

Structure-Guided Engineering of a Pacific Blue Fluorophore Ligase for Specific Protein Imaging in Living Cells

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Cohen, Justin D., Samuel Thompson, and Alice Y. Ting. "Structure- Guided Engineering of a Pacific Blue Fluorophore Ligase for Specific Protein Imaging in Living Cells." Biochemistry 50.38 (2011): 8221– 8225.		
As Published	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi201037r		
Publisher	American Chemical Society (ACS)		
Version	Author's final manuscript		
Citable link	http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/73988		
Terms of Use	Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.		







Structure-Guided Engineering of a Pacific Blue Fluorophore Ligase for Specific Protein Imaging in Living Cells 2

- Justin D. Cohen, Samuel Thompson, and Alice Y. Ting* 3
- Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, 4 United States 5
- **S** Supporting Information 6



ABSTRACT: Mutation of a gatekeeper residue, tryptophan 37, in E. coli lipoic acid ligase (LplA), expands substrate specificity 7 such that unnatural probes much larger than lipoic acid can be recognized. This approach, however, has not been successful for 8 anionic substrates. An example is the blue fluorophore Pacific Blue, which is isosteric to 7-hydroxycoumarin and yet not 9 recognized by the latter's ligase (^{W37V}LplA) or any tryptophan 37 point mutant. Here we report the results of a structure-guided, two-residue screening matrix to discover an LplA double mutant, ^{E20G/W37T}LplA, that ligates Pacific Blue as efficiently as ^{W37V}LplA 10 11 ligates 7-hydroxycoumarin. The utility of this Pacific Blue ligase for specific labeling of recombinant proteins inside living cells, on 12 the cell surface, and inside acidic endosomes is demonstrated. 13

robe incorporation mediated by enzymes (PRIME) is a 14 rethod to tag recombinant proteins in living cells with 15 chemical probes. The method utilizes mutants of E. coli lipoic 16 acid ligase (LplA), whose natural function is to ligate lipoic acid 17 onto acceptor proteins involved in oxidative metabolism.¹ 18 Instead of lipoic acid, LpIA mutants catalyze the covalent 19 attachment of unnatural chemical probes, such as 7-20 hydroxycoumarin,² an aryl azide,³ or an alkyl azide,⁴ onto 21 recombinant proteins fused to a 13-amino acid recognition 22 sequence called LAP (LplA acceptor peptide).⁵ The advantages 23 of PRIME in comparison to other protein labeling methods are 24 the small tag size, compatibility with the interior of living cells, 2.5 and high labeling specificity.⁶ 26

In previous studies, uptake of the unnatural substrate by LplA 27 was achieved by mutation of a "gatekeeper" residue, W37, at the 28 end of the lipoic acid binding pocket (Figure 1B). Enlarging 29 this pocket, for example by a W37 \rightarrow V mutation, allows LplA 30 to accept structures much larger than lipoic acid, such as the 31 blue fluorophore 7-hydroxycoumarin $(HC)^2$ (Figure 1A, top). 32 In the course of our screening, however, we discovered several 33 structures that are not accepted by W37 point mutants. One of 34 the most interesting examples is Pacific Blue (PB),⁷ a 35 fluorophore that differs from HC only in the two fluorine 36 atoms at C6 and C8 of the coumarin ring (Figure 1A, bottom). 37 Because of these two electron-withdrawing fluorines, PB has a 38 reduced 7-hydroxyl pK_a of 3.7, compared to 7.5 for HC,⁷ and is 39 therefore fully anionic and fluorescent at physiological pH (7.4)40

as well as endosomal pH (5.5–6.5). In contrast, only \sim 50% of 41 HC is in the anionic and fluorescent form at pH 7.4, and it is 42 mostly protonated and hence nonfluorescent in acidic endo- 43 somes. 44

We hypothesized that PB is not recognized by HC's ligase, 45 $^{
m W37V}$ LplA, and other W37 point mutants because its negative $_{
m 46}$ charge clashes with the mostly hydrophobic binding pocket of 47 LplA.⁸ In addition, near the W37 gatekeeper residue at the end 48 of the lipoic acid binding tunnel is a negatively charged side 49 chain, E20, that may electrostatically repel PB⁸ (Figure 1B). 50 E20 could play a steric role as well, since a previous alanine scan 51 in the lipoate binding pocket identified E20A as one of two 52 mutants (along with W37A) with any detectable ligation 53 activity for an aryl azide probe.3

The goal of this work was to use PB as a model compound to 55 explore strategies for engineering new LpIA activity, such as 56 recognition of anionic substrates, beyond point mutations at 57 W37. A PB ligase is also a useful alternative to HC ligase for 58 studying proteins in acidic cellular compartments, where HC 59 fluorescence is very low. By performing in-vitro screens using a 60 panel of E20 and W37 single and double mutants, we 61 discovered that ^{E20G/W37T}LplA ligates PB with comparable 62 kinetics to ^{W37V}LplA ligation of HC (Figure 1A). We 63

Received: July 6, 2011 **Revised:** August 20, 2011





Figure 1. Engineering a Pacific Blue (PB) ligase. (A) Fluorophore ligations catalyzed by mutants of lipoic acid ligase (LplA). The top row shows ligation of 7-hydroxycoumarin (HC) by ^{W37V}LplA onto a LAP (LplA acceptor peptide)⁵ fusion protein, demonstrated in previous work.² The bottom row shows ligation of PB by ^{E20G/W37T}LplA, demonstrated in this work. (B) Cut-away view of wild-type LplA in complex with lipoyl-AMP ester, the intermediate of the natural ligation reaction. Adapted from PDB ID 3A7R.⁸ W37 and E20 side chains are highlighted. (C) Modeled structure of ^{E20G/W37T}LplA in complex with PB-AMP ester. The PB-AMP conformation was energetically minimized using Avogadro.¹³

demonstrated the utility of our PB ligase for in-vitro, cell
 surface, and intracellular site-specific protein labeling.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

66

Plasmids. The LplA-pYJF16 plasmid was used for bacterial
 expression of LplA.²

The LpIA-pcDNA3 plasmid was used for mammalian 69 expression of LplA.² For mammalian expression of LAP fusion 70 proteins, LAP-YFP-NLS-pcDNA3, LAP4.2-neurexin-1 β -71 pNICE, and vimentin-LAP in Clontech vector were used and 72 have been described.^{2,9} The LAP sequence used was 73 GFEIDKVWYDLDA. For some constructs (neurexin and 74 LDL receptor), an alternative peptide sequence called LAP4.2 75 was used instead (GFEIDKVWHDFPA).⁵ LAP4.2-LDLR-76 pcDNA4 was generated from HA-LDLR-pcDNA4¹⁰ by a 77 two-stage QuikChange to insert the LAP4.2 sequence and 78 was a gift from Daniel Liu (MIT). The nuclear YFP 79 transfection marker was H2B-YFP and has been described.¹¹ 80 All mutants were prepared by QuikChange mutagenesis. 81

LplA Expression and Purification. LplA mutants were expressed in BL21 *E. coli* and purified by His₆-nickel affinity chromatography as previously described.² **In-Vitro Screening of LpIA Mutants (Figure 2A).** 85 f2 Ligation reactions were assembled as follows for Figure 2A: 2 86 μ M purified LpIA mutant, 150 μ M synthetic LAP peptide 87 (GFEID<u>K</u>VWYDLDA; synthesized by the Tufts Peptide 88 Synthesis Core Facility), 5 mM ATP, 500 μ M fluorophore 89 probe, 5 mM magnesium acetate, and 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ pH 7.2 90 in a total volume of 25 μ L. Reactions were incubated for 12 h at 91 30 °C. 92

LplA mutant/probe combinations giving high activity under 93 these conditions were then reassayed with 10-fold lower probe 94 (50 μ M) for 2 h. 95

Product formation was analyzed by ultraperformance liquid 96 chromatography (UPLC) on a Waters Acquity instrument 97 using a reverse-phase BEH C18 column 1.7 μ M (1.0 × 50 mm) 98 with inline mass spectroscopy. Chromatograms were recorded 99 at 210 nm. A gradient of 30–70% (acetonitrile + 0.05% 100 trifluoroacetic acid) in (water with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) 101 over 0.78 min was used. 102

Further in-Vitro Screening of Top Five LpIA Double 103 **Mutants (Figure 2B,C).** Reactions for the top five LpIA 104 double mutants were assembled as above, but with 500 μ M 105 probe and a reaction time of 45 min. Reactions were quenched 106 with EDTA to a final concentration of 100 mM. Product 107 formation was analyzed on a Varian Prostar HPLC using a 108 reverse-phase C18 Microsorb-MV 100 column (250 × 4.6 109 mm). Chromatograms were recorded at 210 nm. We used a 10 110 min gradient of 30–60% acetonitrile in water with 0.1% 111 trifluoroacetic acid under 1 mL/min flow rate. Percent 112 conversions were calculated by dividing the product peak area 113 by the sum of (product + starting material) peak areas. 114

Michaelis–Menten Kinetic Assay. The Michaelis–Menten curve shown in Figure S4 was generated as previously 116 described.² Reaction conditions were as follows: 2 μ M 117 E^{20G/W37T}LplA, 600 μ M synthetic LAP peptide, 2 mM 118 magnesium acetate, and 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ pH 7.2. 119

Mammalian Cell Culture and Imaging. HEK and HeLa ¹²⁰ cells were cultured in growth media consisting of Minimum ¹²¹ Essential Medium (MEM, Cellgro) supplemented with 10% ¹²² fetal bovine serum (FBS, PAA Laboratories). Cells were ¹²³ maintained at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. For imaging, HEK cells ¹²⁴ were grown on glass coverslips pretreated with 50 μ g/mL ¹²⁵ fibronectin (Millipore) to increase their adherence. ¹²⁶

Cells were imaged in Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline 127 (DPBS) at room temperature. The images in Figures 3 and 4 128 f3f4 were collected on a Zeiss AxioObserver.Z1 microscope with a 129 40× oil-immersion objective and 2.5× Optovar, equipped with 130 a Yokogawa spinning disk confocal head containing a Quad-131 band notch dichroic mirror (405/488/568/647 nm). Pacific 132 Blue/coumarin (405 nm laser excitation, 445/40 emission 133 filter), YFP (491 nm laser excitation, 528/38 emission filter), 134 Alexa Fluor 568 (561 nm laser excitation, 617/73 emission 135 filter), and DIC images were collected using Slidebook software 136 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations). Images were acquired for 137 100 ms to 1 s using a Cascade II:512 camera. Fluorescence 138 images in each experiment were normalized to the same 139 intensity range. 140

Cell Surface Labeling. HEK cells were transfected with 141 200 ng of LAP4.2-LDLR-pcDNA4 and 100 ng of H2B-YFP 142 cotransfection marker plasmid, per 0.95 cm² at \sim 70% 143 confluency, using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Fifteen 144 hours after transfection, the growth media was removed, and 145 the cells were washed three times with DPBS. The cells were 146



B)					
		HC3	HC4	PB3	PB4
	E20G/W37T	48.4 ± 0.4	31.1 ± 0.6	32.1 ± 0.9	6.5 ± 0.5
	E20A/W37T	25.2 ± 0.3	10.3 ± 0.9	19.0 ± 1.7	2.9 ± 0.03
	E20A/W37V	26.7 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 0.1	17.8 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.05
	E20S/W37I	36.8 ± 0.2	18.6 ± 0.2	7.9 ± 0.1	5.4 ± 0.02
	E20G/W37I	28.6 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.02	3.8 ± 0.1
	W37V	374+06	478+05	ND	ND



Figure 2. Screening of LpIA mutants for Pacific Blue ligation activity. (A) Relative product conversions measured for 19 LplA single and double mutants with two hydroxycoumarin (HC) probes and two Pacific Blue (PB) probes. HC3 and PB3 have n = 3 linkers, and HC4 and PB4 have n = 4 linkers. To generate these grids, ligation reactions were performed under both forcing conditions (12 h, 500 μ M probe) and milder conditions (2 h, 50 μ M probe) and analyzed by ultraperformance liquid chromatography, as described in the Experimental Procedures. Sample traces are shown in Figure S2. The activity grid was generated with the following tiers: no activity, <25% conversion in a 12 h reaction, 25-50% conversion in a 12 h reaction, <25% conversion in 2 h reaction, 25-50% conversion in 2 h reaction, >50% conversion in 2 h reaction. (B) Quantitative product yields for the top five PB ligases in (A), after 45 min reaction with 500 μ M of each probe. N.D. indicates not detected. The best LplA mutants for PB3, HC3, and HC4 are highlighted. Errors are reported as standard errors of the mean. (C) HPLC trace showing formation of LAP-PB3 conjugate, catalyzed by our best PB ligase, ^{E20G/W37T}LplA. The identity of the LAP-PB3 peak was confirmed by mass spectrometry, shown in Figure S3. Traces below show negative control reactions with ATP omitted (red) or E20G/W37TLplA replaced by wild-type LplA (black).

185

labeled by applying 100 μ M Pacific Blue or hydroxycoumarin 147 probe, 2 μ M ligase, 1 mM ATP, and 5 mM Mg(OAc)₂ in DPBS 148 at room temperature for 40 min. Cells were then washed three 149 times with DPBS and either imaged immediately or incubated 150 at 37 °C for an additional 30 min to allow receptor 151 internalization prior to imaging. 152

Intracellular Protein Labeling. HEK cells were transfected at ~70% confluency with 200 ng of LAP-YFP-NLSpcDNA3 and 50 ng of FLAG- $^{E20G/W37T}$ LpIA-pcDNA3 per 0.95 155 cm² using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Fifteen hours after transfection, the growth media was removed, and the cells were washed three times with serum-free MEM. The cells were 158 labeled by applying 20 μ M PB3-AM₂ in serum-free MEM at 37 159 °C for 20 min. The cells were then washed three times with fresh MEM. Excess probe was removed by changing the media several times over 40 min. 162

To visualize LplA expression levels, cells were fixed using 163 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS pH 7.4 for 10 min, followed by 164 methanol at -20 °C for 5 min. Fixed cells were washed with 165 DPBS and then blocked overnight with blocking buffer (3% 166 BSA in DPBS with 0.1% Tween-20). Anti-FLAG M2 antibody 167 (Sigma) was added at a 1:300 dilution in blocking buffer for 1 h 168 at room temperature. Cells were then washed three times with 169 DPBS before treatment with a 1:300 dilution of goat antimouse antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen) in 171 blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were washed three times with DPBS prior to imaging. 173

For labeling of vimentin-LAP (Figure 4B), HeLa cells were 174 transfected with 250 ng of vimentin-LAP-Clontech, 50 ng of 175 FLAG-^{E20G/W37T}LplA-pcDNA3, and 100 ng of H2B-YFP 176 transfection marker per 0.95 cm² using Lipofectamine 2000. 177 Labeling was performed as above, with an extended 60 min 178 washout period to remove excess probe. Cells were then 179 imaged live in DPBS. 180

We note that, compared to intracellular labeling with 181 hydroxycoumarin, labeling with PB3 generally requires longer 182 washout times, up to 60 min in some cases. Shorter wash times 183 result in higher PB background in all cells. 184

RESULTS

Screening for a Pacific Blue Ligase. On the basis of the 186 LplA crystal structure (Figure 1B),⁸ we decided to focus our 187 engineering efforts on the W37 and E20 positions. We started 188 with a preliminary screen of 19 W37 point mutants and 14 E20 189 point mutants, against four probe structures (Figure S1). These 190 four structures, shown in Figure 2A, are two Pacific Blue probes 191 with shorter (n = 3) and longer (n = 4) linkers (PB3 and PB4) 192 and two analogous 7-hydroxycoumarin probes (HC3 and 193 HC4). Some Pacific Blue (PB) ligation product was detected 194 after a 12 h reaction with W37T, V, I, and A LplA mutants 195 (Figure S1), so we decided to introduce these mutations into 196 our next screen. Note that the activity of the best point mutant, 197 W^{37T}LplA, which gave ~50% conversion to PB ligation product 198 after 12 h, is too slow for practical utility. For E20, none of the 199

after 12 h, is too slow for practical utility. For E20, none of the 199 tested point mutants gave product with any of the four probes 200 after 12 h. Nevertheless, in our next screen, we included E20 201 mutations to the smaller, neutral side chains Gly, Ala, and Ser. 202

Our next library consisted of 7 single mutants (four at W37 203 and three at E20) and their 12 crossed double mutants, shown 204 in Figure 2A. Screening was performed using 500 μ M probe in 205 an overnight reaction. Any ligase/probe combination with high 206 activity under these conditions was reassayed using 50 μ M 207



Figure 3. Cell surface labeling with Pacific Blue ligase and imaging of internalized protein pools. HEK cells expressing LAP4.2-LDL receptor were labeled at the cell surface with either PB ligase and PB3, or HC ligase and HC4, for 40 min at room temperature. Cells were then imaged immediately (left side; 0 min internalization) or incubated for an additional 30 min at 37 °C to allow internalization of labeled LAP4.2-LDL receptor before imaging (right side). Pacific Blue and hydroxycoumarin channels are shown. Nuclear YFP was a cotransfection marker. All scale bars: 10 μ m.



Figure 4. Intracellular protein labeling with Pacific Blue ligase. (A) HEK cells expressing LAP-YFP-NLS (NLS is a nuclear localization signal) and PB ligase ($^{E20G/W37T}$ LplA) were treated with PB3-AM₂ for 20 min, washed for 40 min, fixed with formaldehyde, and stained with anti-FLAG antibody to detect FLAG-tagged LplA. Images show PB labeling of transfected YFP-positive and FLAG-positive cells. The second row is a negative control with PB ligase replaced by wild-type LplA. The third row is a negative control with LAP-YFP-NLS replaced by a point mutant with a K \rightarrow A mutation in LAP. (B) HeLa cells expressing vimentin-LAP and PB ligase ($^{E20G/W37T}$ LplA) were labeled with PB3-AM₂ for 20 min, washed for 60 min, and then imaged live. Scale bars: 10 μ m.

probe in a 2 h reaction. As before, the E20 single mutants had no detectable activity (Figure 2A). The W37 single mutants were minimally active with both PB probes, although high activity was seen with HC3 and HC4. The best single mutant/ probe pair was ^{W37V}LpIA with HC4.

The LplA double mutants, however, had interesting patterns of activity with PB. Although none of the mutants ligated PB4 efficiently, PB3 was ligated well by five double mutants (Figure 2A; re-evaluated quantitatively in Figure 2B). The best two have the W37T mutation, suggesting that not only size reduction but also polarity increase at this position is beneficial for PB recognition. We noticed that the W37A mutation 219 performed poorly in the context of all double mutants for all 220 four probes, perhaps because it destabilizes the binding pocket. 221 The best E20 mutation to pair with W37T was Gly, perhaps 222 because it generates the most space and conformational 223 freedom. Together, our observations suggest that W37 and 224 E20 mutations work synergistically to allow PB uptake: W37 mutations enlarge the binding pocket, while E20 mutations 226 remove repulsive electrostatic interactions (Figure 1C). 227

We proceeded to fully characterize our best PB ligase to 228 emerge from this screen, $^{E20G/W37T}$ LplA. First, HPLC analysis of 229 the ligation reaction was repeated (Figure 2C), alongside 230 negative controls omitting ATP or replacing PB ligase with 231 wild-type LplA. Second, the kinetic constants for PB3 ligation 232 to LAP were measured by HPLC (Figure S4). Both k_{cat} (0.014 233 \pm 0.001 s⁻¹) and K_{M} (17.5 \pm 4.3 μ M) values are comparable to 234 those previously determined for HC4 ligation catalyzed by 235 ^{W37V}LplA (k_{cat} 0.019 \pm 0.004 s⁻¹ and K_{M} 56 \pm 20 μ M).² Finally, 236 we tested the sequence specificity of PB3 ligation by labeling a 237 LAP fusion protein within mammalian cell lysate. Figure S5 238 shows that only LAP is labeled by PB ligase and not any 239 endogenous mammalian proteins. 240

Cell Surface Labeling with Pacific Blue Ligase. To test 241 our PB ligase on living cells, we first performed labeling of a cell 242 surface protein. The neuronal adhesion protein neurexin-1 β 243 with LAP4.2 (a variant of LAP⁵ whose sequence is given in the 244 Experimental Procedures) fused to its extracellular N-terminus 245 was expressed in human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells. 246 Labeling was performed by adding purified PB ligase, PB3 247 probe, and ATP to the cellular media for 30 min. Figure S6 248 shows a ring of PB fluorescence around cells expressing 249 LAP4.2-neurexin, as indicated by the presence of the 250 cotransfection marker, whereas untransfected neighboring 251 cells are not labeled. Negative controls performed with wild-252 type LplA, ATP omitted, or an alanine mutation in LAP 253 resulted in no visible labeling (Figure S6). 254

A potential advantage of PB ligase over HC ligase is for 255 visualization of proteins in acidic organelles, where HC 256 fluorescence is low due to its pK_a of 7.5. To test this 257 experimentally, we used PB ligase or HC ligase to label LAP4.2- 258 LDL receptor (low-density lipoprotein receptor) on the surface 259 of HEK cells. After labeling, cells were incubated for 30 min at 260 37 °C to allow internalization of fluorescently tagged receptors. 261 Figure 3 shows that PB-tagged LAP4.2-LDL receptor is clearly 262 visible within internalized puncta, whereas HC-tagged LAP4.2LDL receptor is not. Separate experiments showed that many
of the PB-labeled internal puncta overlap with FM4-64, an
endosomal marker (data not shown).

Intracellular Protein Labeling with Pacific Blue 2.67 Ligase. We tested PB ligase for labeling of intracellular 268 269 proteins in living mammalian cells. To deliver PB3 across the cell membrane, we first protected the carboxylic acid and 7-270 hydroxyl groups of PB3 with acetoxymethyl (AM) groups to 271 give PB3-AM₂ (structure shown in Supporting Information). 272 Endogenous intracellular esterases remove the AM groups to 273 give PB3 inside the cell.¹² HEK cells were cotransfected with 274 plasmids for PB ligase and LAP-YFP-NLS (NLS is a nuclear 275 localization signal; YFP is yellow fluorescent protein). To 276 perform labeling, PB3-AM2 was incubated with cells for 20 min, 277 and then the media was replaced 3 times over 40 min to allow 278 cells to pump out excess, unconjugated probe. The cells were 279 then fixed, and anti-FLAG immunostaining was performed to 280 visualize enzyme expression. As expected for specific labeling, 281 PB fluorescence overlaps well with the YFP fluorescence of 282 LAP-YFP-NLS (Figure 4). PB is not seen in neighboring 283 untransfected cells. PB labeling is also absent when wild-type 284 285 LplA is used in place of PB ligase, or the LAP-YFP-NLS 286 contains a Lys \rightarrow Ala mutation in the LAP sequence. To illustrate generality, we also performed PB labeling in live cells 287 of vimentin-LAP, an intermediate filament protein (Figure 4B). 288

289 DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified an LpIA double mutant capable of 290 recognizing and ligating a charged probe, Pacific Blue. Unlike 291 previous studies where simple enlargement of the binding 2.92 pocket via a point mutation at W37was sufficient to allow 293 recognition of large hydrophobic probes, the synergistic effect 294 of mutating both the E20 and W37 positions was required for 295 296 recognition of Pacific Blue. Guided by the LplA crystal structure, we were able to create a small and focused library 297 of single and double LpIA mutants to screen for the desired PB 298 ligation activity. No single mutation had significant activity, but 299 the augmentation of the most active W37 single mutants by 300 E20 mutations resulted in a kinetically efficient PB ligase. We 301 anticipate that these insights into the substrate binding pocket 302 of LplA will prove useful in future engineering efforts. The 303 engineered PB ligase has k_{cat} and K_M values similar to those of 304 our previously reported 7-hydroxycoumarin ligase.² PB ligase 305 also retained sequence specificity for LAP over all endogenous 306 mammalian proteins and could therefore be used for specific 307 protein labeling inside and on the surface of living mammalian 308 cells. 309

With this report, PRIME labeling can now be performed with 310 any of three coumarin probes: Pacific Blue, 7-hydroxycoumar-311 or 7-aminocoumarin (AC).9 The decision of which 312 in,² coumarin to use is dependent on the specific application. HC 313 is the brightest of the three probes, followed by PB and then 314 AC due to its decreased extinction coefficient.^{7,9} However, as 315 demonstrated here, PB and AC have the added benefit of pH 316 insensitivity, whereas the pK_a of HC makes it unsuitable for 317 imaging in acidic organelles such as endosomes. 318

319 **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

320 Supporting Information

Figures S1–S6 showing screening data, kinetic measurements, labeling in cell lysate, and cell surface labeling with negative controls; synthetic methods, modeling information, and additional experimental details. This material is available free 324 of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. 325

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: (617) 452-2021. Fax: (617) 253-7929. E-mail: ating@ 328 mit.edu. 329

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health331(R01 GM072670), the Dreyfus Foundation, the American332Chemical Society, and MIT.333

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Hemanta Baruah, Chayasith Uttamapinant, 335 Katharine White, and Daniel Liu for plasmids and helpful 336 advice. Michael Lewandowski of the Broad Institute of MIT 337 and Harvard assisted with liquid chromatography-based 338 screens. 339

REFERENCES

(1) Cronan, J. E., Zhao, X., and Jiang, Y. F. (2005) Function, 341 attachment and synthesis of lipoic acid in Escherichia coli. *Adv. Microb.* 342 *Physiol. 50*, 103–146. 343

(2) Uttamapinant, C., White, K. A., Baruah, H., Thompson, S., 344 Fernandez-Suarez, M., Puthenveetil, S., and Ting, A. Y. (2010) A 345 fluorophore ligase for site-specific protein labeling inside living cells. 346 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107*, 10914–10919. 347

(3) Baruah, H., Puthenveetil, S., Choi, Y. A., Shah, S., and Ting, A. Y. 348 (2008) An engineered aryl azide ligase for site-specific mapping of 349 protein-protein interactions through photo-cross-linking. *Angew.* 350 *Chem., Int. Ed.* 47, 7018–7021. 351

(4) Fernandez-Suarez, M., Baruah, H., Martinez-Hernandez, L., Xie, 352
K. T., Baskin, J. M., Bertozzi, C. R., and Ting, A. Y. (2007) Redirecting 353
lipoic acid ligase for cell surface protein labeling with small-molecule probes. *Nature Biotechnol.* 25, 1483–1487. 355

(5) Puthenveetil, S., Liu, D. S., White, K. A., Thompson, S., and Ting, 356 A. Y. (2009) Yeast Display Evolution of a Kinetically Efficient 13-Amino Acid Substrate for Lipoic Acid Ligase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131, 358 16430–16438. 359

(6) Hinner, M. J., and Johnsson, K. (2010) How to obtain labeled 360 proteins and what to do with them. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 21, 766–361 776.

(7) Sun, W. C., Gee, K. R., and Haugland, R. P. (1998) Synthesis of 363 novel fluorinated coumarins: Excellent UV-light excitable fluorescent 364 dyes. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 8, 3107–3110. 365

(8) Fujiwara, K., Maita, N., Hosaka, H., Okamura-Ikeda, K., 366 Nakagawa, A., and Taniguchi, H. (2010) Global Conformational 367 Change Associated with the Two-step Reaction Catalyzed by 368 Escherichia coli Lipoate-Protein Ligase A. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 9971–369 9980. 370

(9) Jin, X., Uttamapinant, C., and Ting, A. Y. (2011) Synthesis of 7-371
 Aminocoumarin by Buchwald-Hartwig Cross Coupling for Specific 372
 Protein Labeling in Living Cells. *ChemBioChem* 12, 65–70.373

(10) Zou, P., and Ting, A. Y. (2011) Imaging LDL Receptor 374
 Oligonnerization during Endocytosis Using a Co-internalization Assay. 375
 ACS Chem. Biol. 6, 308–313. 376

(11) Howarth, M., Liu, W. H., Puthenveetil, S., Zheng, Y., Marshall, 377
L. F., Schmidt, M. M., Wittrup, K. D., Bawendi, M. G., and Ting, A. Y. 378
(2008) Monovalent, reduced-size quantum dots for imaging receptors 379
on living cells. *Nature Methods 5*, 397–399. 380

(12) Tsien, R. Y. (1989) Fluorescent-Probes of Cell Signaling. Annu. 381 Rev. Neurosci. 12, 227–253. 382

(13) Avogadro: an open-source molecular builder and visualization 383 tool. Version 1.0.1. 2010. Ref Type: Computer Program. 384

326

327

330

334

340