analytica Chimica Acta 555 (2) (2006) 286–291.
- [5] M. R. Kunz, J. Ottaway, J. H. Kalivas, C. A. Georgiou, G. A. Mousdis, Updating a synchronous fluorescence spectroscopic virgin olive oil adulteration calibration to a new geographical region, Journal of agricultural and food chemistry 59 (4) (2011) 1051–1057.
- [6] Evaluation of calibration transfer strategies between metal oxide gas sensor arrays, Procedia Engineering 120 (2015) 261 – 264.
- [7] Calibration transfer and drift counteraction in chemical sensor arrays using direct standardization, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 236 (2016) 1044 - 1053.
- [8] L. Fernandez, S. Guney, A. Gutierrez-Galvez, S. Marco, Calibration transfer in temperature modulated gas sensor arrays, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 231 (2016) 276 – 284.
- [9] Y. Liu, N. Hu, H. Wang, P. Li, Soft chemical analyzer development using adaptive least-squares support vector regression with selective pruning and variable moving window size, Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research 48 (12) (2009) 5731–5741.
- [10] Y. Liu, H. Wang, J. Yu, P. Li, Selective recursive kernel learning for online identification of nonlinear systems with narx form, Journal of Process Control 20 (2) (2010) 181–194.
- [11] D. Ross, J. Lim, R. Lin, M. Yang, Incremental learning for robust visual tracking, IJCV 77 (1–3) (2008) 125–141.
- [12] Y. Peng, S. Pang, G. Chen, A. Sarrafzadeh, T. Ban, D. Inoue, Chunk incremental idr/qr lda learning, in: The 2013 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2013, pp. 1–8.

- [13] K. Diaz-Chito, F. Ferri, W. Díaz-Villanueva, Incremental generalized discriminative common vectors for image classification, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks and Learning Systems 26 (8) (2015) 1761–1775.
- [14] N. Bhattacharyya, A. Metla, R. Bandyopadhyay, B. Tudu, A. Jana, Incremental pnn classifier for a versatile electronic nose, in: 3rd International Conference on Sensing Technology, 2008, pp. 242–247.
- [15] B. Tudu, A. Metla, B. Das, N. Bhattacharyya, A. Jana, D. Ghosh, R. Bandyopadhyay, Towards versatile electronic nose pattern classifier for black tea quality evaluation: An incremental fuzzy approach, IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement 58 (9) (2009) 3069–3078.
- [16] B. Tudu, A. Jana, A. Metla, D. Ghosh, N. Bhattacharyya, R. Bandyopadhyay, Electronic nose for black tea quality evaluation by an incremental {RBF} network, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 138 (1) (2009) 90 – 95.
- [17] C. Cernuda, E. Lughofer, L. Suppan, T. Röder, R. Schmuck, P. Hintenaus, W. Märzinger, J. Kasberger, Evolving chemometric models for predicting dynamic process parameters in viscose production, Analytica chimica acta 725 (2012) 22–38.
- [18] C. Cernuda, E. Lughofer, G. Mayr, T. Röder, P. Hintenaus, W. Märzinger, J. Kasberger, Incremental and decremental active learning for optimized self-adaptive calibration in viscose production, Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems 138 (2014) 14–29.
- [19] C. Cernuda, E. Lughofer, P. Hintenaus, W. Märzinger, T. Reischer, M. Pawliczek, J. Kasberger, Hybrid adaptive calibration methods and ensemble strategy for prediction of cloud point in melamine resin production, Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems 126 (2013) 60–75.
- [20] E. Szymanska, J. Gerretzen, J. Engel, B. Geurts, L. Blanchet, L. Buydens,

Chemometrics and qualitative analysis have a vibrant relationship, TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 69 (2015) 34–51.

- [21] X. Zhang, X. Qi, M. Zou, F. Liu, Rapid authentication of olive oil by raman spectroscopy using principal component analysis, Analytical Letters 44 (2011) 2209–2220.
- [22] M. Osorio, S. Haughey, C. Elliott, A. Koidis, Identification of vegetable oil botanical speciation in refined vegetable oil blends using an innovative combination of chromatographic and spectroscopic techniques, Food Chemistry 189 (SI) (2015) 67–73.
- [23] S. Wold, M. Sjostrom, Simca: A method for analyzing chemical data in terms of similarity and analogy, Chemometrics Theory and Application (1977) 243–282.
- [24] M. Osorio, S. Haughey, C. Elliott, A. Koidis, Evaluation of methodologies to determine vegetable oil species present in oil mixtures: Proposition of an approach to meet the eu legislation demands for correct vegetable oils labelling, Food Research International 60 (2013) 66–75.
- [25] K. Georgouli, J. Martinez-Del-Rincon, A. Koidis, Continuous statistical modelling for rapid detection of adulteration of extra virgin olive oil using mid infrared and raman spectroscopic data, Food Chemistry 217 (2017) 735–742.
- [26] R. Maggio, L. Cerretani, E. Chiavaro, T. Kaufman, A. Bendini, A novel chemometric strategy for the estimation of extra virgin olive oil adulteration with edible oils, Food Control 21 (2010) 890–895.
- [27] B. Ozen, L. Mauer, Detection of hazelnut oil adulteration using ft-ir spectroscopy, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 50 (2002) 3898– 3901.
- [28] A. Koidis, M. Osorio, Identification of oil mixtures in extracted and refined vegetable oils, Lipid Technology 25 (2013) 247–250.

- [29] S. Ozawa, S. Pang, N. Kasabov, A modified incremental principal component analysis for on-line learning of feature space and classifier, in: PRICAI: Trends in Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 3157, 2004, pp. 231–240.
- [30] S. Pang, S. Ozawa, N. Kasabov, Incremental linear discriminant analysis for classification of data streams, IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (Part B) 35 (5) (2005) 905–914.
- [31] K. Diaz-Chito, F. Ferri, W. Díaz-Villanueva, Image recognition through incremental discriminative common vectors, in: Advanced Concepts for Intelligent Vision Systems - ACIVS, 2010, pp. 304–311.
- [32] P. Howland, J. Wang, H. Park, Solving the small sample size problem in face recognition using generalized discriminant analysis, Pattern Recognition 39 (2) (2006) 277–287.
- [33] L.-F. Chen, H.-Y. Liao, M.-T. Ko, J.-C. Lin, G.-J. Yu, A new lda-based face recognition system which can solve the small sample size problem, Pattern Recognition 33 (10) (2000) 1713–1726.
- [34] M.-B. Zhao, Z. Zhang, T. W. S. Chow, Z. Wu, On the theoretical and computational analysis between trace ratio lda and null-space lda, in: The 2012 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2012, pp. 1–7.
- [35] D. mejkalov, A. Piccolo, High-power gradient diffusion nmr spectroscopy for the rapid assessment of extra-virgin olive oil adulteration, Food Chemistry 118 (2010) 153–158.
- [36] A. Christy, S. Kasemsumran, Y. Du, Y. Ozaki, The detection and quantification of adulteration in olive oil by near-infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, Analytical Sciences 20 (2004) 935–940.
- [37] E. Lopez-Diez, G. Bianchi, R. Goodacre, Rapid quantitative assessment of the adulteration of virgin olive oils with hazelnut oils using raman

spectroscopy and chemometrics, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 51 (2003) 6145–6150.

- [38] O. Devos, G. Downey, L. Duponchel, Simultaneous data preprocessing and svm classification model selection based on a parallel genetic algorithm applied to spectroscopic data of olive oils, Food Chemistry 148 (2014) 124– 130.
- [39] R. J. Barnes, M. S. Dhanoa, S. J. Lister, Standard normal variate transformation and de-trending of near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectra, Appl. Spectrosc 43 (5) (1989) 772–777.
- [40] B. G. Osborne, T. Fearn, P. H. Hindle, Practical NIR spectroscopy with applications in food and beverage analysis, Longman scientific and technical, 1993.
- [41] A. Savitzky, M. J. Golay, Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares procedures, Analytical chemistry 36 (8) (1964) 1627–1639.
- [42] H. C. H. R. A. Berg and, J. A. Westerhuis, A. K. Smilde, M. J. Werf, Centering, scaling, and transformations: improving the biological information content of metabolomics data, BMC genomics 7 (1).