# Borehole Acoustics and Logging and Reservoir Delineation Consortia

Annual Report 1997

Earth Resources Laboratory Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139

We would like to express our sincere appreciation to Sue Turbak and Lori Weldon, without whose work the publication of this annual report would not be possible.

ť

E

(

C

 $\left( \right)$ 

C

Ç

6

(

(

C

Copyright © 1997 Massachusetts Institute of Technology Earth Resources Laboratory

Copying is permitted only for internal purposes of the sponsors of the M.I.T. Borehole Acoustics and Logging and Reservoir Delineation Consortia

This report was typeset at ERL in Computer Modern Roman using  $T_{EX}$ .

# Borehole Acoustics and Logging and Reservoir Delineation Consortia

# Annual Report 1997

Principal Investigator M. N. Toksöz

Contributors

D. R. Burns C. Chauvelier C. H. Cheng D. Cox R. L. Gibson, Jr. M. W. Haartsen E. Lavely B. Mandal O. V. Mikhailov F. D. Morgan B. Nolte M. A. Pérez J. Queen R. Rao V. N. F. Shen G. Tao S. Theophanis M. N. Toksöz R. M. Turpening J. Zhang X. Zhu Z. Zhu D. R. Burns

**Report Editors** 

E. A. Henderson

(

(

No.

Ę

Ċ

(

(

(

¢

(

.

(\_\_\_\_\_

## Table of Contents

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	by Daniel R. Burns	
	Introduction	1 - 1
	Subsurface Fracture Characterization	1-1
	Modeling and Imaging of Complex Structures	1 - 2
	Reservoir Logging Applications	1–3
2.	SHEAR-WAVE TOMOGRAPHIC IMAGES OF AN OIL RESERVOIR AT M.I.T.'s MICHIGAN TEST SITE by Jie Zhang, Roger Turpening, Chantal Chauvelier, F. Dale Morgan, and M. Nafi Toksöz, John Queen, and Dale Cox	
	Abstract	2-1
	Introduction	2-1
	Nonlinear Traveltime Tomography Method	2-2
	Imaging the Oil Reservoir	2–3
	Conclusions	2-4
	Acknowledgments	2-4
	References	2-5
	Figures	2-6
3.	RAPID 3-D RAYTRACING FOR OPTIMAL SEISMIC SURVEY DESIGN by Jie Zhang, Eugene Lavely, and M. Nafi Toksöz	
	Abstract	3 - 1
	Introduction	3 - 2
	Rapid 3-D Raytracing Method	3–3
	Optimal Seismic Survey Design	3–7
	Conclusions	3–12
	Acknowledgments	3-12
	References	3-13
	Figures	3–14

4.	SOURCE RADIATION PATTERNS IN CASED BOREHOLES by Rama Rao V. N., Roger M. Turpening, and M. Nafi Toksöz	
	Abstract	4–1
	Introduction	4-1
	Propagation in Cased Boreholes	4-4
	Source Badiation Patterns	4-10
	Conclusions	4-15
	Acknowledgments.	4-17
	References	4-18
	Appendix	4 - 20
	Figures	4 - 22
5.	DETECTION OF FRACTURE ORIENTATION USING AZIMUTE	$\mathbf{IAL}$
	VARIATION OF P-WAVE AVO RESPONSES	
	by Maria Auxiliadora Pérez and Richard L. Gibson, Jr.	~ -
	Abstract	5-1
		5-1
	Geological Setting	5-4
	Data Acquisition	5-4
	Previous Studies	5-5 - 5
	AVO Study	5-5
	Discussion	5-7
	Conclusions	5-8
	Acknowledgments	5-8
	References	5-9
	Tables	5-11
	figures	5-12
6.	ULTRASONIC AND NUMERICAL MODELING OF REFLECTION FROM SIMULATED FRACTURED RESERVOIRS by Stephen Theophanis and Xiang Zhu	NS
	Abstract	6 - 1
	Introduction	6 - 2
	Sub-Scale Ultrasonic Experiment	6 - 2
	Finite Difference Modeling	6-5
	Conclusions	6-7
	Acknowledgments	6-7
	References	6-8
	Table	6–10
	Figures	6 - 11

,

£

(

(

(

Ć

¢

(

C

(

(

(

7.	EFFECTS OF FRACTURED RESERVOIR ELASTIC	
	PROPERTIES ON AZIMUTHAL AVO	
	by Feng Shen, Xiang Zhu, and M. Nafi Toksöz	
	Abstract	7–1
	Introduction	7 - 2
	Theoretical Background	73
	Properties of Velocity Anisotropy in Fractured Rocks	7 - 5
	Stochastic Modeling of Fracture Heterogeneity	76
	Properties of Velocity Heterogeneity in Fractured Rocks	7-7
	Effects on Seismic Response of Fractured Reservoirs From 3-D Numerical	
	Modeling	7-8
	Conclusions	7 - 10
	Acknowledgments	7–11
	References	7-12
	Table	7-13
		7_14
		1 14
	by Bertram Nolte   Abstract   Introduction   The Modeling Technique   Comparison With Finite Difference for a Dipping Interface   A Free-Surface Example   Discussion   Conclusions   Acknowledgments   References   Appendix	8-1 8-2 8-3 8-4 8-4 8-5 8-6 8-7 8-9
9.	CEMENT BOND EVALUATION USING EARLY REFRACTED ARRIVALS by Rama Rao V. N., Batakrishna Mandal, Arthur C. H. Cheng, and M. Nafi Toksöz	
	Abstract	99
	Introduction	9-2
	Model	0_2
	Parameter Variation	0_2
	Conduciona	9-3 0 6
		9-6
	Acknowledgments	9–6

A COMTO

Table 9-8   Figures 9-9   10.MEASUREMENTS OF SHEAR-WAVE AZIMUTHAL ANISOTROPY   WITH CROSS-DIPOLE LOGS   by Guo Tao, Arthur C.H. Cheng, and M.N.Toksöz   Abstract 10-1   Introduction 10-1   Brief Description of the Three Methods for Determining Shear-Wave Anisotropy in VSP   in VSP Surveys 10-3   Cross-Dipole Logging Data Used in This Study 10-8   Results of Applying Processing Techniques to the Data Set 10-9   Conclusions 10-10   Acknowledgments 10-10   References 10-11   Figures 10-10   References 10-11   Figures 10-10   References 10-10   References 10-11   Figures 10-12   11.USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE)   ZONES by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz   Abstract 11-1   Introduction 11-2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data <th>References</th> <th>)–7</th>	References	)–7
Figures 9-9   10.MEASUREMENTS OF SHEAR-WAVE AZIMUTHAL ANISOTROPY   WITH CROSS-DIPOLE LOGS   by Guo Tao, Arthur C.H. Cheng, and M.N.Toksöz   Abstract 10-1   Introduction 10-1   Brief Description of the Three Methods for Determining Shear-Wave Anisotropy 10-3   Cross-Dipole Logging Data Used in This Study 10-8   Results of Applying Processing Techniques to the Data Set 10-9   Conclusions 10-10   Acknowledgments 10-10   References 10-11   Figures 10-10   References 10-11   Figures 10-12   11.USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE)   ZONES by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz   Abstract 11-1   Introduction 11-2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseism	Table   9	H-8
10. MEASUREMENTS OF SHEAR-WAVE AZIMUTHAL ANISOTROPY WITH CROSS-DIPOLE LOGS   by Guo Tao, Arthur C.H. Cheng, and M.N.Toksöz   Abstract 10-1   Introduction 10-1   Brief Description of the Three Methods for Determining Shear-Wave Anisotropy in VSP Surveys 10-3   Cross-Dipole Logging Data Used in This Study 10-8   Results of Applying Processing Techniques to the Data Set 10-9   Conclusions 10-10   Acknowledgments 10-11   Figures 10-12   11. USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE) ZONES 10-12   11. USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE) ZONES 11-11   by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz Abstract   Abstract 11-11   Introduction 11-2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   Interpretation of the Field Data and the Theory 11-10   Conclusions 11-10	Figures	)–9
Acknowledgments 10-10   References 10-11   Figures 10-12   11. USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO 11-12   11. USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO 11-12   11. Introduction 11-2   11. Introduction 11-2   11. Figures 11-2   11. Introduction 11-2   11. Introduction 11-2   11. Interpretation of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   11. Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Acknowledgments 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12	10.MEASUREMENTS OF SHEAR-WAVE AZIMUTHAL ANISOTROPY   WITH CROSS-DIPOLE LOGS   by Guo Tao, Arthur C.H. Cheng, and M.N.Toksöz   Abstract 10   Introduction 10   Brief Description of the Three Methods for Determining Shear-Wave Anisotropy 10   Cross-Dipole Logging Data Used in This Study 10   Results of Applying Processing Techniques to the Data Set 10   Discussion 10	-1 -1 -1 -3 -8 -8 -9 -10
Acknowledgments 10-10   References 10-11   Figures 10-12   11. USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO 10-12   11. USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE)   ZONES by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz   Abstract 11-1   Introduction 11-2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Acknowledgments 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Conclusions	10
References 10-11   Figures 10-12   11.USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE) ZONES 10-12   by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz 11-1   Abstract 11-2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Acknowledgments 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15		10
11.USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO   DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE)   ZONES   by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz   Abstract 11–1   Introduction 11–2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11–3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11–4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11–5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11–7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11–8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11–10   Acknowledgments 11–10   References 11–11   Appendix 11–12   Table 11–14   Figures 11–15	Figures 10	10
11.USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE) ZONES   by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz   Abstract 11–1   Introduction 11–2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11–3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11–4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11–5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11–7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11–8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11–10   Acknowledgments 11–10   References 11–11   Appendix 11–12   Table 11–14   Figures 11–15		12
Abstract 11-1   Introduction 11-2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Attenuation Methods 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	11. USING BOREHOLE ELECTROSEISMIC MEASUREMENTS TO DETECT AND CHARACTERIZE FRACTURED (PERMEABLE) ZONES by Oleg V. Mikhailov, John H. Queen, and M. Nafi Toksöz	
Abstract 11-1   Introduction 11-2   Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Attenuation Methods 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Abstract 11	_1
Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Reduction Processing 11-3   Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Conclusions 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Introduction 11	-1 2
Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data 11-4   Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-7   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Conclusions 11-10   References 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Field Measurement Procedure and Noise Beduction Processing 11	-3
Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stoneley Wave 11-5   Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory 11-7   Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Attenuation Methods 11-10   Conclusions 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data	-4
Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory11-7Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model11-8Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave11-10Attenuation Methods11-10Conclusions11-10Acknowledgments11-10References11-11Appendix11-12Table11-14Figures11-15	Theoretical Model for the Electrical Field Induced by a Stonelev Wave 11	-5
Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11-8   Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave 11-10   Attenuation Methods 11-10   Conclusions 11-10   Acknowledgments 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Comparison of the Field Data and the Theory	-7
Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave Attenuation Methods11-10Conclusions11-10Acknowledgments11-10References11-11Appendix11-12Table11-14Figures11-15	Interpretation of the Field Data Based on the Theoretical Model 11	-8
Conclusions 11-10   Acknowledgments 11-10   References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Comparison of Borehole Electroseismic Method with Stoneley Wave Attenuation Methods	10
Acknowledgments 11–10   References 11–11   Appendix 11–12   Table 11–14   Figures 11–15	Conclusions	-10
References 11-11   Appendix 11-12   Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Acknowledgments	10
Appendix 11–12   Table 11-14   Figures 11–15	References	11
Table 11-14   Figures 11-15	Appendix	12
Figures	Table	14
	Figures	15

(

Ę

(

 $\langle$ 

(

C

C

 $\langle$ 

C

C

(

Abstract
Introduction
Experiment in a Cylinder Model
Experiment in a Layered Model
Properties of Electroseismic Conversion
Conclusions
Acknowledgments
References
Figures
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nafi Toksoz Abstract
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nan Toksoz   Abstract   Introduction
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz   Abstract   Introduction   Borehole Models and Measurement System
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz   Abstract   Introduction   Borehole Models and Measurement System   Seismoelectric Conversion
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz   Abstract   Introduction   Borehole Models and Measurement System   Seismoelectric Conversion   Seismoelectric Field
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz   Abstract   Introduction   Borehole Models and Measurement System   Seismoelectric Conversion   Seismoelectric Field   Electroseismic Conversion
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz   Abstract   Introduction   Borehole Models and Measurement System   Seismoelectric Conversion   Seismoelectric Field   Electroseismic Conversion   Conclusions
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz   Abstract Introduction   Introduction Seismoelectric Conversion   Seismoelectric Field Seismoelectric Field   Electroseismic Conversion Seismoelectric Field   Acknowledgments Acknowledgments
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz Abstract
by Zhenya Zhu, Matthijs W. Haartsen, and M. Nah Toksoz Abstract

- 15. DISPERSION ANALYSIS OF SPLIT FLEXURAL WAVES by Bertram Nolte, Rama Rao and Xiaojun Huang
- 16. P AND S WAVES 3-D NUMERICAL MODELING OF AVOA FROM HETEROGENEOUS FRACTURED RESERVOIRS by Xiang Zhu, Feng Shen and M. Nafi Toksoz

.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### Daniel R. Burns

Earth Resources Laboratory Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139

#### INTRODUCTION

Research activity in the Borehole Acoustics and Logging/Reservoir Delineation Consortia continue to focus on the development of geophysical methods to detect and characterize geological conditions which control fluid flow in a reservoir. This report presents a summary of our results from the past year. Three major areas of research are presented: subsurface fracture characterization, modeling and imaging of complex structures, and reservoir logging applications.

#### SUBSURFACE FRACTURE CHARACTERIZATION

Fractures are highly permeable pathways in many reservoirs, and their presence often introduces elastic anisotropy which affects both seismic reflection data as well as dipole acoustic logs. The seismic papers will be discussed here, while the dipole logging papers will be discussed as part of the reservoir logging section. Perez et al. show that azimuthal variations in the P-wave AVO response over a fractured reservoir in Venezuela provide a means of estimating the fracture orientation. The results of this analysis are in agreement with the orientation estimates obtained by surface shear wave reflection. Theophanis and Zhu combine physical laboratory modeling and finite difference numerical modeling of fractured reservoirs to study the effects of nonuniform fracture density distributions on the P-wave AVOA response. Shen et al. and Zhu et al. present theoretical and modeling studies of the effects of fracture density variations on the elastic properties of a reservoir unit and the seismic signatures of those variations. Their results indicate that if there is a strong velocity contrast at an interface, then the azimuthal AVO effect due to the fracture-induced anisotropy may be difficult to detect, while this effect may be more visible with smaller contrasts. The three-dimensional numerical modeling results of Zhu et al. indicate that heterogeneous fracture density variations in

#### Burns

É

 $\langle$ 

Ć

Ê

C

(

(

(

(

(

C

a reservoir may be detectable if the AVOA response is compared to the Shuey equation fit to the data. These results suggest that 3-D surface seismic data may be used to detect subsurface variations in fracture density in a reliable way.

Laboratory and field electroseismic measurements have also been carried out in an effort to develop methods of estimating the flow properties of fractures and other permeable pathways. Mikhailov *et al.* carried out field measurements in a borehole containing many permeable fractures. They show that a propagating Stoneley wave induces an electric field due to fluid flow in permeable fractures which they measure with electrodes in the borehole. Their results suggest that electroseismic data can provide an estimate of the interconnected porosity of the permeable zone, and may provide estimates of permeability if the measurements are taken over a range of frequencies. Zhu and Toksöz present laboratory electroseismic measurements in porous materials which also suggest that these data can be used to estimate fluid flow properties.

### MODELING AND IMAGING OF COMPLEX STRUCTURES

We continue to develop faster and more efficient methods of forward modeling of elastic wave propagation, not only to understand the effects of heterogeneity on borehole and surface seismic data, but also to improve our ability to invert such data for information about complex geologic structures. De Lilla *et al.* illustrate the use of a variable grid finite difference method which can reduce the memory requirements and computational time of models by restricting fine grid sizes to areas of complex structure. Their model results show that irregular interfaces can have important effects on the AVO behavior of reflected energy. Nolte uses a triangular grid method which can more accurately handle dipping and irregular interfaces. His results show that this method eliminates unwanted scattering caused by rectangular grid representation of such interfaces. Work is also continuing on the development of the phase screen method which may provide a very fast method for pre-stack depth migration of seismic data.

Zhang *et al.* present the latest tomographic images of the Michigan reef, including a shear wave image based on the cross well data acquired with the Conoco orbital vibrator in 1995. The shear wave image shows a marked velocity decrease in the producing interval of the reservoir. Zhang and Lavely have developed a fast 3-D raytracing algorithm which can be used to design optimal seismic surveys.

Single well imaging is another area of particular interest. A number of difficult problems need to be addressed for this application. Rao *et al.* address one of these by looking at source radiation patterns in cased boreholes. Their results indicate that in unbonded casing several propagating modes exist which influence the source radiation pattern.

#### **Executive Summary**

#### **RESERVOIR LOGGING APPLICATIONS**

We have continued to work in the area of cross dipole logging for the estimation of *in situ* anisotropy. Tao *et al.* present results comparing a number of different rotation methods to separate the fast and slow flexural waves. Their results show that each method produces reasonable results, although the computational needs are quite variable. Nolte *et al.* develop an efficient processing method to measure the dispersion characteristics of guided modes such as flexural waves. They apply their technique to data obtained from the Powder River Basin. For these data, the dispersion curves of the fast and slow flexural modes exhibit crossover which may be an indicator of stress-induced anisotropy.

Rao *et al.* show that the attenuation of early arrivals in cement bond logs can be used to quantify the cement bond quality even with variations in the tool standoff distance. Garipova *et al.* invert borehole resistivity logs for formation properties. They show that a combined inversion of lateral sounding data and lateralog measurements provides improved estimates of the fomration resistivity distribution both radially and vertically. Work is beginning on the development of a method for modeling the physics of fluid flow through a matrix of sand grains based on the dissipative particle dynamics method. This direction is promising in that it may allow us to predict the onset of sanding based on knowledge of the formation mechanical properties, grain size distributions, and fluid flow rates.

ć

(

(

Ć

 $\langle$ 

 $\langle$ 

C

(

(

(

(

## Burns