Radboud University Nijmegen

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/176754

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE





Survey of methanotrophic diversity in various ecosystems by degenerate methane monooxygenase gene primers

Mohammad Ghashghavi^{*}[®], Mike S. M. Jetten and Claudia Lüke

Abstract

Methane is the second most important greenhouse gas contributing to about 20% of global warming. Its mitigation is conducted by methane oxidizing bacteria that act as a biofilter using methane as their energy and carbon source. Since their first discovery in 1906, methanotrophs have been studied using a complementary array of methods. One of the most used molecular methods involves PCR amplification of the functional gene marker for the diagnostic of copper and iron containing particulate methane monooxygenase. To investigate the diversity of methanotrophs and to extend their possible molecular detection, we designed a new set of degenerate methane monooxygenase primers to target an 850 nucleotide long sequence stretch from *pmoC* to *pmoA*. The primers were based on all available full genomic *pmoCAB* operons. The newly designed primers were tested on various pure cultures, enrichment cultures and environmental samples using PCR. The results demonstrated that this primer set has the ability to correctly amplify the about 850 nucleotide long *pmoCA* product from *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia* and the NC10 phyla methanotrophs. The new primer set will thus be a valuable tool to screen ecosystems and can be applied in conjunction with previously used *pmoA* primers to extend the diversity of currently known methane-oxidizing bacteria.

Keywords: Methane, Particulate methane monooxygenase, Diversity, Methanotroph, Genetic marker

Introduction

Methane is the second most important greenhouse gas contributing to about 20% of global warming (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014). The global methane budget is estimated to be around 600 Tg a⁻¹ (Dubey 2001) which is dominated by biogenic sources, where natural wetlands (23%), and rice fields (21%) (Frenzel 2000) account for almost half of the total budget (Chen and Prinn 2005). Methanogenic archaea are assumed to be the sole producers of methane that reside in these environments (Cicerone and Oremland 1988; Conrad et al. 1999; Joulian et al. 1997). These archaea are also present in waste treatment systems, intestines of ruminants and termites and landfills acting as additional CH_4 sources. Therefore, microbial methanogenic activity

*Correspondence: mghash@science.ru.nl

Department of Microbiology, Radboud University, Heijendaalsweg 135, 6525, AJ, Niimegen. The Netherlands

is responsible for nearly 75% of the methane emitted to the atmosphere (Chen and Prinn 2005).

This process, is however, vastly mitigated by methanotrophic microorganisms that oxidize a large part of the produced CH₄ (Cappelletti et al. 2016; Crevecoeur et al. 2015; Dumont and Murrell 2005; Reeburgh et al. 1993; Oshkin et al. 2014). It has been estimated that of the primary productivity, roughly 1% ends up in CH₄; half of which is emitted into the atmosphere while the other half is consumed by methanotrophs (Reeburgh and Whjalen 2007; Aronson et al. 2013). While anaerobic methaneoxidizing archaea consume more than 75% of the CH_4 produced in certain marine sediments (Reeburgh and Whjalen 2007; Beal et al. 2009; Egger et al. 2014), aerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB) that live at the interface between anoxic and oxic zones in marine environments (Bender and Conrad 1992; Lüke et al. 2016; Padilla et al. 2016), freshwater wetlands and rice fields (Lüke et al. 2014) have been estimated to consume up to 90%



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

of the CH₄ produced in these environments (Hanson and Hanson 1996). Alpha- and gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs have further been shown to be dominant methane consumers in acidic peatlands (Esson et al. 2016; Deng et al. 2013; Putkinen et al. 2014). Since their discovery over 100 years ago (Söhngen 1906), many aspects of methanotrophic bacteria have been studied (Whittenbury et al. 1970; Bédard and Knowles 1989; Hanson and Hanson 1996; Lidstrom 2006; Trotsenko and Murrell 2008). At the moment, several groups of aerobic bacteria are known that convert methane by means of a copperand/or iron-containing enzyme called methane monooxygenase (MMO) (Murrell et al. 2000). Methanotrophic archaea play a prominent role in the anaerobic oxidation of methane and use methyl coenzyme-M reductase (MCR) (Knittel and Boetius 2009; Haroon et al. 2013; Welte et al. 2016).

Two different forms of MMO exist: a soluble MMO (sMMO) encoded by mmoX, mmoY and mmoZ and a particulate MMO encoded by pmoCAB (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005). The membrane bound particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) catalyzes the hydroxylation of methane. It exists in virtually all methanotrophs while sMMO has only been shown in certain genera such as Methylococcus, Methylosinus, Methylocystis, Methylomonas and Methylocella (Murrell et al. 2000). The more recent discovery of Methylocella silvestris (Crombie and Murrell, 2014), Methyloferula stellata (Dedysh et al. 2015), and Methylocella palustris (Dedysh et al. 2000) has illustrated that some MOB do indeed possess only sMMO and would not be targeted in pMMOfocused molecular studies (Dunfield et al. 2003; Dedysh et al. 2000; Vorobev et al. 2011; Vekeman et al. 2016a). pMMO belongs to the ammonia monooxygenase superfamily and has been shown to be of high biogeochemical and chemical relevance (Bédard and Knowles 1989; Hakemian and Rosenzweig 2007). This is due to the tight correlation that exists between this family and the globally important methane and nitrous oxide fluxes (Conrad 1996). This makes copper containing (Cu) MMO genes extremely useful markers in biological feedback studies looking at global climate change (Singh et al. 2010). Moreover, PCR-based environmental surveys have identified the ecological distribution and relevance of CuMMO-containing organisms correlated to gas flux, land use and climatic conditions (Coleman and the references within 2012). It has also been postulated that this group of enzymes could be correlated to processes other than methanotrophy and ammonia oxidation such as butane-oxidation (Coleman et al. 2012; Crombie and Murrell 2014). Therefore molecular approaches, such as PCR with specific primer sets are a fast and convenient method to screen for the diversity of such enzymes in various environments (Murrell et al. 1998; Mitsumori et al. 2002; Siljanen et al. 2012).

The crystal structure of pMMO has been determined to a resolution of 2.8 Å from *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath) and the enzyme has been found to be a trimer with an $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma_3$ polypeptide arrangement (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005). The PmoA subunit contains nonheme iron in its center and for long was proposed to be the site of substrate hydroxylation. The soluble PmoB subunit hosts two metal centers, modelled as mononuclear copper and dinuclear copper, while a third metal center occupied by zinc is located within the membrane (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005).

Molecular surveys showed that MOB are present, amongst others, in natural wetlands (Costello et al. 2002; Samad and Bertilsson 2017), marine ecosystems (Vekeman et al. 2016b), permafrost thaw ponds (Crevecoeur et al. 2015), peatlands (Lau et al. 2015) and flooded rice-fields (Krüger et al. 2001; Lüke et al. 2009; Balasubramanian and Rosenzweig 2007; Zheng et al. 2008). Since pMMO was initially assumed to be present in all methane oxidizing bacteria, it has been used in molecular approaches to investigate methanotrophic diversity (Semrau et al. 1995; Holmes et al. 1999; Chi et al. 2012; Saidi-Mehrabad et al. 2013). More specifically pmoA, coding for the beta subunit of pMMO, was found to be highly conserved and as a result used as a functional gene marker (Holmes et al. 1995a, b; Bourne et al. 2001; Costello et al. 2002; Kolb et al. 2003; Luesken et al. 2011b; Wang et al. 2017).

In addition, pmoA amplicon pyrosequencing has been used to look at methanotrophic diversity in depth (Kip et al. 2011; Lüke and Frenzel 2011; Han and Gu 2013; Knief 2015). For all the PCR based methods, the used primers unfortunately do not encompass all different phyla of MOB to the same extent (Bergmann et al. 2011) nor do they cover new phyla such as Verrucomicrobia (Sharp et al. 2014; Erikstad and Birkeland 2015) and NC10. In the latter cases, more phylum specific primers had to be designed to investigate the presence of 'Candidatus Methylomirabilis oxyfera' in various ecosystems (Luesken et al. 2011b). Recently several genomes of different MOB have been sequenced by the Omega consortium (Khmelenina et al. 2013; Kits et al. 2013; Khadem et al. 2012; Stephenson et al. 2017) and thus a much larger gene dataset is now available to design new primers to potentially cover a larger methanotroph diversity. Here we introduce a new set of degenerate primers that can be used to examine the diversity of MOB in various environments with the potential ability to target all presently known methanotrophic phyla. The new primers have the capability to target *pmoC* and *pmoA* and the intergenic region in between those genes. Application of the primers to various ecosystem resulted in the detection of *pmoCA* of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia* and NC10 within their respective habitats. Neither ammonia oxidizers, nor the recently discovered comammox (van Kessel et al. 2015; Pjevac et al. 2016) were detected with these primers. Furthermore, since the binding sites of the primers immediately flank the intergenic region between the genes *pmoC* and *pmoA*, they generate MOB lineage specific fragments. This unique property could be used in high throughput sequence analysis experiments for recovering diverse lineages in further environmental studies.

Materials and methods

Construction of *pmoCAB* operon database and primer design

A total of 83 different full genomic methane monooxygenase along with the isoenzyme PXM and ammonia monooxygenase gene sequences available on MaGe were downloaded (Vallenet et al. 2006; Sievers and Higgins 2014). This included Alpha-, Gamma-, and Betaproteobacteria (AOB), Verrucomicrobia, NC10, Mycobacterium, Nocardia, SAR cluster, divergent PXM operon and second operons from Methylocystis parvus OBBP, Methylocystis sp. BN69, Methylosinus sp. LW3, and Methylosinus sp. LW4 (Table 1). The genes were aligned in pmoCAB operon configuration. In cases where an organism's genome contained more than one copy of the operon, all copies were included in the pipeline. Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) and the alignment was imported into ARB (Ludwig et al. 2004). Nucleotide sequences were translated into protein sequences and phylogenetic trees were constructed based on the amino acid sequences. Furthermore, using the 'Probe' tool, primers that were capable of covering all (or as much as possible) phyla were designed within ARB. The parameters for the primer design were: 18 nucleotides in length, GC content of 50–70%, and minimum group coverage of at least 50%. Furthermore, the primers were made specific to MOB so that they had more than five mismatches with ammonium monooxygenase amo gene sequences of ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB).

A set of primers covering *pmoC*, the intergenic region, and *pmoA* were ultimately designed (Table 2) and ordered from Biolegio (Nijmegen, the Netherlands). The forward primer, called pmoC374, with the reverse primer, called pmoA344 resulted in product length of roughly 850 base pairs (bp) (Table 3). There are slight variations between different lineages. This is caused by variation in on average, 120 bp long intergenic region between *pmoC* and *pmoA*.

DNA extraction and PCR conditions

Total DNA was extracted from methanotrophic pure and enrichment cultures and from various environmental samples. Table 4 provides an overview on the cultures and samples used in this study. DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil[®] DNA Isolation Kit from MO BIO Laboratories (Carlsbad CA, USA) following the protocol of the manufacturer. The primers were tested using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), gradient PCR, touchdown PCR and nested PCR on all of the samples. The optimized protocol consisted of initial denaturation step at 96 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 96 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C for 1 min and elongation at 72 °C for 2 min. The final elongation step was done for 10 min at 72 °C.

Excision from gel after gel electrophoresis, purification, ligation and transformation of the amplified PCR products were done following the protocol described by Luesken et al. 2011a. At least 20 random clones were picked for each environmental sample in a blue-white screening and the plasmids were isolated for each PCR product with the GeneJet Miniprep Kit (Fermentas, Vilnus, Lithuania). The samples were sent to BaseClear (Leiden, the Netherlands) for sequencing of the cloned product using M13 forward primer (Luesken et al. 2011a).

Sequence analysis

The resulting sequences were checked for quality using Chromas Lite 2.1.1.0 (Technelysium Pty Ltd). Once erroneous sequences were removed, the results were blasted (BLASTx) using the publically available tools on National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Sequences matching with AMO superfamily were imported into ARB, translated into protein sequences and aligned to the previously mentioned *pmoCAB* operon dataset using ARB built-in aligner tools. Phylogenetic tree construction was performed on the amino acid alignment using maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood methods with bootstrapping of 100 times. Consensus sequences based on the fraction and frequency of residues at a specific alignment position within *pmoC* from all sequences were used to generate the tree.

Sequences are deposited in Genbank with Accession Numbers KY883458–KY883555 (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Results

The design of new primers was obtained by using all available *pmoCAB* operon sequences from MaGe. Interestingly, *pmoB* contained no conserved sequence stretch as a potential primer target site. Looking at the full operons, the only conserved regions resided within *pmoC* and *pmoA*. A new region at the nucleotide position 374 within

Table 1 Aligment of the new pmoCA primers on all the available genomic sequences from different phyla. Wobble positions are shown in yellow

Gammaproteobacteria	pmoC374	pmoA344
Methylobacter tundripaldum SV96 operon 2	ACAGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>T</mark> AC <mark>A</mark> TGGCATCA	TAAACTTCTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGAC <mark>T</mark> TATT
Methylobacter sp. AQVZv1 operon 1	ACAGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>T</mark> AC <mark>T</mark> TGGCATCA	TGAATTTCTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGAC <mark>T</mark> TATT
Methylovulum miyakonese strain HT12 operon 1	ACAG <mark>AGCA<mark>A</mark>GATGG<mark>T</mark>AC<mark>T</mark>TGGCATCA</mark>	TGAACTTCTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGAC <mark>A</mark> TATT
Methylobacter luteus IMV-B-3098T operon 1	ACTGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GACGG <mark>T</mark> AC <mark>A</mark> TGGCATCA	TGA <mark>ACTTCTGGGGG</mark> ATGGAC <mark>A</mark> TATT
Methylobacter marinus A45 operon 1	ACTG <mark>AGCA<mark>A</mark>GACGG<mark>T</mark>AC<mark>A</mark>TGGCATCA</mark>	TGAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGAC <mark>A</mark> TATT
Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum 20Z operon 1	ACTGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>T</mark> AC <mark>T</mark> TGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGAC <mark>A</mark> TACT
Methylomicrobium buryatense 5G operon 1	ACAGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GACGG <mark>T</mark> AC <mark>A</mark> TGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGAC <mark>A</mark> TACT
Methylomonas sp. M11Bv1_22234 operon 2	ACAG <mark>AGCA<mark>A</mark>GACGG<mark>T</mark>AC<mark>A</mark>TGGC</mark> ACCA	TGA <mark>ACTTCTGGGGGCTGGAC<mark>T</mark>TACT</mark>
Methylomonas sp. MK1 operon 2	ACAG <mark>AGCA<mark>A</mark>GACGG<mark>T</mark>AC<mark>A</mark>TGGCACCA</mark>	TGAACTTCTGGGGGCTGGAC <mark>A</mark> TACT
Methylomicrobium album BG8 operon 1	ACCGAACA <mark>A</mark> GATGGCAC <mark>G</mark> TGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGAC <mark>T</mark> TACT
Methylosarcina lacus LW14 opeorn 1	ACCGAACA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>T</mark> AC <mark>A</mark> TGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGAC <mark>T</mark> TACT
Methylosarcina fibrata AML-C10 operon 1	ACAGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>T</mark> AC <mark>A</mark> TGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGAC <mark>T</mark> TACT
Methyloglobulus morosus operon 3	ACAGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GACGGCAC <mark>A</mark> TGGCATCA	TCAATTTCTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGAC <mark>A</mark> TACT
Methylococcus capsulatus Bath A2855 operon 2	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylococcus capsulatus Bath A1798 operon 1	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATCA	TCATGCCATGCTCACCATG <mark>G</mark> GTGA
Methylocaldum szegediense O-12	ACCGAGCAGGATGGCACCTGGCACCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGACCTACT
Methylohalobius crimeensis operon 2	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGACCTACT
Methylohalobius crimeensis operon 1	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACCA	TCAACTTCTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGACCTACT
Nitrosococcus watsonii	ACCG <mark>AGCAGGA</mark> TGG <mark>T</mark> GCCTGGCATCA	TTAATTTCGTAGG <mark>G</mark> TTCACCTATT
Nitrosococcus oceani ATCC 19707	ACCGAGCAGGATGG <mark>T</mark> GC <mark>T</mark> TGGCATCA	TTAATTTCGTAGG <mark>G</mark> TTTACCTATT
Nitrosococcus halophilus	ACCGAGCAGGATGG <mark>T</mark> ACCTGGCATCA	ATAACTTCTACGG <mark>T</mark> TTCACCTACT
Alphaproteobacteria	nmoC374	n m = A 2 4 4
	pinices/4	pmoA344
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1	ACCG <mark>AGCAGGACGGCACCTGGC</mark> ACAT	pmoA544 TCAACTTCTGGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 3	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 3 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 3 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 3	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 3 Methylosistis parvus OBBP operon 1 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 1	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATCA ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT ACAATTTCTGGGGTTGGACCTTCT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 1 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 1 Methylocystis sp. LW3 operon 1	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT ACAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTTCT ACAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTTCT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 1 Methylocystis rosea SB2 operon 3 Methylocystis rosea SV97T operon 2 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 2 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 2 Methylosinus sp. ATCC operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 3 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW4 operon 1 Methylocystis parvus OBBP operon 1 Methylocystis sp. BN69 operon 1 Methylocystis sp. LW3 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 1 Methylosinus sp. LW3 operon 2	ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATAT ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATCA ACCGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCATCA	TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TCAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTACT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT TGAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTATT ACAATTTCTGGGGTTGGACCTTCT ACAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTTCT ACAACTTCTGGGGCTGGACCTTCT

Table 1 continued

Verrucomicrobia	pmoC374	pmoA344
Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum SolV operon 1	ACGG <mark>AGCA<mark>A</mark>GACGGCAC<mark>G</mark>TGGCATCA</mark>	GGAATTTCTGGGT <mark>T</mark> GGCACACTACC
Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum SolV operon 2	ACTGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>G</mark> AC <mark>A</mark> TGGCATCA	GGAACTTCTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGG <mark>G</mark> CACTT
Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum SolV operon 3	GTTGAACAGGATGG <mark>A</mark> GT <mark>A</mark> TGGCATTC	TCAATTGGTGGGG <mark>A</mark> TGGTTCAGTT
Methylacidiphilum infernorum V4 operon 1	ACAG <mark>AGCAGGACGGCAC<mark>A</mark>TGGC</mark> ATCA	GGAATTTCTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGAC <mark>A</mark> CACT
Methylacidiphilum infernorum V4 operon 2	ACCGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>G</mark> AC <mark>T</mark> TGGCATCA	GGAATTTTTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGG <mark>G</mark> GACCT
Methylacidiphilum infernorum V4 operon 3	GTTGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGG <mark>G</mark> GT <mark>T</mark> TGGCATTC	TTAACTGGTGGGG <mark>T</mark> TGGTT <mark>T</mark> AGTT
Verrucomicrobium sp.	ACGGAGCAGGACGGCACCTGGCACCA	TCAACTTCAATGG <mark>A</mark> TGGACCCATT
Alkane monooxygenases	pmoC374	pmoA344
Mycobacterium chubuense	GCCGAAGAGGACGCCAC <mark>T</mark> TGGCACCA	CGAGTTTTGATCT <mark>G</mark> TGGGC <mark>G</mark> CACC
Mycobacterium rhodesiae	GCCGAGGAGGACGCCGCCTGGCACCA	TCAACTTCGACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGGCCAACA
SAR324 cluster	pmoC374	pmoA344
SAR324 cluster bacterium	GCCTAATCTGGATGGCTC <mark>G</mark> TGGCATCA	TTCAGTGGGATG <mark>T</mark> TATGAT <mark>A</mark> GGCT
NC10	pmoC374	pmoA344
Candidatus Methylomirabilis oxyfera	ACCGAGCAGGACGG <mark>G</mark> AC <mark>G</mark> TGGCACCA	TTAACTTTTACTA <mark>T</mark> TGGGCCTGGT
PXM (pMMO isoenzyme)	pmoC374	pmoA344
Methylomonas sp. MK1	GCCGAGCAGGACAACTCCTGGCATCA	TCGCTTACCACTACTGGAACTATT
Methylomonas sp. M11	GCCGAACAGGACAA <mark>T</mark> TCCTGGCACCA	TTGCCTACCATTACTGGAACTATT
Methylobacter luteus	GCCGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATAA <mark>T</mark> TCCTGGCATCA	TTGCCTATCACTACTGGAACTATT
Methylobacter marinus	GCCGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GACAA <mark>T</mark> TCCTGGCATCA	TTGCCTATCACTACTGGAACTATT
Methylobacter tundripaldum SV96	GCCGAACAGGATAA <mark>T</mark> TCCTGGCATCA	TCGCCTATCATTA <mark>T</mark> TGGAA <mark>T</mark> TATT
Methyloglobulus morosus	GCCGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATAACTCCTGGCATCA	TTGCCTACCACCT <mark>A</mark> TGGAA <mark>T</mark> TATT
Methylocystis rosea SV97T	GCGGAGCAGGACAA <mark>T</mark> TCCTGGCATCA	TCGCCTATCACAT <mark>G</mark> TGGAACTTTT
Methylocystis SB2	GCGGAGCAGGACAA <mark>T</mark> TCCTGGCATCA	TCGCCTATCACAT <mark>G</mark> TGGAACTTTT
Methylomonas sp. MK1	GCCGAACA <mark>A</mark> GATAA <mark>T</mark> GCCTGGCATCA	CCGCCTATCAAAT <mark>T</mark> TGGACCAATT
Methyloglobulus morosus	GGGGAACA <mark>A</mark> GACAA <mark>T</mark> GCCTGGCACCA	TTGCCTACCACCTCTGGAC <mark>G</mark> AATT
Betaproteobacteria	pmoC374	pmoA344
Nitrosomonas sp. AL212 operon 1	ACCGAACAGGATGC <mark>A</mark> AGCTGGCACCA	GGGGATTTTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>G</mark> CATT
Nitrosomonas sp. AL212 operon 2	ACCGAACAGGATGC <mark>A</mark> AGCTGGCACCA	GGGGATTTTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>G</mark> CATT
Nitrosomonas sp. AL212 operon 3	ACCGAACAGGATGC <mark>A</mark> AGCTGGCACCA	GGGGATTTTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>G</mark> CATT
Nitrosomonas sp. Is79A3 operon 1	ACCGAACA <mark>A</mark> GATGC <mark>A</mark> TC <mark>G</mark> TGGCACCA	GGGGCTTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>A</mark> CATT
Nitrosomonas sp. Is79A3 operon 2	ACCGAACA <mark>A</mark> GATGC <mark>A</mark> TC <mark>G</mark> TGGCACCA	GGGGCTTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>A</mark> CATT
Nitrospira multiformisoperon 1	ACCGAACAGGACGCCTCCTGGCACCA	GGGGTTTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>G</mark> CACT
Nitrospira multiformisoperon 2	ACCGAACAGGACGCCTCCTGGCACCA	GGGGTTTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>G</mark> CACT
Nitrospira multiformisoperon 3	ACCGAACAGGACGCCTCCTGGCACCA	GGGGTTTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>G</mark> CACT
Nitrosomonas europaea operon 1	ACGGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGCCTCCTGGCACCA	GGGGATTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>A</mark> CACT
Nitrosomonas europaea operon 2	ACGGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGCCTCCTGGCACCA	GGGGATTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>A</mark> CACT
Nitrosomonas eutropha operon1	ACGGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGCCTCCTGGCACCA	GGGGTTTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>A</mark> CACT
Nitrosomonas eutropha operon2	ACGGAGCA <mark>A</mark> GATGCCTCCTGGCACCA	GGGGTTTCTACTG <mark>G</mark> TGGTC <mark>A</mark> CACT

the PmoC subunit of *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath), as a reference, was found to be highly conserved amongst all the phyla tested in this experiment. The forward primer binding site encodes for a glutamine residue at 126th base within the crystal structure of *pmoC* anchored to the membrane in *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath) whereas the reverse primer binding site encodes a phenylalanine residue at 107th base within *pmoA*. Our newly designed forward primer was compared to Holmes' forward primer and the results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. As the tables illustrate, with zero mismatches, pmoC374 is able to target three out of the seven available sequences

Table 2 Comparison of targeting ability between two newly designed degenerate primers and pmoA189							
Phylum	pmoC374	pmoA344	pmoA189				

i nyiani	Mismatches			Mismatches			Mismatches					
	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
Gammaproteobacteria	10/18	18/18	18/18	18/18	16/18	18/18	18/18	18/18	7/18	18/18	18/18	18/18
Alphaproteobacteria	16/16	16/16	16/16	16/16	14/16	16/16	16/16	16/16	16/16	16/16	16/16	16/16
Verrucomicrobia	3/7	5/7	5/7	6/7	0/7	0/7	1/7	3/7	0/7	0/7	0/7	3/7
NC10	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1

Percent sequence coverage of all *pmoCAB* available sequences within each phylum were calculated by looking at how many sequences each primer could target. Targeting ability is also shown for zero, one, two and three mismatches within each primer

Table 3 The new pMMO primers designed based on aligned pmoC, A, and B compared to pmoA189

Primers Sequence		МТ	%GC
PmoC374	5'-AGCARGACGGYACNTGGC-3'	42,9	56
PmoA189	5'-GGNGACTGGGACTTCTGG-3'	40,3	56
PmoA344	5'-ANGTCCAHCCCCAGAAGT-3'	42,9	50

MT melting temperature, %GC GC content in percentage

from *Verrucomicrobia*. If a single mismatch is allowed, five out of the seven sequences from *Verrucomicrobia* are targeted by pmoC374 whereas pmoA189 (Holmes et al. 1995a, b) with one mismatch still does not target any verrucomicrobial pMMO gene. The details of the novel

primer set with regards to number of mismatches are listed in Table 2.

Initially, pmoA189 target region was thought to be a good matching reverse primer, however, a secondary conserved region at the 334th position within the *pmoA* gene was found. The pmoC374 with pmoA344 combination yielded a PCR product of the correct size in the samples tested, while the same could not always be observed when it was used in combination with pmoA189. In Table 1 and 2, it can be observed that pmoA344 has the ability to target 17 out of the 19 sequences belonging to *Gammaproteobacteria* with zero mismatches. Based on sequence information, pmoA334 does not have the ability to target NC10 phylum and it needs two or more

Table 4 Over view of the strains, enrichment culture and environmental samples tested in this study to detect *pmoCA* gene sequences

Name/sample	Description	Origin/location reference			
Methylocystis rosea	Pure culture Alphaproteobacteria	DSMZ 17621			
Methylosinus sporium	Pure culture Alphaproteobacteria	DSMZ 17706			
Methylomonas lenta	Pure culture Gammaproteobacteria	Hoefman et al. 2014			
Methyloacidimicrobium fagopyrum 3C	Pure culture Verrucomicrobia	van Teeseling et al. 2014			
Methyloacidiphilum fumariolicum SolV	Pure culture Verrucomicrobia	Pol et al. 2007			
Methylomirabilis oxyfera (DAMO)	Enrichment culture NC10 phylum	Ooijpolder, NL Ettwig et al. 2008			
Sludge from waste water treatment plant (WW)	Environmental sample	Lieshout, NL Luesken et al. (2011a, b)			
Bulk soil form paddy field (BS)	Environmental sample	Vercelli, Italy Vaksmaa et al. (2016)			
Rhizosphere of rice plants (ROOT)	Environmental sample	Vercelli, Italy Vaksmaa et al. (2016)			
Enrichment culture with paddy field soil (RV)	Enrichment culture	Vaksmaa et al. (2016)			
Volcanic mud (VM)	Environmental sample	Campi Flegrei caldera, Italy Pol et al. (2014)			

mismatches to target species belonging to *Verrucomicrobia*. However, this primer improved the ability to target both *Verrucomicrobia* and the NC10 phyla in our study when pure isolates were used as positive control in the PCR reaction. The resulting sequences from the various enrichment cultures and environmental samples are depicted in Fig. 1.

The *pmoCA* sequences obtained from the paddy field sample were closely related to well-known genera including *Methylosinus*, *Methylocystis*, *Methylococcus*,

Methylocaldum, Methylohalobius, Methylomicrobium, Methylobacter and Methylomonas. Furthermore, the pmoCA of pure cultures of Methylocystis rosea and Methylosinus sporium belonging to Alphaproteobacteria and Methylomonas lenta (Hoefman et al. 2014) belonging to Gammaproteobacteria could all be amplified with the new primer set. From previous studies, two isozymes of pMMO with various methane oxidation kinetics were found to be present in Methylocystis sp. strain SC2 (Baani and Liesack 2008), the new primers also amplified the second pmoCA



Fig. 1 Representing available pMMO sequences including the sequence obtained in this study. The tree was constructed using consensus sequence, based on the fraction and frequency of residues at an alignment position chosen within *pmoC* using both ARB's PHYML (amino Acids) tool within the maximum likelihood method and Phylip PROTPARS within the maximum parsimony method. Since the two trees were highly similar, only maximum likelihood is shown here. Due to size limitation, the tree is partially collapsed for an easier illustration and pXMO is used as the outgroup instead of AOB sequences that are omitted from this figure. The tree was built with 100 bootstraps and the ranges of values are shown with the respective *colored circles* at each node. Clone sequences with their respective accession numbers are *highlighted in blue* and the *numbers in the brackets* correspond to the number of sequences within a group. *Gammaproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria,* NC10 and *Verrucomicrobia* are clearly distinguished in the figure. Origin of clones: *BS* bulk soil, *ROOT* rhizosphere, *VM* volcanic mud, *WW* waste water sludge, *RV* bioreactor enrichment from vercelli, *RS Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum* SolV, *DAMO Methylomirabilis oxyfera* enrichment culture

in DNA extracted from the paddy soil. *Methylocaldum*and *Methylococcus*-like species were also found in Waste Water samples. Furthermore, both alpha- and gammaproteobacterial *pmoCA* were found in the volcanic mud sample. Lastly, the *pmoCA* of the verrucomicrobial methanotroph *Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum* SolV could be amplified as well from a pure culture (Fig. 1).

In our experiment, only the Verrucomicrobia pMMO sequence most closely related to the ones in Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria could be detected. The new primer set was also used on a pure mesophilic Verrucomicrobia strain Methyloacidimicrobium fagopyrum 3C resulting in gene product of the correct size and gene sequence. The primers do not amplify sequences related to the pmoC3 group. In both anoxic enrichment cultures (DAMO and RV) tested, the pmoCA of NC10 phylum bacterium Methylomirabilis oxyfera could be amplified (Fig. 1). In the case of *Methylomonas lenta* that does contain the genes for pXMO, only *pmoCA* gene sequences were detected, while the pXMO was not amplified. Lastly, no AMO (ammonia monooxygenase), PXM (alternative methane monooxygenase) or the recently discovered comammox amo were targeted nor amplified with this primer set in any of the environmental samples or the negative controls used in this study.

Discussion

In the era of 'omics', molecular approaches using either specific or degenerate primers are still of high importance, especially in ecological studies where many samples need to be investigated or screened. They allow for a quick and robust detection of uncultivated microbes and aid in hypothesizing the community structure and the key processes that occur in certain environments, at the molecular level. As our knowledge and understanding of these environments expands, the tools that are used to investigate also need to be updated. More specifically, identification of the diverse organisms responsible for the oxidation of methane within various environments will help to better understand the key players involved in the methane cycle and evaluate their potential effectiveness as a biological methane filter. The currently available *pmoA* based primers are over 10 years old and since known MOB diversity has since been extended, a novel primer set with broader amplification ability would be highly beneficial in molecular studies. It is also important to distinguish between copper monooxygenases belonging to the AMO superfamily to ensure the detection of MOB and not AOB or the more recently discovered comammox (van Kessel et al. 2015; Pjevac et al. 2016; Pinto et al. 2015).

The use of all available *pmoCAB* operon sequences from MaGe allowed for the design of new primers (Table 1). Interestingly *pmoB*, which in previous

studies has been suggested as the active site of the methane monooxygenase enzyme (Culpepper and Rosenzweig 2012; Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005) contained no conserved sequence stretch as a potential primer target site. The only conserved regions that could be observed resided within pmoC and pmoA, both of which encode for primarily membrane bound subunits (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005). Overall, PmoA is by far the most conserved subunit of this enzyme. Since for long it was thought to be the catalytic subunit as well, primers were designed based on this gene and have since become the academic standard in this line of research and used to date in many studies (Lüke and Frenzel 2011; Rastogi et al. 2009; Kip et al. 2011). However, due to the two mismatches that occur at the 10th position within pmoA target region, previously unknown phyla (i.e. Verrucomicrobia or NC10) remain undetected and demand the design of phylum specific primers (Luesken et al. 2011b). This variation in sequence identity is also one of the reasons why this study focused on the whole pmoCAB operon instead of the PmoA subunit alone (Table 2).

Previous studies have looked into analysis of MOB community in rice fields by targeting 16S rRNA, pMMO and methanol dehydrogenase (Henckel et al. 1999) and observed a large variety of MOB. The new primer set used in this study was also able to detect a wide array of *pmoCA* sequences from both the bulk soil as well as the rhizosphere of an Italian rice paddy field, a waste water treatment sample, and volcanic mud samples. Further in anoxic *Methylomirabilis oxyfera* enrichment cultures started with paddy field or Ooijpolder sediment, many different *pmoCA* sequences could be retrieved (Fig. 1).

Furthermore, the *pmoCA* of the verrucomicrobial methanotroph *Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum* SolV could be amplified. This strain contains three complete *pmoCAB* operon structures that resemble the one observed in proteobacterial methanotrophs, plus a fourth *pmoC* copy. As expected, the primers do not amplify sequences related to the *pmoC3* group as it is further downstream in the genome and the primers do not bind there.

Most sequences from the Waste Water Treatment Plant biomass used in this study were closely related to *Methylococcus* genus as was previously observed (Luesken et al. 2011a). Lastly, no AMO (ammonia monooxygenase), PXM (alternative methane monooxygenase) or the recently discovered commamox amo were targeted nor amplified with this primer set in any of the environmental samples which is an indication of the specificity. However, with some modification of the primer sequence, the same or similar sites can be used to only target AOB instead of MOB (Pjevac et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017).

This study illustrates that when primer pmoC374 was used in combination with pmoA344, PCR amplification

yielded the correct gene product from various environmental samples and MOB strains. Such observation could not be made when pmoA189 was used as the reverse primer. At times, there were multiple bands that occurred at the expected size within the gel. When each band was excised from the gel, all corresponded to the correct product. Since the *pmoCA* sequence covers the intergenic region, the slightly different nucleotide length observed in the PCR product is possibly due to the variation that exists in this region. This was more apparent when environmental samples were used as opposed to pure isolates, which further supports our hypothesis.

The obtained results expand our knowledge with regard to primer target ability based solely on in silico coverage as supposed to experimental results, since the new targeting sites would not be desirable due to occurring mismatches. Furthermore, the new pMMO primer set was able to amplify the correct product and sequence from all currently known methanotrophic phyla. If used in conjunction with Holmes' forward primer, the resulting product could be used in future next generation sequencing studies for a more extensive look at the bacterial community structure. The concurrent use of this primer set along with ones based solely on *pmoA* would allow for a much lesser bias when it comes to studies that look at the general diversity of the methanotrophic community within various environments. It also permits for the simultaneous detection of Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia and NC10 phyla with broader sequence variation.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Sequences belonging to each environmental samples and their respective accession numbers from Genbank.

Abbreviations

MOB: methane oxidizing bacteria; MMO: methane monooxygenase; MCR: methyl coenzyme-M reductase; sMMO: soluble methane monooxygenase; pMMO: particulate methane monooxygenase; CuMMO: copper containing methane monooxygenase; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; rRNA: ribosomal ribonucleic acid.

Authors' contributions

CL conceived of the study. MG performed all experiments, computational analysis, and wrote the paper with input from CL and MSMJ. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues Simon Guerrero, Sepehr Mohammady, Annika Vaksmaa, Huub Op den Camp, Sebastian Luecker and Harry Harhangi for generous sharing of their samples and discussions.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

Sequences are deposited in Genbank with Accession Numbers KY883458– KY883555 (Additional file 1: Table S1). The data will be publically available as of 1 April 2018.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Fundina

This study was funded by ERC Advanced Grant EcoMOM 339880.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 26 June 2017 Accepted: 17 August 2017 Published online: 23 August 2017

References

- Aronson EL, Allison SD, Helliker BR (2013) Environmental impacts on the diversity of methane-cycling microbes and their resultant function. Front Microbiol 4:225. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00225
- Baani M, Liesack W (2008) Two isozymes of particulate methane monooxygenase with different methane oxidation kinetics are found in Methylocystis sp. strain SC2. Proc Natl Acad Sci 105(29):10203–10208
- Balasubramanian R, Rosenzweig AC (2007) Structural and mechanistic insights into methane oxidation by particulate methane monooxygenase. Acc Chem Res 40(7):573–580
- Beal EJ, House CH, Orphan VJ (2009) Managense- and iron- dependent marine methane oxidation. Science 325(5937):184–187. doi:10.1126/ science.1169984
- Bédard CH, Knowles RO (1989) Physiology, biochemistry, and specific inhibitors of CH₄, NH₄⁺, and CO oxidation by methanotrophs and nitrifiers. Microbiol Rev 53(1):68–84
- Bender M, Conrad R (1992) Kinetics of CH₄ oxidation in oxic soils exposed to ambient air or high CH₄ mixing ratios. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 101:261–270
- Bergmann GT, Bates ST, Eilers KG, Lauber CL, Caporaso JG, Walters WA, Fierer N (2011) The under-recognized dominance of *Verrucomicrobia* in soil bacterial communities. Soil Biol Biochem 43(7):1450–1455. doi:10.1016/j. soilbio.2011.03.012
- Bourne DG, McDonald IR, Murrell JC (2001) Comparison of pmoA PCR primer sets as tools for investigating methanotroph diversity in three danish soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 67(9):3802–3809. doi:10.1128/ AEM.67.9.3802-3809.2001
- Cappelletti M, Ghezzi D, Zannoni D, Capaccioni B, Fedi S (2016) Diversity of methane oxidizing bacteria in soils from "Hot Lands of Medolla" (Italy) featured by anomalous high-temperatures and biogenic CO₂ emissions. Microbes Environ 4:369–377. doi:10.1264/jsme2.ME16087
- Chen YH, Prinn RG (2005) Atmospheric modeling of high- and low-frequency methane observations: importance of interannually varying transport. J Geophys Res 110:D10303. doi:10.1029/2004JD005542
- Chi Z, Lu W, Wang H, Zhao Y (2012) Diversity of methanotrophs in a simulated modified biocover reactor. J Environ Sci (China) 6:1076–1082
- Cicerone RJ, Oremland RS (1988) Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane. Global Biogeochem Cycl 2:299–327
- Coleman NV, Le NB, Ly MA, Ogawa HE, McCarl V, Wilson NL, Holmes AJ (2012) Hydrocarbon monooxygenase in *Mycobacterium*: recombinant expression of a member of the ammonia monooxygenase superfamily. ISME J 6:171–182
- Conrad R (1996) Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H₂, CO, CH₄, OCS, N₂O, and NO. Microbiol Rev 60(4):609–640
- Conrad ME, Templeton AS, Daley PF, Alvarez-Cohen L (1999) Seasonallyinduced fluctuations in microbial production and consumption of methane during bioremediation of aged subsurface refinery contamination. Environ Sci Technol 33:4061–4068
- Costello AM, Auman AJ, Macalady JL, Scow KM, Lidstrom ME (2002) Estimation of methanotroph abundance in a freshwater lake sediment. Environ Micro 8:443–450. doi:10.1046/j.1462-2920.2002.00318.x

Crevecoeur S, Vincent WF, Comte J, Lovejoy C (2015) Bacterial community structure across environmental gradients in permafrost thaw ponds: methanotroph-rich ecosystems. Front Microbiol 6:192. doi:10.3389/ fmicb.2015.00192

Crombie AT, Murrell CJ (2014) Trace-gas metabolic versatility of the facultative methanotroph *Methylocella Silvestris*. Nature 510:148–151. doi:10.1038/ nature13192

Culpepper MA, Rosenzweig AC (2012) Architecture and active site of particulate methane monooxygenase. Rev Biochem Mol Biol, Crit. doi:10.3109/1 0409238.2012.697865

Dedysh SN, Liesack W, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Semrau JD, Bares AM, Panikov NS, Tiedje JM (2000) *Methylocella palustris* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new methane-oxidizing acidophilic bacterium from peat bogs, representing a novel subtype of serine-pathway methanotrophs. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50:955–969

Dedysh SN, Naumoff DG, Vorobev AV, Kyrpides N, Woyke T, Shapiro N, Crombie AT, Murrell C, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Smirnova AV, Dunfield PF (2015) Draft genome sequence of *Methyloferula stellata* AR4, an obligate methanotroph possessing only a soluble methane monooxygenase. Genom Announc. doi:10.1128/genomeA.01555-14

Deng Y, Cui X, Lüke C, Dumont MG (2013) Aerobic methanotroph diversity in riganqiao peatlands on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Environ Microbiol Rep 4:566–574. doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12046

Dubey SK (2001) Methane emission and rice agriculture. Curr Sci 81(4):345–346

Dumont MG, Murrell JC (2005) Community-level analysis: key genes of aerobic methane oxidation. Methods Enzymol 397:413–427

Dunfield PF, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Dedysh SN (2003) Methylocella silvestris sp. nov., a novel methanotroph isolated from an acidic forest cambisol. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53:1231–1239

Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 5:1792–1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340

Egger M, Rasigraf O, Sapart CJ, Jilbert T, Jetten MSM, Rockmann T, van der Veen C, Banda N, Kartal B, Ettwig KF, Slomp C (2014) Iron-mediated anaerobic oxidation of methane in brackish coastal sediments. Environ Sci Technol 49:277–283. doi:10.1021/es503663z

Erikstad HA, Birkeland NK (2015) Draft Genome Sequence of "Candidatus Methylacidiphilum kamchatkense" strain Kam1, a thermoacidophilic methanotrophic verrucomicrobium. Genome Announc 3(2):pii: e00065– 15. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00065-15

Esson KC, Lin X, Kumaresan D, Chanton JP, Murrell JC, Kostka JE (2016) Alphaand gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs codominate the active methane-oxidizing communities in an acidic boreal peat bog. Appl Environ Microbiol 82(8):2363–2371. doi:10.1128/AEM.03640-15

Ettwig KF, Shima S, de Pas-Schoonen V, Katinka T, Kahnt J, Medema MH, Op Den Camp HJ, Jetten MS, Strous M (2008) Denitrifying bacteria anaerobically oxidize methane in the absence of Archaea. Environ Microbiol 10(11):3164–3173

Frenzel P (2000) Plant-associated methane oxidation in rice fields and wetlands. Adv Microb Ecol 16:85–114

Hakemian AS, Rosenzweig AC (2007) The biochemistry of methane oxidation. Annu Rev Biochem 76:223–241

Han P, Gu JD (2013) A newly designed degenerate PCR primer based on pmoA gene for detection of nitrite-dependent anaerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria from different ecological niches. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97(23):10155–10162

Hanson RS, Hanson TE (1996) Methanotrophic bacteria. Microbiol Rev 60(2):439–471

Haroon MF, Hu S, Shi Y, Imelfort M, Keller J, Hugenholtz P, Yuan Z, Tyson GW (2013) Anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to nitrate reduction in a novel archaeal lineage. Nature 500:567–570

Henckel T, Friedrich M, Conrad R (1999) Molecular analyses of the methaneoxidizing microbial community in rice field soil by targeting the genes of the 16S rRNA, particulate methane monooxygenase, and methanol dehydrogenase. Appl Environ Microbiol 65(5):1980–1990

Hoefman S, Heylen K, De Vos P (2014) *Methylomonas lenta* sp. nov., a methanotroph isolated from manure and a denitrification tank. Int J Syst Evol. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.057794-0

Holmes AJ, Owens NJP, Murrell JC (1995a) Detection of novel marine methanotrophs using phylogenetic and functional gene probes after methane enrichment. Microbiol 141:1947–1955 Holmes AJ, Costello A, Lidstrom ME, Murrell JC (1995b) Evidence that participate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase may be evolutionarily related. FEMS microbiol Lett 132(3):203–208

Holmes AJ, Roslev P, McDonald IR, Iversen N, Henriksen KA, Murrell JC (1999) Characterization of methanotrophic bacterial populations in soils showing atmospheric methane uptake. Appl Environ Microbiol 65(8):3312–3318

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2014) Climate Change 2014: the physical science basis. Summary for policymakers. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Paris: summary for policymakers formally approved at the 10th session of working group I of the IPCC

Joulian C, Escoffier S, Le Mer J, Neue HU, Roger PA (1997) Populations and potential activities of methanogens and methanotrophs in rice fields: relations with soil properties. Eur J Soil Biol 33(2):105–116

Khadem AF, Wieczorek AS, Pol A, Vuilleumier S, Harhangi HR, Dunfield PF, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Murrell C, Francoijs KJ, Stunnenberg HG, Stein LY, DiSpirito AA, Semrau JD, Lajus AL, Medigue C, Klotz MG, Jetten MSM, Op den Camp HJM (2012) Draft genome sequence of the volcano-inhabiting thermoacidophilic methanotroph *Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum* strain SolV. J Bacteriol 194(14):3729–3730. doi:10.1128/JB.00501-12

Khmelenina VN, Beck DA, Munk C, Davenport K, Daligault H, Erkkila T, Goodwin L, Gu W, Lo CC, Scholz M, Teshima H, Xu Y, Chain P, Bringel F, Vuilleumier S, Dispirito A, Dunfield P, Jetten MS, Klotz MG, Knief C, Murrell JC, Op den Camp HJ, Sakai Y, Semrau J, Svenning M, Stein LY, Trotsenko YA, Kalyuzhnaya MG (2013) Draft Genome sequence of *Methylomicrobium buryatense* strain 5G, a haloalkaline-tolerant *methanotrophic* bacterium. Genome Announc 1(4):pii: e00053–13. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00053-13

Kip N, Dutilh BE, Pan Y, Bodrossy L, Neveling K, Kwint MP, Jetten MSM, Op den Camp HJM (2011) Ultra-deep pyrosequencing of pmoA amplicons confirms the prevalence of *Methylomonas* and *Methylocystis* in *Sphagnum mosses* from a Dutch peat bog. Environ Microbiol Rep 6:667–673. doi:10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00260.x

Kits KD, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Klotz MG, Jetten MS, Op den Camp HJ, Vuilleumier S, Bringel F, Dispirito AA, Murrell JC, Bruce D, Cheng JF, Copeland A, Goodwin L, Hauser L, Lajus A, Land ML, Lapidus A, Lucas S, Médigue C, Pitluck S, Woyke T, Zeytun A, Stein LY (2013) Genome sequence of the obligate *Gammaproteobacterial* methanotroph *Methylomicrobium album* strain BG8. Genome Announc 1(2):e0017013. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00170-13

Knief C (2015) Diversity and habitat preferences of cultivated and uncultivated aerobic methanotrophic bacteria evaluated based on pmoA as molecular marker. Front Microbiol 6:1346. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01346

Knittel K, Boetius A (2009) Anaerobic oxidation of methane: progress with an unknown process. Annu Rev Microbiol 63:311–334

Kolb S, Knief C, Stubner S, Conrad R (2003) Quantitative detection of methanotrophs in soil by novel pmoA-targeted real-time PCR assays. Appl Environ Microbiol 69(5):2423–2429. doi:10.1128/AEM.69.5.2423

Krüger M, Frenzel P, Conrad R (2001) Microbial processes influencing methane emission from rice fields. Glob Change Biol 7:49–63

Lau E, Iv EJ, Dillard ZW, Dague RD, Semple AL, Wentzell WL (2015) High throughput sequencing to detect differences in methanotrophic *Methylococcaceae* and *Methylocystaceae* in surface peat, forest soil, and *Sphagnum Moss* in Cranesville Swamp preserve, west Virginia. USA. Microorganisms. 3(2):113–136. doi:10.3390/microorganisms3020113

Lidstrom ME (2006) Aerobic methylotrophic prokaryotes. Prokaryotes 2:618–634. doi:10.1007/0-387-30742-7_20

Lieberman RL, Rosenzweig AC (2005) The quest for the particulate methane monooxygenase active site. Dalton Trans 21:3390–3396. doi:10.1039/ b506651d

Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar Buchner A, Lai T, Steppi S, Jobb G, Förster W, Brettske I, Gerber S, Ginhart AW, Gross O, Grumann S, Hermann S, Jost R, König A, Liss T, Lüssmann R, May M, Nonhoff B, Reichel B, Strehlow R, Stamatakis A, Stuckmann N, Vilbig A, Lenke M, Ludwig T, Bode A, Schleifer KH (2004) ARB: a software environment for sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1363–1371

Luesken FA, van Alen TA, van der Biezen E, Frijters C, Toonen G, Kampman C, Hendrickx TL, Zeeman G, Temmink H, Strous M, Op den Camp HJ, Jetten MS (2011a) Diversity and enrichment of nitrite-dependent anaerobic methane oxidizing bacteria from wastewater sludge. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 92:845–854. doi:10.1007/s00253-011-3361-9

Luesken FA, Zhu BL, van Alen TA, Butler MK, Diaz MR, Song B, den Camp HJMO, Jetten MSM, Ettwig KF (2011b) pmoA primers for detection of anaerobic methanotrophs. Appl Environ Microbiol 77(11):3877–3880. doi:10.1128/ AEM.02960-10

- Lüke C, Frenzel P (2011) Potential of pmoA amplicon pyrosequencing for methanotroph diversity studies. Appl Environ Microbiol 77(17):6305– 6309. doi:10.1128/AEM.05355-11
- Lüke C, Krause S, Cavigiolo S, Greppi D, Lupotto E, Frenzel P (2009) Biogeography of wetland rice methanotrophs. Environ Microbiol. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02131.x
- Lüke C, Frenzel P, Ho A, Fiantis D, Schad P, Schneider B, Schwark L, Utami SR (2014) Macroecology of methane-oxidizing bacteria: the β -diversity of pmoA genotypes in tropical and subtropical rice paddies. Environ Microbiol 16(1):72–83
- Lüke C, Speth DR, Kox MA, Villanueva L, Jetten MS (2016) Metagenomic analysis of nitrogen and methane cycling in the Arabian Sea oxygen minimum zone. Peer J 7(4):e1924. doi:10.7717/peerj.1924
- Mitsumori M, Ajisaka N, Tajima K, Kajikawa H, Kurihara M (2002) Detection of *Proteobacteria* from the rumen by PCR using methanotroph-specific primers. Lett Appl Microbiol 35(3):251–255. doi:10.1046/j.1472-765X.2002.01172.x
- Murrell JC, McDonald IR, Bourne DG (1998) Molecular methods for the study of methanotroph ecology. Ecol, FEMS Microbiol. doi:10.1016/ S0168-6496(98)00063-4
- Murrell JC, Gilbert B, McDonald IR (2000) Molecular biology and regulation of methane monooxygenase. Microbiol, Arch. doi:10.1007/s002030000158
- Oshkin IY, Wegner CE, Lüke C, Glagolev MV, Filippov IV, Pimenov NV, Liesack W, Dedysh SN (2014) Gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs dominate cold methane seeps in floodplains of west Siberian rivers. Appl Environ Microbiol 80(19):5944–5954. doi:10.1128/AEM.01539-14
- Padilla CC, Bristow LA, Sarode N, Garcia-Robledo E, Gómez Ramírez E, Benson CR, Bourbonnais A, Altabet MA, Girguis PR, Thamdrup B, Stewart FJ (2016) NC10 bacteria in marine oxygen minimum zones. ISME J 10(8):2067– 2071. doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.262
- Pinto AJ, Marcus DN, Ijaz UZ, Bautista-de Lose Santos QM, Dick GJ, Raskin L (2015) metagenomic evidence for the presence of comammox *Nitrospira*-like bacteria in a drinking water. Syst mSphere. doi:10.1128/ mSphere.00054-15
- Pjevac P, Schauberger C, Poghosyan L, Herbold CW, van Kessel MAHJ, Daebeler A, Steinberger M, Jetten MSM, Lücker S, Wagner M, Daims H (2016) AmoA-targeted polymerase chain reaction primers for the specific detection and quantification of comammox *Nitrospira* in the environment. BioRxiv. doi:10.1101/096891
- Pol A, Heijmans K, Harhangi HR, Tedesco D, Jetten MSM, Op den Camp HJM (2007) Methanotrophy below pH 1 by a new *Verrucomicrobia* species. Nature 450(7171):874–878
- Pol A, Barends TR, Dietl A, Khadem AF, Eygensteyn J, Jetten MSM, Op den Camp HJM (2014) Rare earth metals are essential for methanotrophic life in volcanic mudpots. Environ Microbiol 16(1):255–264. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12249
- Putkinen A, Larmola T, Tuomivirta T, Siljanen HM, Bodrossy L, Tuittila ES, Fritze H (2014) Peatland succession induces a shift in the community composition of *Sphagnum*-associated active methanotrophs. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 88(3):596–611. doi:10.1111/1574-6941.12327
- Rastogi G, Ranade DR, Yeole TY, Gupta AK, Patole MS, Shouche YS (2009) Novel methanotroph diversity evidenced by molecular characterization of particulate methane monooxygenase A (pmoA) genes in a biogas reactor. Microbiol Res 164(5):536–544. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2007.05.004
- Reeburgh WS, Whjalen SC (2007) Oceanic methane biogeochemistry. Chem Rev 107:486–513
- Reeburgh WS, Whjalen SC, Alperin MJ (1993) The role of methylotrophy in the global methane budget in Microbial growth on C1 compounds. In: Murrell JC, Kelly DP (eds) (Intercept, Andover, England). pp 1–14
- Saidi-Mehrabad A, He Z, Tamas I, Sharp CE, Brady AL, Rochman FF, Bodrossy L, Abell GC, Penner T, Dong X, Sensen CW, Dunfield PF (2013) Methanotrophic bacteria in oilsands tailings ponds of northern Alberta. ISME J 5:908–921. doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.163
- Samad MS, Bertilsson S (2017) Seasonal variation in abundance and diversity of bacterial methanotrophs in five temperate lakes. Front Microbiol 8:142. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00142
- Semrau JD, Chistoserdov A, Lebron J, Costello A, Davagnino J, Kenna E, Holmes AJ, Finch R, Murrell JC, Lidstrom ME (1995) Particulate methane monooxygenase genes in methanotrophs. J Bacteriol 177(11):3071–3079

- Sharp CE, Smirnova AV, Graham JM, Stott MB, Khadka R, Moore TR, Grasby SE, Strack M, Dunfield PF (2014) Distribution and diversity of *Verrucomicrobia* methanotrophs in geothermal and acidic environments. Environ Microbiol 16(6):1867–1878. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12454
- Sievers F, Higgins DG (2014) Clustal Omega. Published online: 12 DEC 2014. doi: 10.1002/0471250953.bi0313s48
- Siljanen HM, Saari A, Bodrossy L, Martikainen PJ (2012) Seasonal variation in the function and diversity of methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal eutrophic lake. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 80(3):548–555. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01321.x
- Singh BP, Hatton BJ, Singh B, Cowie AL, Kathuria A (2010) Influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen leaching from two contrasting soils. J Environ Qual 39:1224–1235
- Söhngen NL (1906) Über bakterien, welche methan als kohlenstoffnahrung und energiequelle gebrauchen. Z Bakteriol Parazitenk (Infektionster) 15:513–517
- Stephenson J, Kumaresan D, Hillebrand-Voiculescu AM, Brooks E, Whiteley AS, Murrell JC (2017) Draft genome sequence of the methane-oxidizing bacterium "Candidatus Methylomonas sp. LWB" isolated from movile cave. Genome Announc 5(3):pii: e01491–16. doi:10.1128/genomeA.01491-16
- Trotsenko YA, Murrell JC (2008) Metabolic aspect of aerobic obligate methanotrophy. Adv Appl Microbiol. doi:10.1016/S0065-2164(07)00005-6
- Vaksmaa A, Lüke C, van Alen T, Valè G, Lupotto E, Jetten MSM, Ettwig KF (2016) Distribution and activity of the anaerobic methanotrophic community in a nitrogen-fertilized Italian paddy soil. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 92(12):pii: fiw181
- Vallenet D, Labarre L, Rouy Z, Barbe V, Bocs S, Cruveiller S, Lajus A, Pascal G, Scarpelli C, Médigue C (2006) MaGe: a microbial genome annotation system supported by synteny results. Nucleic Acids Res 34(1):53–65. doi:10.1093/nar/gkj406
- van Kessel MA, Speth DR, Albertsen M, Nielsen PH, den Camp HJ, Kartal B, Jetten MS, Lücker S (2015) Complete nitrification by a single microorganism. Nature 528(7583):555. doi:10.1038/nature16459
- van Teeseling MC, Pol A, Harhangi HR, van der Zwart S, Jetten MS, Op den Camp HJ, van Niftrik L (2014) Expanding the verrucomicrobial methanotrophic world: description of three novel species of Methylacidimicrobium gen. nov. Appl Environ Microbiol 80(21):6782–6791. doi:10.1128/ AEM.01838-14
- Vekeman B, Kerckhof FM, Cremers G, de Vos P, Vandamme P, Boon N, Op den Camp HJ, Heylen K (2016a) new methyloceanibacter diversity from North Sea sediments includes methanotroph containing solely the soluble methane monooxygenase. Environ Microbiol 18(12):4523–4536. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13485
- Vekeman B, Speth D, Wille J, Cremers G, De Vos P, Op den Camp HJ, Heylen K (2016b) Genome characteristics of two novel type I methanotrophs enriched from North Sea sediments containing exclusively a lanthanidedependent XoxF5-type methanol dehydrogenase. Microb Ecol 72(3):503– 509. doi:10.1007/s00248-016-0808-7
- Vorobev AV, Baani M, Doronina NV, Brady AL, Liesack W, Dunfield PF, Dedysh SN (2011) *Methyloferula stellata* gen. nov., sp. nov., an acidophilic, obligately methanotrophic bacterium possessing only a soluble methane monooxygenase. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61(10):2456–2463. doi:10.1099/ ijs.0.028118-0
- Wang JG, Xia F, Zeleke J, Zou B, Rhee SK, Quan ZX (2017) An improved protocol with a highly degenerate primer targeting copper-containing membrane-bound monooxygenase genes for community analysis of methane- and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 93(3):pii: fiw244. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiw244
- Welte CU, Rasigraf O, Vaksmaa A, Versantvoort W, Arshad A, Op den Camp HJ, Jetten MS, Lücke C, Reimann J (2016) Nitrate- and nitrite-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane. Environ Microbiol Rep 8(6):941–955. doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12487
- Whittenbury R, Phillips KC, Wilkinson JG (1970) Enrichment, isolation and some properties of methane utilizing bacteria. J Gen Microbiol 61:205–218
- Zheng Y, Zhang L-M, Zheng Y-M, Di H, He J-Z (2008) Abundance and community composition of methanotrophs in a Chinese paddy soil under longterm fertilization practices. J Soils Sediments 8:406–414. doi:10.1007/ s11368-008-0047-8