

1 *Manuscript for: Journal of Chemical Ecology*

2

3 A HERBIVORE TAG-AND-TRACE SYSTEM REVEALS CONTACT-
4 AND DENSITY-DEPENDENT REPELLENCE OF A ROOT TOXIN

5

6 ZOE BONT¹, CARLA ARCE¹, MERET HUBER², WEI HUANG¹, ADRIEN MESTROT³, CRAIG
7 J. STURROCK⁴ AND MATTHIAS ERB^{1*}

8

9 *¹Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland*

10 *²Department of Biochemistry, Max-Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Jena, Germany*

11 *³Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland*

12 *⁴Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton
13 Bonington, Leicestershire, UK*

14 *Corresponding author: matthias.erb@ips.unibe.ch, +41 31 631 86 68

15

16

17

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

18 We would like to thank Gabriel Ulrich, Viona Ernst and Miguel Salinas for their help with the
19 performance experiments. Maxime Hervé and Marc Pfander provided helpful advice for data
20 analysis and experimental design. This study was supported by the Swiss National Science
21 Foundation (Grant No. 153517), the Seventh Framework Programme for Research and
22 Technological Development of the European Union (FP7 MC-CIG 629134) and by the
23 Transnational Access program of the European Plant Phenotyping Network (EPPN) funded by
24 the European Union under the FP7 Capacities Programme (Grant Agreement No. 284443).
25 The Hounsfield Facility received funding from ERC (FUTUREROOTS; Brussels, Belgium),
26 BBSRC (Swindon, UK), and The Wolfson Foundation (London, UK).

27 **Abstract** - Foraging behaviour of root feeding organisms strongly affects plant-environment-
28 interactions and ecosystem processes. However, the impact of plant chemistry on root
29 herbivore movement in the soil is poorly understood. Here, we apply a simple technique to trace
30 the movement of soil-dwelling insects in their habitat without disturbing or restricting their
31 interaction with host plants: We tagged the root feeding larvae of *Melolontha melolontha* with a
32 copper ring and repeatedly located their position in relation to their preferred host plant,
33 *Taraxacum officinale*, using a commercial metal detector. This method was validated and used
34 to study the influence of the sesquiterpene lactone taraxinic acid β -D-glucopyranosyl ester (TA-
35 G) on the foraging of *M. melolontha*. TA-G is stored in the latex of *T. officinale* and protects the
36 roots from herbivory. Using behavioural arenas with TA-G deficient and control plants, we
37 tested the impact of physical root access and plant distance on the effect of TA-G on *M.*
38 *melolontha*. *M. melolontha* larvae preferred TA-G deficient plants over control plants, but only
39 when physical root contact was possible and the plants were grown at a distance of 5 cm. *M.*
40 *melolontha* showed no preference for TA-G deficient plants when the plants were grown 15 cm
41 apart, which may indicate a trade-off between the cost of movement and the benefit of
42 consuming less toxic food. We demonstrate that *M. melolontha* integrates host plant quality
43 and distance into its foraging patterns and suggest that plant chemistry affects root herbivore
44 behaviour in a plant-density dependent manner.

45

46 **Key Words** - Root herbivore, Foraging, Tag-and-trace, Imaging, *Melolontha melolontha*,
47 *Taraxacum officinale*.

48

INTRODUCTION

49 Root feeding herbivores strongly influence natural and agricultural ecosystems (Hunter, 2001):
50 They can shape plant fitness and plant community composition (van der Putten 2003; Zvereva
51 and Kozlov 2012), above ground herbivore damage (Erb et al. 2008; Wäckers and Bezemer
52 2003) and tritrophic interactions (Rasmann et al. 2005; Soler et al. 2005; van Tol et al. 2001).
53 In contrast to wingless shoot feeders such as caterpillars, belowground herbivores are less
54 dependent on the physical structure of their host plants as they can move around freely in the
55 soil matrix. However, the energetic costs of moving through the soil are presumably higher
56 (Johnson et al. 2016).

57 In the absence of visual stimuli, belowground herbivores rely on chemical cues to find suitable
58 hosts. They are for instance able to detect plants from a distance by tracing plant-derived
59 volatiles and exudates in the rhizosphere (Liu et al. 2016; Nordenhem and Nordlander 1994;
60 Weissteiner et al. 2012; reviewed by Johnson and Gregory 2006 and by Johnson and Nielsen
61 2012). After host plant encounter, biting into the root enables root herbivores to assess host
62 quality (van Dam 2009; Watts et al. 2011). The specialist root feeder *Diabrotica virgifera* for
63 example is able to use plant volatiles such as (*E*)- β -caryophyllene to select maize plants from
64 a distance, exudates such as benzoxazinoids to locate nutritious maize roots and endogenous
65 metabolites such as conjugated phenylpropanoids to avoid leaf-infested plants (Robert et al.
66 2012a, 2012b; Erb et al. 2015).

67 According to optimal foraging theory, animals should forage in a way that maximizes their
68 fitness (Pyke 1984). In terms of food location and acquisition, this means that animals should
69 aim at maximizing energy intake while minimizing energy loss through movement (Charnov
70 1976). Bumblebees for instance integrate information about the quality and distance of different
71 feeding sites to optimize their foraging patterns (Lihoreau et al. 2011). Because movement in
72 the soil is costly, the distance between different roots and plants should strongly influence
73 foraging and host plant preferences of root feeding herbivores (Johnson et al. 2016). To date,
74 this aspect is not well investigated in the context of root-herbivore interactions (Sonnemann et
75 al. 2014).

76 One reason why we know so little about root herbivore foraging is related to the fact that

77 observing herbivores in the soil is challenging. So far, most behavioral experiments were
78 conducted using artificial setups such as slant-boards (Dawson et al. 2002; Gerard et al. 2005),
79 transparent sandwich systems (Eilers et al. 2016; Reinecke et al. 2008; Schumann et al. 2013)
80 or petri-dishes (Robert et al. 2012b; Weissteiner et al. 2012). These set-ups allow detailed and
81 continuous observations, but constrain plant growth and/or insect movement. Other
82 conventional methods rely on destructive sampling (Murray et al. 2010; Schumann and Vidal
83 2012) and are therefore not suited to trace movement patterns over time. Non-destructive, non-
84 restricting techniques to track root herbivore movements include acoustic detection and X-ray
85 microtomography (reviewed in Johnson et al. 2007). The latter has been used to screen soil
86 columns and gives interesting insights into herbivore activity, but is restricted to small sample
87 sizes and requires high-end equipment (Johnson et al. 2004). Acoustic detection on the other
88 hand is limited in detection range and sensitivity (Mankin et al. 2000). An accurate, inexpensive,
89 non-invasive tracking system to trace root herbivores would complement the already available
90 tools and help to better understand patterns of root herbivory.

91 We studied the foraging patterns of the root-feeding larvae of the European cockchafer
92 (*Melolontha melolontha*; Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) when feeding on their preferred host plant
93 (Hauss 1975; Hauss and Schütte 1976), the common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* agg.;
94 Flora Helvetica, 5th edition). *M. melolontha* is capable to detecting a variety of different
95 chemical stimuli through its frontal sensory organs, including CO₂, water and various alcohols,
96 aldehydes, ketones, acids, amines and terpenoids (Eilers et al. 2012). The roots of *T. officinale*
97 exude high amounts of bitter latex sap upon wounding which can influence the behavior of *M.*
98 *melolontha* (Huber et al. 2016a). *T. officinale* latex contains three major classes of secondary
99 metabolites: Phenolic inositol esters (PIEs), triterpene acetates (TritAcs) and the sesquiterpene
100 lactone taraxinic acid β-D-glucopyranosyl ester (TA-G) (Huber et al. 2015). We recently
101 demonstrated that TA-G protects *T. officinale* against herbivory by *M. melolontha* by repelling
102 the root herbivore (Huber et al. 2016a). In small scale choice experiments *M. melolontha*
103 preferred to feed on TA-G deficient plants that were silenced in the expression of the
104 Germacrene A synthase ToGAS1 rather than wild type plants. Based on these findings and the
105 fact that TA-G is mostly produced and stored in the laticifers of *T. officinale*, we hypothesized
106 that the compound acts as a negative gustatory stimulus for *M. melolontha*. Furthermore, based

107 on the potentially high energetic cost of movement in the soil, we hypothesized that *M.*
108 *melolontha* should be more likely to choose between plants of different quality when they are
109 growing in close proximity.

110 To test these hypotheses, we developed a metal-tag based tag-and-trace system based on an
111 approach which was developed by Piper and Compton (2002) to track the larvae of a leaf-litter
112 dwelling chrysomelid beetle. To record the movement patterns of *M. melolontha* we marked the
113 larvae with copper rings and located their position with a metal detector. We next validated the
114 system in a series of preference and performance experiments, including X-ray
115 microtomography. We then used the validated system to elucidate the effect of TA-G on *M.*
116 *melolontha* behaviour and to test whether *M. melolontha* can integrate host plant distance into
117 its foraging behaviour.

118

METHODS AND MATERIALS

119 *Insect Rearing.* Third instar *M. melolontha* larvae were used for all experiments. Larvae were
120 collected from meadows in Urmein, Bristen and Sion (Switzerland) and reared at 10 °C and
121 darkness in individual plastic cups filled with a mixture of moist potting soil and grated carrots.
122 Before experiments, larvae were starved for seven days at room temperature in single cups
123 filled with moist potting soil.

124 *Plant Cultivation.* Wild type and transgenic *T. officinale* plants in the background A34 were used
125 in the present study. A34 was originally created by crossing diploid pollen of a triploid apomict
126 from the Netherlands with a diploid mother from France (Verhoeven et al. 2010). F2 plants of
127 two transgenic lines (RNAi-1, RNAi-16) which are silenced in the expression of the Germacrene
128 A synthase ToGAS1 and show a high reduction of TA-G accumulation in the latex were used
129 together with a transgenic control line (RNAi-15), which was transformed in an identical manner
130 but does not exhibit ToGAS1 silencing. The transgenic lines were described and characterized
131 previously (Huber et al. 2015). All seeds were germinated on seedling substrate and
132 transplanted into individual pots filled with potting soil (5 parts 'Landerde', 4 parts peat and 1
133 part sand) after 2.5 weeks. Unless specified otherwise, cultivation and experiments took place
134 in a climate chamber operating under the following conditions: Temperature at day 22 °C and
135 at night 18 °C; 16 h light and 6 h darkness; 65% humidity.

136 *Development of a Tag-and-trace System for M. melolontha.* To study *M. melolontha* behaviour
137 in the soil, we developed a tag-and-trace system which consisted of tagging individual grubs
138 with a copper-ring and detecting their movement and position in the soil using a commercial
139 metal detector (Bullseye TRX Pinpointer, White's Electronics, USA). To tag the grubs, thin
140 copper wire (0.5 mm) was coiled around the larval body between the second and third pair of
141 front legs. The total weight of an individual tag was around 105 mg, which corresponds to an
142 increase in larval mass of 5%. The wire endings were twisted together to form a small antenna
143 (Fig. 1a). Preliminary experiments showed that this particular shape of the copper tag optimizes
144 the detectability of the larvae in the soil as it complements the ring around the body in a way
145 that maintains electromagnetic inducibility independently of the position of the larva.

146 *Influence of the Copper Tag on M. melolontha Performance.* To investigate whether the metal
147 tag influences *M. melolontha* development and behaviour, we carried out three experiments.

148 First, we measured the weight gain of third instar larvae with ($N = 12$) and without a copper ring
149 ($N = 12$) every seven days for nine weeks. Larvae were kept in darkness at 20-22 °C in plastic
150 cups filled with potting soil and grated carrots. The substrate was changed every week, and
151 larval weight was determined at the same time.

152 Second, to assess whether the copper from the tag is taken up by the larvae, we determined
153 copper accumulation in the larvae using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-
154 MS). Tagged ($N = 6$) and non-tagged larvae ($N = 6$) were anaesthetized at the end of the
155 performance assay and haemolymph was collected into ice-cold phosphate buffered saline
156 (PBS, Green and Sambrook 2012), diluted to a final volume of 1:10 with PBS, centrifuged at
157 5900 g for 3 min at 4 °C and supernatant was stored at -80 °C (Popham et al. 2004). Fat tissue
158 and body (without gut) were separated, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until
159 analysis. For sample preparation, body and fat tissue samples were lyophilized overnight and
160 freeze-dried body samples were ground to fine powder using mortar and pestle. Samples were
161 then weighed into polyethylene tubes (approximately 100 mg for haemolymph, 50 mg for body
162 tissue and 20 mg for fat tissue) and accurate weight was noted. For digestion, 4 ml of 69%
163 ultrapure HNO_3 (Rotipuran, Supra, Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) was added to each sample
164 and left for 10 min. Then 1 ml of 30% ultrapure H_2O_2 (Rotipuran, Supra, Carl Roth, Karlsruhe,
165 Germany) was added to each tube and samples were digested using a microwave digestion
166 unit (Ethos cont-FLOW 1600, MLS, Leutkirch, Germany) operating for 5 min at 120 °C and 300
167 W, then for 15 min at 200 °C and 700 W and for 20 min at 200 °C and 450 W. After cooling
168 down, samples were diluted with ultrapure water to a final volume of 25 ml. Digestion of each
169 analytical batch was accompanied by one blank sample and one certified reference material
170 sample (CRM, ERM-BB422 Fish muscle). Sample solutions were then diluted by a factor of 2
171 and determination of copper content was performed using ICP-MS (7700x ICP-MS, Agilent
172 Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). A multi-element standard solution (ICPMS-71A, Inorganic
173 Ventures, Christiansburg VA, USA) was used to establish an external calibration curve. Copper
174 concentrations were then compared separately for body, fat tissue and haemolymph between
175 tagged and non-tagged larvae.

176 Third, we used high resolution X-ray micro Computed Tomography (X-ray μCT) to profile the
177 foraging behaviour of tagged and non-tagged *M. melolontha* feeding on the roots of *T. officinale*

178 plants. Seven-week old plants in single pots (7.5 cm diameter, 20 cm length) filled with sandy
179 soil (clay loam with 50% silver sand) which were grown in growth rooms with a 16/8 h light cycle
180 at a temperature of 23/18 °C were used for the experiment. Half of the pots ($N = 8$) were infested
181 with one unmarked *M. melolontha* larva, whereas the other half of the plants ($N = 8$) were
182 infested with one larva carrying a copper ring by carefully inserting the larva into the soil in 3
183 cm distance to the plant. The device used for imaging was a Phoenix v|tome|x M (GE Sensing
184 and Inspection Technologies, Wunstorf, Germany) at The Hounsfield Facility (University of
185 Nottingham, UK) set to 180 kV and 160 μ A. Per scan, 1199 projections were taken
186 (average/skip = 1/0) with 250 ms detector timing, resulting in a scan time of 5 min per pot and
187 a resolution of 105 μ m microns. All pots were scanned twice a day for three consecutive days.
188 Data were reconstructed for visualisation using datos|x software (GE Sensing). While moving
189 through the soil, the larvae left behind air filled tunnels due to soil compression. The tunnels
190 could be visualized in the scans, allowing the reconstruction of the complete moving pattern of
191 the larvae. VGStudio MAX V.2.2 (Volume Graphics GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) software
192 was used to trace the tunnels and measure their lengths using the polyline tool. The “*Region*
193 *Growing*” selection tool was used to segment plant root system and to calculate the amount of
194 main root that was consumed by the larvae. Foraging and moving parameters from
195 measurements of the first two days were then compared between marked and unmarked
196 larvae. Values from the third day were excluded as tunnel tracing was inconclusive due to high
197 movement activity of some larvae.

198 *Development of Arenas for Behavioural Experiments.* To profile the behaviour of tagged *M.*
199 *melolontha* larvae, we developed an inexpensive arena system using customized PVC cable
200 canals (15 - 25 cm length, 6 cm width, 4 cm height). The cable canals were closed with root
201 barrier tissue (Trenn-Vlies, GeoTex Windhager, Switzerland) on both sides (Fig. 1b). The size
202 of the arenas allowed us to plant up to two plants on either side of the arena while still leaving
203 enough space for a neutral zone in the middle. Unless specified otherwise, *T. officinale* plants
204 were transplanted three days before the start of the experiments. In some experiments, we
205 inserted root barriers (6.5 cm x 4 cm; Trenn-Vlies, GeoTex Windhager, Switzerland) to restrict
206 root growth while allowing root exudates and volatiles to diffuse into the centre of the arenas.
207 The arenas were filled with potting soil (5 parts ‘Landerde’, 4 parts peat and 1 part sand). Plants

208 were watered every 1-2 days. Individual tagged *M. melolontha* larvae were then carefully
209 inserted in the middle of the arenas. Using the metal detector, we recorded the positions of the
210 larvae at different time intervals. At the end of the experiments, the larvae were recaptured, the
211 copper rings removed and the plants harvested for chemical analysis. To validate the setup,
212 we conducted a first behavioural experiment in which we offered one seven-week old *T.*
213 *officinale* control plant (RNAi-15) on one side and soil without plant on the other side of the
214 arena to *M. melolontha* larvae ($N = 20$). Root barriers prevented direct contact of the larvae
215 with the plant or the empty control side. Three days after transplanting, the tagged larvae were
216 put into the arenas and their positions were recorded six times over 48 h as described above.
217 Larval position was recorded by dividing the arena into two segments of equal size containing
218 test plants and one central part (2 cm length) in between. To analyse larval foraging locomotion
219 patterns in more detail, we conducted an additional experiment in which we exposed tagged
220 larvae to three different choice situations: i) No plant on both sides of the arena, ii) no plant vs.
221 *T. officinale* on one side and iii) *T. officinale* on both sides. Seven-week old A34 plants were
222 transplanted into the arenas as described above. One day after transplanting, one tagged larva
223 was put into each arena ($N = 18-20$ for each choice situation) and the position of the larvae
224 was recorded on a centimetre scale every 10 min for 6 h. The central part of 2 cm length was
225 defined as neutral area. For each larva we determined the overall preference behaviour.
226 Furthermore, we calculated the mean moving speed of the larvae per hour.

227 *Influence of Plant Toxins on M. melolontha Foraging.* In a next step, we used the validated tag-
228 and-trace system together with the behavioural arenas to analyse the impact of root secondary
229 metabolites on *M. melolontha* foraging. We focused our experiments on taraxinic acid β -D-
230 glucopyranosyl ester (TA-G), a sesquiterpene lactone that is produced in high quantities in the
231 latex of *T. officinale* and has been shown to reduce *M. melolontha* damage and food
232 consumption (Huber et al. 2016a, 2016b).

233 In a first experiment, we offered seven-week old TA-G deficient (RNAi-1, RNAi-16) and TA-G
234 producing (RNAi-15) *T. officinale* plants to *M. melolontha* using 25 cm long arenas. One TA-G
235 deficient (RNAi-1 or RNAi-16) and one control (RNAi-15) plant were transplanted at a distance
236 of 5 cm or 15 cm ($N = 16$ for each distance and genotype combination). Root barriers were
237 used to restrict *T. officinale* root growth during the acclimatization phase and removed before

238 the start of the behavioural experiments to give *M. melolontha* direct access to the roots. This
239 setup allowed us to test whether *M. melolontha* behaviour is influenced by TA-G production
240 and whether this effect depends on the distance between the different host plants. Based on
241 the results of our first experiments, the position of the larvae was recorded 6 times over 48 h.
242 In a second experiment, we tested whether *M. melolontha* needs direct root contact to choose
243 between TA-G deficient and control plants. To answer this question, we used 15 cm long arenas
244 and one seven-week old TA-G deficient (RNAi-1 or RNAi-16) and one control (RNAi-15) plant
245 were transplanted at either end of the arenas with root barriers restricting their growth. After
246 three days, root barriers were removed for half of the arenas to enable direct root contact. For
247 the other half of the arenas the root barriers were left in the arenas ($N = 20$ for each treatment
248 and genotype combination). Larval behaviour was determined as described above.

249 *Phenotyping of TA-G Deficient and TA-G Producing Plants.* To validate the phenotypes of the
250 transgenic plants, we compared biomass and latex profiles of TA-G deficient and TA-G
251 producing plants. For biomass determination, we used six replicates of eight-week old TA-G
252 deficient (RNAi-1, RNAi-16) and TA-G producing (RNAi-15) plants. The root systems were
253 washed under tap water, the plants were separated into above and belowground parts and the
254 dry mass was determined after drying the plant parts in an oven at 80 °C until constant weight
255 was reached. Dry mass was analysed between genotypes for leaves and roots separately.

256 To confirm reduced TA-G production in ToGAS1 silenced plants and assess them for potential
257 pleiotropic effects, we conducted chemical analyses of latex of seven-week old plants (RNAi-
258 1, RNAi-15, RNAi-16; $n=10$ per genotype). To collect latex, main roots were cut 0.5 cm below
259 the tiller and 2 µl of exuding latex was pipetted immediately into Eppendorf tubes containing
260 200 µl methanol. The tubes were vortexed for 10 min, put into ultrasonic bath for 10 min,
261 centrifuged at 14'000 g for 20 min and supernatants were stored at -20 °C. The methanol
262 extracts were injected into an Acquity-TQD UPLC-PDA-MS (Waters) with electrospray
263 ionization in positive mode, consisting of an ultra-performance liquid chromatograph (UPLC)
264 coupled to a photodiode array detector (PDA) and a single quadrupole mass specific detector
265 (QDa). Metabolites were separated on an Acquity BEH-C18 column (2.1 mm x 100 mm, particle
266 size 1.7 µm, Waters). The flowrate was set to 0.4 ml min⁻¹, column temperature was 55 °C and
267 injection volume 2.5 µl. The mobile phase A consisted of H₂O and formic acid (99.9:0.1), and

268 the mobile phase B of ACN and formic acid (99.9:0.1). The following gradient was used: 0-1.5
269 min: 5% B; 2.5 min 20% B; 3 min 40% B; 5 min 95% B; 6 min 5% B. The QDa was operated in
270 ESI- using a cone voltage of 10 V and a scan range of 150-650 *m/z*. The PDA scan range was
271 200-600 nm. TA-G was identified based on its absorption and mass spectrum, and its identity
272 was confirmed by co-injection of purified TA-G. For quantification of TA-G, peak areas at 245
273 nm were integrated and concentrations were calculated using a standard curve with loganin as
274 external standard and the corresponding response factor to pure TA-G. To assess potential
275 pleiotropic effects due to silencing ToGAS1, we further analyzed another major class of latex
276 metabolites, di- and tri-4-hydroxyphenylacetate inositol esters (PIEs, Huber et al. 2015) in the
277 latex methanol extracts. UPLC-PDA-MS analysis was performed as described, and PIEs were
278 quantified by integrating peak areas at 275 nm. Relative concentrations were calculated for di-
279 and tri-PIEs separately.

280 *Data Analysis.* To test whether metal-marking had an effect on larval performance, we analysed
281 weight gain of tagged and non-tagged larvae with a generalized linear model (GLM, function
282 'glm') which takes into account the repeated measurements of the same individuals, followed
283 by Wald tests (package 'car' (Fox and Weisberg 2011)). To analyse copper contents of the
284 larvae, we compared individual tissues using Student's T-tests. Furthermore, we employed a
285 linear mixed effect model (LMM, function 'lmer' of package 'lme4' (Bates et al. 2015)) to analyse
286 the effect of the copper tag across tissues. 'Tissue' and 'Treatment' were used as fixed factors,
287 and 'Larval identity' was included as a random factor. To analyse larval movement patterns in
288 the high-resolution behaviour assay, we used a linear model with 'position' as response
289 variable, 'Time' and 'Treatment' as fixed factors and '(1|Arena)' as random factor. To compare
290 moving speed in each hour of measurement between the choice situations, we used a linear
291 model with 'Moving speed' as response variable and 'Time' and 'Treatment' as fixed factors.
292 To analyse larval behaviour in the preference assays, we calculated the percentage of
293 detections in each side of the arena for each larva. The percentage of detections in the central
294 area did not differ between choice situations and was therefore excluded from the analysis. To
295 test whether the larvae prefer a specific host plant, linear mixed models were established for
296 each choice situation separately, using 'Percentage of detection' as response variable, 'Side'
297 and 'Genotype' (TA-G deficient line RNAi-1 or -16) as fixed factors and '(1|Arena)' as random

298 factor. To test if the distance or accessibility of the roots has an influence on the percentage of
299 detection in each side of the arena, we established linear models with 'Percentage of detection'
300 as response variable and 'Distance' respectively 'Root accessibility' and 'Genotype' (TA-G
301 deficient line RNAi-1 or -16) as explanatory variables separately for each test plant side. All
302 models were tested using Wald tests and pairwise comparisons of Least Squares Means of
303 significant terms were performed using the function 'lsmeans' (package 'lsmeans' (Lenth
304 2016)). The results were plotted using the package 'ggplot2' (Wickham 2009). All statistical
305 analyses were performed in R 3.3.0 (R Core Team 2016).

306

RESULTS

307 *Influence of Copper Tagging on M. melolontha Performance and Host Location.* The copper
308 tag did not impair the growth of third instar *M. melolontha* larvae over 9 weeks (GLM: $P = 0.146$;
309 Fig. 2a). Furthermore, copper concentrations of fat tissue (t -test: $P = 0.280$; Fig. 2b),
310 haemolymph (t -test: $P = 0.576$; Fig. 2b) and the remaining body (t -test: $P = 0.180$; Fig. 2b) did
311 not significantly increase in metal tagged grubs compared to non-tagged individuals after 9
312 weeks. Across all measured tissues, the copper tag tended to increase copper concentrations
313 (LMM: $P = 0.059$; Fig 2b). The profiling of *M. melolontha* behaviour in the rhizosphere of *T.*
314 *officinale* using X-ray μ CT revealed that in general, larvae first moved downwards into the
315 bottom half of the pot, then turned towards the plant and moved upwards again while
316 consuming the tap root. The scanning further visualized small air-filled caves around the larvae
317 (Fig. 3a). The activity of *M. melolontha* varied greatly between individuals, ranging from no
318 movement up to 115 cm moving distance in 72 h (Fig. 3b). No differences in consumed root
319 volume (t -test: $P = 0.222$; Fig. 3d) or distance covered until first tap root contact (t -test: $P =$
320 0.125 ; Fig. 3e) between grubs with and without copper ring were found. However, metal tagged
321 larvae covered less distance in the same time than non-tagged individuals (t -test: $P = 0.008$;
322 Fig. 3c).

323 *Profiling of Root Herbivore Behaviour in the Soil.* Metal tagged larvae moved slower through
324 the soil than non-tagged grubs, but showed no differences in other foraging parameters (Fig.
325 2). We therefore concluded that small-scale arenas (Fig. 1b) can be used to profile the foraging
326 behaviour of the tagged larvae. Indeed, when presented with a single *T. officinale* plant, *M.*
327 *melolontha* larvae could find their host plant reliably, even in the absence of direct root contact
328 (LMM: $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1c).

329 *Locomotion Patterns of M. melolontha.* The position of the larvae significantly changed over
330 time and depended on the number of available plants in the arena (Fig. 4a). *M. melolontha*
331 preferred the side of the plant when they had the choice between *T. officinale* and only soil (Fig.
332 4a). By contrast, the larvae moved back and forth between plants when two genetically identical
333 *T. officinale* plants were grown in the arena. Without host plants, the larvae moved around
334 randomly in the choice arena (Fig. 4a). During the six hours of measurement the larvae covered
335 an average distance of 35.0 ± 3.3 cm (no plant in the arena), 29.1 ± 2.2 cm (one plant in the

336 arena) and 37.7 ± 3.6 cm (two plants in the arena; one-way ANOVA: $P=0.147$). On a per hour
337 basis, *M. melolontha* moving speed was significantly lower when one plant was available
338 compared to when two plants were present (LM: $P=0.010$; Fig. 4b). On average, *M. melolontha*
339 clearly preferred the side of the arena containing *T. officinale* when only one plant was present
340 (LMM: $P=0.011$; Fig. 4c), but showed no preference for neither side of the arena when no or
341 two plants were available.

342 *M. melolontha* Prefers TA-G Deficient Genotypes over Short Distances. When TA-G deficient
343 plants and control plants were growing at a distance of 5 cm, *M. melolontha* showed a clear
344 preference for TA-G deficient plants (LMM: $P=0.010$; Fig. 5a). However, when the genotypes
345 were growing at a distance of 15 cm, the grubs showed no preference any more (LMM: $P=$
346 0.464 ; Fig. 5a). When the genotypes were grown in a distance of 5 cm, the larvae were detected
347 significantly less often close to the TA-G producing plant (LM: $P=0.048$; Fig. 5a) than when
348 the plants were at 15 cm distance. Choice patterns were similar for both TA-G deficient
349 transgenic lines in both choice setups (LMM: $P>0.479$).

350 *Direct Root Contact Is Required for the Repellent Effect of TA-G.* We found that the preference
351 of *M. melolontha* for TA-G deficient plants was directly dependent on direct root contact (LMM:
352 $P=0.015$; Fig. 5b): As soon as root barriers were used to restrict the grubs from biting into the
353 roots, *M. melolontha* did not distinguish between TA-G deficient and control plants any more
354 (LMM: $P=0.271$; Fig. 5b). The root accessibility had no influence on the percentage of
355 detections close to the TA-G deficient plant (LM: $P=0.167$; Fig. 5b), but if root contact was
356 possible, the larvae were detected less often close to the TA-G producing plant (LM: $P=0.024$;
357 Fig. 5b). Choice patterns were similar for both TA-G deficient transgenic lines in both choice
358 setups (LMM: $P>0.297$).

359 *Effect of Silencing ToGAS1 on Biomass and Latex Metabolites.* Biomass of neither roots nor
360 shoots differed between genotypes (one-way ANOVA: $P=0.273$ reps. $P=0.277$; Fig. 6a).
361 Chemical analysis confirmed that concentration of TA-G in line RNAi-1 and RNAi-16 was
362 significantly reduced compared to the TA-G concentration in control plants RNAi-15 (one-way
363 ANOVA: $P<0.001$; Fig. 6b). The TA-G deficient line RNAi-16 produced higher amounts of di-
364 PIs than the TA-G deficient line RNAi-1 (one-way ANOVA: $P=0.001$; Fig. 6c) and higher
365 amounts of tri-PIs than RNAi-1 (one-way ANOVA: $P<0.001$; Fig. 6d) and RNAi-15 (one-way

366 ANOVA: $P = 0.005$; Fig. 6d). By contrast, RNAi-1 and the control line RNAi-15 did not differ in
367 di- or tri-PIE levels.

DISCUSSION

368

369 Our study introduces a non-invasive system to observe foraging of belowground herbivores in
370 their natural environment and employs the system to test the impact of a plant toxin on a root
371 feeder. Through this approach, we demonstrate that the deterrent effect of the plant toxin
372 depends on direct physical contact and the presence of an alternative host in close proximity.
373 Several techniques have been developed to document root-herbivore behaviour in the soil, but
374 their applicability to track root feeders has remained limited (Johnson et al. 2007). Metal
375 detection has not been used to trace root herbivores so far, but has been successfully applied
376 to track leaf-litter dwelling beetles (Piper and Compton 2002; Piper et al. 2014) and to relocate
377 underwater organisms like sea urchins (Duggan and Miller 2001). By gluing small strips of
378 stainless steel imprinted with unique codes on the dung cases of *Cryptocephalus coryli* larvae,
379 Piper and Compton (2002) were able to relocate the tagged larvae in the leaf-litter with a
380 commercial metal detector. In our experiments, we used copper rings to mark the larvae.
381 Copper is a good electrical conductor and therefore elicits a strong signal for detection, which
382 enabled us to detect tagged organisms in more than 10 cm soil depth. By fixing the copper wire
383 as a ring around the larval body we were able to tag the highly mobile and soft-skinned *M.*
384 *melolontha* larvae without the need for glue or a hard surface. Our study shows that carrying a
385 copper ring for nine weeks has no negative impact on the weight gain of third instar *M.*
386 *melolontha*. Copper concentrations in tagged larvae tended to increase after carrying the tag
387 for 9 weeks. As the larvae are typically only marked for a few days for behavioural screens,
388 side-effects of copper accumulation were likely negligible in our experiments. However, long-
389 term experiments need to take potential side effects of increased copper exposure into account.
390 A crucial part in the development of the tag-and-trace system was the cross validation using X-
391 ray μ CT, which enabled us to assess the influence of the metal-tag on larval behaviour. Tagged
392 larvae covered less distance than non-tagged larvae during the time of observation, which
393 indicates that the copper ring may physically constrain larval movements in compact soil
394 matrices. However, the larvae were still able to move within the soil, and root-foraging
395 behaviour was not altered by the metal tag. From these observations, we conclude that the
396 system is an inexpensive and valid method to track medium-sized belowground organisms over
397 short distances.

398 Root herbivores can use a variety of cues to assess host plant suitability. In a first step, root
399 herbivores can use cues which are released from the roots, including volatiles and exudates
400 (Erb et al. 2013; van Dam and Bouwmeester 2016). After biting into the root, various
401 endogenous metabolites like sugars (Bernklau and Bjostad 2008; Mochizuki et al. 1985;
402 Sutherland and Hillier 1976) amino acids (Sutherland and Hillier 1974) and secondary
403 metabolites (Schmelz et al. 2002; Sutherland et al. 1980; Robert et al. 2012b) can play a role
404 as feeding stimulants or deterrents for the herbivore. Our experiments show that *M. melolontha*
405 favours *T. officinale* plants with reduced amount of the secondary metabolite TA-G in the latex
406 over plants with normal TA-G quantities and thus confirm the results of Huber et al. (2016a).
407 Notably, the preference was dependent on the accessibility of the roots: When physical contact
408 of larvae and roots was prevented through a fine mesh, *M. melolontha* did not distinguish
409 between the different genotypes any more. This result is in accordance with the fact that TA-G
410 is mostly stored in the laticifers, although small amounts are also found in root cortex cells
411 (Huber et al. 2016b). More than 10% of all vascular land plants possess laticifers, which are
412 specialised cells with a distinct cytoplasm known as latex (Farrell et al. 1991; Metcalf 1967;
413 reviewed in Agrawal and Konno 2009). Latex exudation only occurs after tissue disruption, and
414 to assess the chemical composition of the latex, herbivores therefore need to mechanically
415 damage the plant e.g. by chewing through the root epidermis. From our experiment we suggest
416 that TA-G acts as a contact dependent feeding deterrent for *M. melolontha*. *M. melolontha*
417 larvae are equipped with a sophisticated set of chemoreceptors (Eilers et al. 2012), which
418 enables them to perceive and distinguish highly diverse olfactory and tactile stimuli, including
419 TA-G, as shown here.

420 The movement of an organism depends on various factors, including the internal state (why
421 move?) as well as the capacity of motion (how to move?) and navigation (when and where to
422 move? Nathan et al. 2008). Subterranean locomotion is energetically expensive, as the soil
423 matrix is much denser than the phyllosphere (Barnett and Johnson 2013; Luna and Antinuchi
424 2006; Perissinotti et al. 2009). We therefore hypothesized that the distance between different
425 food sources may influence the choice behaviour of *M. melolontha*. Sonnemann et al. (2014)
426 showed that the migration of root-feeding click beetle larvae was food-density dependent. In
427 our study, we show that the distance between plants has a direct impact on larval preference:

428 With unrestricted root access, *M. melolontha* favours TA-G deficient *T. officinale* when the plant
429 is growing in 5 cm distance to a TA-G producing plant, but shows no preference between
430 genotypes when the distance is increased to 15 cm. Although the copper tag reduces
431 movement speeds, the tagged larvae moved around 6 cm per hour in the choice arenas; their
432 capacity to move was therefore sufficient to taste and choose between host plants at both 5
433 and 15 cm distance and is unlikely to have influenced the observed choice patterns. A biological
434 hypothesis for the observed behaviour is that the cost of moving another 15 cm outweighs the
435 cost of high TA-G levels and prompts *M. melolontha* to accept an inferior host plant in
436 environments with low plant densities. To confirm this hypothesis, measuring energy costs of
437 TA-G tolerance and movement in the soil would need to be determined along with additional
438 experiments to understand the relationship between host plant distance and food quality.

- 440 Agrawal AA, Konno K (2009) Latex: A model for understanding mechanisms, ecology, and
441 evolution of plant defense against herbivory. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 40:311–331
- 442 Barnett K, Johnson SN (2013) Living in the soil matrix: abiotic factors affecting root
443 herbivores. In: Johnson SN, Hiltbold I, Turlings TCJ (eds) *Advances in Insect*
444 *Physiology*. pp 1–52
- 445 Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker BM, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
446 {lme4}. *J Stat Softw* 67:1–48
- 447 Bernklau EJ, Bjostad LB (2008) Identification of feeding stimulants in corn roots for western
448 corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larvae. *J Econ Entomol* 101:341–351
- 449 Charnov EL (1976) Optimal foraging, the marginal value theorem. *Theoretical Popul Biol*
450 136:129–136
- 451 Dawson LA, Grayston SJ, Murray PJ, Pratt SM (2002) Root feeding behaviour of *Tipula*
452 *paludosa* (Meig.) (Diptera: Tipulidae) on *Lolium perenne* (L.) and *Trifolium repens* (L.).
453 *Soil Biol Biochem* 34:609–615
- 454 Duggan RE, Miller RJ (2001) External and internal tags for the green sea urchin. *J Exp Mar*
455 *Bio Ecol* 258:115–122
- 456 Eilers EJ, Talarico G, Hansson BS, et al (2012) Sensing the underground - ultrastructure and
457 function of sensory organs in root-feeding *Melolontha melolontha* (Coleoptera:
458 Scarabaeinae) larvae. *PLoS One* 7:e41357
- 459 Eilers EJ, Veit D, Rillig MC, et al (2016) Soil substrates affect responses of root feeding
460 larvae to their hosts at multiple levels: Orientation, locomotion and feeding. *Basic Appl*
461 *Ecol* 17:115–124
- 462 Erb M, Huber M, Robert CAM, et al (2013) The role of plant primary and secondary
463 metabolites in root-herbivore behaviour, nutrition and physiology. In: Johnson SN,
464 Hiltbold I, Turlings TCJ (eds) *Advances in Insect Physiology*. pp 53–95
- 465 Erb M, Robert CAM, Marti G, et al (2015) A physiological and behavioral mechanism for leaf-
466 herbivore induced systemic root resistance. *Plant Physiol* 169:2884–2894
- 467 Erb M, Ton J, Degenhardt J, Turlings TCJ (2008) Interactions between arthropod-induced
468 aboveground and belowground defenses in plants. *Plant Physiol* 146:867–874

469 Farrell BD, Dussourd DE, Mitter C (1991) Escalation of plant defense: Do latex and resin
470 canals spur plant diversification? *Am Nat* 138:881–900

471 Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) *An {R} companion to applied regression*, 2nd edn. Sage, Thousand
472 Oaks CA

473 Gerard PJ, Crush JR, Hackell DL (2005) Interaction between *Sitona lepidus* and red clover
474 lines selected for formononetin content. *Ann Appl Biol* 147:173–181

475 Green MR, Sambrook J (2012) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 4th edn. Cold Spring
476 Harbor Laboratory Press

477 Hauss R (1975) Methoden und erste Ergebnisse zur Bestimmung der Wirtspflanzen des
478 Maikäferengerlings (*Melolontha melolontha* L.). *Mitteilungen aus der Biol Bundesanstalt*
479 für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin Dahlen 73–77.

480 Hauss R, Schütte F (1976) Zur Polyphagie der Engerlinge von *Melolontha melolontha* L. an
481 Pflanzen aus Wiese und Ödland. *Anzeiger für Schädlingskd* 49:129–132

482 Huber M, Bont Z, Fricke J, et al (2016a) A below-ground herbivore shapes root defensive
483 chemistry in natural plant populations. *Proc Biol Sci.* 283: 20160285

484 Huber M, Epping J, Schulze Gronover C, et al (2016b) A latex metabolite benefits plant
485 fitness under root herbivore attack. *PLoS Biol* 14:1–27

486 Huber M, Triebwasser-Freese D, Reichelt M, et al (2015) Identification, quantification,
487 spatiotemporal distribution and genetic variation of major latex secondary metabolites in
488 the common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* agg.). *Phytochemistry* 115:89–98

489 Hunter MD (2001) Out of sight, out of mind: the impacts of root-feeding insects in natural
490 managed systems. *Agric For Entomol* 3:3–9

491 Johnson SN, Crawford JW, Gregory PJ, et al (2007) Non-invasive techniques for investigating
492 and modelling root-feeding insects in managed and natural systems. *Agric For Entomol*
493 9:39–46

494 Johnson SN, Erb M, Hartley SE (2016) Roots under attack: Contrasting plant responses to
495 below- and aboveground insect herbivory. *New Phytol* 210:413–418

496 Johnson SN, Gregory PJ (2006) Chemically-mediated host-plant location and selection by
497 root-feeding insects. *Physiol Entomol* 31:1–13

498 Johnson SN, Nielsen UN (2012) Foraging in the dark - chemically mediated host plant

499 location by belowground insect herbivores. *J Chem Ecol* 38:604–614

500 Johnson SN, Read DB, Gregory PJ (2004) Tracking larval insect movement within soil using
501 high resolution X-ray microtomography. *Ecol Entomol* 29:117–122.

502 Lenth RV (2016) Least-Squares Means: The {R} package {lsmeans}. *J Stat Softw* 69:1–33

503 Lihoreau M, Chittka L, Raine NE (2011) Trade-off between travel distance and prioritization of
504 high-reward sites in traplining bumblebees. *Funct Ecol* 25:1284–1292

505 Liu XF, Chen HH, Li JK, et al (2016) Volatiles released by Chinese liquorice roots mediate
506 host location behaviour by neonate *Porphyrophora sophorae* (Hemiptera:
507 Margarodidae). *Pest Manag Sci* 72:1959–1964

508 Luna F, Antinuchi CD (2006) Cost of foraging in the subterranean rodent *Ctenomys talarum*:
509 effect of soil hardness. *Can J Zool* 84:661–667

510 Mankin RW, Brandhorst-Hubbard J, Flanders KL, et al (2000) Eavesdropping on insects
511 hidden in soil and interior structures of plants. *J Econ Entomol* 93:1173–1182

512 Metcalfe CR (1967) Distribution of Latex in the Plant Kingdom. *Econ Bot* 21:115–127

513 Mochizuki A, Ishikawa Y, Matsumoto Y (1985) Sugars as phagostimulants for larvae of the
514 onion fly, *Hylemya antiqua* MEIGEN (Diptera: Anthomyiidae). *Appl Entomol Zool*
515 20:465–469

516 Murray PJ, Gregory PJ, Granger SJ, et al (2010) Dispersal of soil-dwelling clover root weevil
517 (*Sitona lepidus* Gyllenhal, Coleoptera: Curculionidae) larvae in mixed plant
518 communities. *Appl Soil Ecol* 46:422–425

519 Nathan R, Getz WM, Revilla E, et al (2008) A movement ecology paradigm for unifying
520 organismal movement research. *Pnas* 105:19052–19059

521 Nordenhem H, Nordlander G (1994) Olfactory oriented migration through soil by root-living
522 *Hylobius abietis* (L.) larvae (Col., Curculionidae). *J Appl Entomol* 117:457–462

523 Perissinotti PP, Antenucci CD, Zenuto R, Luna F (2009) Effect of diet quality and soil
524 hardness on metabolic rate in the subterranean rodent *Ctenomys talarum*. *Comp*
525 *Biochem Phys A* 154:298–307

526 Piper RW, Compton SG (2002) A novel technique for relocating concealed insects. *Ecol*
527 *Entomol* 27:251–253

528 Piper RW, Lewis Z, Compton SG (2014) Life in the leaf-litter: a novel metal detector

529 technique to investigate the over-wintering survival of rare, case-bearing beetle larvae. J
530 Insect Conserv 18:1163–1169

531 Popham HJR, Shelby KS, Brandt SL, Coudron TA (2004) Potent virucidal activity in larval
532 *Heliothis virescens* plasma against *Helicoverpa zea* single capsid nucleopolyhedrovirus.
533 J Gen Virol 85:2255–2261

534 Pyke GH (1984) Optimal foraging theory: a critical review. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 15:523–575

535 R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. In: R Found.
536 Stat. Comput. Vienna, Austria. <http://www.r-project.org/>

537 Rasmann S, Köllner TG, Degenhardt J, et al (2005) Recruitment of entomopathogenic
538 nematodes by insect-damaged maize roots. Nature 434:732–737

539 Reinecke A, Müller F, Hilker M (2008) Attractiveness of CO₂ released by root respiration
540 fades on the background of root exudates. Basic Appl Ecol 9:568–576

541 Robert CAM, Erb M, Duployer M, et al (2012a) Herbivore-induced plant volatiles mediate host
542 selection by a root herbivore. New Phytol 194:1061–1069

543 Robert CAM, Veyrat N, Glauser G, et al (2012b) A specialist root herbivore exploits defensive
544 metabolites to locate nutritious tissues. Ecol Lett 15:55–64

545 Schmelz EA, Grebenok RJ, Ohnmeiss TE, Bowers WS (2002) Interactions between *Spinacia*
546 *oleracea* and *Bradysia impatiens*: A role for phytoecdysteroids. Arch Insect Biochem
547 Physiol 51:204–221

548 Schumann M, Patel A, Vidal S (2013) Field evaluation of an attract and kill strategy against
549 western corn rootworm larvae. J Pest Sci (2004) 87:259–271

550 Schumann M, Vidal S (2012) Dispersal and spatial distribution of western corn rootworm
551 larvae in relation to root phenology. Agric For Entomol 14:331–339

552 Soler R, Bezemer TM, Van Der Putten WH, et al (2005) Root herbivore effects on above-
553 ground herbivore, parasitoid and hyperparasitoid performance via changes in plant
554 quality. J Anim Ecol 74:1121–1130

555 Sonnemann I, Grunz S, Wurst S (2014) Horizontal migration of click beetle (*Agriotes* spp.)
556 larvae depends on food availability. Entomol Exp Appl 150:174–178

557 Sutherland ORW, Hillier JR (1974) Feeding behaviour of the grass grub *Costelytra zealandica*
558 (White) (Coleoptera: Melolonthinae). New Zeal J Zool 1:211–216

559 Sutherland ORW, Hillier JR (1976) The Influence of maltose and other carbohydrates on the
560 feeding behaviour of *Heteronychus arator* (Scarabaeidae: Coleoptera). *Experientia*
561 32:701–702

562 Sutherland ORW, Russell GB, Biggs DR, Lane GA (1980) Insect feeding deterrent activity of
563 phytoalexin isoflavonoids. *Biochem Syst Ecol* 8:73–75

564 van Dam NM (2009) Belowground herbivory and plant defenses. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst*
565 40:373–391

566 van Dam NM, Bouwmeester HJ (2016) Metabolomics in the rhizosphere: tapping into
567 belowground chemical communication. *Trends Plant Sci* 21:256–265

568 van der Putten WH (2003) Plant defence belowground and spatiotemporal processes.
569 *Ecology* 84:2269–2280

570 Van Tol RWHM, Van Der Sommen ATC, Boff MIC, et al (2001) Plants protect their roots by
571 alerting the enemies of grubs. *Ecol Lett* 4:292–294

572 Verhoeven KJF, Van Dijk PJ, Biere A (2010) Changes in genomic methylation patterns during
573 the formation of triploid asexual dandelion lineages. *Mol Ecol* 19:315–324

574 Wäckers FL, Bezemer TM (2003) Root herbivory induces an indirect aboveground defense.
575 *Ecol Lett* 1:9–12

576 Watts SM, Dodson CD, Reichman OJ (2011) The roots of defense: Plant resistance and
577 tolerance to belowground herbivory. *PLoS One* 6:1–8

578 Weissteiner S, Huetteroth W, Kollmann M, et al (2012) Cockchafer larvae smell host root
579 scents in Soil. *PLoS One* 7:1–12

580 Wickham H (2009) *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York

581 Zvereva EL, Kozlov MV (2012) Sources of variation in plant responses to belowground insect
582 herbivory: A meta-analysis. *Oecologia* 169:441–452

583

584

FIGURE LEGENDS

585 **Fig. 1** Tag-and-trace system to track root herbivores in the soil. (a) Third instar *M. melolontha*
586 larvae tagged with a copper ring. (b) Preference assay arena in which the larvae were released.
587 Orange shapes indicate root barriers to avoid direct contact. (c). Choice of *M. melolontha* larvae
588 between a *T. officinale* host plant and an empty compartment. Mean values ($N = 20$) and
589 standard errors (\pm SE) are shown. '****' indicates a significant difference in larval preference
590 ($P < 0.001$)

591 **Fig. 2** The copper tag does not influence *M. melolontha* performance and Cu content. (a)
592 Performance of tagged and non-tagged *M. melolontha* larvae. Mean values ($N = 12$) and
593 standard errors (\pm SE) are shown. (b) Copper concentration in body, fat tissue and haemolymph
594 of tagged and non-tagged *M. melolontha* larvae. Mean values ($N = 4-6$) and standard errors
595 (\pm SE) are shown. 'n.s.' indicates no significant difference between groups ($P \geq 0.05$)

596 **Fig. 3** The copper tag does not influence host location and foraging of *M. melolontha*, but
597 reduces movement speed. (a) Copper tagged larva foraging on *T. officinale* visualized by X-
598 ray micro computer tomography (μ CT). (b) Example of the movement path of a larva over 72h
599 measured by X-ray μ CT. The green line shows the movement of the larvae over this period. (c)
600 Speed of movement of tagged and untagged *M. melolontha* larvae. (d) Tap root consumption.
601 (e) Host location efficiency. Mean values ($N = 6-8$) and standard errors (\pm SE) are shown. '***'
602 ($P < 0.01$) indicates a significant difference between groups. 'n.s.' indicates no significant
603 difference ($P \geq 0.05$)

604 **Fig. 4** High resolution tracking of *M. melolontha* larvae in the presence of host plants. (a) Colour
605 maps of *M. melolontha* larval position over time in arenas with 0, 1 or 2 host plants. Darker
606 colours indicate higher frequencies of detection at a given time point. (b) Horizontal movement
607 speed of *M. melolontha* averaged over 1 h. (c) Overall preference of *M. melolontha* for the sides
608 of the arenas. Mean values ($N = 18-20$) and standard errors (\pm SE) are shown. '***' indicates
609 significant differences between groups ($P < 0.01$). 'n.s.' indicates no significant difference
610 ($P \geq 0.05$)

611 **Fig. 5** *M. melolontha* prefers TA-G deficient *T. officinale* plants only when growing in close
612 proximity with wild types and when physical root contact is possible. (a) Larval preference of
613 *M. melolontha* larvae choosing between control and TA-G deficient *T. officinale* plants growing

614 at a distance of 5 cm (top) or 15 cm (bottom). Root access was possible for the larvae in both
615 cases. Mean values ($N = 32$) and standard errors (\pm SE) are shown. (b) Larval preference of
616 *M. melolontha* larvae choosing between control and TA-G-deficient *T. officinale* plants in the
617 presence of a fine mesh, which allows passage of plant metabolites, but prevents direct
618 physical contact (top) or without mesh, which allows physical contact (bottom). Mean values (N
619 = 40) and standard errors (\pm SE) are shown. ‘*’ indicates significant differences within and
620 between choice situations ($P < 0.05$). TA-G: taraxinic acid β -D-glucopyranosyl ester
621 **Fig. 6** Biomass and latex profiles of TA-G-deficient *T. officinale* plants. Root and leaf dry mass
622 (a), TA-G (b), di-PIE (c) and tri-PIE (d) concentrations of the different transgenic lines used in
623 this study. RNAi-15 is a transformed control line that does not exhibit target gene silencing.
624 Mean values ($N = 10$) and standard errors (\pm SE) are shown. Different letters indicate significant
625 differences between genotypes ($P < 0.05$). ‘n.s.’ indicates no significant difference between
626 groups ($P \geq 0.05$). TA-G: taraxinic acid β -D-glucopyranosyl ester. PIE: Phenolic inositol ester