

1 **Natural and human-induced terrestrial water storage change: A global analysis using**
2 **hydrological models and GRACE**

3

4 Farshid Felfelani^{1,*}, Yoshihide Wada^{2,3}, Laurent Longuevergne⁴, Yadu N. Pokhrel¹

5 ¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing,
6 MI, USA

7 ² International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Schlossplatz 1 - A-2361, Laxenburg,
8 Austria

9 ³ Department of Physical Geography, Utrecht University, Domplein 29, 3512 JE, Utrecht,
10 The Netherlands

11 ⁴ Géosciences Rennes, Université de Rennes, Rennes Cedex, France

12

13 *Corresponding author: Farshid Felfelani

14 Email: felfelan@msu.edu

15

16 Keywords: Terrestrial water storage; Hydrological models; GRACE; Human impacts

17

18 **Abstract**

19 Hydrological models and the data derived from the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment
20 (GRACE) satellite mission have been widely used to study the variations in terrestrial water
21 storage (TWS) over large regions. However, both GRACE products and model results suffer
22 from inherent uncertainties, calling for the need to make a combined use of GRACE and models
23 to examine the variations in total TWS and their individual components, especially in relation to
24 natural and human-induced changes in the terrestrial water cycle. In this study, we use the results
25 from two state-of-the-art hydrological models and different GRACE spherical harmonic products
26 to examine the variations in TWS and its individual components, and to attribute the changes to
27 natural and human-induced factors over global river basins. Analysis of the spatial patterns of the
28 long-term trend in TWS from the two models and GRACE suggests that both models capture the
29 GRACE-measured direction of change, but differ from GRACE as well as each other in terms of
30 the magnitude over different regions. A detailed analysis of the seasonal cycle of TWS variations
31 over 30 river basins shows notable differences not only between models and GRACE but also
32 among different GRACE products and between the two models. Further, it is found that while
33 one model performs well in highly-managed river basins, it fails to reproduce the GRACE-
34 observed signal in snow-dominated regions, and vice versa. The isolation of natural and human-
35 induced changes in TWS in some of the managed basins reveals a consistently declining TWS
36 trend during 2002-2010, however; significant differences are again obvious both between
37 GRACE and models and among different GRACE products and models. Results from the
38 decomposition of the TWS signal into the general trend and seasonality indicate that both models
39 do not adequately capture both the trend and seasonality in the managed or snow-dominated
40 basins implying that the TWS variations from a single model cannot be reliably used for all
41 global regions. It is also found that the uncertainties arising from climate forcing datasets can
42 introduce significant additional uncertainties, making direct comparison of model results and
43 GRACE products even more difficult. Our results highlight the need to further improve the
44 representation of human land-water management and snow processes in large-scale models to
45 enable a reliable use of models and GRACE to study the changes in freshwater systems in all
46 global regions.

47

48 **1. Introduction**

49 The question of how freshwater systems are changing under the dual influence of climate
50 variability and increasing human water exploitation has been a topic of great concern and debate
51 in the face of growing water scarcity around the world (Alley et al., 2002; Famiglietti, 2014; Fan,
52 2015; Gleeson et al., 2012). Ground-based monitoring of surface water and groundwater (GW)
53 systems suggests profound changes in surface water flows and GW storages globally due to
54 accelerating human alteration of land and water systems (Giordano, 2009; Scanlon et al., 2012a)
55 which can be both direct, e.g., flow regulation and groundwater pumping and indirect, e.g.,
56 changes in climate forcing, CO₂ concentrations and impacts on photosynthetic activities
57 (Trancoso et al., 2017). However, the lack of in-situ observations worldwide limits our
58 understanding of the dynamic relationship between natural climate variability and direct and
59 indirect human impacts (HI) on freshwater systems (Alley et al., 2002; Döll et al., 2016; Taylor
60 et al., 2013). Large-scale hydrological models play an irreplaceable role in filling this data gap
61 and provide an improved understanding of the changes in the water cycle, which is crucial for
62 the accurate assessment and realistic prediction of water availability and use. In recent years,
63 satellite-based observations of water flows and storages have substantially advanced our ability
64 to better monitor the changing water systems at the global scale. In particular, the combined use
65 of the satellite data and hydrological models has revolutionized the way we study global
66 freshwater systems (Dijk and Renzullo, 2011; Famiglietti et al., 2015).

67 Large-scale hydrological models have been widely used to study global freshwater systems and
68 human water use (Nazemi and Wheater, 2015; Pokhrel et al., 2016). These models can be
69 classified into two general types: (i) land surface models (LSMs) and (ii) global hydrological
70 models (GHMs) (Haddeland et al., 2011). LSMs, such as the MATSIRO (Takata et al., 2003)
71 and CLM (Lawrence et al., 2011), are designed to simulate the land hydrology within the general
72 circulation models (GCMs) and Earth system models (ESMs), but GHMs, such as the WaterGAP
73 (Alcamo et al., 2003; Döll et al., 2003) and PCR-GLOBWB (van Beek et al., 2011; Wada et al.,
74 2010), have been traditionally developed as stand-alone models for offline water resource
75 assessment. While LSMs simulate various hydrological processes on a physical basis and solve
76 both surface water and energy balances at the land surface, GHMs simulate these processes using
77 relatively simple and conceptual approaches even though they are more comprehensive in
78 simulating human land-water management practices (Pokhrel et al., 2016). As such, LSMs and

79 GHMs have certain limitations in simulating the natural or human-induced changes in various
80 branches of the water cycle. In particular, despite noteworthy progress that has been made in
81 model improvements over the years (Overgaard et al., 2006; Pitman, 2003; Sellers et al., 1997),
82 water table dynamics and GW pumping still remain largely ignored or poorly simulated (Nazemi
83 and Wheeler, 2015; Pokhrel et al., 2016), making the models incapable of accurately capturing
84 subsurface water flows and storages in general, and the human-induced GW storage depletion in
85 particular. While the hydrological fluxes such as river discharge can be simulated with relatively
86 high accuracy either by calibrating the model with observations (Döll et al., 2003) and/or by
87 employing lumped routing schemes to explicitly simulate shallow GW flows (Kim et al., 2009),
88 these approaches do not guarantee the correct simulation of soil moisture and GW storage.
89 Moreover, the uncertainties arising from these deficiencies in model parameterizations can be
90 further amplified by the uncertainties in meteorological forcing datasets used to drive these
91 models (Decharme and Douville, 2006).

92 Advances in satellite observations have enabled us to address some of the challenges in using
93 hydrological models for large-scale hydrological studies (Pail et al., 2015). For example, the
94 assimilation of terrestrial water storage (TWS) derived from the Gravity Recovery and Climate
95 Experiment (GRACE) satellite mission into LSMs has been used to improve global simulation of
96 TWS and its components by model calibration and assimilation techniques (Chen et al., 2017;
97 Eicker et al., 2014; Giroto et al., 2016; Houborg et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012; Li and Rodell,
98 2015; Zaitchik et al., 2008) and to quantify the changes in certain variables that are not explicitly
99 simulated by the models (e.g., GW storage) (Castellazzi et al., 2016; Famiglietti et al., 2011;
100 Feng et al., 2013; Jin and Feng, 2013; Long et al., 2016; Nanteza et al., 2016; Rodell et al., 2009;
101 Scanlon et al., 2012b). GRACE data has also been extensively used to benchmark the accuracy
102 of hydrological model simulations (Alkama et al., 2010; Decharme et al., 2010; Döll et al., 2014;
103 Eicker et al., 2016; Freedman et al., 2014; Grippa et al., 2011; Landerer et al., 2010, 2013;
104 Rosenberg et al., 2013; Swenson and Lawrence, 2015; Xie et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2011);
105 conversely, LSMs have also proved useful to evaluate the performance of different GRACE
106 products and processing methods (Klees et al., 2008; Werth et al., 2009) and used as a priori
107 information to restore signal attenuation and leakage errors arising from the low spatial
108 resolution of GRACE (Landerer and Swenson, 2012; Long et al., 2015a, 2015b).

109 The GRACE and hydrological models complement each other to better constrain the different
110 components on the water cycle; however, GRACE products are affected by various limitations
111 and uncertainties. First, it provides a large-scale estimate of vertically integrated water storage
112 variations, limiting safe interpretation to relatively large regions (>200,000 km²) (Longuevergne
113 et al., 2010). Second, GRACE products are affected by latitude-dependent uncertainties with
114 higher uncertainties in mid and low latitudes compared to the poles (Wahr et al., 2006).
115 Moreover, varying uncertainties can be found even among different GRACE solutions i.e.,
116 spherical harmonic (SH) products and mascons (Long et al., 2017; Scanlon et al., 2016; Watkins
117 et al., 2015) which vary across different global regions.

118 GRACE measures the vertically integrated TWS variations caused by both natural and
119 anthropogenic drivers. Therefore, hydrological models or other supplementary data are required
120 to disintegrate the total TWS into separate components and to partition it into the natural and
121 human-induced changes. For example, Human-induced TWS variations are estimated by
122 computing the difference between GRACE that includes the human factors and hydrological
123 models that simulate only the natural part of the water cycle (Huang et al., 2015; Pan et al.,
124 2016). Some other studies have used GRACE-based TWS variations and observed or simulated
125 surface water storage variations to derive GW storage change in depleted aquifer systems where
126 in some cases, the GRACE-detected TWS signature is mostly due to human-induced GW storage
127 change (Famiglietti et al., 2011; Rodell et al., 2009; Scanlon et al., 2012b) and in some cases it is
128 due to specific climatic events such as climate variability or droughts (Russo and Lall, 2017;
129 Scanlon et al., 2015). Although these approaches are useful for extracting human-induced TWS
130 variations from models that do not account for human activities, they can involve significant
131 uncertainties arising from the errors and uncertainties in two independent products (GRACE and
132 models). The recent advancements in representing human activities in models (e.g., Pokhrel et
133 al., 2016) provide the opportunity to directly isolate the human-induced TWS variations from
134 models (e.g., Pokhrel et al., 2017) and compare the results with GRACE-based approaches.

135 Given the above background, we use multiple GRACE SH products and results from two
136 hydrological models (one LSM and one GHM) to examine the spatio-temporal patterns of TWS
137 variations and the uncertainties arising from the use of different GRACE products and
138 hydrological models. To limit the propagation of some GRACE errors, we use the strategy to

139 filter model output as GRACE before performing a comparison. Both models explicitly simulate
140 the human-induced changes in TWS, including the changes in GW storage due to pumping,
141 making the results directly comparable with GRACE. A detailed analysis is presented for the
142 selected river basins located in different geographic regions and having different extent of human
143 alterations in terms of flow regulation and GW use. Results from the simulation with natural
144 settings (without considering human factors) are then used in conjunction with GRACE data to
145 isolate the human-induced TWS variations from the total TWS change measured by GRACE.
146 Our specific objectives are to: (1) examine the global spatial patterns in TWS variations over
147 different river basins, especially by quantifying the contribution of different components to the
148 total TWS variations; (2) carry out a temporal comparison among multiple GRACE SH products
149 and two models and attribute the TWS variations to climate and human-induced factors in the
150 basins where human land-water management has largely altered the terrestrial water balance; and
151 (3) quantify the uncertainties in simulated TWS caused by the use of different sets of
152 meteorological forcing data. These objectives provide the structural sub-headings used in the
153 Methods, Results, and Discussion sections.

154 **2. Models and Data**

155 **2.1 Models**

156 We use two state-of-the-art hydrological models, namely the HiGW-MAT, a LSM (Pokhrel et
157 al., 2015) and the PCR-GLOBWB, a GHM (Wada et al., 2014) to simulate the global terrestrial
158 water fluxes and storages (excluding Antarctica and Greenland). Both models simulate the
159 natural and human-induced changes in flows and storage of water, explicitly taking into account
160 GW abstractions and the resulting changes in subsurface storage, which is crucial to realistically
161 simulate the variations of TWS in regions with intensive GW mining. However, the two models
162 use different GW representations; while PCR-GLOBWB simulates the GW storage as a linear
163 reservoir model without explicitly representing water table dynamics, HiGW-MAT uses a more
164 sophisticated GW scheme that explicitly simulates the water table dynamics. A detailed
165 description of both models can be found in our earlier works (Pokhrel et al., 2015; Wada et al.,
166 2014) but for completeness, we provide a brief summary of the models below.

167 The HiGW-MAT model is based on the Minimal Advanced Treatment of Surface Interactions
168 and Runoff (MATSIRO) (Takata et al., 2003) LSM. In MATSIRO, effects of vegetation on the

169 surface energy balance are calculated on the basis of the multi-layer canopy model of Watanabe
170 (1994) and the photosynthesis-stomatal conductance model of Collatz et al. (1991). The vertical
171 movement of soil moisture is estimated by numerically solving the Richards equation (Richards,
172 1931) for the soil layers in the unsaturated zone. Surface and subsurface runoff parameterizations
173 are based on the simplified TOPMODEL (Beven and Kirkby, 1979; Stieglitz et al., 1997). In our
174 recent studies, we enhanced MATSIRO by first representing HI schemes such as reservoir
175 operation and irrigation (Pokhrel et al., 2012a, 2012b) and then GW pumping (Pokhrel et al.,
176 2015), resulting in the latest development called the HiGW-MAT.

177 In HiGW-MAT, irrigation is simulated by using a soil moisture deficit based scheme described
178 in Pokhrel et al. (2012a). Gridded irrigated areas are based on the Pokhrel et al. (2012a). The
179 pumping scheme described in Pokhrel et al. (2015) explicitly simulates the amount of water
180 withdrawn from aquifer and the associated changes in GW storage. The water table dynamics is
181 simulated by using the scheme of Koirala et al. (2014). All soil and vegetation parameters and
182 land cover data are prescribed based on the Global Soil Wetness Project 2 (GSWP2) (Dirmeyer
183 et al., 2006). Subgrid variability of vegetation is represented by partitioning each grid cell into
184 two tiles: natural vegetation and irrigated cropland. The crop growth module, based on the crop
185 vegetation formulations and parameters of the Soil and Water Integrated Model (SWIM)
186 (Krysanova et al., 1998), estimates the growing period necessary to obtain mature and optimal
187 total plant biomass for 18 different crop types. The leaf area index (LAI) is resolved according to
188 Hirabayashi et al. (2005). Surface runoff is routed through the river network using the Total
189 Runoff Integrating Pathways (TRIP) (Oki and Sud, 1998). The reservoir operation is based on
190 Hanasaki et al. (2006). Data for large and medium-sized reservoirs are same as in Pokhrel et al.
191 (2012a), which account for the majority of dams having a height of 15m or more.

192 The original MATSIRO and the HI schemes in HiGW-MAT have been extensively validated
193 using observed river discharge, TWS, irrigation water withdrawals, GW pumping, and water
194 table depth (Koirala et al., 2014; Pokhrel et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2015; Zhao et al., 2017). The
195 results of evapotranspiration (ET) have not been validated due to the lack of reliable global ET
196 products, but as in any typical global model, the underlying assumption is that since the models
197 are forced by observed meteorological data and they perform reasonably well in reproducing
198 river flow, ET simulations are also reasonable.

199 PCR-GLOBWB is an offline GHM that simulates the interaction of surface water and subsurface
200 water through the atmosphere, land surface, two vertically stacked soil layers and an explicit
201 underlying GW reservoir that is represented as a linear reservoir model (Kraijenhoff Van De
202 Leur, 1958). PCR-GLOBWB explicitly simulates the water demands for agriculture, industry
203 and households, and associated use from different water sources. The irrigation water
204 requirement including the losses is calculated for paddy and nonpaddy crops based on the
205 MIRCA2000 dataset (Portmann et al., 2010). The irrigation scheme is dynamically linked to the
206 surface and subsurface hydrology schemes to provide a more realistic soil moisture content and
207 ET over irrigated croplands (Wada et al., 2014). Other water demands including livestock,
208 industry and domestic are calculated based on various available socio-economic data and country
209 statistics including livestock densities, GDP, electricity production, energy consumption, and
210 population (Wada et al., 2014).

211 The vegetation and land cover are parameterized according to the Global Land Cover
212 Characteristics Data Base version 2.0 (GLCC 2.0; https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/glcc/globdoc2_0#avhrr)
213 and the Land Surface Parameter dataset (LSP2) (Hagemann, 2002). Soil properties are obtained
214 from the vector-based FAO Digital Soil Map of the World (DSMW) (FAO, 2003) and the
215 ISRIC-WISE global dataset of derived soil properties (Batjes, 2005). Using Simulated
216 Topological Network (STN30) (Vörösmarty et al., 2000), surface and subsurface runoff are
217 routed along the river network. The Global Reservoir and Dam database (GRanD) (Lehner et al.,
218 2011) is used to locate the reservoirs on the river network based on the construction year.
219 Reservoir regulation and release is simulated based on Hanasaki et al. (2006) and van Beek et al.
220 (2011) to satisfy downstream water demands (Wada et al., 2010, 2014). The PCR-GLOBWB
221 model is also validated with the observations of river discharge and runoff, TWS, irrigation
222 water requirement, and GW withdrawal (van Beek et al., 2011; Wada et al., 2014).

223 **2.2 Climate Forcing**

224 We use forcing data from multiple sources. HiGW-MAT is driven by three forcing datasets: (1)
225 the WFDEI (WATCH Forcing Data methodology applied to ERA-Interim reanalysis data)
226 (Weedon et al., 2014), (2) the forcing data from Princeton University (Sheffield et al., 2006),
227 and (3) the JRA-25 atmospheric reanalysis data provided by Japanese Meteorological Agency
228 (JMA) Climate Data Assimilation System (JCDAS) (Kim et al., 2009; Onogi et al., 2007). The

229 results from the third forcing data, which are validated in our previous studies, are used for the
230 analysis of TWS, and the other two datasets are used to examine the uncertainty arising from the
231 climate forcing data (see Section 3.3). PCR-GLOBWB is forced only by WFDEI data and is not
232 considered for uncertainty analysis.

233 2.3 GRACE Data

234 The GRACE data along with model results are used to analyze the TWS variations. We use
235 different level-3 SH-based GRACE products of equivalent water height (EWH) from three
236 processing centers, namely: (i) the Center for Space Research (CSR) at University of Texas at
237 Austin, (ii) Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) at California Institute of Technology, and (iii) the
238 German Research Center for Geoscience (GFZ) (available for download from JPL website;
239 <http://grace.jpl.nasa.gov/data/get-data/>) for model evaluation and to characterize the uncertainty
240 within the three GRACE products. In general, while the three official products (CSR, JPL, and
241 GFZ) underestimate GRACE uncertainties (Sakumura et al., 2014), they provide a fair estimate
242 to evaluate hydrological models. The GRACE satellite level 2 data processing delivers the
243 dimensionless Stokes' coefficients (C_{lm} and S_{lm}) complete to degree and order 96 ($l = m = 96$
244). Corrections and adjustments are needed to reduce noises and isolate the TWS changes from
245 other signals visible in GRACE. The GRACE data from aforementioned sources already carry
246 corrections and filtering including atmospheric mass changes removal, glacial isostatic
247 adjustment (GIA), truncation of SH coefficients at degree 60, and application of destriping filter
248 alongside with a 300-km Gaussian smoother.

249 It is important to consider observational errors when using GRACE data to evaluate models. The
250 GRACE error budget can be separated into three types (Longuevergne et al., 2010): (1) errors
251 associated with fundamental GRACE measurements satellite to satellite range rate (~5 mm
252 EWH), (2) errors in atmospheric and oceanic corrections (~10 to 20 mm EWH) and (3) bias and
253 leakage correction errors which can be the largest depending on basin area and context (~30 mm
254 EWH for a 200,000 km² basin). In this work, rescaling factors are not used and the model results
255 are filtered as GRACE to compare at an equivalent resolution and avoid type (3) errors. This
256 method has been highlighted as a robust approach for model evaluation (Güntner, 2008; Xie et
257 al., 2012).

258 3. Methods

Deleted: solutions

260 **3.1. Spatial Patterns in TWS Variations and Contribution of Different Components**

261 We use the results from the fully coupled versions of both models (i.e., by activating all human
262 impacts schemes) to evaluate the model performance in capturing the spatial variability in TWS
263 rates measured by GRACE. For consistent comparison with GRACE data, the spatial map of
264 simulated TWS rates from both models ~~is transformed into SH domain,~~ truncated at degree and
265 order 60, and smoothed by the 300-km Gaussian filter, following Wahr et al. (1998). The spatial
266 filtering process reduces the errors and noises together with the true signals. Different
267 approaches (e.g., scaling factor approach and the additive correction approach) have been
268 proposed to restore the true signal losses (Landerer and Swenson, 2012; Long et al., 2015a,
269 2015b). Using the same filtering processes for model outputs, as used for GRACE products,
270 offsets the necessity for reconstructing the attenuated signals when directly comparing the
271 GRACE and simulated TWS (Landerer and Swenson, 2012).

Deleted: have been

272 Additionally, understanding how different storage compartments (i.e., snow and ice, soil water,
273 river water, and GW) contribute to the variations of total TWS is crucial to investigate how the
274 changes in these individual compartments can potentially affect the availability and utilization of
275 water resources. Isolation of the individual components also provides key insights on the
276 interactions and feedback among different components under changing hydrologic regime. Here,
277 we use a dimensionless metric called the component contribution ratio (CCR) proposed by Kim
278 et al. (2009) to determine the role of different TWS components in modulating the total TWS
279 variations in river basins from different climate regions. The ratio is calculated as:

280
$$CCR = \frac{MAD}{TV} \quad (1)$$

281 where MAD is the mean absolute deviation of a TWS component ($\frac{1}{N} \sum_t |S_t - \bar{S}|$, S_t is the value
282 of component S at time t and N is the number of months), TV is the total variability and is
283 calculated as summation of all components MADs ($\sum_{i=S}^{components} MAD_i$). The CCR values are
284 calculated by using HiGW-MAT model results.

285 **3.2. Temporal Variability of TWS in Global Basins: Human-induced TWS Change**

286 We make an integrated use of GRACE data and models to examine the temporal variability of
287 TWS over the selected global river basins, and isolate the human-induced TWS change. To
288 estimate basin-scale water storage, a simple basin function (which has the value 1 for inside the

290 basin and 0 outside) is used. The function is then multiplied by different model and GRACE
 291 signals to form the basin scale water storage. Since the data are in 1 degree resolution with
 292 varying grid cell area, an area-weighted arithmetic mean is finally calculated as:

$$293 \quad H(x, t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i(x, t)}{A}, \quad S_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \times s \times a_i & \text{inside the basin} \\ 0 & \text{outside the basin} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

294 where s is the LSM or GRACE estimate, a_i is the cell area, S_i is the weighted estimate for each
 295 cell inside the basin, n is the number of cells in a basin, A is the total area of the basin, and
 296 $H(x, t)$ represents the estimate of water storage for basin at time t .

297 We quantify the human-induced TWS change using GRACE and hydrological models in some
 298 of the basins affected by human activities. First, we estimate the long-term linear trend in TWS
 299 from GRACE observations, PCR-GLOBWB, and HiGW-MAT (simulations with HI). Then, we
 300 estimate the similar trend using the model results from the simulation with natural setting in
 301 which all HI schemes are deactivated. We then calculate the difference between the two trends as
 302 an estimate of the direct human-induced changes in TWS. To estimate the variations in monthly
 303 TWS from model results, we use two different approaches. First, for simulations with HI, we
 304 directly integrate the individual TWS components (i.e., snow water, canopy water, river water,
 305 soil moisture, and groundwater). Due to explicit representations of human activities in both
 306 HiGW-MAT and PCR-GLOBWB, all TWS components are explicitly simulated, also taking into
 307 account the impacts of human activities. In this approach, the vertically integrated TWS is
 308 expressed as:

$$309 \quad TWS = SW + SnW + SM + GW + CW \quad (3)$$

310 where, SW , SnW , SM , GW , and CW denote surface water, snow water, soil moisture,
 311 groundwater, and canopy water storages (all terms have the dimension $[L]$), respectively. The
 312 changes in storage terms (Equation 3) include GW storage and water table changes due to
 313 pumping; changes in surface water reservoirs, and changes in soil moisture due to human water
 314 management (e.g., irrigation).

315 Second, for the simulation with natural setting, we use the water balance approach (Famiglietti et
 316 al., 2011; Nanteza et al., 2016; Rodell et al., 2004; Syed et al., 2008; Zeng et al., 2008) in which
 317 the TWS change is deduced from monthly precipitation (P), evapotranspiration (ET), and runoff
 318 (R) as:

319
$$\frac{dTWS}{dt} = P - ET - R \quad (4)$$

320 where, P is the observed precipitation, ET is the simulated actual evapotranspiration, and R is the
321 simulated runoff (all terms have the dimension $[LT^{-1}]$). Equation 4 can be used over large river
322 basins and long-term simulation period with the assumption of no lateral GW fluxes in the
323 boundaries (Long et al., 2017). However, we use the water balance method only for the
324 simulation with natural setting (and not for HI simulations) due to high uncertainties in flux
325 variables, particularly in ET and R (Long et al., 2014, 2017; Wang et al., 2015b) that are strongly
326 influenced by HI such as irrigation, surface water flow regulation, and GW storage change due to
327 pumping. While we use Equation 3 to derive the TWS from model simulations with all HI
328 schemes activated which is used for model evaluation with GRACE, the TWS estimated by
329 using Equation 4 (based on HiGW-MAT model) is combined with GRACE data to isolate the
330 human-induced TWS variations in the highly-managed river basins.

331 To better investigate the performance of models in TWS simulations, we decompose the
332 observation data and simulated time series into general trend and seasonality using moving
333 averages and applying convolution filter. In the decomposition progress, the data ($Y[t]$) is
334 disaggregated into general trend ($T[t]$), seasonality ($S[t]$), and residuals ($e[t]$) to form the
335 additive model: $Y(t) = T(t) + S(t) + e(t)$.

336 3.3. The Uncertainty from Climate Forcing Data

337 We examine the uncertainty in the simulated TWS by using different forcing datasets listed in
338 Section 2.2. For this purpose, we use only the HiGW-MAT model which is driven by the three
339 forcing datasets. Among the three datasets, we use the data from Kim et al. (2009) to derive the
340 TWS used for the spatio-temporal analysis, including the comparison with the results from PCR-
341 GLOBWB model which is driven by the WFDEI data, and the estimation of CCR because the
342 same data has been used in our previous model validation studies (Pokhrel et al., 2012a, 2012b,
343 2015). The other two datasets are then used to examine the uncertainties in simulated TWS that
344 are caused by the use of different forcing data. We did so to ensure that the HiGW-MAT
345 simulations used to derive the key conclusion are well-validated before.

346 The results from the uncertainty analysis are not directly compared with GRACE and so, we
347 present the gridded scaling factors to account for the signal loss caused by filters and smoothers.
348 The scaling factors that also referred as multiplicative factors are derived from the least squares

Deleted:

350 fit (Equation 5) between the gridded filtered and unfiltered TWS changes from the HiGW-MAT
351 model (see Landerer and Swenson, 2012 and Long et al., 2015a for details).

$$M = \sum_T (S_t - kS_f)^2 \quad (5)$$

353 where, M is the objective function to be minimized, S_t is the true signal (model output), S_f is the
354 filtered signal, T is the time steps (here, months in 2002-2008), and k is the scaling factor.

355 4. Results

356 4.1. Spatial Patterns in TWS Variations and Contribution of Different Components

357 We first evaluate the spatial variability of the long-term trend in total TWS variations simulated
358 by the two models with GRACE (the mean of CSR, JPL, and GFZ) TWS trend (Figure 1). Due
359 to high susceptibility of the linear trend to the selection of time window, we use the 2002-2008
360 period that represents high diversity in signal patterns with relatively distinct spatial variations in
361 positive and negative trends among natural and human-affected global regions, especially the
362 downward TWS trends due to GW depletion. Overall, a good agreement can be seen between
363 GRACE (Figure 1a), and both HiGW-MAT (Figure 1b), and PCR-GLOBWB (Figure 1c) models
364 in terms of the direction of change; however, significant discrepancies are also apparent in terms
365 of the magnitude. For example, the global hotspots of GW depletion such as the northwestern
366 India and parts of Pakistan, the North China Plain, and parts of Middle East (where the changes
367 in total TWS are known to be dominated by GW storage change) are detected in both GRACE
368 and models but the magnitude of changes varies largely among the three estimates. In northwest
369 India, clear differences can be seen; while GRACE data suggest a small downward trend, HiGW-
370 MAT suggests a much larger TWS depletion and PCR-GLOBWB shows little change. In
371 California Central Valley, HiGW-MAT simulates a larger decrease in TWS compared to the
372 other two estimates, which is likely due to overestimation of GW pumping as suggested by
373 Pokhrel et al. (2015). The performance of PCR-GLOBWB is generally good in many of these
374 regions that are affected by human activities but it doesn't reproduce the GRACE-detected
375 negative trends in parts of southeastern Australia and northeastern China.

376 In some of the regions with relatively low human influence such as the Amazon, Orinoco, and
377 Parana river basins in South America and southern parts of Africa, significant variations are
378 obvious among the models and GRACE both in the sign and magnitude. In the Amazon and

Deleted: northeastern China

380 Orinoco, the HiGW-MAT model captures the GRACE trend reasonably well while the PCR-
381 GLOBWB shows a larger deviation. On the contrary, in the southern parts of Africa HiGW-
382 MAT simulates a large positive trend while PCR-GLOBWB simulates a milder trend, consistent
383 with GRACE. In the river basins in the northern high latitude such as the Yukon, GRACE
384 detects a large negative TWS trend during 2002-2008 which has been suggested to be due to
385 glacier melts, permafrost thaw, and snow cover shrinkage (Ge et al., 2013; Spence, 2002; St.
386 Jacques and Sauchyn, 2009; Wang et al., 2015a), processes that are not explicitly simulated by
387 both models.

388 # Figure 1 to be inserted here

389 The contribution of the individual storage components to total TWS is quantified for 30 river
390 basins. The river basins are selected considering: (a) a wide coverage over different climatic
391 regions and continents, and (b) a good balance between natural and human-affected regions.
392 Figure 2 depicts the river basins along with the CCR calculated by using HiGW-MAT model
393 results. The size of the circles is proportional to the seasonal amplitude of the total TWS
394 variation, with the largest amplitude being 500 mm in the Orinoco river basin. Both models used
395 in the study do not explicitly simulate glacier processes, so the surface water component includes
396 only snow and river water. As expected, in the northern high latitudes and polar regions snow
397 storage component dominates the TWS. The highest contribution of snow is found in the
398 Yenisey (61%), Mackenzie (60%), Yukon (59%), Lena (54%), and OB (54%) river basins.
399 Moving toward the mid-latitudes and the subtropical area, high snow storage is substituted by
400 surface and subsurface storages. The highest contribution of surface water storage can be seen in
401 the Yangtze (33%), Brahmaputra (28%), and Ganges (20%), all located in the subtropics and
402 managed by large number of reservoirs (Lehner et al., 2011). Subsurface water storage
403 dominantly modulates the total TWS variations in the temperate and tropical regions such as
404 the Niger (97%), Parana (90%), Tocantins (90%), and Congo (89%) river basins, and also in
405 river basins with semi-arid climates such as the Murray–Darling (95%) and Euphrates (88%)
406 basins. The contribution of subsurface water storage is also found to be large in the river basins
407 with strong human influence, particularly in regions where excessive GW is used for irrigation
408 (e.g., the Indus, Huang-He, Euphrates, and Murray-Darling basins).

409 # Figure 2 to be inserted here

410 **4.2. Temporal Variability of TWS in Global Basins: Human-induced TWS Change**

411 Figure 3 presents the seasonal cycle of TWS variations from GRACE, HiGW-MAT, and PCR-
412 GLOBWB for the selected basins. We present the range of variations among the three SH
413 solutions (CSR, JPL, and GFZ) as the gray-shaded band. In this figure, the basins have been
414 classified into three categories, namely the natural, managed, and snow-dominated which are
415 shown with white, yellow, and light-blue background, respectively. Similar to the spatial patterns
416 of the long-term trend (Figure 1), a generally good agreement can be seen between GRACE
417 products and models, especially in the basins with less human influence and snow contribution
418 (white background). In some of the managed and snow-dominated basins such as the Huang-He
419 (Yellow river), Amur, Murray-Darling, and Yukon the GRACE-model agreement is generally
420 poor for both models. In the basins such as the Huang-He, Indus, Amur, Lena, Mackenzie, and
421 Yukon notable difference between the two models are also obvious both in terms of the seasonal
422 amplitude and timing of peak.

423 Also shown in Figure 3 are the individual TWS components (i.e., snow, river, soil, and GW
424 storages) to scrutinize how different storage compartments modulate the total TWS signal in
425 different geographic and climatic regions. For clarity of view we present these details only from
426 the HiGW-MAT model. In many of the selected basins where the contribution of snow is
427 relatively small, the seasonal TWS signal is strongly modulated by the variations in subsurface
428 storage, which is governed by the inverse relationship between soil moisture and GW. These two
429 components compete for the same storage space and thus evolve over time in opposite phase
430 (Duffy, 1996; Pokhrel et al., 2013). Note that in HiGW-MAT, the soil moisture and GW are
431 estimated as water stored above and below the water table depth, respectively, which is different
432 than in typical global LSMs and GHMs that consider soil moisture to be the water stored within
433 the fixed soil depth (typically top 1-2m) resulting in the same-phase relationship between soil
434 moisture and groundwater storages, but with certain time lag. The dominance of surface water
435 can be seen in basins such as the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Mekong where the seasonal flood
436 pulse transports large volume of water during the monsoon season. In snow-dominated basins
437 such as the Mackenzie, Yenisey, and Yukon a strong seasonal signal of snow accumulation can
438 be seen during the boreal spring which is followed by an increase in river water arising from
439 snowmelt.

440 # Figure 3 to be inserted here

441 In figure 4, we provide further details on the inter-annual variability of TWS from different
442 GRACE solutions (shown as shaded range) and both models along with the individual
443 components from HiGW-MAT. All results are shown as anomalies relative to the 2004-2009
444 time-mean baseline to be consistent with GRACE. The simulated TWS from both expansions
445 (Equation 3 and Equation 4) is truncated at degree and order 60 and smoothed by the 300-km
446 Gaussian filter in all figures corresponding to GRACE products. In figure 4, the slopes of the
447 trend lines from GRACE, models (with activated HI modules), and the water balance analysis
448 (i.e., the simulation without human activities) are shown at the bottom of each panel. The p -
449 value approach is used to measure the statistical significance of linear trends from GRACE and
450 model outputs, i.e., to determine the probability of whether the simulated trends are non-zero and
451 that is statistically significant (Zhou et al., 2014). Results indicate that the TWS trend in natural
452 simulation, which is mostly close to zero, is not statistically significant (p values > 0.05) in most
453 of the managed basins. Further, the p values indicate that the PCR-GLOBWB trend for
454 Euphrates, Indus, Murray-Darling, and Volga basins, the GRACE trend for Brahmaputra,
455 Euphrates, Ganges, Indus, and Volga basins, and the HiGW-MAT trend for most of the managed
456 basins are statistically significant (p values < 0.05).

457 For most of the managed river basins (except for the Colorado and Murray-Darling), the long-
458 term negative trend in the total TWS is larger in GRACE solutions than in the results from water
459 balance, suggesting that these basins experienced certain loss of water during the analysis period.
460 The PCR-GLOBWB model mostly follows the GRACE trends in most river basins but the
461 HiGW-MAT model suggests a substantially larger negative trend in TWS in the managed basins
462 that is primarily due to the decline in GW storage (noticeable in the Indus and Huang-He basins).
463 This also implies that the pumping scheme in HiGW-MAT may have overestimated GW
464 pumping as discussed earlier in Figure 1. Colorado and Murray-Darling, show unexpected
465 increase in GRACE TWS that represents smaller deficit rate than in the natural simulation. The
466 positive trend in GRACE data in these basins is primarily due to some wet cycles (e.g., year
467 2005 and year 2010) in their long-term inter-annual variability of TWS. For instance, the
468 precipitation increase in the wet year of 2010 in Murray-Darling basin and also the snow amount
469 rise that is followed by two wet cycles around the years 2005 and 2010 in the Colorado basin

470 resulted in such positive overall trends during 2002-2010. As such, if the wet cycles of 2005 and
471 2010 are excluded from the analysis, Murray-Darling and Colorado basins also show a
472 significant TWS loss.

473 The largest difference between GRACE and natural trends can be seen in the Euphrates, a
474 transboundary river basin between Iraq, Turkey, Jordan, and Saudi Arabia. While GRACE TWS
475 regression line drops at rate of 2.13 cm/yr , only 0.06 cm/yr of that is caused by natural
476 variability, and the rest (2.07 cm/yr) is caused by direct HI. The Ganges river basin with the
477 second largest divergence between the natural and GRACE trend lines also experiences a
478 1.99 cm/yr human-induced TWS loss. For this basin, HiGW-MAT performs well especially in
479 simulating the drought years (negative peaks). In the Indus, despite a relatively constant and
480 positive precipitation trend as well as a small negative P-ET-R trend (0.01 cm/yr of water
481 storage loss), GRACE shows a larger drop in TWS that is 0.82 cm/yr . Clearly, this huge
482 difference is due to the widely reported depletion of groundwater resources in part of the basin
483 (Rodell et al., 2009; Tiwari et al., 2009). For river basins with considerable snow water
484 component (distinguished by light blue background color), HiGW-MAT performs better. In
485 particular, HiGW-MAT shows the seasonal variations consistent with GRACE (Figures 3 and 4)
486 likely due to advanced energy balance scheme. In other basins that represent low human
487 influence and small contribution from snow (e.g., Amazon, Danube, and Niger), both models
488 simulate TWS variability and seasonal cycle well.

489 # Figure 4 to be inserted here

490 To provide further insights, we present a decomposition of the TWS signal into the general trend
491 and seasonality for two selected river basins, namely the Indus (managed) and the Lena (snow-
492 dominated). As shown in Figure 5, for the Indus while the PCR-GLOBWB simulates both the
493 trend and seasonality in line with GRACE, HiGW-MAT doesn't capture the long-term trend
494 despite simulating the seasonality relatively well. This further confirms that the issue in HiGW-
495 MAT could be the overestimation of GW pumping that results in a larger depletion rate even
496 though the model simulates the seasonal dynamics of the various land surface hydrologic
497 processes as well as water table dynamics. The results for the Lena are contrasting. Here, both
498 models capture the general trend rather accurately but the PCR-GLOBWB fails to simulate the
499 seasonality and timing of TWS anomaly. Analysis of the results for other basins such as the

500 Amudarya, Colorado, and Euphrates (not shown) suggests that the performance of HiGW-MAT
501 in these basins is similar to that in the Indus but it performs relatively well in the Brahmaputra,
502 Ganges, and Volga basins. The performance of PCR-GLOBWB in most of the other snow-
503 dominated basins is similar to that in the Lena.

504 # Figure 5 to be inserted here

505 **4.3. The Uncertainty Arising from the Climate Forcing Data**

506 The standard deviation of 2002-2008 trend map from three climate forcing datasets illustrates
507 high uncertainty in the order of 10 *cm/yr* (Figure 6a), highlighting the significant impact of
508 forcing data selection in model results. The standard deviation map of TWS trend drawn from
509 the filtered simulations needs the spatial distribution of scaling factors (Figure 6b) to provide
510 more realistic assessment of existent uncertainties originate from the forcing data. Considering
511 the scaling factors, the restored TWS trend compared to filtered one can be of the order of 2-3
512 times larger in some grid cells (e.g., northwestern India). The spatial pattern of standard
513 deviation in TWS trend using three different forcing datasets (Figure 6) in comparison with the
514 discrepancies between the spatial pattern of TWS trend from GRACE and HiGW-MAT (Figure
515 1a vs 1b) notes that the discrepancies between model results and GRACE could partly be
516 contributed by high uncertainties arising from forcing datasets. Furthermore, high standard
517 deviation is particularly obvious over the human affected areas comprising northwest of India,
518 northeastern China, southern Australia, Argentina, central US, and west regions of the Caspian
519 Sea. This is reasonable because the forcing datasets are based on reanalysis (e.g., Onogi et al.,
520 2007), which are produced by assimilating the available observations with the results from
521 atmospheric models that typically do not account for human activities. That is, the forcing
522 datasets, particularly precipitation, may have relatively larger biases in the highly-managed
523 regions.

524 # Figure 6 to be inserted here

525 **5. Discussion**

526 **5.1. Spatial Patterns in TWS Variations and Contribution of Different Components**

527 The spatial patterns of the long-term trend in total TWS from models show a generally good
528 agreement with GRACE in capturing the direction of change; however, significant differences
529 are found in the magnitude of TWS signal between the two models and GRACE as well as

Deleted: Comparing t

Deleted: to

532 between the two models. These differences are highly pronounced especially in the global
533 hotspots of GW overexploitation identified by various previous studies. This is found to be
534 caused partly by the overestimation of groundwater abstraction and the associated change in
535 subsurface storage in the HiGW-MAT model. In other regions, such as the northern high
536 latitudes where the TWS variations are largely modulated by snow water storage, the HiGW-
537 MAT model generally captures the GRACE-based TWS trend but the PCR-GLOBWB model
538 shows a larger deviation from the GRACE trend. The differences between GRACE and models
539 in the high latitudes is likely due to glacier melts, permafrost thaw, and snow cover shrinkage
540 processes that are not explicitly represented in the models as in any other current-generation
541 LSMs and GHMs (Chen et al., 2017; Long et al., 2017). In most of the regions with relatively
542 less human influence and snow contribution (e.g., parts of Europe, western Australia, central
543 Asia and northern Africa) both models perform relatively well, suggesting higher reliability of
544 model results in these areas.

545 These analyses contribute to the discussion on how the two models that include HI
546 representations regenerate the spatial patterns of the long-term trend in TWS observed by
547 GRACE. Our results corroborate the findings of previous studies that have reported certain
548 discrepancies between GRACE and models in some of the river basins studied here by using
549 other GHMs and LSMs such as the CLM (Swenson and Lawrence, 2015), WaterGAP model
550 (Döll et al., 2014), and GLDAS (Jin and Feng, 2013) models. Together, these findings suggest
551 that a single model cannot be identified as the best model over all global regions, implying that
552 an ensemble model mean could provide a better estimate of TWS variations.

553 **5.2. Temporal Variability of TWS in Global Basins: Human-induced TWS Change**

554 An in-depth analysis of the seasonal cycle of TWS variations further suggests that the PCR-
555 GLOBWB tends to perform better in some of the managed basins (e.g., the Indus), in line with
556 studies such as Wada et al. (2014). However, it is found that both models do not accurately
557 capture the seasonal dynamics of TWS in some of these managed basins such as the Huang-He
558 and Murray-Darling. It is also evident from the results that while one model captures the
559 amplitude of the positive seasonal anomaly accurately, it fails to reproduce the negative seasonal
560 anomaly with similar accuracy, and this applies to both models (see Huang-He, Indus, Murray-
561 Darling basins). This implies that while certain human water management practices such as

562 reservoir operation may have been well simulated, the model may have failed to accurately
563 simulate other processes such as GW dynamics that can act as a buffer during high and low flow
564 seasons. It is also important to note that there are differences among the GRACE products in
565 some of these basins making it difficult to evaluate the model performance with high confidence.
566 In the snow-dominated basins (e.g., the Lena, Amur, Mackenzie, and Yukon), the performance
567 of HiGW-MAT is relatively good likely due to its relatively robust and physically-based snow
568 melt scheme which is based on multi-layer snow energy balance (Takata et al., 2003).

569 The partitioning of inter-annual TWS changes into natural and human components in the highly-
570 managed basins such as the Indus, Amudarya, Ganges, Brahmaputra, Euphrates, and Volga
571 suggests a large deviation in the natural trend from the trend in GRACE data, indicating an
572 expansion of human influence in these basins during 2002-2010. It is worth noting that the rates
573 of TWS change from HI simulations are remarkably different from GRACE observations in
574 many basins, which highlights the uncertainties in simulated trends. The GW extraction scheme
575 in HiGW-MAT tends to consistently overestimate GW withdrawals in some of the human
576 affected basins such as Amudarya, Colorado, Euphrates, Huang-He, and Indus, causing larger
577 TWS decline compared with both GRACE and the PCR-GLOBWB model. However, in other
578 basins such as the Brahmaputra, Ganges, Mekong, and Volga, which also include some managed
579 agricultural regions, no such overestimation of GW depletion is found. The varying performance
580 of HiGW-MAT in the managed basins is likely owing to the use of inaccurate parameters such as
581 the specific yield or overestimation of agricultural demands caused by overestimated irrigated
582 areas (Giordano, 2009; Pokhrel et al., 2015). Similar to the results for the spatial variability, the
583 PCR-GLOBWB performs relatively better in the managed basins but simulates large deviations
584 from both GRACE and HiGW-MAT in the snow-dominated basins such as the Amur, Lena, and
585 Yukon.

586 Further, the analysis of the general trend and seasonal variability in the Indus and Lena river
587 basins shows that while one model captures the general trend in one basin the other model
588 performs better in capturing the seasonal variability. These large differences in capturing
589 different aspects of the TWS variations in river basins located in different regions again suggest
590 that a single model cannot be used with high reliability in all global regions or to simulate all
591 aspects of TWS variations.

592 **5.3. The Uncertainty Arising from the Climate Forcing Data**

593 Results from the HiGW-MAT TWS simulations with three different meteorological forcing
594 datasets reveal that, in some regions, the uncertainties in TWS trends due to the uncertainty in
595 forcing datasets are as high as the differences among different models, or among different
596 models and GRACE data. The forcing uncertainties are particularly pronounced in the highly-
597 managed regions, possibly due to the large uncertainties in the reanalysis products in which
598 results from models without HI are assimilated. The spatial distribution of gain factors derived
599 from the HiGW-MAT model is comparable with gridded scaling factors obtained from other
600 LSMs (Landerer and Swenson, 2012; Long et al., 2015a) and suggesting even larger
601 uncertainties over some grid cells. Such large uncertainties arising from forcing datasets suggest
602 that the model results of TWS based on one particular forcing data need to be interpreted with
603 enough caution, which is especially important when using the model results to evaluate the
604 disagreements among different GRACE solutions and the performance of various filtering and
605 other post-processing techniques applied to GRACE solutions.

606 **6. Conclusions**

607 This study quantifies the impacts of human activities (e.g., irrigation, reservoir operation, and
608 GW extraction) on TWS variations over global regions by using multiple GRACE SH products
609 and results from two different hydrological models. Two state-of-the-art models are used,
610 namely the HiGW-MAT LSM and PCR-GLOBWB GHM, both simulate the natural as well as
611 anthropogenic flow of water, also taking into account groundwater abstractions and associated
612 changes in subsurface water storage. We find that despite noteworthy progress that has been
613 made in incorporating human factors in global-scale LSMs and GHMs, significant limitations
614 still remain in accurately simulating the spatial patterns and temporal variations in TWS over all
615 global regions. In particular, results indicate that while one model performs better in the highly-
616 managed river basins, it fails to reproduce the GRACE-observed signal in snow-dominated
617 regions, and vice versa. Further, in some regions the uncertainties in TWS trends due to the
618 uncertainties in forcing datasets underscore the need to consider forcing data uncertainties when
619 evaluating the disagreements among different model results and GRACE. Our results from the
620 partitioning of total TWS into natural and human-induced components suggest a continuing
621 decline in TWS through 2002-2010 in the Euphrates, Ganges, Brahmaputra, Volga, and Indus
622 river basins, which is largely human-induced. Overall, our results highlight the need to improve

623 model parameterizations for the simulation of human water management and snow physics (e.g.,
624 glacier melts, permafrost thaw, and snow cover shrinkage) to reliably simulate the spatial and
625 temporal variability in TWS over all global regions.

626 **7. Acknowledgment**

627 We would like to thank the Editor, Associate Editor, and two anonymous reviewers for providing
628 highly constructive comments that helped tremendously in improving the quality of the paper.

629

630 **References**

- 631
- 632 [Alcamo, J., Döll, P., Henrichs, T., Kaspar, F., Lehner, B., Rösch, T., Siebert, S., 2003.](#)
633 [Development and testing of the WaterGAP 2 global model of water use and availability.](#)
634 [Hydrol. Sci. J. 48, 317–337. doi:10.1623/hysj.48.3.317.45290](#)
- 635 [Alkama, R., Decharme, B., Douville, H., Becker, M., Cazenave, A., Sheffield, J., Voldoire, A.,](#)
636 [Tyteca, S., Le Moigne, P., 2010. Global Evaluation of the ISBA-TRIP Continental](#)
637 [Hydrological System. Part I: Comparison to GRACE Terrestrial Water Storage Estimates](#)
638 [and In Situ River Discharges. J. Hydrometeorol. 11, 583–600.](#)
639 [doi:10.1175/2010JHM1211.1](#)
- 640 [Alley, W.M., Healy, R.W., LaBaugh, J.W., Reilly, T.E., 2002. Flow and Storage in Groundwater](#)
641 [Systems. Science 296, 1985–1990. doi:10.1126/science.1067123](#)
- 642 [Batjes, N.H., 2005. ISRIC-WISE global data set of derived soil properties on a 0.5 by 0.5 degree](#)
643 [grid \(version 3.0, with data set\). ISRIC, World Soil Inf. Ctr., Wageningen, the](#)
644 [Netherlands.](#)
- 645 [Beven, K.J., Kirkby, M.J., 1979. A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin](#)
646 [hydrology / Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du](#)
647 [bassin versant. Hydrol. Sci. Bull. 24, 43–69. doi:10.1080/02626667909491834](#)
- 648 [Castellazzi, P., Martel, R., Rivera, A., Huang, J., Pavlic, G., Calderhead, A.I., Chaussard, E.,](#)
649 [Garfias, J., Salas, J., 2016. Groundwater depletion in Central Mexico: Use of GRACE](#)
650 [and InSAR to support water resources management. Water Resour. Res. 52, 5985–6003.](#)
651 [doi:10.1002/2015WR018211](#)
- 652 [Chen, X., Long, D., Hong, Y., Zeng, C., Yan, D., 2017. Improved modeling of snow and glacier](#)
653 [melting by a progressive two-stage calibration strategy with GRACE and multisource](#)
654 [data: How snow and glacier meltwater contributes to the runoff of the Upper](#)
655 [Brahmaputra River basin? Water Resour. Res. 53, 2431–2466.](#)
656 [doi:10.1002/2016WR019656](#)
- 657 [Collatz, G.J., Ball, J.T., Grivet, C., Berry, J.A., 1991. Physiological and environmental regulation](#)
658 [of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a](#)
659 [laminar boundary layer. Agric. For. Meteorol. 54, 107–136. doi:10.1016/0168-](#)
660 [1923\(91\)90002-8](#)
- 661 [Decharme, B., Alkama, R., Douville, H., Becker, M., Cazenave, A., 2010. Global Evaluation of](#)
662 [the ISBA-TRIP Continental Hydrological System. Part II: Uncertainties in River Routing](#)
663 [Simulation Related to Flow Velocity and Groundwater Storage. J. Hydrometeorol. 11,](#)
664 [601–617. doi:10.1175/2010JHM1212.1](#)
- 665 [Decharme, B., Douville, H., 2006. Uncertainties in the GSWP-2 precipitation forcing and their](#)
666 [impacts on regional and global hydrological simulations. Clim. Dyn. 27, 695–713.](#)
667 [doi:10.1007/s00382-006-0160-6](#)
- 668 [Dijk, A.I.J.M. van, Renzullo, L.J., 2011. Water resource monitoring systems and the role of](#)
669 [satellite observations. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 15, 39–55. doi:10.5194/hess-15-39-2011](#)
- 670 [Dirmeyer, P.A., Gao, X., Zhao, M., Guo, Z., Oki, T., Hanasaki, N., 2006. GSWP-2: Multimodel](#)
671 [Analysis and Implications for Our Perception of the Land Surface. Bull. Am. Meteorol.](#)
672 [Soc. 87, 1381–1397. doi:10.1175/BAMS-87-10-1381](#)
- 673 [Döll, P., Douville, H., Güntner, A., Schmied, H.M., Wada, Y., 2016. Modelling Freshwater](#)
674 [Resources at the Global Scale: Challenges and Prospects, in: Cazenave, A., Champollion,](#)

675 [N., Benveniste, J., Chen, J. \(Eds.\), Remote Sensing and Water Resources, Space Sciences](#)
676 [Series of ISSI. Springer International Publishing, pp. 5–31. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-](#)
677 [32449-4 2](#)

678 [Döll, P., Kaspar, F., Lehner, B., 2003. A global hydrological model for deriving water](#)
679 [availability indicators: model tuning and validation. J. Hydrol. 270, 105–134.](#)
680 [doi:10.1016/S0022-1694\(02\)00283-4](#)

681 [Döll, P., Müller Schmied, H., Schuh, C., Portmann, F.T., Eicker, A., 2014. Global-scale](#)
682 [assessment of groundwater depletion and related groundwater abstractions: Combining](#)
683 [hydrological modeling with information from well observations and GRACE satellites.](#)
684 [Water Resour. Res. 50, 5698–5720. doi:10.1002/2014WR015595](#)

685 [Duffy, C.J., 1996. A Two-State Integral-Balance Model for Soil Moisture and Groundwater](#)
686 [Dynamics in Complex Terrain. Water Resour. Res. 32, 2421–2434.](#)
687 [doi:10.1029/96WR01049](#)

688 [Eicker, A., Forootan, E., Springer, A., Longuevergne, L., Kusche, J., 2016. Does GRACE see the](#)
689 [terrestrial water cycle “intensifying”? J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 121,](#)
690 [2015JD023808. doi:10.1002/2015JD023808](#)

691 [Eicker, A., Schumacher, M., Kusche, J., Döll, P., Schmied, H.M., 2014. Calibration/Data](#)
692 [Assimilation Approach for Integrating GRACE Data into the WaterGAP Global](#)
693 [Hydrology Model \(WGHM\) Using an Ensemble Kalman Filter: First Results. Surv.](#)
694 [Geophys. 35, 1285–1309. doi:10.1007/s10712-014-9309-8](#)

695 [Famiglietti, J.S., 2014. The global groundwater crisis. Nat. Clim. Change 4, 945–948.](#)
696 [doi:10.1038/nclimate2425](#)

697 [Famiglietti, J.S., Cazenave, A., Eicker, A., Reager, J.T., Rodell, M., Velicogna, I., 2015.](#)
698 [Satellites provide the big picture. Science 349, 684–685. doi:10.1126/science.aac9238](#)

699 [Famiglietti, J.S., Lo, M., Ho, S.L., Bethune, J., Anderson, K.J., Syed, T.H., Swenson, S.C., de](#)
700 [Linage, C.R., Rodell, M., 2011. Satellites measure recent rates of groundwater depletion](#)
701 [in California’s Central Valley. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38, L03403.](#)
702 [doi:10.1029/2010GL046442](#)

703 [Fan, Y., 2015. Groundwater in the Earth’s critical zone: Relevance to large-scale patterns and](#)
704 [processes. Water Resour. Res. n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/2015WR017037](#)

705 [FAO, 2003. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations \(FAO\) \(2003\), Digital Soil](#)
706 [Map of the World, Version 3.6. Rome, Italy.](#)

707 [Feng, W., Zhong, M., Lemoine, J.-M., Biancale, R., Hsu, H.-T., Xia, J., 2013. Evaluation of](#)
708 [groundwater depletion in North China using the Gravity Recovery and Climate](#)
709 [Experiment \(GRACE\) data and ground-based measurements. Water Resour. Res. 49,](#)
710 [2110–2118. doi:10.1002/wrcr.20192](#)

711 [Freedman, F.R., Pitts, K.L., Bridger, A.F.C., 2014. Evaluation of CMIP climate model](#)
712 [hydrological output for the Mississippi River Basin using GRACE satellite observations.](#)
713 [J. Hydrol. 519, Part D, 3566–3577. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.036](#)

714 [Ge, S., Yang, D., Kane, D.L., 2013. Yukon River Basin long-term \(1977–2006\) hydrologic and](#)
715 [climatic analysis. Hydrol. Process. 27, 2475–2484. doi:10.1002/hyp.9282](#)

716 [Giordano, M., 2009. Global Groundwater? Issues and Solutions. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.](#)
717 [34, 153–178. doi:10.1146/annurev.envIRON.030308.100251](#)

718 [Giroto, M., De Lannoy, G.J.M., Reichle, R.H., Rodell, M., 2016. Assimilation of gridded](#)
719 [terrestrial water storage observations from GRACE into a land surface model. Water](#)
720 [Resour. Res. 52, 4164–4183. doi:10.1002/2015WR018417](#)

721 [Gleeson, T., Wada, Y., Bierkens, M.F.P., van Beek, L.P.H., 2012. Water balance of global](#)
722 [aquifers revealed by groundwater footprint. Nature 488, 197–200.](#)
723 [doi:10.1038/nature11295](#)

724 [Grippa, M., Kergoat, L., Frappart, F., Araud, Q., Boone, A., de Rosnay, P., Lemoine, J.-M.,](#)
725 [Gascoin, S., Balsamo, G., Otlé, C., Decharme, B., Saux-Picart, S., Ramillien, G., 2011.](#)
726 [Land water storage variability over West Africa estimated by Gravity Recovery and](#)
727 [Climate Experiment \(GRACE\) and land surface models. Water Resour. Res. 47,](#)
728 [W05549. doi:10.1029/2009WR008856](#)

729 [Güntner, A., 2008. Improvement of Global Hydrological Models Using GRACE Data. Surv.](#)
730 [Geophys. 29, 375–397. doi:10.1007/s10712-008-9038-y](#)

731 [Haddeland, I., Clark, D.B., Franssen, W., Ludwig, F., Voß, F., Arnell, N.W., Bertrand, N., Best,](#)
732 [M., Folwell, S., Gerten, D., Gomes, S., Gosling, S.N., Hagemann, S., Hanasaki, N.,](#)
733 [Harding, R., Heinke, J., Kabat, P., Koirala, S., Oki, T., Polcher, J., Stacke, T., Viterbo, P.,](#)
734 [Weedon, G.P., Yeh, P., 2011. Multimodel Estimate of the Global Terrestrial Water](#)
735 [Balance: Setup and First Results. J. Hydrometeorol. 12, 869–884.](#)
736 [doi:10.1175/2011JHM1324.1](#)

737 [Hagemann, S., 2002. An improved land surface parameter dataset for global and regional climate](#)
738 [models, Report / Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie. Max-Planck-Institut für](#)
739 [Meteorologie, Hamburg.](#)

740 [Hanasaki, N., Kanae, S., Oki, T., 2006. A reservoir operation scheme for global river routing](#)
741 [models. J. Hydrol. 327, 22–41. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.11.011](#)

742 [Hirabayashi, Y., Kanae, S., Struthers, I., Oki, T., 2005. A 100-year \(1901–2000\) global](#)
743 [retrospective estimation of the terrestrial water cycle. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 110,](#)
744 [D19101. doi:10.1029/2004JD005492](#)

745 [Houborg, R., Rodell, M., Li, B., Reichle, R., Zaitchik, B.F., 2012. Drought indicators based on](#)
746 [model-assimilated Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment \(GRACE\) terrestrial water](#)
747 [storage observations. Water Resour. Res. 48, W07525. doi:10.1029/2011WR011291](#)

748 [Huang, Y., Salama, M.S., Krol, M.S., Su, Z., Hoekstra, A.Y., Zeng, Y., Zhou, Y., 2015.](#)
749 [Estimation of human-induced changes in terrestrial water storage through integration of](#)
750 [GRACE satellite detection and hydrological modeling: A case study of the Yangtze River](#)
751 [basin. Water Resour. Res. 51, 8494–8516. doi:10.1002/2015WR016923](#)

752 [Jin, S., Feng, G., 2013. Large-scale variations of global groundwater from satellite gravimetry](#)
753 [and hydrological models, 2002–2012. Glob. Planet. Change 106, 20–30.](#)
754 [doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.02.008](#)

755 [Kim, H., Yeh, P.J.-F., Oki, T., Kanae, S., 2009. Role of rivers in the seasonal variations of](#)
756 [terrestrial water storage over global basins. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36, L17402.](#)
757 [doi:10.1029/2009GL039006](#)

758 [Klees, R., Liu, X., Wittwer, T., Gunter, B.C., Revtova, E.A., Tenzer, R., Ditmar, P., Winsemius,](#)
759 [H.C., Savenije, H.H.G., 2008. A Comparison of Global and Regional GRACE Models](#)
760 [for Land Hydrology. Surv. Geophys. 29, 335–359. doi:10.1007/s10712-008-9049-8](#)

761 [Koirala, S., Yeh, P.J.-F., Hirabayashi, Y., Kanae, S., Oki, T., 2014. Global-scale land surface](#)
762 [hydrologic modeling with the representation of water table dynamics. J. Geophys. Res.](#)
763 [Atmospheres 119, 2013JD020398. doi:10.1002/2013JD020398](#)

764 [Kraijenhoff Van De Leur, D.A., 1958. A study of non-steady groundwater flow with special](#)
765 [reference to a reservoir-coefficient. Ing. 70, 87–94.](#)

766 [Krysanova, V., Müller-Wohlfeil, D.-I., Becker, A., 1998. Development and test of a spatially](#)
767 [distributed hydrological/water quality model for mesoscale watersheds. Ecol. Model.](#)
768 [106, 261–289. doi:10.1016/S0304-3800\(97\)00204-4](#)

769 [Landerer, F.W., Dickey, J.O., Güntner, A., 2010. Terrestrial water budget of the Eurasian pan-](#)
770 [Arctic from GRACE satellite measurements during 2003–2009. J. Geophys. Res.](#)
771 [Atmospheres 115, D23115. doi:10.1029/2010JD014584](#)

772 [Landerer, F.W., Gleckler, P.J., Lee, T., 2013. Evaluation of CMIP5 dynamic sea surface height](#)
773 [multi-model simulations against satellite observations. Clim. Dyn. 43, 1271–1283.](#)
774 [doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1939-x](#)

775 [Landerer, F.W., Swenson, S.C., 2012. Accuracy of scaled GRACE terrestrial water storage](#)
776 [estimates. Water Resour. Res. 48, W04531. doi:10.1029/2011WR011453](#)

777 [Lawrence, D.M., Oleson, K.W., Flanner, M.G., Thornton, P.E., Swenson, S.C., Lawrence, P.J.,](#)
778 [Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L., Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G.B., Slater, A.G., 2011.](#)
779 [Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in Version 4 of](#)
780 [the Community Land Model. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 3, M03001.](#)
781 [doi:10.1029/2011MS00045](#)

782 [Lehner, B., Liermann, C.R., Revenga, C., Vörösmarty, C., Fekete, B., Crouzet, P., Döll, P.,](#)
783 [Endejan, M., Frenken, K., Magome, J., Nilsson, C., Robertson, J.C., Rödel, R., Sindorf,](#)
784 [N., Wisser, D., 2011. High-resolution mapping of the world’s reservoirs and dams for](#)
785 [sustainable river-flow management. Front. Ecol. Environ. 9, 494–502.](#)
786 [doi:10.1890/100125](#)

787 [Li, B., Rodell, M., 2015. Evaluation of a model-based groundwater drought indicator in the](#)
788 [conterminous U.S. J. Hydrol., Drought processes, modeling, and mitigation 526, 78–88.](#)
789 [doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.09.027](#)

790 [Li, B., Rodell, M., Zaitchik, B.F., Reichle, R.H., Koster, R.D., van Dam, T.M., 2012.](#)
791 [Assimilation of GRACE terrestrial water storage into a land surface model: Evaluation](#)
792 [and potential value for drought monitoring in western and central Europe. J. Hydrol. 446–](#)
793 [447, 103–115. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.035](#)

794 [Long, D., Chen, X., Scanlon, B.R., Wada, Y., Hong, Y., Singh, V.P., Chen, Y., Wang, C., Han,](#)
795 [Z., Yang, W., 2016. Have GRACE satellites overestimated groundwater depletion in the](#)
796 [Northwest India Aquifer? Sci. Rep. 6, 24398. doi:10.1038/srep24398](#)

797 [Long, D., Longuevergne, L., Scanlon, B.R., 2015a. Global analysis of approaches for deriving](#)
798 [total water storage changes from GRACE satellites. Water Resour. Res. 51, 2574–2594.](#)
799 [doi:10.1002/2014WR016853](#)

800 [Long, D., Longuevergne, L., Scanlon, B.R., 2014. Uncertainty in evapotranspiration from land](#)
801 [surface modeling, remote sensing, and GRACE satellites. Water Resour. Res. 50, 1131–](#)
802 [1151. doi:10.1002/2013WR014581](#)

803 [Long, D., Pan, Y., Zhou, J., Chen, Y., Hou, X., Hong, Y., Scanlon, B.R., Longuevergne, L.,](#)
804 [2017. Global analysis of spatiotemporal variability in merged total water storage changes](#)
805 [using multiple GRACE products and global hydrological models. Remote Sens. Environ.](#)
806 [192, 198–216. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.02.011](#)

807 [Long, D., Yang, Y., Wada, Y., Hong, Y., Liang, W., Chen, Y., Yong, B., Hou, A., Wei, J., Chen,](#)
808 [L., 2015b. Deriving scaling factors using a global hydrological model to restore GRACE](#)
809 [total water storage changes for China’s Yangtze River Basin. Remote Sens. Environ. 168,](#)
810 [177–193. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2015.07.003](#)

811 [Longuevergne, L., Scanlon, B.R., Wilson, C.R., 2010. GRACE Hydrological estimates for small](#)
812 [basins: Evaluating processing approaches on the High Plains Aquifer, USA. Water](#)
813 [Resour. Res. 46, W11517. doi:10.1029/2009WR008564](#)

814 [Nanteza, J., de Linage, C.R., Thomas, B.F., Famiglietti, J.S., 2016. Monitoring groundwater](#)
815 [storage changes in complex basement aquifers: An evaluation of the GRACE satellites](#)
816 [over East Africa. Water Resour. Res. 52, 9542–9564. doi:10.1002/2016WR018846](#)

817 [Nazemi, A., Wheeler, H.S., 2015. On inclusion of water resource management in Earth system](#)
818 [models – Part 1: Problem definition and representation of water demand. Hydrol Earth](#)
819 [Syst Sci 19, 33–61. doi:10.5194/hess-19-33-2015](#)

820 [Oki, T., Sud, Y.C., 1998. Design of Total Runoff Integrating Pathways \(TRIP\)—A Global River](#)
821 [Channel Network. Earth Interact. 2, 1–37. doi:10.1175/1087-](#)
822 [3562\(1998\)002<0001:DOTRIP>2.3.CO;2](#)

823 [Onogi, K., Tsutsui, J., Koide, H., Sakamoto, M., Kobayashi, S., Hatsushika, H., Matsumoto, T.,](#)
824 [Yamazaki, N., Kamahori, H., Takahashi, K., Kadokura, S., Wada, K., Kato, K., Oyama,](#)
825 [R., Ose, T., Mannoji, N., Taira, R., 2007. The JRA-25 Reanalysis. J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.](#)
826 [Ser II 85, 369–432. doi:10.2151/jmsj.85.369](#)

827 [Overgaard, J., Rosbjerg, D., Butts, M.B., 2006. Land-surface modelling in hydrological](#)
828 [perspective – a review. Biogeosciences 3, 229–241. doi:10.5194/bg-3-229-2006](#)

829 [Pail, R., Bingham, R., Braitenberg, C., Dolslaw, H., Eicker, A., Güntner, A., Horwath, M., Ivins,](#)
830 [E., Longuevergne, L., Panet, I., Wouters, B., Panel, I.E., 2015. Science and User Needs](#)
831 [for Observing Global Mass Transport to Understand Global Change and to Benefit](#)
832 [Society. Surv. Geophys. 36, 743–772. doi:10.1007/s10712-015-9348-9](#)

833 [Pan, Y., Zhang, C., Gong, H., Yeh, P.J.-F., Shen, Y., Guo, Y., Huang, Z., Li, X., 2016. Detection](#)
834 [of human-induced evapotranspiration using GRACE satellite observations in the Haihe](#)
835 [River basin of China. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2016GL071287. doi:10.1002/2016GL071287](#)

836 [Pitman, A.J., 2003. The evolution of, and revolution in, land surface schemes designed for](#)
837 [climate models. Int. J. Climatol. 23, 479–510. doi:10.1002/joc.893](#)

838 [Pokhrel, Y.N., Fan, Y., Miguez-Macho, G., Yeh, P.J.-F., Han, S.-C., 2013. The role of](#)
839 [groundwater in the Amazon water cycle: 3. Influence on terrestrial water storage](#)
840 [computations and comparison with GRACE. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 118, 3233–](#)
841 [3244. doi:10.1002/jgrd.50335](#)

842 [Pokhrel, Y.N., Felfelani, F., Shin, S., Yamada, T.J., Satoh, Y., 2017. Modeling large-scale](#)
843 [human alteration of land surface hydrology and climate. Geosci. Lett. 4, 10,](#)
844 [doi:10.1186/s40562-017-0076-5](#)

845 [Pokhrel, Y.N., Hanasaki, N., Koirala, S., Cho, J., Yeh, P.J.-F., Kim, H., Kanae, S., Oki, T.,](#)
846 [2012a. Incorporating Anthropogenic Water Regulation Modules into a Land Surface](#)
847 [Model. J. Hydrometeorol. 13, 255–269. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-11-013.1](#)

848 [Pokhrel, Y.N., Hanasaki, N., Wada, Y., Kim, H., 2016. Recent progresses in incorporating](#)
849 [human land–water management into global land surface models toward their integration](#)
850 [into Earth system models. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water 3, 548–574,](#)
851 [doi:10.1002/wat2.1150](#)

852 [Pokhrel, Y.N., Hanasaki, N., Yeh, P.J.-F., Yamada, T.J., Kanae, S., Oki, T., 2012b. Model](#)
853 [estimates of sea-level change due to anthropogenic impacts on terrestrial water storage.](#)
854 [Nat. Geosci. 5, 389–392. doi:10.1038/ngeo1476](#)

855 [Pokhrel, Y.N., Koirala, S., Yeh, P.J.-F., Hanasaki, N., Longuevergne, L., Kanae, S., Oki, T.,](#)
856 [2015. Incorporation of groundwater pumping in a global Land Surface Model with the](#)

857 [representation of human impacts. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 78–96.](#)
858 [doi:10.1002/2014WR015602](#)

859 [Portmann, F.T., Siebert, S., Döll, P., 2010. MIRCA2000—Global monthly irrigated and rainfed](#)
860 [crop areas around the year 2000: A new high-resolution data set for agricultural and](#)
861 [hydrological modeling. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 24, GB1011.](#)
862 [doi:10.1029/2008GB003435](#)

863 [Richards, L.A., 1931. CAPILLARY CONDUCTION OF LIQUIDS THROUGH POROUS](#)
864 [MEDIUMS. *J. Appl. Phys.* 1, 318–333. doi:10.1063/1.1745010](#)

865 [Rodell, M., Famiglietti, J.S., Chen, J., Seneviratne, S.I., Viterbo, P., Holl, S., Wilson, C.R., 2004.](#)
866 [Basin scale estimates of evapotranspiration using GRACE and other observations.](#)
867 [Geophys. Res. Lett. 31, L20504. doi:10.1029/2004GL020873](#)

868 [Rodell, M., Velicogna, I., Famiglietti, J.S., 2009. Satellite-based estimates of groundwater](#)
869 [depletion in India. *Nature* 460, 999–1002. doi:10.1038/nature08238](#)

870 [Rosenberg, E.A., Clark, E.A., Steinemann, A.C., Lettenmaier, D.P., 2013. On the contribution of](#)
871 [groundwater storage to interannual streamflow anomalies in the Colorado River basin.](#)
872 [Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 17, 1475–1491. doi:10.5194/hess-17-1475-2013](#)

873 [Russo, T.A., Lall, U., 2017. Depletion and response of deep groundwater to climate-induced](#)
874 [pumping variability. *Nat. Geosci.* 10, 105–108. doi:10.1038/ngeo2883](#)

875 [Sakumura, C., Bettadpur, S., Bruinsma, S., 2014. Ensemble prediction and intercomparison](#)
876 [analysis of GRACE time-variable gravity field models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 1389–](#)
877 [1397. doi:10.1002/2013GL058632](#)

878 [Scanlon, B.R., Faunt, C.C., Longuevergne, L., Reedy, R.C., Alley, W.M., McGuire, V.L.,](#)
879 [McMahon, P.B., 2012a. Groundwater depletion and sustainability of irrigation in the US](#)
880 [High Plains and Central Valley. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109, 9320–9325.](#)
881 [doi:10.1073/pnas.1200311109](#)

882 [Scanlon, B.R., Longuevergne, L., Long, D., 2012b. Ground referencing GRACE satellite](#)
883 [estimates of groundwater storage changes in the California Central Valley, USA. *Water*](#)
884 [Resour. Res.](#) 48, W04520. doi:10.1029/2011WR011312

885 [Scanlon, B.R., Zhang, Z., Reedy, R.C., Pool, D.R., Save, H., Long, D., Chen, J., Wolock, D.M.,](#)
886 [Conway, B.D., Winster, D., 2015. Hydrologic implications of GRACE satellite data in](#)
887 [the Colorado River Basin. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 9891–9903.](#)
888 [doi:10.1002/2015WR018090](#)

889 [Scanlon, B.R., Zhang, Z., Save, H., Wiese, D.N., Landerer, F.W., Long, D., Longuevergne, L.,](#)
890 [Chen, J., 2016. Global evaluation of new GRACE mascon products for hydrologic](#)
891 [applications. *Water Resour. Res.* 52, 9412–9429. doi:10.1002/2016WR019494](#)

892 [Sellers, P.J., Dickinson, R.E., Randall, D.A., Betts, A.K., Hall, F.G., Berry, J.A., Collatz, G.J.,](#)
893 [Denning, A.S., Mooney, H.A., Nobre, C.A., Sato, N., Field, C.B., Henderson-Sellers, A.,](#)
894 [1997. Modeling the Exchanges of Energy, Water, and Carbon Between Continents and](#)
895 [the Atmosphere. *Science* 275, 502–509. doi:10.1126/science.275.5299.502](#)

896 [Sheffield, J., Goteti, G., Wood, E.F., 2006. Development of a 50-Year High-Resolution Global](#)
897 [Dataset of Meteorological Forcings for Land Surface Modeling. *J. Clim.* 19, 3088–3111.](#)
898 [doi:10.1175/JCLI3790.1](#)

899 [Spence, C., 2002. Streamflow Variability \(1965 to 1998\) in Five Northwest Territories and](#)
900 [Nunavut Rivers. *Can. Water Resour. J. Rev. Can. Ressour. Hydr.* 27, 135–154.](#)
901 [doi:10.4296/cwrj2702135](#)

902 [St. Jacques, J.-M., Sauchyn, D.J., 2009. Increasing winter baseflow and mean annual streamflow](#)
903 [from possible permafrost thawing in the Northwest Territories, Canada. Geophys. Res.](#)
904 [Lett. 36, L01401. doi:10.1029/2008GL035822](#)

905 [Stieglitz, M., Rind, D., Famiglietti, J., Rosenzweig, C., 1997. An Efficient Approach to](#)
906 [Modeling the Topographic Control of Surface Hydrology for Regional and Global](#)
907 [Climate Modeling. J. Clim. 10, 118–137. doi:10.1175/1520-](#)
908 [0442\(1997\)010<0118:AEATMT>2.0.CO;2](#)

909 [Swenson, S.C., Lawrence, D.M., 2015. A GRACE-based assessment of interannual groundwater](#)
910 [dynamics in the Community Land Model. Water Resour. Res. 51, 8817–8833.](#)
911 [doi:10.1002/2015WR017582](#)

912 [Syed, T.H., Famiglietti, J.S., Rodell, M., Chen, J., Wilson, C.R., 2008. Analysis of terrestrial](#)
913 [water storage changes from GRACE and GLDAS. Water Resour. Res. 44, W02433.](#)
914 [doi:10.1029/2006WR005779](#)

915 [Takata, K., Emori, S., Watanabe, T., 2003. Development of the minimal advanced treatments of](#)
916 [surface interaction and runoff. Glob. Planet. Change 38, 209–222. doi:10.1016/S0921-](#)
917 [8181\(03\)00030-4](#)

918 [Taylor, R.G., Scanlon, B., Döll, P., Rodell, M., Beek, R. van, Wada, Y., Longuevergne, L.,](#)
919 [Leblanc, M., Famiglietti, J.S., Edmunds, M., Konikow, L., Green, T.R., Chen, J.,](#)
920 [Taniguchi, M., Bierkens, M.F.P., MacDonald, A., Fan, Y., Maxwell, R.M., Yecheili, Y.,](#)
921 [Gurdak, J.J., Allen, D.M., Shamsudduha, M., Hiscock, K., Yeh, P.J.-F., Holman, I.,](#)
922 [Treidel, H., 2013. Ground water and climate change. Nat. Clim. Change 3, 322–329.](#)
923 [doi:10.1038/nclimate1744](#)

924 [Tiwari, V.M., Wahr, J., Swenson, S., 2009. Dwindling groundwater resources in northern India,](#)
925 [from satellite gravity observations. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36, L18401.](#)
926 [doi:10.1029/2009GL039401](#)

927 [Trancoso, R., Larsen, J.R., McVicar, T.R., Phinn, S.R., McAlpine, C.A., 2017. CO2-vegetation](#)
928 [feedbacks and other climate changes implicated in reducing base flow. Geophys. Res.](#)
929 [Lett. 44, 2017GL072759. doi:10.1002/2017GL072759](#)

930 [van Beek, L.P.H., Wada, Y., Bierkens, M.F.P., 2011. Global monthly water stress: 1. Water](#)
931 [balance and water availability. Water Resour. Res. 47, W07517.](#)
932 [doi:10.1029/2010WR009791](#)

933 [Vörösmarty, C.J., Fekete, B.M., Meybeck, M., Lammers, R., 2000. A simulated topological](#)
934 [network representing the global system of rivers at 30-minute spatial resolution \(STN-](#)
935 [30\). Global Biogeo. Cy. 14, 599–621.](#)

936 [Wada, Y., van Beek, L.P.H., van Kempen, C.M., Reckman, J.W.T.M., Vasak, S., Bierkens,](#)
937 [M.F.P., 2010. Global depletion of groundwater resources. Geophys. Res. Lett. 37,](#)
938 [L20402. doi:10.1029/2010GL044571](#)

939 [Wada, Y., Wisser, D., Bierkens, M.F.P., 2014. Global modeling of withdrawal, allocation and](#)
940 [consumptive use of surface water and groundwater resources. Earth Syst Dynam 5, 15–](#)
941 [40. doi:10.5194/esd-5-15-2014](#)

942 [Wahr, J., Molenaar, M., Bryan, F., 1998. Time variability of the Earth's gravity field:](#)
943 [Hydrological and oceanic effects and their possible detection using GRACE. J. Geophys.](#)
944 [Res. Solid Earth 103, 30205–30229. doi:10.1029/98JB02844](#)

945 [Wahr, J., Swenson, S., Velicogna, I., 2006. Accuracy of GRACE mass estimates. Geophys. Res.](#)
946 [Lett. 33, L06401. doi:10.1029/2005GL025305](#)

947 [Wang, S., Huang, J., Yang, D., Pavlic, G., Li, J., 2015a. Long-term water budget imbalances and](#)
 948 [error sources for cold region drainage basins. *Hydrol. Process.* 29, 2125–2136.](#)
 949 [doi:10.1002/hyp.10343](#)

950 [Wang, S., Pan, M., Mu, Q., Shi, X., Mao, J., Brümmer, C., Jassal, R.S., Krishnan, P., Li, J.,](#)
 951 [Black, T.A., 2015b. Comparing Evapotranspiration from Eddy Covariance](#)
 952 [Measurements, Water Budgets, Remote Sensing, and Land Surface Models over Canada.](#)
 953 [*J. Hydrometeorol.* 16, 1540–1560. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-14-0189.1](#)

954 [Watanabe, T., 1994. Bulk parameterization for a vegetated surface and its application to a](#)
 955 [simulation of nocturnal drainage flow. *Bound.-Layer Meteorol.* 70, 13–35.](#)
 956 [doi:10.1007/BF00712521](#)

957 [Watkins, M.M., Wiese, D.N., Yuan, D.-N., Boening, C., Landerer, F.W., 2015. Improved](#)
 958 [methods for observing Earth’s time variable mass distribution with GRACE using](#)
 959 [spherical cap mascons. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 120, 2014JB011547.](#)
 960 [doi:10.1002/2014JB011547](#)

961 [Weedon, G.P., Balsamo, G., Bellouin, N., Gomes, S., Best, M.J., Viterbo, P., 2014. The WFDEI](#)
 962 [meteorological forcing data set: WATCH Forcing Data methodology applied to ERA-](#)
 963 [Interim reanalysis data. *Water Resour. Res.* 50, 7505–7514. doi:10.1002/2014WR015638](#)

964 [Werth, S., Güntner, A., Schmidt, R., Kusche, J., 2009. Evaluation of GRACE filter tools from a](#)
 965 [hydrological perspective. *Geophys. J. Int.* 179, 1499–1515. doi:10.1111/j.1365-](#)
 966 [246X.2009.04355.x](#)

967 [Xie, H., Longuevergne, L., Ringler, C., Scanlon, B.R., 2012. Calibration and evaluation of a](#)
 968 [semi-distributed watershed model of Sub-Saharan Africa using GRACE data. *Hydrol.*](#)
 969 [*Earth Syst. Sci.* 16, 3083–3099. doi:10.5194/hess-16-3083-2012](#)

970 [Yang, Z.-L., Niu, G.-Y., Mitchell, K.E., Chen, F., Ek, M.B., Barlage, M., Longuevergne, L.,](#)
 971 [Manning, K., Niyogi, D., Tewari, M., Xia, Y., 2011. The community Noah land surface](#)
 972 [model with multiparameterization options \(Noah-MP\): 2. Evaluation over global river](#)
 973 [basins. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres* 116, D12110. doi:10.1029/2010JD015140](#)

974 [Zaitchik, B.F., Rodell, M., Reichle, R.H., 2008. Assimilation of GRACE Terrestrial Water](#)
 975 [Storage Data into a Land Surface Model: Results for the Mississippi River Basin. *J.*](#)
 976 [*Hydrometeorol.* 9, 535–548. doi:10.1175/2007JHM951.1](#)

977 [Zeng, N., Yoon, J.-H., Mariotti, A., Swenson, S., 2008. Variability of Basin-Scale Terrestrial](#)
 978 [Water Storage from a PER Water Budget Method: The Amazon and the Mississippi. *J.*](#)
 979 [*Clim.* 21, 248–265. doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1639.1](#)

980 [Zhao, F., Veldkamp, T., Frieler, K., Schewe, J., Ostberg, S., Willner, S., Schaubberger, B.,](#)
 981 [Gosling, S., Müller Schmied, H., Portmann, F.T., Leng, G., Huang, M., Liu, X., Tang, Q.,](#)
 982 [Hanasaki, N., Biemans, H., Gerten, D., Satoh, Y., Pokhrel, Y., Stacke, T., Ciais, P.,](#)
 983 [Chang, J., Ducharne, A., Guimberteau, M., Wada, Y., Kim, H., Yamazaki, D., 2017.](#)
 984 [Choice of routing scheme considerably influences peak river discharge simulation in](#)
 985 [global hydrological models. *Environ. Res. Lett.*](#)

986 [Zhou, L., Tian, Y., Myneni, R.B., Ciais, P., Saatchi, S., Liu, Y.Y., Piao, S., Chen, H., Vermote,](#)
 987 [E.F., Song, C., Hwang, T., 2014. Widespread decline of Congo rainforest greenness in](#)
 988 [the past decade. *Nature* 509, 86–90. doi:10.1038/nature13265](#)

Deleted: Alcamo, J., Döll, P., Henrichs, T., Kaspar, F., Lehner, B., Rösch, T., Siebert, S., 2003. Development and testing of the WaterGAP 2 global model of water use and availability. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 48, 317–337. doi:10.1623/hysj.48.3.317.45290

Alkama, R., Decharme, B., Douville, H., Becker, M., Cazenave, A., Sheffield, J., Voldoire, A., Tyteca, S., Le Moigne, P., 2010. Global Evaluation of the ISBA-TRIP Continental Hydrological System. Part I: Comparison to GRACE Terrestrial Water Storage Estimates and In Situ River Discharges. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 11, 583–600. doi:10.1175/2010JHM1211.1

Alley, W.M., Healy, R.W., LaBaugh, J.W., Reilly, T.E., 2002. Flow and Storage in Groundwater Systems. *Science* 296, 1985–1990. doi:10.1126/science.1067123

Batjes, N.H., 2005. ISRIC-WISE global data set of derived soil properties on a 0.5 by 0.5 degree grid (version 3.0, with data set). ISRIC, World Soil Inf. Ctr., Wageningen, the Netherlands.

Beven, K.J., Kirkby, M.J., 1979. A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology / Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du bassin versant. *Hydrol. Sci. Bull.* 24, 43–69. doi:10.1080/02626667909491834

Castellazzi, P., Martel, R., Rivera, A., Huang, J., Pavlic, G., Calderhead, A.I., Chaussard, E., Garfias, J., Salas, J., 2016. Groundwater depletion in Central Mexico: Use of GRACE and InSAR to support water resources management. *Water Resour. Res.* 52, 5985–6003. doi:10.1002/2015WR018211

Chen, X., Long, D., Hong, Y., Zeng, C., Yan, D., 2017. Improved modeling of snow and glacier melting by a progressive two-stage calibration strategy with GRACE and multisource data: How snow and glacier meltwater contributes to the runoff of the Upper Brahmaputra River basin? *Water Resour. Res.* 53, 2431–2466. doi:10.1002/2016WR019656

Collatz, G.J., Ball, J.T., Grivet, C., Berry, J.A., 1991. Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 54, 107–136. doi:10.1016/0168-1923(91)90002-8

Decharme, B., Alkama, R., Douville, H., Becker, M., Cazenave, A., 2010. Global Evaluation of the ISBA-TRIP Continental Hydrological System. Part II: Uncertainties in River Routing Simulation Related to Flow Velocity and Groundwater Storage. *J. Hydrometeorol.* 11, 601–617. doi:10.1175/2010JHM1212.1

Decharme, B., Douville, H., 2006. Uncertainties in the GSWP-2 precipitation forcing and their impacts on regional and global hydrological simulations. *Clim. Dyn.* 27, 695–713. doi:10.1007/s00382-006-0160-6

Dijk, A.I.J.M. van, Renzullo, L.J., 2011. Water resource monitoring systems and the role of satellite observations. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 15, 39–55. doi:10.5194/hess-15-39-2011

Dirmeyer, P.A., Gao, X., Zhao, M., Guo, Z., Oki, T., Hanasaki, N., 2006. GSWP-2: Multimodel Analysis and Implications for Our Perception of the Land Surface. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 87, 1381–1397. doi:10.1175/BAMS-87-10-1381

1130 **Figure Captions:**

1131

1132 **Figure 1.** Spatial pattern of TWS trend from GRACE, and the two models (HiGW-MAT and
1133 PCR-GLOBWB) for 2002-2008. GRACE results are shown as the mean of the solutions from
1134 three different processing centers (i.e., CSR, JPL, and GFZ).

1135 **Figure 2.** Map showing the selected 30 river basins with the component contribution ratio (CCR)
1136 for snow water, surface water (rivers and reservoirs), and subsurface water (soil moisture and
1137 groundwater) storages, shown as pie charts for each of the basins. The CCR values are calculated
1138 by using HiGW-MAT model results. The size of pie chart is proportional to the seasonal
1139 amplitude of TWS variation, with the largest amplitude being 500 mm in the Orinoco river basin.

1140 **Figure 3.** Seasonal cycle of simulated and observed TWS and components for the selected river
1141 basins. Yellow background indicates the region with human impacts and light blue background
1142 represents snow-dominated basin. Basins with relatively less human influence and contribution
1143 from snow are shown with white background. The thick black line represents the mean of three
1144 GRACE products from CSR, JPL, and GFZ and the gray-shaded band shows the range of
1145 variations among the three GRACE products. While the simulated total TWS from both models
1146 are shown, the individual components (i.e., snow, river and reservoir, soil moisture, and
1147 groundwater storages) are shown only from the HiGW-MAT model for clarity of view.

1148 **Figure 4.** Inter-annual variability in TWS from GRACE and the two models. Background colors
1149 represent the same as in Figure 3. For the managed basins (top five rows with yellow
1150 background), the GRACE data and model results are plotted as line diagram on the top and the
1151 results from the water balance analysis using the natural simulations (Equation 4) are shown on
1152 the bottom as bars. The gray-shaded range represents the range of variations of the GRACE
1153 products (CSR, JPL, and GFZ) along with the thick black line that shows the mean. The
1154 individual water storage components are shown only from the HiGW-MAT model for clarity of
1155 view.

1156 **Figure 5.** Decomposition of TWS time series into the general trend and seasonality for the Lena
1157 (snow-dominated) and Indus (managed) river basins.

1158 **Figure 6.** (a) Standard deviation in TWS trend calculated for 2002-2008 based on the results
1159 from HiGW-MAT model simulated by using three different forcing datasets. (b) the spatial
1160 distribution of scaling factors derived from the HiGW-MAT model.

