



Swansea University  
Prifysgol Abertawe



## Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

---

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:  
*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

Cronfa URL for this paper:

<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa33673>

---

### Paper:

Watling, J., Iriarte, J., Mayle, F., Schaan, D., Pessenda, L., Loader, N., Street-Perrott, F., Dickau, R., Damasceno, A. et. al. (2017). Reply to Piperno et al.: It is too soon to argue for localized, short-term human impacts in interfluvial Amazonia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(21), E4120-E4121.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1705697114>

---

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/>



REPLY TO PIPERNO ET AL.:

# It is too soon to argue for localized, short-term human impacts in interfluvial Amazonia

Jennifer Watling<sup>a,b,1</sup>, José Iriarte<sup>b</sup>, Francis E. Mayle<sup>c</sup>, Denise Schaan<sup>d</sup>, Luiz C. R. Pessenda<sup>e</sup>, Neil J. Loader<sup>f</sup>, F. Alayne Street-Perrott<sup>f</sup>, Ruth E. Dickau<sup>g</sup>, Antonia Damasceno<sup>d</sup>, and Alceu Ranzi<sup>h</sup>

We welcome the debate opened by Piperno et al. (1) in response to our recent article (2), and thank the editors of PNAS for the opportunity to reply.

Although acknowledging that we detected localized human impacts in our study area, Piperno et al. (1) downplay the increases in palms observed at the geoglyph sites, stating it's "unclear" whether humans actively managed the forest in these locations. Independent of one's opinion about intentionality, we argue that the rapid decline of palms after geoglyph abandonment suggests that their previously high levels were because of much more regular, longer-term human influences than Piperno et al. suggest.

We also reemphasize that the palm increases reflect the minimum scenario of human impact in the geoglyph landscape, and that one would still need to multiply anthropogenic forests of 0.5-km radius over the 450+ known geoglyph sites. Moreover, forest cover over ~80% of Acre state may be hiding many more geoglyphs.

Piperno et al. (1) state that we "curiously question" the significant role of charcoal data to their interpretations. Although we agree that testing the role of anthropogenic fires is important in the debate over forest clearance (3, 4), we question the correlation these authors make between less soil charcoal and smaller population sizes (5, 6). Indigenous Amazonian agroforestry practices rarely emphasize whole-scale burning, but instead convert the forest through more subtle means to increase its productivity (7, 8).

We also highlight that our methodology differed from that of McMichael et al. (5) in important aspects:

First, rather than sampling in random interfluvial locations, we closely combined archaeology and paleoecology in the vicinities of two geoglyphs (9). As no agreed "natural" baselines exist in Amazonia (6, 10), we started from the clearly anthropogenic (the geoglyphs) and worked backward to reconstruct a more detailed landscape history.

Second, we used sampling intervals of 5 cm rather than 20 cm, reducing false-negative results. For example, 40 y of a deforested modern landscape was reflected by 10–20% early successional herb (ESH) phytoliths (grasses+*Heliconia*) in the 0- to 5-cm profile samples. If we average these frequencies out over all samples between 0 and 20 cm, ESHs fall to 6–11%. McMichael et al. use >10% ESHs as the "cut-off" for human disturbance (5), but this approach may miss important decadal-scale transformations once temporal resolution is lowered.

Third, we analyzed the charcoal input of extralocal fires by incorporating a 0.125- to 0.25-mm size class. This proxy proved extremely useful for detecting regional fire increases in the late Holocene that would have been missed in their studies.

Finally, the "polarized" debate we refer to concerns the authors' claims that their research design can be used to meaningfully argue for "sparse" pre-Columbian populations, and "small" human footprints in interfluvial Amazonia (5, 6, 7, 10). In our opinion, many more years of discovery, methodological refinement, and debates like these are needed before this can be proven.

- 1 Piperno DR, McMichael C, Bush MB (2017) Further evidence for localized, short-term anthropogenic forest alterations across pre-Columbian Amazonia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114:E4118–E4119.
- 2 Watling J, et al. (2017) Impact of pre-Columbian "geoglyph" builders on Amazonian forests. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114:1868–1873.

<sup>a</sup>Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, University of São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-070, Brazil; <sup>b</sup>Department of Archaeology, College of Humanities, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QE, United Kingdom; <sup>c</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Science, School of Archaeology, Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AB, United Kingdom; <sup>d</sup>Department of Anthropology, Federal University of Pará, Belém 66075-110, Brazil; <sup>e</sup>Centre of Nuclear Energy in Agriculture, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba 13416-000, Brazil; <sup>f</sup>Department of Geography, College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, United Kingdom; <sup>g</sup>HD Analytical Solutions, Inc., London, ON, Canada M5H 1V3; and <sup>h</sup>Laboratory of Paleontology, Federal University of Acre, Rio Branco 69916-900, Brazil

Author contributions: J.W., J.I., F.E.M., D.S., and A.R. designed research; J.W., L.C.R.P., N.J.L., F.A.S.-P., and R.E.D. performed research; J.W., J.I., F.E.M., L.C.R.P., N.J.L., F.A.S.-P., R.E.D., and A.D. analyzed data; and J.W., J.I., F.E.M., D.S., L.C.R.P., N.J.L., F.A.S.-P., and R.E.D. wrote the paper. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: jenny.g.watling@gmail.com.

- 3 Nevle RJ, Bird DK (2008) Effects of syn-pandemic fire reduction and reforestation in the tropical Americas on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> during European conquest. *Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol* 264:25–38.
- 4 Dull RA, et al. (2010) The Columbian Encounter and the Little Ice Age: Abrupt land use change, fire, and greenhouse forcing. *Ann Assoc Am Geogr* 100:37–41.
- 5 McMichael CH, et al. (2012) Sparse pre-Columbian human habitation in western Amazonia. *Science* 336:1429–1431.
- 6 Piperno DR, McMichael C, Bush MB (2015) Amazonia and the Anthropocene: What was the spatial extent and intensity of human landscape modification in the Amazon Basin at the end of prehistory? *Holocene* 25:1588–1597.
- 7 Stahl PW (2015) Interpreting interfluvial landscape transformations in the pre-Columbian Amazon. *Holocene* 25:1598–1603.
- 8 Posey A, Balée W (1989) *Resource Management in Amazonia: Indigenous folk strategies* (New York Botanical Garden, New York).
- 9 Mayle FE, Iriarte J (2014) Integrated palaeoecology and archaeology—A powerful approach for understanding pre-Columbian Amazonia. *J Archaeol Sci* 51:54–64.
- 10 Clement CR, et al. (2015) The domestication of Amazonia before European conquest. *Proc Biol Sci* 282:20150813.