1 Supporting Information

2

3 Table S1 Coefficients, standard errors of the mean, and P-values of the fitted linear models

4 on wireworm tunnelling in no-choice treatment combinations (0_0, R_R, F_F, RF_RF; see

- 5 Figure 2 for explanation) and the untreated control (0_0) . Pixel counts per live wireworm
- 6 were used as dependent variable to account for the effect of wireworm mortality on

Factor	Estimate	SEM	Р
Intercept	42383	4434	< 0.001
Fungus	-2529	1432	0.094
Repellent	-1214	1432	0.41
Fungus*repellent	2298	1463	0.13
Cohort	-1276	2805	0.65

7 tunnelling activity within the 1st week

- 1 **Table S2** Coefficients, standard errors of the mean, and P-values of the fitted alternative
- 2 linear models on wireworm tunnelling in choice treatment combinations (R_F, 0_F, R_0; see
- 3 Figure 2 for explanation) and the untreated control (0_0) . Pixel counts per live wireworm
- 4 were used as dependent variable to account for the effect of wireworm mortality on
- 5 tunnelling activity in the 1st week

Factor	Estimate	SEM	Р
Intercept	22088	3179	< 0.001
Fungus	-1491	1027	0.16
Repellent	-3919	1027	< 0.001
Fungus*repellent	-4535	1049	< 0.001
Cohort	-705	2010	0.73

6

7