Breakfast consumption and nutrient intakes in 4-18 year olds – UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme (2008-2012)

Janine D Coulthard[1], Luigi Palla[2], Gerda K Pot[1,3*]

[1]Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, Diabetes and Nutritional Sciences Division, King's College London, Franklin-Wilkins Building, 150 Stamford Street, SE1 9NH, London, UK

[2]Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, Department of Medical Statistics, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel St, WC1E 7HT, London, UK

[3]Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, Section of Health and Life, Vrije University Amsterdam, de Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[*]Corresponding author: G.K Pot, email Gerda.Pot@kcl.ac.uk, telephone +44 (0)20 7848 4437

Running title: Breakfast and nutrient intakes in children. [42 letters & spaces (max 45)]

Key words: Children, dietary patterns, Breakfast, Nutrient Intakes, National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme

Journal: British Journal of Nutrition

Word Count: 5140

Number of tables/figures: 5

Number of references: 72

Conflicts of interest: none

Abstract

Word Count: 250 (max 250)

Although breakfast consumption is widely considered to be an important component of a healthy lifestyle, few UK studies have examined differences in nutrient intakes between breakfast consumers and breakfast skippers among children and adolescents. We investigated associations between breakfast skipping in 4-18 year olds and their nutrient intakes using data from the UK's National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme. Dietary data were derived from 4-day estimated food diaries of 802 children aged 4-10 years and 884 children aged 11-18 years (*n* 1686 in total). Daily nutrient intakes of children with different breakfast habits were compared by one-way ANCOVA adjusting for relevant covariates (sex, age, ethnicity, equivalised household income and BMI). Within-person analysis was carried out on children with an irregular breakfast habit (*n* 879) comparing nutrient intakes on breakfast days with those on non-breakfast days using repeated measures ANCOVA. We observed that the overall nutritional profile of the children in terms of fibre and micronutrient intake was superior in frequent breakfast consumers (micronutrients: folate, calcium, iron and iodine ($P<0.01$)) and, for the 4-10 years age group, on breakfast days (micronutrients: folate, vitamin C, calcium and iodine ($P<0.01$)). Also, significantly higher proportions of breakfast-consuming children met their Reference Nutrient Intakes of folate, vitamin C, calcium, iron and iodine compared to breakfast skippers (chi-square analysis, $P<0.001$). Our study adds to the body of data linking breakfast consumption with higher quality dietary intake in school-age children, supporting the promotion of breakfast as an important element of a healthy dietary pattern in children.

Introduction

Breakfast is widely considered to be an important component of a healthy lifestyle for both adults and children. Its consumption is promoted by the UK Government's Change4Life public health campaign in England[1]. One of the suggested benefits of breakfast for children and adolescents is that eating breakfast regularly protects against overweight and obesity. To date, evidence for this consists largely of epidemiological studies[2–9] and intervention studies have so far failed to demonstrate a causal relationship between breakfast habit and adiposity[10–13]. Another proposed benefit of breakfast consumption in children and adolescents is that it improves overall nutrient intakes[2].

Previous studies have been carried out into variations in nutritional intakes dependent on child breakfast habits, but many of these focus on consumption of a specific type of breakfast, for example breakfast cereal[14–16] or a "good-quality" breakfast[17]. Some have examined overall differences in nutritional profile between children who eat breakfast and those that do not, including recent studies involving North American, Mexican and Australian populations[18–22], and have reported that breakfast consumption compared with breakfast skipping was associated with improved nutrient intakes[18,20–30]. However, the authors are not aware of any recent studies of UK or other European children examining differences in nutrient intakes between breakfast consumers and breakfast skippers. Therefore, our main aim in this study was to investigate associations between breakfast skipping in 4-18 year olds and their nutrient intakes using data from the UK's National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme (NDNS RP). We compared daily nutrient intakes between children with different breakfast habits and also carried out a within-person analysis, comparing intakes of children on breakfast days with their intakes on non-breakfast days. This latter approach was adopted in order to minimise the impact of residual confounding inherent in cross-sectional studies.

Many epidemiological studies comparing the characteristics of individuals with different breakfast habits ask participants (or their parents) how frequently they (or their children) consume breakfast, but do not include a definition of this meal[4,27,31,32]. Where breakfast is defined, this definition varies widely[2,33,34]. Some studies classify any energy intake within a specified time period as breakfast[19,21], others include only solid foods and not beverages in the definition, regardless of the calorie content of the latter[34]. We employed an objective definition of breakfast, based on a minimum energy intake within a specified time period. We hypothesized that intakes of micronutrients of public health interest, which have a key role in children's healthy development and growth, may be particularly affected by breakfast skipping, as many breakfast items consumed widely by UK children, such as breakfast cereals, fruit juice and dairy products, are important sources of micronutrients for this age

group[35].

Methods

Population

The data analysed in this study were collected between 2008 and 2012 as part of the NDNS RP[36]. Each year the NDNS RP gathers dietary and nutritional data from approximately 1,000 randomly sampled individuals living in private UK households, comprising equal numbers of adults (aged 19 years and over) and children (aged 1.5-18 years)[35]. These individuals are sampled using The Royal Mail's Postcode Address File, comprising all UK addresses, to randomly select addresses. Where there is more than one household at a selected address, one of these households is randomly selected. At each selected household either one adult and one child (if present) are selected, or one child, resulting in roughly equal numbers of adults and children in the final sample. Chapter 2 of the report on the NDNS RP results from years 1-4 (combined)[35] gives fuller details of its methodology. This study focused on the sub-population of children in the NDNS RP 2008-2012 sample aged 4-18 years, consisting of 802 children aged 4-10 years and 884 children aged 11-18 years (*n* 1686 in total). Ethics approval for the NDNS RP was obtained from Oxfordshire A Research Ethics Committee[35].

Dietary assessment

Each survey participant was visited in their home by a survey interviewer, who placed a 4-d estimated (unweighed) food diary (with written instructions) to be completed on 4 consecutive days by the participant, or their parent for those aged 11 years and under[37][38]. Follow-up checks were made by the interviewer to optimise completeness of record keeping in the diary[35]. Within the sample analysed for years 2008 to 2012 of the NDNS RP 1686 children aged 4-18 years completed at least 3 diary days (98.2% of these completed the full 4 diary days). Home visits were carried out continuously throughout each year, from February 2008 to August 2012, thus allowing for seasonal variations in diet[39].

The diary entries were then recorded and analysed by a dietary assessment system using food composition data from the Department of Health's NDNS Nutrient Databank to estimate energy and nutrient intakes[37]. The NDNS Nutrient Databank is based on data from McCance and Widdowson's "The Composition of Foods" series[37,40]. Non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES) were defined as comprising all free sugars (added monosaccharides and disaccharides, together with naturally occurring sugars in honey, syrups and fruit juices) and 50% of fruit sugars from stewed, dried or canned fruit[41]. Dietary fibre intakes were of non-

starch polysaccharides (NSP), defined by the Englyst method[42]. Mean daily energy intakes were expressed as a percentage of the Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) for each child, as specified by the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN)[43]. Mean daily intakes of the micronutrients folate, vitamin C, calcium, iron and iodine were compared to Dietary Reference Values (DRV) (Reference Nutrient Intakes (RNI) and Lower Reference Nutrient Intakes (LRNI)) for each child, as set by the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy (COMA)[44]. The results of the above analysis of the diary entries form part of the published core sample data for the NDNS RP 2008-2012[36] and were further analysed in this study as described below.

For each day the food diary entries had been split into 7 different time periods: 06.00 to 08.59 hours, 09.00 to 11.59 hours, 12.00 to 13.59 hours, 14.00 to 16.49 hours, 17.00 to 19.59 hours, 20.00 to 21.59 hours and 22.00 to 05.59 hours. Microsoft Excel for Mac 2011 (version 14.4.6) and IBM SPSS Statistics (version 23) were used to calculate the total energy intake for each diary day between 06.00 and 8.59 hours and identify those days on which at least 100 kcal were consumed between 06.00 and 8.59 hours (breakfast days) and those on which less than 100 kcal were consumed (non-breakfast days). The threshold of 100 kcal was chosen to allow for consumption of a milky drink which was not considered sufficient to be classed as breakfast[45]. Using these data, the children were split into 3 categories: those consuming breakfast every diary day, those consuming breakfast on at least one but not all diary days, and those not consuming breakfast on any diary day. A subset of the group of children with an irregular breakfast habit i.e. who consumed breakfast on at least one but not all diary days was created, by eliminating all diary days that comprised a Saturday or a Sunday and then identifying all children who still had an irregular breakfast habit based on weekday diary days alone. This was to correct for a possible shift to later breakfast time and/or a different pattern in nutrient intakes at the weekend. For each child with an irregular breakfast habit mean daily nutrient intakes for breakfast days and non-breakfast days respectively were calculated.

The nutrients selected for analysis in this study were the macronutrients protein, fat and carbohydrate plus a selection of other nutrients linked with an unhealthy (NMES and sodium) or a healthy diet (dietary fibre). We also analysed intakes of a selection of micronutrients that Public Health England has identified as being of particular interest to public health, namely: folate, iron, vitamin C and calcium[35]. To this list we added iodine: there is some evidence of iodine deficiency in UK adolescent girls giving rise to public health concerns due to its vital role in foetal neurodevelopment[46]. The mean daily nutrient intake values were expressed as a percentage of total energy intake for protein, fat, carbohydrate and NMES and as mean

intakes per 1000kcal of energy intake for the remaining nutrients. This was to allow for possible differences in daily energy intakes between breakfast and non-breakfast eaters.

Other measures

During the home visit, the interviewer measured the weight and height of the participant so that their BMI could be calculated (weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres)[35]. The calculated BMI and the British 1990 growth reference (UK90) charts[47] were used to categorise the children as normal weight, overweight (85th centile cut-off) or obese (95th centile cut-off). The interviewer also conducted a computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI) to collect further data on the individual and their household, including age, ethnicity (five main categories: white, mixed, black or black British, Asian or Asian British, and other), whether the individual was currently dieting to lose weight (a "yes" or "no" response, years 3 and 4 of the NDNS RP, for individuals 11 years and older) and household income (choice of 13 income bands, ranging from under £5,000 to £100,000 to more)[48].

Statistical analysis

The energy-adjusted daily nutrient intake, equivalised household income (equivalised for different household sizes and composition using the McClements equivalence scale[35]) and BMI variables were checked for normality by inspecting frequency distribution histograms and skew and kurtosis values and transformed as necessary. The differences between the children grouped by three categories of breakfast habit (consumption of breakfast on every, some or no diary days) with respect to sex, age, ethnicity, weight status and equivalised household income were assessed by chi-square analysis and ANOVA. Chi-square analysis was carried out on the dieting variable and used to examine the proportions of children meeting the RNI and LRNI for daily intakes of the selected micronutrients. Chi-square analysis was also used to compare the characteristics of children with missing BMI and/or income data to assess whether the relatively high incidence of missing data (*n* 270, 16% of sample) might affect the results adjusted for these variables.

Daily nutrient intakes for the three groups of children with different breakfast habits were compared by one-way ANOVA and then ANCOVA, with adjustments made for the following covariates: sex, age, ethnicity, equivalised household income and BMI. Energy intake for this analysis was expressed as a proportion of EAR, to allow for variations in energy intake with age and sex. To investigate the potential effect of under- or over-reporting of dietary intakes a sensitivity analysis was carried out, rerunning the chi-square analysis of children meeting the DRVs and the ANCOVA analysis of energy intake after eliminating those children with energy intake as a proportion of EAR more than two SDs from 100% (as

described by McCrory *et al.*[49]). This was not considered necessary for the nutrient intakes, as these were all expressed as a proportion of energy intake. Due to inequality of variance (assessed using Levene's test) for three of the nutrient variables: protein, NMES and sodium, which can lead to an increase in type 1 error rate[50], the ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses for these variables were carried out after equalizing the numbers in the three groups of children by random sampling, to create three equal groups of 245 cases each (for large sample sizes and modest levels of variance heterogeneity ANOVA is generally robust to inequality of variances if group sizes are equal[50]).

Within-person analysis was carried out on the children with an irregular breakfast habit (*n* 879), comparing their mean energy adjusted nutrient intakes for days on which they had consumed breakfast with those for days on which they had not. It was assumed that any degree of mis-reporting of dietary intakes by an individual would be similar across the diary days and would therefore not have a significant effect on the within-person analysis. Paired sample t-tests were conducted, followed by repeated measures ANOVA, the latter adjusted for the covariates sex, age, BMI, ethnicity and equivalised household income. These tests were also carried out on the sample split into two age groups: 4-10 years (*n* 384) and 11-18 years (*n* 495) and on the subset of 4-18-year-olds with an irregular breakfast habit after removal of weekend diary days. Due to collinearity of nutrient variables, for all the tests carried out a p value of <0.01 was considered as statistically significant to allow for multiple testing, rather than using the potentially overly conservative Bonferroni method of adjustment [51]. We did not apply the weighting as provided with the NDNS 2008-12 RP dataset, as the calibration weights may not reflect the characteristics of the subsample of children that we analysed, which may not be representative of the UK population.

Results

Description of population

The characteristics of the children, split by breakfast habit are set out in **Table 1**. There was a significant variation in breakfast habit between girls and boys (P=0.001), with 19.9% of girls skipping breakfast every diary day compared to 14.5% of boys. At 6.5%, the proportion of the 4-10-year-olds skipping breakfast every diary day was less than a quarter of the proportion of 11-18-year-olds (26.8%), and the proportion in the younger age group consuming breakfast every day was 45.6%, more than twice that of the older age group (P<0.001). Mean equivalised household income varied significantly with breakfast habit (P=0.001), with a mean household income of £28,194 (SD £18,349) for those children consuming breakfast every diary day compared to a mean household income of £23,587 (SD

£16,374) for those children skipping breakfast every diary day. There was no significant difference in breakfast habits based on ethnicity or weight status. Data on whether or not the children were dieting during the diary period was only available for 11-18-year-olds in years 3 and 4 of the NDNS RP. A significantly higher percentage of girls were dieting than boys (10.7% *v.* 3.4%, P=0.003, *n* 430), but no significant variation in breakfast habit with dieting behaviour was observed for the children as a whole (P=0.456), or for the girls alone (P=0.419, *n* 224) (the chi-square analysis was invalid for the boys as more than 20% of the expected cell values were less than 5). There were no significant differences found in sex (P=0.457), age group (P=0.470) or breakfast habit (P=0.844) for children with missing BMI and/or income data compared to those with complete data.

Breakfast habits and micronutrient DRVs

Significant increases (P<0.001) were noted in the proportion of children meeting their RNI for each of the micronutrients folate, vitamin C, calcium, iron and iodine as breakfast frequency increased (**Table 2**). The same trend was observed in the proportion of children meeting the LRNI for folate, calcium, iron and iodine (P<0.001). No children who consumed breakfast daily had a folate intake below their LRNI, compared to 7.3% of those who did not eat breakfast on any diary day. The proportions of children not meeting their LRNI of calcium, iron and iodine in the daily breakfast group were 2.9%, 4.4% and 3.3% respectively, compared to 19.0%, 31.5% and 21.5% respectively in the breakfast skipping group. Similar results were obtained for the sensitivity analysis including only plausible reporters of energy intake (*n* 1505) (invalid LRNI chi-square results for folate and vitamin C as more than 20% of cells had expected count of less than 5).

Breakfast habits and nutrient intakes

After adjustment for covariates, we observed significant increases in mean intakes of energy (as a percentage of EAR) (P=0.009) and carbohydrates (as a percentage of energy) (P=0.01)) and decreases in mean intakes of fat (as a percentage of energy) (P=0.005), with increasing number of breakfast days (**Table 3**). However, after eliminating implausible reporters of energy intakes (energy intake as a percentage of EAR more than two SDs from 100% (i.e. outside the range 54-146%)), the increases in energy intakes became non-significant (P=0.088, *n* 1271). The percentage of plausible reporters in the sample was 89%, with a significantly higher proportion of plausible reporters within the 4-10-year-olds than 11-18-year-olds (97% *v.* 83%, P<0.001).

In the ANCOVA analysis we observed no statistically significant variations in intakes of protein, NMES, vitamin C or sodium, but energy adjusted intakes of fibre, folate, calcium,

iron and iodine all increased significantly with increasing frequency of breakfast consumption (P<0.001).

Within-person comparison of nutrient intakes on breakfast days v. non-breakfast days

For 4-18-year-olds, after adjustment for covariates, we observed significantly higher mean intakes of energy, carbohydrate, folate, calcium and iodine and significantly lower mean intakes of protein and sodium for days on which breakfast was consumed compared to days on which it was not eaten (Table 4(a)). The mean energy intake for days on which breakfast was consumed was 87kcal higher than for days on which it was not consumed (95%CI 52,121kcal, P<0.001). No significant differences were observed when comparing breakfast with non-breakfast days for mean intakes of fat, NMES, fibre, vitamin C or iron.

For 4-10-year-olds there was no significant difference between mean energy intake on days on which breakfast was eaten and mean intake on non-breakfast days (Table 4(b)). In comparison, in the older age group (11-18-year-olds), after adjustment for covariates, energy intake was significantly higher on breakfast days (118kcal (95% CI 66,169 kcal), P<0.001) (Table 4(c)). For both age groups carbohydrate intake was significantly higher on breakfast days compared to non-breakfast days. There was a significant decrease in protein intake on breakfast days compared to non-breakfast days for the older but not the younger age group. For 4-10-year-olds mean energy adjusted intakes of fibre, folate, vitamin C, calcium and iodine were significantly higher on days on which breakfast was eaten, but for 11-18-year-olds out of these five nutrients a significant increase was only observed for calcium intakes. Whereas for the 4-10 years age group there was no significant difference in mean sodium intakes comparing breakfast with non-breakfast days, sodium intake was significantly lower for the 11-18 years age group on breakfast days.

For 4-18-year-olds with an irregular breakfast habit after removal of weekend diary days, there were significantly higher intakes of energy (158kcal (95%CI 93,223kcal), folate, calcium and iodine for days on which breakfast was consumed compared to days on which it was not (Table 5). In contrast to the analysis of all diary days, no significant differences in intakes of any of the macronutrients (protein, fat or carbohydrate) were observed and there was no significant difference in sodium intake.

Discussion

Our findings suggest that the overall nutritional profile of the children in terms of fibre and micronutrient intake was superior in regular breakfast consumers, for whom higher energy-adjusted intakes of fibre, folate, calcium, iron and iodine were observed compared to breakfast skippers. These findings are supported by our observation that significantly higher

proportions of breakfast-consuming children met their RNI of folate, vitamin C, calcium, iron and iodine compared to breakfast skippers.

In accordance with previous studies[21,23,25], for both the between and within-person analyses and both age groups a lack of breakfast was associated with lower calcium intakes. A recent Mexican study of breakfast dietary patterns among 4-13 year old children (*n* 3760) found that the differences in overall daily nutrient intake profile between breakfast consumers and skippers varied with the type of breakfast consumed, but all breakfast types were associated with a higher daily intake of calcium than breakfast skipping[22]. This is a particularly important finding given that calcium is a vital nutrient for bone growth and needs in childhood are high due to rapid growth and bone mass accretion[52]. In the within-person analysis, higher intakes of fibre, folate, vitamin C and iodine were observed on the days that the 4-10-year-olds ate breakfast, but no significant difference in intakes of these micronutrients was noted for the 11-18-year olds. This suggests that more foods rich in these micronutrients (for example, fortified cereals, milk and fruit juice) are consumed for breakfast in the younger compared to the older age group, possibly due to greater parental supervision of the younger children's meals. A Spanish study of 8-17-year-old children (*n* 4332) evaluating the utility of a breakfast quality index, in which points were awarded for the consumption of cereals, fruits, vegetables and dairy products at breakfast, found that the score decreased with age ($P=0.001$)[53]. For the within-person analyses including all diary days, intakes of sodium are significantly higher on no breakfast days for 4-18-year olds and 11-18-year-olds, but not 4-10-year-olds, suggesting that the older age group may compensate for the lack of breakfast by the consumption of salty snacks later in the day (protein intakes are also higher on non-breakfast days for the older but not the younger group). However this association was no longer significant when weekend diary days were removed from the within-person analysis (and is not present in the between-person analysis), so may simply be a reflection of a different dietary pattern at weekends (for example, late or no breakfast with greater daily amounts of protein rich and salty foods) in the older age group.

We did not find any evidence to support the oft-quoted hypothesis that breakfast skipping leads to increased overall daily energy intake due to compensatory overeating later in the day[9,54]. On the contrary, in our analyses we observed that breakfast skipping was associated with either no difference or a significantly lower daily energy intake. These results are in accordance with those of most (but not all) recent observational studies of children[9,18,20–22]. Similarly, small cross-over trials in children have reported no significant differences in overall daily energy intakes on breakfast and non-breakfast days[55,56]. The findings on energy intake in this and other studies might suggest caution when recommending breakfast consumption as a weight management tool in children, despite the large body of

epidemiological evidence linking breakfast skipping and excess weight in children. Also, they undermine the argument for a causal link between breakfast consumption and overweight and obesity based on excess energy intake. Interestingly, a large, longitudinal US study of breakfast habits and weight gain in 9-14 year olds found that, although breakfast skipping was associated with higher BMI overall, overweight breakfast skippers tended to lose weight over the study period compared to overweight breakfast eaters, whereas the reverse was true for normal weight breakfast skippers compared to normal weight breakfast eaters[57]. Alternative theories for the link observed between adiposity and breakfast skipping include the presence of confounding factors such as sleep duration and circadian rhythms[12], and lower physical activity levels in breakfast skippers[4,58,59].

The results of previous studies of children investigating the effect on overall macronutrient profile of breakfast habit vary[23]. In this study small but statistically significant variations in macronutrient profile were noted, with the proportion of carbohydrate consumed generally higher for breakfast consumers (for the between-person analysis) and on breakfast days (for the within-person analysis), at the expense of either protein or fat intake. However, no significant variations in macronutrient profile when comparing intakes on breakfast days with those on non-breakfast days were found for the within-person analysis after weekend diary days had been removed from the analysis. This may be due to a different dietary pattern for the children at the weekend. In studies that have analysed breakfast habit by type of breakfast consumed, different overall daily nutrient intake profiles have been associated with different breakfast types[20,22]. For example, in a large US cross-sectional study of breakfast consumption in 9-18 year olds (*n* 9659), consumers of ready-to-eat cereals had higher intakes of carbohydrate and lower intakes of fat than breakfast skippers, but for consumers of other types of breakfast there was no significant difference in intakes of these macronutrients compared with breakfast skippers[18].

No link was observed between overall NMES intake (as a percentage of energy) and breakfast consumption. In the within-person analysis we observed no significant difference in the proportion of fat or NMES consumed between breakfast and non-breakfast days, suggesting that intermittent breakfast skipping did not lead to an increase in consumption of poor quality, high sugar and high fat foods and beverages on non-breakfast days in this study population, as has been postulated elsewhere[54].

In our analysis of the characteristics of the children in the sample we found no significant differences in the proportion of normal weight, overweight and obese children in each breakfast habit category, which is at odds with the findings of some but not all cross-sectional studies[4–7] in children. In line with other studies in children[9,23,60,61], we observed higher levels of breakfast skipping in girls and older children and lower mean household incomes

for breakfast skippers. There is evidence that frequency of breakfast skipping in teenagers is related to dieting and other weight-control behaviours[9], which may explain its greater incidence in girls[62]. A cross-sectional study of UK 11-16 year olds (*n* 1019) found that almost twice as many girls reported dieting and those girls that were dieting were 3 times more likely to skip breakfast than non-dieters[63]. In our study a significantly higher proportion of girls in the 11-18 year old age group stated that they were currently dieting than boys. However, reported dieting behaviour did not vary significantly with breakfast habit for the girls in our sample, but this could be due to the smaller sample size (*n* 224) resulting in lower statistical power (dieting data was only available for last 2 years of the NDNS RP 2008-2012).

The greater level of breakfast skipping among older children may be influenced by a reduction in parental control enforcing a "healthy" breakfast habit. It may also reflect the shift in circadian rhythms in adolescence to a later wake/sleep cycle[64]. During puberty an individual's chronotype, that is their preference for an early or late wake/sleep cycle, shifts from early to late, with sleep schedules moving progressively later between the ages of 10 and 20 years[65]. During the school week children's wake/sleep cycle is dictated by the school routine but at the weekend the wake/sleep cycle is generally less restricted. A study of food logs of German adolescents (*n* 152, mean age 13.23 years) found that wake times at the weekend were on average 2:40 hours later, which translated to later breakfast times: the average breakfast time on weekdays was 6:36am, compared to 9:15am at weekends[66]. Wake time data was not available for the study sample, so in our analysis we have defined breakfast in relation to a specific, fixed time period, namely 6:00-8:59am, rather than relate it to intake within a certain time of waking. However, because of this weekend shift in breakfast times a meal eaten shortly after waking may not fall within this fixed time period. To address this issue, a separate within-person analysis was carried out after removing weekend diary days.

Chronotype not only varies with age but it also depends on genetic and environmental factors[65,67]. Chronotype may be an important confounding factor in the between-person analysis in this and other studies. There is evidence that not only are adolescents with later chronotypes more likely to skip breakfast, they are also more likely to have poorer overall diets[66] and lower levels of physical activity[68]. The impact of chronotype and other possible residual confounding factors, which are always an issue in cross-sectional studies, should be less of a factor in the within- compared to the between-person analysis. Adjustments have been made in the ANOVA for age, sex, BMI, ethnicity and equivalised household income, however we were not able to adjust for physical activity level due to lack of complete and consistent data across all ages[35].

We relied on data from 4-d estimated food diaries and an objective definition of breakfast to categorise children by their breakfast habit, rather than on responses to an eating habits questionnaire. This avoids issues resulting from inconsistent personal definitions of breakfast. Nevertheless, it is recognised that the 100 kcal threshold chosen for our definition of breakfast is, to some extent, arbitrary. Also, the fixed time frame we used to define breakfast may result in a late weekend breakfast not being captured by the definition, however this was allowed for in the within-person analysis by removing weekend diary days.

In common with other dietary surveys, there is a possibility of mis-reporting of dietary intakes. Doubly labelled water techniques used in the NDNS RP to validate energy intakes for a sample of survey participants suggest that under-reporting may have been more prevalent for the children in the 11-18 years age group, who completed their own food diaries, in contrast to children in the younger age group, whose diaries were completed by their parents[69]. The results of our sensitivity analysis suggest that there were higher levels of mis-reporting in the older age group. Where appropriate, to assess the impact of mis-reporting we reran analyses omitting implausible reporters.

Many different methods of dietary data collection have been used in previous studies of breakfast habits in children[23], with varying degree of reliability. Strengths of our study include the large sample size and the method of dietary assessment used, namely a 4-d estimated food diary. In other recent cross-sectional surveys of children's breakfast habits and nutrient intakes[18–22], the 24-hour recall method was used, which relies heavily on the accuracy of the child's or their parent's memory. We are aware of two small crossover studies involving US children which report on the impact of breakfast skipping on overall daily energy intake[55,56] but, to our knowledge, there has not yet been a randomized, controlled trial assessing the impact of breakfast consumption in children on intakes of individual macro- and micronutrients. The approach we adopted of approximating a crossover study design (thereby reducing residual confounding) in free living individuals by conducting a within-person analysis of subjects with an irregular breakfast habit has been carried out previously in adults[70], but not children.

In our study we examined how macro- and micronutrient intakes, plus energy intake, varied with children's breakfast habit. A possible topic for future research would be to look at associations in UK children between breakfast habit and daily intakes of specific foods, incorporating a diet quality index[71] to further investigate associations between breakfast habit and overall diet quality. It would also be interesting to investigate how the quality of the breakfast foods consumed by UK children varies with age, to ascertain if the differences we noted between the two age groups in our within-person analysis might be attributed to lower quality breakfasts in the older age group. Work has already been carried out on developing

breakfast quality indices for use in relation to children and adolescents in a Mediterranean setting[16,53,71]; further work is required to adapt these for use in a UK population.

In conclusion, the connection between the consumption of breakfast and good health appears to involve many different factors, and is still some way from being fully elucidated. A causal link with obesity is, as yet, unsupported by the available evidence[72]. However, this study adds to the existing body of data linking breakfast consumption with higher quality dietary intake in school-age children, particularly the 4-10 years age group, supporting the promotion of breakfast as an important element of a healthy dietary pattern in children.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank everyone involved in the National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme (2008-2012), the data from which formed the basis of this study.

Financial Support

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

None.

Authorship: JDC formulated the research question, prepared the data for analysis, analysed and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript, all under the supervision of GKP and LP. All authors approved the final draft before publication.

References

1. NHS Change4Life Get off to a great start with a healthy breakfast! www.nhs.uk/Change4Life/Breakfast-for-life.aspx (accessed November 2016).
2. Rampersaud GC (2009) Benefits of breakfast for children and adolescents: update and recommendations for practitioners. *Am. J. Lifestyle Med.* **3**, 86–103.
3. Moreno LA, Rodriguez G, Fleta J, et al. (2010) Trends of dietary habits in adolescents. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **50**, 106–112.
4. Szajewska H & Ruszczynski M (2010) Systematic review demonstrating that breakfast consumption influences body weight outcomes in children and adolescents in Europe. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **50**, 113–119.
5. De La Hunty A, Gibson S & Ashwell M (2013) Does regular breakfast cereal consumption help children and adolescents stay slimmer? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Facts* **6**, 70–85.
6. Zakrzewski JK, Gillison FB, Cumming S, et al. (2015) Associations between breakfast frequency and adiposity indicators in children from 12 countries. *Int. J. Obes. Suppl.* **5**, S80–S88.
7. Kelly Y, Patalay P, Montgomery S, et al. (2016) BMI development and early adolescent psychosocial well-being: UK Millennium Cohort Study. *Pediatrics* **138**, e20160967.
8. Haug E, Rasmussen M, Samdal O, et al. (2010) Overweight in school-aged children and its relationship with democraphic and lifestyle factors: results from the WHO-collaborative Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) Study. *Int. J. Public Health* **54**, 167–179.
9. Timlin MT, Pereira MA, Story M, et al. (2008) Breakfast eating and weight change in a 5-year prospective analysis of breakfast eating and weight change in a 5-year prospective analysis of adolescents: Project EAT (Eating Among Teens). *Pediatrics* **121**, 638–645.
10. Dhurandhar EJ, Dawson J, Alcorn A, et al. (2014) The effectiveness of breakfast recommendations on weight loss: a randomized controlled trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **100**, 507–513.
11. Casazza K, Brown A, Astrup A, et al. (2015) Weighing the evidence of common beliefs in obesity research. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **55**, 2014–2053.
12. Dhurandhar EJ (2016) True, true, unrelated? A review of recent evidence for a causal

493 influence of breakfast on obesity. *Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes.* **23**, 384–
494 388.

495 13. van Nassau F, Singh AS, Cerin E, et al. (2014) The Dutch Obesity Intervention in
496 Teenagers (DOiT) cluster controlled implementation trial: intervention effects and
497 mediators and moderators of adiposity and energy balance-related behaviours. *Int. J.*
498 *Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **11**, 158–168.

499 14. Albertson AM, Anderson GH, Crockett SJ, et al. (2003) Ready-to-eat cereal
500 consumption: its relationship with BMI and nutrient intake of children aged 4 to 12
501 years. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **103**, 1613–1619.

502 15. Albertson AM, Affenito SG, Bauserman R, et al. (2009) The relationship of ready-to-
503 eat cereal consumption to nutrient intake, blood lipids, and body mass index of
504 children as they age through adolescence. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **109**, 1557–1565.

505 16. van den Boom A, Serra-Majem L, Ribas L, et al. (2006) The contribution of ready-to-
506 eat cereals to daily nutrient intake and breakfast quality in a Mediterranean setting. *J.*
507 *Am. Coll. Nutr.* **25**, 135–143.

508 17. Matthys C, De Henauw S, Bellemans M, et al. (2007) Breakfast habits affect overall
509 nutrient profiles in adolescents. *Public Health Nutr.* **10**, 413–421.

510 18. Deshmukh-Taskar PR, Nicklas TA, O'Neil CE, et al. (2010) The relationship of
511 breakfast skipping and type of breakfast consumption with nutrient intake and weight
512 status in children and adolescents: The National Health and Nutrition Examination
513 Survey 1999-2006. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **110**, 869–878.

514 19. Grieger JA & Cobiac L (2012) Comparison of dietary intakes according to breakfast
515 choice in Australian boys. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **66**, 667–672.

516 20. Barr SI, DiFrancesco L & Fulgoni III VL (2014) Breakfast consumption is positively
517 associated with nutrient adequacy in Canadian children and adolescents. *Br J Nutr*
518 **112**, 1373–1383.

519 21. Fayet-Moore F, Kim J, Sritharan N, et al. (2016) Impact of breakfast skipping and
520 breakfast choice on the nutrient intake and body mass index of Australian children.
521 *Nutrients* **8**, 487–498.

522 22. Afeiche MC, Taillie LS, Hopkins S, et al. (2017) Breakfast dietary patterns among
523 Mexican children are related to total-day diet quality. *J. Nutr.* **147**, 404–412.

524 23. Rampersaud GC, Pereira MA, Girard BL, et al. (2005) Breakfast habits, nutritional
525 status, body weight, and academic performance in children and adolescents. *J. Am.*

Diet. Assoc. **105**, 743–760.

24. Barton BA, Eldridge AL, Thompson D, et al. (2005) The relationship of breakfast and cereal consumption to nutrient intake and body mass index: The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Growth and Health Study. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **105**, 1383–1389.

25. Affenito SG, Thompson DR, Barton BA, et al. (2005) Breakfast consumption by African-American and white adolescent girls correlates positively with calcium and fiber intake and negatively with body mass index. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **105**, 938–945.

26. Sjöberg A, Hallberg L, Höglund D, et al. (2003) Meal pattern, food choice, nutrient intake and lifestyle factors in The Göteborg Adolescence Study. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **57**, 1569–1578.

27. Williams P (2007) Breakfast and the diets of Australian children and adolescents: an analysis of data from the 1995 National Nutrition Survey. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **58**, 201–216.

28. Nicklas TA, Reger C, Myers L, et al. (2000) Breakfast consumption with and without vitamin-mineral supplement use favorably impacts daily nutrient intake of ninth-grade students. *J. Adolesc. Heal.* **27**, 314–321.

29. Nicklas TA, O'Neil C & Myers L (2004) The importance of breakfast consumption to nutrition of children, adolescents, and young adults. *Nutr. Today* **39**, 30–39.

30. Sampson A, Dixit S, Meyers A, et al. (1995) The nutritional impact of breakfast consumption on the diets of inner-city African-American elementary school children. *J. Natl. Med. Assoc.* **87**, 195–202.

31. Antonogeorgos G, Panagiotakos DB, Papadimitriou A, et al. (2012) Breakfast consumption and meal frequency interaction with childhood obesity. *Pediatr. Obes.* **7**, 65–72.

32. Berkey C, Rockett H, Gillman M, et al. (2003) Longitudinal study of skipping breakfast and weight change in adolescents. *Int. J. Obes.* **27**, 1258–1266.

33. O'Neil CE, Byrd-Bredbenner C, Hayes D, et al. (2014) The role of breakfast in health: definition and criteria for a quality breakfast. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* **114**, S8–S26.

34. Betts JA, Chowdhury EA, Gonzalez JT, et al. (2016) Is breakfast the most important meal of the day? *Proc. Nutr. Soc.*, 1–11.

35. Public Health England (2014) National Diet and Nutrition Survey Results from Years 1 , 2 , 3 and 4 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009-2011/2012). [Bates B, Lennox A, Prentice A, et al., editors].

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/594361/NDNS_Y1_to_4_UK_report_full_text_revised_February_2017.pdf (accessed May 2017).

36. NatCen Social Research, MRC Human Nutrition Research & University College London (2014) *National Diet and Nutrition Survey Years 1-4, 2008/09-2011/12 [computer file]. 6th Edition*. Colchester, Essex: UK Data Archive [distributer]; SN: 6533, http://dx.doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-6533-5.

37. Lennox A, Fitt E, Whitton C, et al. (2014) *Appendix A to National Diet and Nutrition Survey. Results from Years 1-4 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009 - 2011/12). Dietary data collection and editing*. London: Public Health England.

38. Bates B, Lennox A, Prentice A, et al. (2014) *P2753 National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) Year 4 Interviewer Project Instructions*. Colchester, Essex: UK Data Archive [distributer]; SN: 6533, http://dx.doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-6533-5.

39. Public Health England (2014) *National Diet and Nutrition Survey Rolling Programme Years 1-4 2008/09-2011/12 User Guide for UK Core Sample Data*. Colchester, Essex: UK Data Archive [distributer]; SN: 6533, http://dx.doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-6533-5.

40. Food Standards Agency (2002) *McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods*. 6th ed. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.

41. SACN (2015) Carbohydrates and Health. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/sacn-carbohydrates-and-health-report (accessed May 2017).

42. Englyst HN, Quigley ME & Hudson GJ (1994) Determination of dietary fibre as non-starch polysaccharides with gas-liquid chromatographic, high-performance liquid chromatographic or spectrophotometric measurement of constituent sugars. *Analyst* **119**, 1497–1509.

43. SACN (2011) Dietary Reference Values for Energy 2011. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/339317/SACN_Dietary_Reference_Values_for_Energy.pdf (accessed May 2017).

44. COMA (1991) *Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom*. London: The Stationery Office.

45. Pot GK, Richards M, Prynne CJ, et al. (2014) Development of the Eating Choices Index (ECI): a four-item index to measure healthiness of diet. *Public Health Nutr.* **17**,

592 1–7.

593 46. Vanderpump MPJ, Lazarus JH, Smyth PP, et al. (2011) Iodine status of UK
594 schoolgirls: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet* **377**, 2007–2012.

595 47. Cole T, Freeman J & Preece M (1995) Body mass index reference curves for the UK,
596 1990. *Arch. Dis. Child.* **73**, 25–29.

597 48. Public Health England (2014) *P2752 National Diet and Nutrition Survey Year 4 CAPI*
598 *Interviewer Schedule*. Colchester, Essex: UK Data Archive [distributer]; SN: 6533,
599 http://dx.doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-6533-5.

600 49. McCrory MA, Hajduk CL & Roberts SB (2002) Procedures for screening out
601 inaccurate reports of dietary energy intake. *Public Health Nutr.* **5**, 873–882.

602 50. Rogan JC & Keselman HJ (1977) Is the ANOVA F-test robust to variance
603 heterogeneity when sample sizes are equal?: An investigation via a coefficient of
604 variation. *Am. Educ. Res. J.* **14**, 493–498.

605 51. Feise RJ (2002) Do multiple outcome measures require p-value adjustment? *BMC*
606 *Med. Res. Methodol.* **2**, 8–11.

607 52. Cashman KD (2002) Calcium intake, calcium bioavailability and bone health. *Br. J.*
608 *Nutr.* **87 Suppl 2**, S169–S177.

609 53. Monteagudo C, Palacin-Arce A, del Mar Bibiloni M, et al. (2013) Proposal for a
610 Breakfast Quality Index (BQI) for children and adolescents. *Public Health Nutr.* **16**,
611 639–644.

612 54. Miech RA, Kumanyika SK, Stettler N, et al. (2006) Trends in the association of
613 poverty with overweight among US adolescents, 1971-2004. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **295**,
614 2385–2393.

615 55. Leidy HJ & Racki EM (2010) The addition of a protein-rich breakfast and its effects
616 on acute appetite control and food intake in 'breakfast-skipping' adolescents. *Int. J.*
617 *Obes.* **34**, 1125–1133.

618 56. Kral TVE, Whiteford LM, Heo M, et al. (2011) Effects of eating breakfast compared
619 with skipping breakfast on ratings of appetite and intake at subsequent meals in 8- to
620 10-y-old children. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **93**, 284–291.

621 57. Berkey CS, Rockett HRH, Gillman MW, et al. (2003) Longitudinal study of skipping
622 breakfast and weight change in adolescents. *Int. J. Obes.* **27**, 1258–1266.

623 58. Schembre SM, Wen CK, Davis JN, et al. (2013) Eating breakfast more frequently is
624 cross-sectionally associated with greater physical activity and lower levels of adiposity

in overweight Latina and African American girls. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **98**, 275–281.

59. Betts JA, Richardson JD, Chowdhury EA, et al. (2014) The causal role of breakfast in energy balance and health : a randomized controlled trial in lean adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **100**, 539–547.

60. Hoyland A, McWilliams K, Duff R, et al. (2012) Breakfast consumption in UK schoolchildren and provision of school breakfast clubs. *Nutr. Bull.* **37**, 232–240.

61. Adolphus K, Lawton CL & Dye L (2013) The effects of breakfast on behavior and academic performance in children and adolescents. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **7**, 425.

62. Cohen B, Evers S, Manske S, et al. (2003) Smoking, physical activity and breakfast consumption among secondary school students in a southwestern Ontario community. *Can. J. Public Heal.* **94**, 41–44.

63. Lattimore PJ & Halford JCG (2003) Adolescence and the diet-dieting disparity: healthy food choice or risky health behaviour? *Br. J. Health Psychol.* **8**, 451–463.

64. Crowley SJ, Acebo C & Carskadon MA (2007) Sleep, circadian rhythms, and delayed phase in adolescence. *Sleep Med.* **8**, 602–612.

65. Roenneberg T, Kuehnle T, Pramstaller PP, et al. (2004) A marker for the end of adolescence. *Curr. Biol.* **14**, R1038–R1039.

66. Fleig D & Randler C (2009) Association between chronotype and diet in adolescents based on food logs. *Eat. Behav.* **10**, 115–118. Elsevier Ltd.

67. Roenneberg T, Kuehnle T, Juda M, et al. (2007) Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. *Sleep Med. Rev.* **11**, 429–438.

68. Schaal S, Peter M & Randler C (2010) Morningness-eveningness and physical activity in adolescents. *Int. J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* **8**, 147–159.

69. Coulthard JD & Pot GK (2016) The timing of the evening meal: how is this associated with weight status in UK children? *Br. J. Nutr.* **115**, 1616–1622.

70. Kant AK & Graubard BI (2015) Within-person comparison of eating behaviors, time of eating, and dietary intake on days with and without breakfast : NHANES 2005-2010. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **102**, 661–670.

71. Gorgulho BM, Pot GK, Sarti FM, et al. (2016) Indices for the assessment of nutritional quality of meals: a systematic review. *Br. J. Nutr.* **115**, 2017–2024.

72. Brown AW, Brown MMB & Allison DB (2013) Belief beyond the evidence: using the proposed effect of breakfast on obesity to show 2 practices that distort scientific

657 evidence. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **98**, 1298–1308.

658

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Characteristics of children by breakfast eating habit showing sex, age, ethnicity, weight status and household income (*n* 1686) (Numbers and percentages; mean values and standard deviations)

Table 2. Comparison of number and percentage of children below RNI and LRNI for folate, vitamin C, calcium, iron and iodine depending on breakfast habit* (*n* 1686) (Numbers and percentages)

Table 3. Comparison of daily nutrient intakes for children aged 4-18 years with different breakfast habits (Mean values and standard deviations)

Table 4. (a) Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, 4-18 year olds (*n* 879) (Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

Table 4. (b) Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, 4-10 year olds (*n* 384) (Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

Table 4. (c) Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, 11-18 year olds (*n* 495) (Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

Table 5. Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, no Saturdays or Sundays, 4-18 year olds (*n* 365) (Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

Table 1. Characteristics of children by breakfast eating habit showing sex, age, ethnicity, weight status and household income (*n* 1686)
(Numbers and percentages; mean values and standard deviations)

		Breakfast no diary days		Breakfast on at least one but not all diary days		Breakfast every diary day		
		n	row %	*n*	row %	*n*	row %	P*
Total		289	17.1	879	52.1	518	30.7	
Sex								
	Male	124	14.5	443	51.6	291	33.9	**0.001**
	Female	165	19.9	436	52.7	227	27.4	
Age								
	4-10 years	52	6.5	384	47.9	366	45.6	**<0.001**
	11-18 years	237	26.8	495	56.0	152	17.2	
Ethnicity								
	White	240	16.5	757	52.1	455	31.3	0.168
	Non-white	49	20.9	122	52.1	63	26.9	
Weight Statust								
	Normal	195	17.7	553	50.2	353	32.1	0.258
	Overweight	33	14.9	120	54.3	68	30.8	
	Obese	52	17.5	167	56.2	78	26.3	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P†
Household income (£)§		23 587	16 374	25 108	16 998	28 194	18 349	**0.001**

*Differences between children with different breakfast habits analysed using Pearson chi-square test. P values of ≤0.01 considered significant, shown in bold.
†Differences between children with different breakfast habits analysed using ANOVA. P value of ≤0.01 considered significant, shown in bold.
ŧThe BMI measurements for the children were compared with British 1990 growth reference (UK90) charts to assess whether children were normal weight, overweight (85th centile cut-off) or obese (95th centile cut-off) *n* 1619 (67 missing values).
§Equivalised household income (using McClements equivalence scale), n 1470 (216 missing values).

Table 2. Comparison of number and percentage of children below RNI and LRNI for folate, vitamin C, calcium, iron and iodine depending on breakfast habit* (*n* 1686) (Numbers and percentages)

			Breakfast no diary days		Breakfast on at least one but not all diary days		Breakfast every diary day	
		Meeting RNI/LRNI	*n*	%	*n*	%	*n*	%
Folate	RNI	Yes	125	43.3	588	66.9	426	82.2
		No	164	56.7	291	33.1	92	17.8
	LRNI	Yes	268	92.7	858	97.6	518	100.0
		No	21	7.3	21	2.4	0	0.0
Vitamin C	RNI	Yes	213	73.7	762	86.7	470	90.7
		No	76	26.3	117	13.3	48	9.3
	LRNI	Yes	284	98.3	876	99.7	517	99.8
		No	5	1.7	3	0.3	1	0.2
Calcium	RNI	Yes	92	31.8	470	53.5	392	75.7
		No	197	68.2	409	46.5	126	24.3
	LRNI	Yes	234	81	819	93.2	503	97.1
		No	55	19.0	60	6.8	15	2.9
Iron	RNI	Yes	56	19.4	353	40.2	303	58.5
		No	233	80.6	526	59.8	215	41.5
	LRNI	Yes	198	68.5	751	85.4	495	95.6
		No	91	31.5	128	14.6	23	4.4
Iodine	RNI	Yes	90	31.1	400	45.5	332	64.1
		No	199	68.9	479	54.5	186	35.9
	LRNI	Yes	227	78.5	793	90.2	501	96.7
		No	62	21.5	86	9.8	17	3.3

RNI, reference nutrient intake (as set by Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy (COMA)), LRNI, lower reference nutrient intake (as set by COMA).

*P-values for chi-square analysis all <0.001, except for Vitamin C LRNI where results invalid as 50% of cells had expected count <5.

695
696 **Table 3.** Comparison of daily nutrient intakes for children aged 4-18 years with different breakfast habits
697 (Mean values and standard deviations)
698

	Breakfast no diary days (*n* 289)		Breakfast at least one but not all diary days (*n* 879)		Breakfast every diary day (*n* 518)		ANOVA (*n* 1686)	ANCOVA§ (*n* 1416)
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P‡	P‡
Energy (%EAR)	71.0	22.1	82.2	22.5	92.4	21.3	**<0.001**	**0.009**
Protein (%Energy)‖	14.9	3.5	14.6	2.6	14.8	2.5	0.309	0.041
Fat (%Energy)	34.2	5.3	33.8	4.8	33.1	4.6	**0.005**	**0.005**
CHO (%Energy)	49.7	6.1	51.3	5.3	52.1	4.8	**<0.001**	**0.010**
NMES (%Energy)‖	15.5	7.1	15.3	5.8	14.5	5.4	0.030	0.034
Fibre (g/1000kcalEnergy)	6.5	1.9	7.0	1.8	7.5	1.9	**<0.001**	**<0.001**
Folate (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	114	39	124	39	134	41	**<0.001**	**<0.001**
Vitamin C (mg/1000kcalEnergy)†	44.6	29.4	51.5	34.8	56.7	32.6	**<0.001**	0.472
Sodium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*‖	1262	314	1255	284	1198	220	0.027	0.127
Calcium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	426	132	471	140	532	143	**<0.001**	**<0.001**
Iron (mg/1000kcalEnergy)	5.2	1.5	5.5	1.2	5.8	1.3	**<0.001**	**<0.001**
Iodine (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	68.0	30.8	77.3	37.2	89.8	35.8	**<0.001**	**<0.001**

EAR, Estimated Average Requirement of energy as set by the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition; CHO, carbohydrate; NMES, non-milk extrinsic sugars.. *Square root transformation applied to calculate significance; means and standard deviations shown for untransformed variables.
† Natural logarithm transformation applied to calculate significance; means and standard deviations shown for untransformed variables.
‡P value of ≤0.01 considered significant, shown in bold.
§ANOVA adjusted for the covariates: age, sex, body mass index, ethnicity (white/non white) and equivalised household income (using McClements equivalence scale); sample size reduced by 270 cases due to missing values for BMI and equivalised income.
‖Due to inequality of variances for these variables ANOVA and ANCOVA performed after random sample selection to form three equal groups of 245 cases (n 735).
699

Table 4. (a) Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, 4-18 year olds (*n* 879) (Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

	Non-breakfast days		Breakfast days		T-test paired difference			Adjusted paired difference§		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡
Energy (kcal)	1629	550	1721	535	92	60, 124	**<0.001**	87	52, 121	**<0.001**
Protein (%Energy)	15.1	3.8	14.5	3.2	-0.57	-0.85, -0.30	**<0.001**	-0.66	-0.96, -0.35	**<0.001**
Fat (%Energy)	33.9	6.6	33.3	5.8	-0.58	-1.07, -0.10	0.018	-0.49	-1.02, 0.05	0.075
CHO (%Energy)	50.7	7.6	52.0	6.3	1.29	0.76, 1.82	**<0.001**	1.28	0.71, 1.86	**<0.001**
NMES (%Energy)	15.3	7.7	15.1	7.0	-0.26	-0.79, 0.27	0.339	-0.21	-0.78, 0.37	0.483
Fibre (g/1000kcalEnergy)	7.0	2.4	7.1	2.1	0.11	-0.06, 0.27	0.215	0.10	-0.08, 0.27	0.272
Folate (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	123	50	129	50	5.9	0.03, 0.09	**<0.001**	5.2	0.03, 0.09	**<0.001**
Vitamin C (mg/1000kcalEnergy)†	52.1	43.2	52.7	39.5	0.6	-0.002, 0.114	0.057	0.3	-0.03, 0.10	0.257
Sodium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	1283	371	1237	348	-47	-1.03, -0.22	**0.002**	-55	-1.19, -0.30	**0.001**
Calcium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	455	173	491	165	36	0.64, 1.15	**<0.001**	36	0.60, 1.16	**<0.001**
Iron (mg/1000kcalEnergy)	5.5	1.6	5.7	1.6	0.18	0.05, 0.30	**0.004**	0.17	0.03, 0.30	0.016
Iodine (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	77.2	56.3	79.2	41.5	1.9	0.02, 0.09	**0.001**	1.3	0.01, 0.09	**0.007**

CHO, carbohydrate; NMES, non-milk extrinsic sugars.
*Square root transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
† Natural logarithm transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
‡P value of ≤0.01 considered significant, shown in bold.
§Repeated measures ANOVA adjusted for the covariates: age, sex, BMI, ethnicity (white/non white) and equivalised household income (using McClements equivalence scale). Sample size reduced by 145 cases (*n* 734) due to missing values for BMI and equivalised income.

Table 4. (b) Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, 4-10 year olds (*n* 384) (Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

	Non-breakfast days		Breakfast days		T-test paired difference			Adjusted paired difference§		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡
Energy (kcal)	1479	443	1525	355	46	6, 85	0.023	47	4, 90	0.032
Protein (%Energy)	14.8	3.4	14.6	2.8	-0.26	-0.63, 0.10	0.159	-0.36	-0.76, 0.03	0.073
Fat (%Energy)	33.9	6.5	33.1	5.3	-0.86	-1.54, -0.18	0.014	-0.77	-1.52, -0.01	0.048
CHO (%Energy)	51.2	7.1	52.3	5.8	1.14	0.39, 1.89	**0.003**	1.15	0.35, 1.94	**0.005**
NMES (%Energy)	15.1	7.3	14.7	5.7	-0.40	-1.08, 0.29	0.255	-0.56	-1.32, 0.19	0.142
Fibre (g/1000kcalEnergy)	7.0	2.3	7.3	2.0	0.37	0.14, 0.61	**0.002**	0.42	0.17, 0.67	**0.001**
Folate (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	125	46	133	45	8.5	0.04, 0.12	**<0.001**	8.4	0.04, 0.12	**<0.001**
Vitamin C (mg/1000kcalEnergy)†	56.0	43.5	59.4	37.6	3.4	0.54, 0.21	**0.001**	3.5	0.05, 0.23	**0.002**
Sodium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	1259	374	1213	299	-46	-1.09, 0.04	0.069	-46	-1.15, 0.08	0.085
Calcium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	494	190	524	176	29	0.34, 1.12	**<0.001**	33	0.38, 1.24	**<0.001**
Iron (mg/1000kcalEnergy)	5.5	1.6	5.7	1.5	0.26	0.08, 0.44	**0.005**	0.22	0.03, 0.42	0.027
Iodine (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	85.8	50.1	90.2	47.2	4.4	0.02, 0.13	**0.005**	5.1	0.03, 0.14	**0.005**

CHO, carbohydrate; NMES, non-milk extrinsic sugars.
*Square root transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
† Natural logarithm transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
‡P value of ≤0.01 considered significant, shown in bold.
§Repeated measures ANOVA adjusted for the covariates: age, sex, BMI, ethnicity (white/non white) and equivalised household income (using McClements equivalence scale). Sample size reduced by 62 cases (*n* 322) due to missing values for BMI and equivalised income.

Table 4. (c) Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, 11-18 year olds (*n* 495) (Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

	Non-breakfast days		Breakfast days		T-test paired difference			Adjusted paired difference§		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡
Energy (kcal)	1746	595	1874	598	128	80, 175	**<0.001**	118	66, 169	**<0.001**
Protein (%Energy)	15.3	4.1	14.5	3.4	-0.82	-1.22, -0.42	**<0.001**	-0.88	-1.33, -0.44	**<0.001**
Fat (%Energy)	33.8	6.7	33.4	6.1	-0.37	-1.04, 0.30	0.281	-0.27	-1.00, 0.47	0.478
CHO (%Energy)	50.3	7.9	51.7	6.6	1.41	0.67, 2.15	**<0.001**	1.39	0.58, 2.20	**0.001**
NMES (%Energy)	15.5	8.0	15.4	7.8	-0.15	-0.93, 0.63	0.704	0.08	-0.76, 0.91	0.859
Fibre (g/1000kcalEnergy)	7.0	2.5	6.9	2.2	-0.10	-0.33, 0.13	0.383	-0.16	-0.40, 0.09	0.214
Folate (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	122	52	126	54	4.0	0.01, 0.09	0.023	2.7	-0.002, 0.085	0.062
Vitamin C (mg/1000kcalEnergy)†	49.1	42.7	47.4	40.1	-1.7	-0.09, 0.08	0.917	-2.1	-0.13, 0.04	0.289
Sodium (mg/1000kcalEnergy*)	1302	368	1255	380	-47	-1.28, -0.14	0.015	-61	-1.53, -0.28	**0.005**
Calcium (mg/1000kcalEnergy*)	424	152	466	152	42	0.68, 1.36	**<0.001**	38	0.57, 1.31	**<0.001**
Iron (mg/1000kcalEnergy)	5.5	1.6	5.6	1.6	0.11	-0.05, 0.28	0.178	0.12	-0.07, 0.30	0.204
Iodine (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	70.6	59.9	70.5	34.1	0.0	-0.003, 0.092	0.063	-1.8	-0.02, 0.08	0.258

CHO, carbohydrate; NMES, non-milk extrinsic sugars.
*Square root transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
† Natural logarithm transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
‡P value of ≤0.01 considered significant, shown in bold.
§Repeated measures ANOVA adjusted for the covariates: age, sex, BMI, ethnicity (white/non white) and equivalised household income (using McClements equivalence scale). Sample size reduced by 83 cases (*n* 412) due to missing values for BMI and equivalised income.

Table 5. Within-person difference in daily nutrient intakes for days on which breakfast consumed compared to days on which breakfast not consumed, no Saturdays or Sundays, 4-18 year olds (*n* 365)
(Mean values and standard deviations; mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

	Non-breakfast days		Breakfast days		T-test paired difference			Adjusted paired difference§		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡	Mean difference	95% CI	P‡
Energy (kcal)	1540	619	1688	571	148	90, 207	**<0.001**	158	93, 223	**<0.001**
Protein (%Energy)	15.2	4.4	14.6	4.0	-0.52	-1.06, 0.02	0.061	-0.61	-1.22, 0.01	0.052
Fat (%Energy)	33.4	7.8	33.5	7.1	0.11	-0.77, 0.99	0.807	0.13	-0.88, 1.13	0.802
CHO (%Energy)	51.1	8.2	51.7	7.7	0.56	-0.38, 1.49	0.240	0.65	-0.40, 1.71	0.225
NMES (%Energy)	15.2	8.6	15.3	8.0	0.13	-0.82, 1.09	0.782	0.38	-0.69, 1.46	0.484
Fibre (g/1000kcalEnergy)	7.2	2.8	7.0	2.5	-0.21	-0.53, 0.10	0.186	-0.29	-0.62, 0.04	0.080
Folate (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	120	53	127	52	7.7	0.03, 0.13	**0.003**	9.0	0.03, 0.14	**0.004**
Vitamin C (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	51.3	48.4	53.7	45.2	2.4	-0.05, 0.58	0.100	1.4	-0.19, 0.52	0.369
Sodium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	1270	412	1236	411	-35	-1.21, 0.26	0.206	-41	-1.39, 0.24	0.165
Calcium (mg/1000kcalEnergy)*	434	181	475	172	41	0.59, 1.52	**<0.001**	43	0.60, 1.64	**<0.001**
Iron (mg/1000kcalEnergy)	5.4	1.9	5.6	1.7	0.16	-0.06, 0.37	0.149	0.15	-0.08, 0.38	0.208
Iodine (mcg/1000kcalEnergy)†	70.6	44.3	75.1	44.4	4.5	0.02, 0.15	**0.007**	5.5	0.03, 0.17	**0.008**

CHO, carbohydrate; NMES, non-milk extrinsic sugars.
*Square root transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
†Natural logarithm transformation applied to calculate significance; means, standard deviations and mean differences shown for untransformed variables.
‡P value of ≤0.01 considered significant, shown in bold.
§Repeated measures ANOVA adjusted for the covariates: age, sex, BMI, ethnicity (white/non white) and equivalised household income (using McClements equivalence scale). Sample size reduced by 67 cases (*n* 298) due to missing values for BMI and equivalised income.