

IEB Working Paper 2016/25

THE EVOLUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INEQUALITIES IN SPAIN:
DYNAMIC EVIDENCE FROM REPEATED CROSS-SECTIONS

Álvaro Choi, María Gil, Mauro Mediavilla and Javier Valbuena

Human Capital

IEB Working Paper

**THE EVOLUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INEQUALITIES IN SPAIN:
DYNAMIC EVIDENCE FROM REPEATED CROSS-SECTIONS**

Álvaro Choi, María Gil, Mauro Mediavilla, Javier Valbuena

The **IEB** research group in **Human Capital** aims at promoting research in the Economics of Education. The main objective of this group is to foster research related to the education and training of individuals and to promote the analysis of education systems and policies from an economic perspective. Some topics are particularly relevant: Evaluation of education and training policies; monetary and non-monetary effects of education; analysis of the international assessments of the skills of the young (PISA, PIRLS, TIMMS) and adult populations (PIAAC, IALS); education and equality, considering the inclusion of the disabled in the education system; and lifelong learning. This group puts special emphasis on applied research and on work that sheds light on policy-design issues. Moreover, research focused in Spain is given special consideration. Disseminating research findings to a broader audience is also an aim of the group. This research group enjoys the support from the **IEB-Foundation**.

The **Barcelona Institute of Economics (IEB)** is a research centre at the University of Barcelona (UB) which specializes in the field of applied economics. The **IEB** is a foundation funded by the following institutions: Applus, Abertis, Ajuntament de Barcelona, Diputació de Barcelona, Gas Natural, La Caixa and Universitat de Barcelona.

Postal Address:

Institut d'Economia de Barcelona

Facultat d'Economia i Empresa

Universitat de Barcelona

C/ John M. Keynes, 1-11

(08034) Barcelona, Spain

Tel.: + 34 93 403 46 46

ieb@ub.edu

<http://www.ieb.ub.edu>

The IEB working papers represent ongoing research that is circulated to encourage discussion and has not undergone a peer review process. Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IEB.

**THE EVOLUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INEQUALITIES IN SPAIN:
DYNAMIC EVIDENCE FROM REPEATED CROSS-SECTIONS***

Álvaro Choi, María Gil, Mauro Mediavilla, Javier Valbuena

ABSTRACT: A lack of longitudinal data prevents many countries from estimating dynamic models and, thus, from obtaining valuable evidence for policymaking in the field of education. This is the case of Spain, where recent education reforms have targeted secondary schools, but their design has been based on incomplete information regarding the evolution of student performance and far from robust evidence concerning just when educational inequalities are generated. This paper addresses the absence of longitudinal data required for performing such analyses by using a dynamic model with repeated cross-sectional data. We are able to link the reading competencies of students from the same cohort that participated in two international assessments at different ages (9/10 and 15/16) and so identify when educational gaps – in terms of gender, socio-economic status and place of birth – emerge. In addition, we provide new evidence on the effect on achievement of the main policy used in Spain for levelling the performance of secondary school students, namely, grade retention. Our results suggest that educational inequalities in Spain originate in lower educational levels. After controlling for reverse causality, the negative relationship between grade retention and performance at the lower secondary school level persists.

JEL Codes: I21, I28, I24

Keywords: Academic achievement, pseudo-panel, PIRLS, PISA

Álvaro Choi
Universitat de Barcelona
& Barcelona Institute of Economics.
Faculty of Economics and Business.
Av. Diagonal, 690.
08034, Barcelona. Spain.
E-mail: alvarochoi@ub.edu
Tel. +34934021815

María Gil
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
Faculty of Economics and Business.
Campus de Cantoblanco.
C/ Francisco Tomás y Valiente, 5.
Madrid E-28049-Spain.
E-mail: maria.gil@uam.es
Tel. +34914973524

Mauro Mediavilla
Universitat de València & Barcelona
Institute of Economics.
Faculty of Economics and Business.
Avda Tarongers s/n.
46022 Valencia, Spain.
E-mail: mauro.mediavilla@uv.es

Javier Valbuena
Universitat de Girona
Faculty of Economics and Business.
Campus Montilivi, Girona. 17071, Spain.
E-mail: javier.valbuena@udg.edu

* Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Areces Foundation through its XIII National Contest for Research in Social Sciences and the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (project EDU2013-42480-R).

1. Introduction

Education plays a major role in skills acquisition. However, as this is a cumulative process (Cunha et al., 2010), inequalities in the acquisition of these skills can emerge at different stages of life and identifying these moments becomes a highly necessary step for the effective design of education policies. In practical terms, understanding the impact on academic achievement of the set of individual, household, school and social factors included in the education production function typically requires the use of longitudinal information. Yet, the fact that such panel data are not available in many countries places a major constraint on researchers and policymakers. Given this situation, it is essential to try to identify alternative methodological strategies. One such alternative is the use of repeated cross-sections (RCS) which allow information on different individuals pertaining to the same cohort to be gathered.

RCS are more abundant than panel data and, under certain conditions (formalized by Moffitt, 1993, and Verbeek and Vella, 2005), they are useful for providing consistent achievement estimations in dynamic models. To the best of our knowledge, only De Simone (2013) and Contini and Grand (in press) have applied this methodology to dynamic achievement models, with both studies focusing on the Italian case. In this paper, we contribute to this literature by reporting evidence on the evolution of the gap in the acquisition of reading skills between primary and lower-secondary education in Spain, using cross-sectional microdata from two international assessment tools: the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) and the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA).

Spain is an ideal country for performing this exercise. To begin with, there is an urgent need to provide evidence on the moment in which performance gaps and educational inequalities arise. Seven General Education Acts have been passed since 1978 and, the latest of these – the 2013 Organic Law for the Improvement of Quality in Education (or the LOMCE) – focuses its reforms specifically on lower-secondary education, given the poor performance of Spanish students in international assessments (specifically PISA). Among other measures, the LOMCE stresses the need to raise the profile of school principals, foster greater autonomy of schools, introduce new external assessment tests at the end of primary and lower-secondary education and initiate tracking between academic and vocational pathways from the age of 15 (as opposed to the current age of 16).

These reforms were drawn up on very little solid evidence and, although Choi and Jerrim (2016)¹ provide an initial analysis from a comparative perspective (their results appearing to indicate that educational inequalities emerge long before children enter secondary school), further research is needed to clarify what are critical questions for policymakers. The current lack of evidence for Spain may well reflect the inexistence of adequate longitudinal data for assessing such questions. However, because various Spanish cohorts have participated in several international assessments, we are able to exploit the strategy proposed by Moffitt (1993).

The contribution of this article is twofold: first, it describes the evolution of educational inequalities by gender, country of birth and socio-economic status (SES) in Spain between the ages of 9/10 and 15/16. Second, it combines RCS from two different international assessment tools, and employs a strategy that should widen the number of countries capable of overcoming their data constraints through the use of RCS. In addition, and given its widespread use in Spain, we explore the effect of grade retention at the lower-secondary school level on academic performance.

Our findings suggest that: i) reading competencies at the end of lower-secondary school are heavily dependent on achievement at primary school; ii) the size of the socio-economic gap in lower-secondary school is narrowed when previous achievement is taken into account, and the magnitude of this reduction depends on the chosen proxy for SES; iii) there is a consistent widening of the gender gap in reading competencies between the ages of 9/10 and 15/16; iv) the negative effect of being a first generation immigrant on reading performance seems to be dragged from the early stages of the education system; and, v) grade retention during lower-secondary school is negatively and strongly correlated to reading performance.

This paper now proceeds as follows: Section 2 reviews the conditions that have to be met in order to estimate dynamic models with RCS. Section 3 describes the data. Section 4 outlines the two-step methodology employed to implement the analysis. Section 5 discusses the main results and policy implications. Section 6 concludes.

¹ Choi and Jerrim (2016) identify the Spanish case as a clear example of the so-called “PISA shock”, that is, the impact of this international assessment on policy-making discourse at the national level.

2. The estimation of dynamic models in the absence of panel data

Building on the idea that the formation of human capital is a cumulative process, the learning contribution of each stage in the educational process is added to the learning acquired in the previous period. Here, we present a methodology for examining the impact of a set of individual and household-level characteristics on reading competencies at age 15/16, considering previous achievement at age 9/10. Educational inequalities may emerge during this process and understanding the evolution of these inequalities and whether they are reduced or not is crucial to improving the education system. In this regard, we assume the following linear autoregressive model, the theoretical properties of which provide a good representation of a cumulative learning process:

$$Y_{i,t} = \alpha_t + \gamma_t Y_{i,t-1} + \beta_t X_i + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad [1]$$

where $Y_{i,t}$ and $Y_{i,t-1}$ account for the performance of student i during two stages of her schooling (i.e., secondary and primary school, respectively), X_i is a set of time-invariant determinants of cognitive skills, and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term. Our aim is to identify how the total effect of the individual and household-level variables on education performance evolves over time. These gross effects are composed of direct effects, as well as of indirect effects working through school and peer characteristics. Other time-variant characteristics are deliberately excluded from the estimation to ensure consistency of the model. Therefore, our set of explanatory variables is time-invariant. In sub-sections 2.1 and 2.2, we address the conditions for the identification and consistent estimation of equation [1] using imputed regression methodology on our sources of data.

To analyse the contribution of each stage of schooling to the competencies acquired by students, we allow our parameters to change over time, given that the effect of the explanatory variables is not expected to be constant over the whole process. Therefore, we need to consider both assessments separately and estimate one equation for each stage of the student's schooling. Then, we can express equation [1] as:

Primary school achievement

$$Y_{i,t-1} = \alpha_{t-1} + \rho X_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-1} \quad [2]$$

Secondary school achievement

$$Y_{i,t} = \alpha_t + \gamma Y_{i,t-1} + \beta X_i + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad [3]$$

We are particularly interested in the parameter β that indicates differentials in achievement between both stages conditioned on primary school performance. Besides, the relation between γ and β measures the evolution of learning inequalities:

- a) If $\gamma \neq 0$, and $\beta = 0$, the effect of the explanatory variables is centred on primary school, and students catch up in secondary school conditioned on previous achievement.
- b) If $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, learning inequalities emerge at secondary school conditioned on primary school achievement.
- c) If γ and β have the same signs, inequalities increase, and if they have opposite signs they decrease or change direction.

2.1. Estimation of the dynamic model in the absence of panel data: imputed regression²

In order to estimate equation [3] as it stands, we need longitudinal data about the students' performance. Unfortunately, this data is not available for Spain so, as an alternative empirical strategy, we use data from independent cross-sectional surveys conducted at primary and secondary schools. Here, we draw on the previous work developed by Moffitt (1993) and, later, by Verbeek and Vella (2005), which discusses the conditions for the identification and consistent estimation of linear dynamic panel data models with RCS. The main challenge is obtaining information about $Y_{i,t-1}$ in the absence of panel data. Basically, Moffitt (1993) proposes replacing the lagged dependent variable $Y_{i,t-1}$ in equation [3] with an estimated value of $\hat{Y}_{i,t-1}$ based on an auxiliary regression on individuals from previous cross-sections that share the same observed characteristics. Moreover, Verbeek and Vella (2005) argue that to obtain consistent estimates, the explanatory variables must be time-invariant, or not auto-correlated time-

² For a discussion of alternative, but less efficient, empirical strategies, see Contini and Grand (in press).

variant variables. Our set up meets this requirement by construction, as all our exogenous variables are time-invariant individual and household characteristics. Furthermore, by including exactly the same set of independent variables in equations [2] and [3], the model is not identified when substituting the lagged dependent value with its correspondent estimate, as $\hat{Y}_{i,t-1}$ is a linear combination of the explanatory variables. Thus, to address issues of multicollinearity, we need to find additional time-invariant regressors, W , that fulfil two specific conditions:

- a) They must be correlated with $Y_{i,t-1}$ and cannot be relevant for $Y_{i,t}$.
- b) They must be observed at each stage of the educational process.

When we impose these conditions upon our model, we obtain the following equations:

$$Y_{i,t-1} = \alpha_{t-1} + \rho X_i + \delta W_i + \varepsilon_{i,t-1} \quad [4]$$

and substituting $Y_{i,t-1}$ by its OLS estimate $\hat{Y}_{i,t-1}$,

$$Y_{i,t} = \alpha_t + \gamma \hat{Y}_{i,t-1} + \beta X_i + [\gamma(Y_{i,t-1} - \hat{Y}_{i,t-1}) + \varepsilon_{i,t}] \quad [5]$$

By including additional regressors, W , that fulfil the above conditions, the measurement error in primary education achievement, $(Y_{i,t-1} - \hat{Y}_{i,t-1})$, is not correlated with the X 's. Besides, the measurement error is also uncorrelated with the lagged dependent variable according to its OLS properties. Hence, our model is identified and OLS estimates can be considered consistent.

2.2. Selection of additional explanatory variables (W)

To the best of our knowledge, only De Simone (2013) using TIMMS and Contini and Grand (in press) drawing on Italian data have applied this methodology to the analysis of achievement inequalities between primary and secondary school.³⁴

³ Our study differs, in the main, from De Simone's (2013) in the identification strategy employed. Besides, we use different independent variables: Secondary school characteristics cannot also be observed during primary school, so we have exclude these from our empirical strategy in order to obtain consistent

Here, we adopt an identification strategy that relies on two variables: month of birth and attendance of pre-primary education. We expect these variables to have a strong impact during early stages of education, while the effect – if any – should operate, during lower secondary schooling, via the students' previous performance. While we are unable to check this condition directly for Spain (again, owing to a lack of longitudinal data), there is an abundant literature indicating that both are suitable variables.

In the case of the first variable (month of birth), Crawford et al. (2007b, 2013) and Robertson (2011) report that the differences in academic performance attributable to this variable diminish as children grow older. But while Crawford et al. (2007b) find these differences still to be significant at age 16, Robertson (2011) shows that the gap has been eliminated by eighth grade (age 13/14). A more detailed discussion on the suitability of using month of birth as a means for identification can be found in Contini and Grand (in press).

As for the second identification variable⁵, there is an established strand in the Economics of Education literature that investigates the effect of school-entry age on educational achievement and other outcomes. A common finding is that attendance of pre-primary education has a large positive effect during lower grades, but that it weakens over time (Bedard and Dhuey, 2006; Black et al., 2011; Fletcher and Kim, 2016). Crawford et al. (2007a) found that the large and significant differences observed in educational performances do not lead to pervasive differences in adulthood. Likewise, Elder and Lubotsky (2009) present evidence that age-related differences in academic performance dissipate as children advance in their schooling, the authors attributing most of the initial differences to the accumulation of skills before children enter kindergarten.

estimates. Similarly, we do not consider variables related to student behaviour at secondary school for fear of endogeneity problems.

⁴ Contini and Grand (in press) rely on the use of one additional regressor to identify the model, whereas we include two in order to increase the efficiency of our estimates.

⁵ We checked, in our auxiliary database, the correlation between attendance of pre-primary education and the socio-economic proxies used (below .15), as a strong association between the two would have reduced its validity as an identification variable.

3. Data

Since the 1990s, Spain has participated in various international assessments gathering cross-sectional information on student performance in relation to a number of competencies. Having specified above the conditions for applying an RCS strategy, it is clear that we need to identify at least two assessments that i) follow the same cohort of Spanish students at different points in time; ii) measure performance in similar competencies; and iii) include the same information about the students' characteristics and background. Below, we discuss the suitability of PIRLS 2006 and PISA 2012 for performing this analysis.

The OECD's PISA assesses the reading, mathematics, science and problem-solving competencies of 15-year-old students, on a triennial basis. However, it does not follow the evolution of students over time and it provides no information regarding their previous achievement. A total of 65 countries, 34 belonging to the OECD and 31 partner countries, participated in the PISA 2012 assessment (OECD, 2014a). PISA 2012 assessed students born in 1996, that is, in the case of Spain, students who are typically enrolled in their last year of compulsory secondary school (ESO).

PIRLS, conducted every five years by the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA), assesses student reading achievement in fourth grade and, in 2006, was implemented in 40 countries. As such, our analysis focuses solely on reading competencies⁶. PIRLS and PISA are regarded as being representative at the national level, share similar sampling designs and response rates⁷ and, interestingly for our purposes here, most students participating in PIRLS 2006 were born during 1996 and so belong to the same cohort as PISA 2012 students. However, certain adjustments had to be made to enhance comparability of the two assessments. In the case of the PIRLS database, we discarded those students not born in 1996, so that none of our final sample had repeated a grade during primary school. Likewise, we also removed from the PISA database students that reported having repeated at least one grade during primary school. Additionally, we eliminated from PISA 2012 first generation immigrants who reported arriving in Spain after year 2006 – and who, as a result, could not have participated in PIRLS 2006. However, this means our having to

⁶ Unfortunately, Spain did not participate in the 2007 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) and so we are unable to replicate the analysis for maths and science.

⁷ Further details can be found in Mullis et al. (2007) and in OECD (2014b).

assume there was no international mobility of students during the period. As will be seen, we impose one more restriction: we assume no cross-regional mobility within Spain during the period.

Throughout the following analysis, we account for the clustering of children within schools in both assessments by making the appropriate adjustment to the estimated standard errors (using either the STATA ‘repest’ or ‘pv’ survey command). Weights, which attempt to correct for bias induced by non-response, while also scaling the sample up to the size of the national population, have been applied throughout the analysis.

As discussed, our strategy is to treat the results from PIRLS 2006 (the auxiliary sample) as an indicator of student reading competencies towards the end of primary school, and those from PISA 2012 (the main sample) as an indicator of reading competencies towards the end of compulsory secondary school⁸. However, there are differences between the skills being measured by the two assessments: PIRLS focuses upon children’s reading performance in an internationally agreed curriculum; PISA focuses on reading competencies – that is, the use of skills in everyday situations. Jerrim and Choi (2014, p.353) in discussing the two, conclude that we cannot rule out the possibility of there being some ‘subtle’ differences in the precise skills being measured. As such, we recognize this limitation and proceed with due caution.

Differences also occur in the respective score metrics used by PIRLS and PISA. Although they both use a set of five plausible values for measuring reading competencies, with a mean of 500 and a standard deviation of 100, the assessments base the performance scores on two different sets of countries. This means the results are not directly comparable, as the countries participating in the two assessments are not the same. We overcome this by adopting the approach proposed by Brown et al. (2007), that is, we transform the test scores from each survey into international z-scores with mean 0 and a standard deviation 1, across the 25 jurisdictions participating in PIRLS and PISA.

Finally, PIRLS and PISA provide comparable information on time-invariant student background characteristics, which are required to estimate the evolution of performance gaps across time. School characteristics, which are also available in the two assessments, are not used, as the individuals in the RCS differ. Moreover, the names of

⁸ Compulsory education in Spain begins at age 6 and comprises six years of primary education and four years of lower secondary education.

the schools are coded in both assessments and, even if we were able to identify them, it would not be possible to link the primary schools in PIRLS to the students in PISA. Both assessments provide information on gender, month of birth, attendance of pre-primary education, place of birth of students and their parents, and background characteristics. We proxy SES using two variables: the highest level of parental education and the number of books in the home. The choice between these variables is not trivial. Bukodi and Golthorpe (2012) discuss the independent and distinctive effects of the different components of socioeconomic status. The positive relationship between the education of the former and that of their children has been studied in depth by the intergenerational mobility literature (Holmlund et al., 2011). In the case of the number of books in the home, Jerrim and Micklewright (2014) have raised some concerns, which we acknowledge here, as to whether it is a robust proxy for SES and regarding the accuracy of its measurement. However, given the fact that this variable books has been frequently used as a proxy for SES (Hanushek and Wößmann, 2011, Schütz et al., 2008, among others), we estimate our models twice, employing the two variables separately.

Finally, in line with Contini and Grand (in press), we introduce regional (*Comunidad Autónoma*) dummies; in other words, we assume that students did not migrate across regions during the 2006-2012 period. Multiple imputation by chained equations (MICE) algorithm (Royston and White, 2011; StataCorp, 2013) is applied in both databases to account for missing data⁹.

4. Methodology

Below, we specify the application of the two-step methodology adopted here to create a pseudo-panel that combines microdata from two international cross-sectional databases, namely, PIRLS 2006 and PISA 2012. These two tools assess the same cohort of students at two different moments in time: when the students are 9/10 (2006) and when they are 15/16 (2012).

⁹ Precise details on the imputation models used are available from the authors upon request.

4.1. First stage: estimating achievement at age 9/10

Our aim in the first stage is to estimate predicted reading skills of students aged 15/16 in 2012, taking into account their performance six years earlier. Thus, using PIRLS 2006 data, we first estimate the determinants of their academic achievement in reading at age 9/10. In this linear model, the dependent variable takes into account the five plausible reading scores provided by PIRLS, while the independent variables comprise a battery of individual and household-level time-invariant variables, available and identical in both PIRLS (2006) and PISA (2012) – summary statistics are presented in Tables A1 and A2 in the Appendix, respectively. The results of the education production function in PIRLS are shown in Table 1.

[INSERT TABLE 1 AROUND HERE]

All the variables included are significant, with the exception of gender and some of the dummies for the regional variables. Their coefficients report the expected sign and values. In primary education, there appears to be no gender differences in relation to reading scores. Belonging to an immigrant household (first or second generation) has a negative influence on scores, as does having attended ISCED0 (pre-primary) for less than one year and being born in the final months of the year. In contrast, a household's socio-economic background, proxied through the parents' highest levels of education (or the number of books in the home – Table A3 in the Annex, first column) are significantly related to children obtaining higher reading scores. As in similar studies (Contini and Grand, in press), the model's goodness-of-fit is not high, as time-variant and school level variables are not included in the analysis.

4.2. Second stage: estimating achievement at age 15/16

In the second stage, we apply the parameters obtained in the first regression to the PISA sample and obtain the predicted value that a student in this PISA database would have obtained on PIRLS. To do so, we add an additional column to the PISA 2012 database: i.e. the student's predicted score on PIRLS 2006. The predicted z-scores of the earlier achievement in reading are, for PISA 2012, an average of 0.151 points with a standard deviation of 0.326 points.

With this information, we are now in a position to work with the PISA 2012 database. We estimate a linear model in which the five plausible values for reading competencies provided by PISA¹⁰ depend on the set of individual and household variables included in PIRLS – excluding our two identification variables, *Attended ISCED0* and *Month of Birth*. More specifically, we estimate three models of reading achievement: first, a static cross-sectional model; second, a dynamic model (which includes previous achievement); and, third, a dynamic model that incorporates a grade retention variable. It should be borne in mind here that other characteristics (e.g. type of school attended) are intentionally not controlled, so that the parameters proxy all the channels via which family background influences the students’ test performance¹¹.

The results of the three models are shown in Table 2. To check the robustness of the household socio-economic background proxy, these estimates were replicated with the “Books at home” and similar results were obtained (Table A3 in the Appendix).

[INSERT TABLE 2 AROUND HERE]

Our PIRLS sample consists of 2,381 individuals and the PISA sample contains 21,230. While the PISA sample is close to the size Contini and Grand (in press) consider optimal for obtaining reliable estimates (30,000), the PIRLS sample size may be cause for concern. However, as long as the PIRLS sample represents the total population (which is the case here), given the aim of the first stage (namely, obtaining consistent estimates for imputing predicted previous performance), sample size is not a critical issue.

Indeed, in the two-sample two-stage least squares (TSTSLS) methodology (Arellano and Meghir, 1992) applied in the earnings mobility literature, and which is theoretically similar to the approach we adopt here, sample size in the first-stage auxiliary database is frequently considerably smaller than that of the main sample. This strand of the

¹⁰ Following Hox (1995) and OECD (2104b), we take into account the five plausible values, set of weights and nested nature of PISA.

¹¹ A discussion of the different channels via which SES can affect academic performance can be found in Willms (2006).

literature, as well as Contini and Grand (in press), stress the importance therefore of the correct selection of the imputed variables¹².

5. Results and discussion

Table 2 shows the results from the static model and two dynamic specifications, in the second of which we incorporate grade retention information. The results displayed in the first column of Table 2 – that is, the estimates of the static model corresponding to equation [3] – show that most of our explanatory variables are statistically significant, have a substantial effect on achievement and present the expected signs. Individual socio-economic characteristics, measured by parental education and immigrant condition, are strong predictors of performance and indicators of the presence of marked educational inequalities at this stage. Likewise, female students perform decidedly better than males.

The static specification is especially informative about the learning differences in place at age 15/16. However, as the specific aim of our study is to analyse how these inequalities evolve over time, the results derived from the dynamic model are of more interest. Thus, if we examine the pseudo-panel estimates in the second column of Table 2, we observe that previous academic achievement has a strong and significant effect on secondary school performance. Gender and immigrant condition inequalities seem to accumulate during secondary school, as the corresponding coefficients have similar magnitudes and are statistically significant. However, the value of the coefficient for first generation immigrants falls when we control for previous achievement, suggesting that the poor performance of these students is generated at an earlier stage in the education system. Interestingly, the estimates for the variables of a family's socio-economic background present a sizable reduction in magnitude when we condition on primary school achievement. The magnitude of this reduction depends on the SES variable chosen; thus, we find a greater reduction for parental education than for number of books in the home. This result indicates that socio-economic characteristics affect secondary school performance through their impact on earlier academic achievement. Students from more disadvantaged family backgrounds perform worse in primary

¹² Jerrim et al. (2016) analyse the robustness of the TSTSLS methodology and provide a recent review of articles using this approach. They also review the sample sizes of the main and auxiliary databases employed in these articles.

education and this seems to operate as a transmission mechanism that increases inequalities in secondary education.

In the dynamic specification, it should be borne in mind that the model is estimated on children from the 1996 birth cohort. This means we exclude children who have repeated a grade during primary school. The potential sample selection bias that might be generated by this exclusion will affect our independent variables and, as such, will not generate unbiased estimates, although the standard errors will be larger.

Finally, we re-estimate the dynamic model, incorporating grade retention at the lower secondary school level as a covariate (column 3 of Table 2). While our empirical strategy does not allow us to determinate causality, it does show that grade repetition during the lower secondary education has a negative association with performance at age 15/16 (even after controlling for prior performance, an exercise which has hitherto not been performed, to the best of our knowledge, for Spain¹³). This result lends further support to the recommendations of Choi and Calero (2013) and Liddell and Rae (2001), among others, who argue for the need to introduce alternative measures to grade retention, given the ineffectiveness of grade retention in increasing academic performance.

6. Conclusions

This article has sought to 1) assess the evolution of educational inequalities between primary and lower secondary education in Spain; and, 2) explore the utility and limitations of RCS for undertaking dynamic analyses of academic performance in the absence of longitudinal data.

As regards the first of these objectives, our results stress the relevance of achievement at early stages of the education system: receiving early childhood education (ages 0-3) has a positive effect on reading competencies at age 9/10, which in turn affects performance at age 15/16. Being able to incorporate previous achievement into the analysis reveals an important finding for Spanish policymakers: SES-based inequalities in reading competencies are already present at age 9/10 and appear to become more marked during lower secondary schooling. The achievement gap between native and immigrant

¹³ Prior student academic performance has been identified by the literature as one of the main predictors of grade retention in both developed (Bali et al., 2005; Ferguson et al., 2001; Frey, 2005; Wilson and Hughes, 2009) and developing countries (Chen et al., 2010; Liddell and Rae, 2001; Gomes Neto and Hanushek, 1994).

students also increases between ages 9/10 and 15/16, but is narrowed when previous achievement is incorporated into the static framework. These results stress the importance of early intervention for improving performance during compulsory secondary education and for tackling educational inequalities. They also seem to indicate, in line with Choi and Jerrim (2016), that the latest education reform act passed in Spain may be misfocused and that more emphasis should have been given to reforming lower levels of the education system, where most problems seem to concentrate. For example, extending compulsory education to early childhood and introducing targeted measures at the primary school level may at the same time help enhance academic performance and reduce educational gaps. Our results also suggest that Spanish education authorities need to reconsider the systematic application of grade retention in secondary schools, as grade repetition during lower secondary education negatively affects students' subsequent performance, even after controlling for their prior performance at primary school.

As for the second of our objectives, we have reported an applied example of the potential and limitations of RCS for assessing achievement dynamic models. Our strategy has shown that, in the absence of panel data, the use of RCS may be a valid strategy for identifying specific points in the educational system when different types of inequalities are generated. However, our findings need to be treated with some caution, given a number of limitations. Here, specifically, the small set of time-invariant individual characteristics constrains the types of inequality we have been able to analyse. Moreover, although not a feature exclusive to this empirical strategy, our results may be sensitive to small differences in the definitions of variables between cross-sections. And, finally, the estimation of achievement dynamic models from RCS using international assessments is currently restricted a) to mathematical, scientific and reading competencies (given that these tools focus solely on these cognitive competencies), which means other relevant cognitive and non-cognitive competencies are excluded; and, b) to primary and lower secondary education levels (the levels that international institutions such as the OECD and IEA focus their attention). Future research needs to analyse the magnitude of these limitations and, in this regard, replicating analyses in countries where both longitudinal and RCS data are available may be highly fruitful. Whatever the case, this article has shown that, in the absence of longitudinal data, the use of RCS should be considered by policymakers as a valid alternative for designing evidence-based reforms.

References

- Arellano, M., and Meghir, C. (1992). Female labour supply and on-the-job search: an empirical model estimated using complementary data sets. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 59: 537–559.
- Bali, V., Anagnostopoulos, D. and Roberts, R. (2005). Toward a Political Explanation of Grade Retention. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 27 (2): 133-55.
- Bedard, K. and Dhuey, E. (2006). The persistence of early maturity: international evidence of long-run age effects. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106: 1737-1772. DOI: 10.1093/qje/121.4.1437
- Black, S., Devereux, P. and Salvanes, K. (2011). Too Young to Leave the Nest? The Effects of School Starting Age. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 93: 455-467. DOI: 10.1162/REST_a_00081
- Brown, G., Micklewright, J., Schnepf, S.V., and Waldmann, R. (2007). International Surveys of Educational Achievement: How Robust are the Findings? *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A*, 170: 623–646. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-985X.2006.00439.x
- Bukodi, E. and Goldthorpe, J.H. (2012). Decomposing ‘social origins’: The effects of parents’ class, status and educational on the educational attainment of their children. *European Sociological Review*, 29(5):1024-1039.
- Chen, X., Liu, C., Zhang, L., Shi, Y. and Rozelle, S. (2010). Does taking one step back get you two steps forward? Grade retention and school performance in poor areas in rural China. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 30: 544-59.
- Choi, Á. and Calero, J. (2013). Determinantes del riesgo de fracaso escolar en España en PISA-2009 y propuestas de reforma, *Revista de Educación*, 362: 562-93.
- Choi, Á. and Jerrim, J. (2016). The use and (misuse) of PISA in guiding policy reform: evidence from Spain. *Comparative Education*, 52(2): 230-245. DOI: 10.1080/03050068.2016.1142739
- Contini, D. and Grand, E. (in press). On estimating achievement dynamic models from repeated cross sections. *Sociological Methods & Research*. DOI: 10.1177/0049124115613773
- Crawford, C., Dearden, L. and Greaves, E. (2007a). The impact of age within academic year on adult outcomes. *IFS Working Paper W13/07*.

- Crawford, C., Dearden, L. and Meghir, C. (2007b). When you are born matters: the impact of date of birth on child cognitive outcomes in England. *Report*. London: Centre for the Economics of Education.
- Crawford, C., Dearden, L. and Greaves, E. (2013). When you are born matters: evidence for England. *Report R80*. London: Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- Cunha, F., Heckman, J.J, and Schennach, S.M. (2010). Estimating the technology of cognitive and noncognitive skill formation. *Econometrica*, 78 (3): 883-931. DOI: 10.3982/ECTA6551
- De Simone, G. (2013). Render unto primary the things which are primary's: Inherited and fresh learning divides in Italian secondary education. *Economics of Education Review*, 35: 12-23. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2013.03.002>
- Elder, T.E. and Lubotsky, D.H. (2009). Kindergarten entrance age and children's achievement: Impact of state policies, family background and peers. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 44: 641-683. DOI: 10.3368/jhr.44.3.641
- Fletcher, J., and Kim, T. (2016). The effect of changes in kindergarten entry age policies on educational achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 50: 45-62. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2015.11.004>
- Ferguson, P., Jimerson, S.R. and Dalton, M.J. (2001). Sorting Out Successful Failures: Exploratory Analyses of Factors Associated with Academic and Behavioral Outcomes of Retained Students. *Psychology in the Schools*, 38 (4): 327-41.
- Frey, N. (2005). Retention, social promotion and academic redshirting: What do we know and need to know? *Remedial and Special Education*, 26 (6):332-46.
- Gomes-Neto, J.B., and Hanushek, E.A. (1994). Causes and Consequences of Grade Repetition: Evidence from Brazil. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 43(1):117-48.
- Hanushek, E., and Wößmann, L. (2011). "The Economics of International Differences in Educational Achievement". In Hanushek, EA, Machin, S. and Wößmann, L. (eds): *Handbook of Economics of Education*, vol.3: 89-200. St.Louis (MO): Elsevier.
- Holmlund, H., Lindahl, M. and Plug, E. (2011). The causal effects of parents' schooling on children's schooling: A comparison of estimation methods. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 49 (3): 615-651. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/jel.49.3.615>

- Hox, J. (1995). *Applied Multilevel Analysis*. Amsterdam: TT-Publikaties.
- Jerrim, J. and Choi, Á. (2014). The mathematics skills of school children: how does England compare to the high-performing East Asian jurisdictions? *Journal of Education Policy*, 29(3): 349-376. DOI: 10.1080/02680939.2013.831950
- Jerrim, J., Choi, Á, and Simancas, R. (2016). Two-Sample Two-Stage Least Squares (TSTSLs) Estimates of Earnings Mobility: How consistent are they? *Survey Research Methods*, 10 (2): 85-102. DOI: 10.18148/srm/2016.v10i2.6277
- Jerrim, J. and Micklewright, J. (2014). Socioeconomic Gradients in Children's Cognitive Skills: Are Cross-Country Comparisons Robust to Who Reports Family Background? *European Sociological Review*, 30 (6): 766-781. DOI: 10.1093/esr/jcu072
- Liddell, C. and Rae, G. (2001). Predicting Early Grade Retention: A Longitudinal Investigation of Primary School Progress in a Sample of Rural South African Children. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71:413–28.
- Moffitt, R. (1993). Identification and estimation of dynamic models with a time series of repeated cross-sections. *Journal of Econometrics*, 59: 99-123. DOI: 10.1016/0304-4076(93)90041-3
- Mullis, I.V.S., Martin, M.O., Kennedy, A.M. and Foy. P. (2007). *PIRLS 2006 International Report*. Boston, MA: Lynch School of Education, Boston College.
- OECD (2014a). *PISA 2012 Results in Focus: What 15-year-olds know and what they can do with what they know*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD (2014b). *PISA 2012 Technical report*. Paris: OECD.
- Robertson, E. (2011). The effects of quarter of birth on academic outcomes at the elementary school level. *Economics of Education Review*, 30: 300–311. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2010.10.005>
- Royston, P. and White, I. (2011). Multiple Imputation by Chained Equations (MICE): Implementation in STATA. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 45: 1-20. DOI: 10.18637/jss.v045.i04
- Schütz, G., Ursprung, H. and Wößmann, L. (2008). Education Policy and Equality of Opportunity. *Kyklos* 61 (2): 279–308. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-6435.2008.00402.x
- StataCorp. (2013). *Stata Multiple Imputation: Reference Manual. Release 13*. Texas: Stata Press.

- Verbeek, M. and Vella, F. (2005). Estimating dynamic models from repeated cross-sections. *Journal of Econometrics*, 127 (1): 83-102. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2004.06.004>
- Willms, D. (2006). *Learning divides. Ten policy questions about the performance and equity of schools and schooling systems*. Montreal: UNESCO.
- Wilson, V. and Hughes, J. (2009). Who Is Retained in First Grade? A Psychosocial Perspective. *Elementary School Journal*, 109 (3): 251-66.

Table 1. Estimates of the cross-sectional model at age 9/10.

	Coef.	S.E.
Gender (Girl)	-0.002	-0.033
Immigrant household: first generation	-0.323***	-0.091
Immigrant household: second generation	-0.154**	-0.072
Parents' highest level of education (ISCED 3)	0.296***	-0.054
Parents' highest level of education (ISCED 4)	0.416***	-0.072
Parents' highest level of education (ISCED 5+)	0.606***	-0.047
Attended ISCED0 less than one year	-0.153*	-0.079
Month of birth	-0.021***	-0.005
Aragón	0.241	-0.210
Asturias	0.831***	-0.149
Baleares	-0.053	-0.062
Canarias	-0.239**	-0.106
Cantabria	-0.026	-0.088
Castilla la Mancha	0.019	-0.059
Castilla León	0.184*	-0.105
Catalunya	0.051	-0.071
Com. Valenciana	0.059	-0.090
Extremadura	-0.044	-0.238
Galicia	0.207	-0.186
Madrid	0.288***	-0.084
Murcia	-0.009	-0.143
Navarra	0.143	-0.431
País Vasco	-0.155	-0.168
La Rioja	0.086	-0.253
Ceuta y Melilla	-0.346***	-0.108
Constant	-0.179***	-0.064
Observations	2,381	
R-squared	0.181	

Source: Based on PIRLS (2006).

Category of reference: Non-immigrant household, parents' highest level of education (ISCED 2), attended ISCED0 for one year or more, region of residence: Andalucía.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 2. Estimation of cross-sectional and pseudo-panel data models.

	Cross-section		Dynamic		Dynamic with grade retention	
	Coef.	S.E.	Coef.	S.E.	Coef.	S.E.
Previous achievement in Primary			0.432***	0.104	0.306***	0.096
Gender (Girl)	0.231***	0.022	0.230***	0.022	0.202***	0.019
Immigrant household: Second generation	-0.389***	0.086	-0.313***	0.086	-0.258***	0.085
Immigrant household: First generation	-0.375***	0.061	-0.223***	0.075	-0.147**	0.069
Parents' highest level of education (ISCED 3)	0.006	0.062	-0.122	0.076	-0.084	0.066
Parents' highest level of education (ISCED 4)	0.221***	0.028	0.042	0.045	0.024	0.046
Parents' highest level of education (ISCED 5+)	0.363***	0.031	0.101	0.067	0.058	0.063
Repeated once during lower secondary education					-0.669***	0.025
Repeated more than once during lower secondary education					-0.946***	0.086
Aragón	0.121*	0.063	0.013	0.067	0.047	0.064
Asturias	0.156***	0.057	-0.211**	0.105	-0.139	0.097
Baleares	0.044	0.056	0.063	0.056	0.049	0.054
Canarias	-0.221	0.089	-0.126	0.091	-0.141*	0.080
Cantabria	-0.021	0.049	-0.016	0.049	-0.024	0.046
Castilla la Mancha	0.243***	0.092	0.228**	0.091	0.184**	0.082
Castilla León	0.193***	0.058	0.106*	0.060	0.137**	0.056
Catalunya	0.121**	0.056	0.092	0.056	0.043	0.058
Com. Valenciana	-0.070	0.085	-0.101	0.085	-0.064	0.086
Extremadura	-0.137**	0.057	-0.125**	0.057	-0.090*	0.052
Galicia	0.159***	0.054	0.066	0.058	0.091*	0.055
Madrid	0.264***	0.061	0.135**	0.067	0.161**	0.065
Murcia	-0.028	0.056	-0.030	0.055	-0.031	0.055
Navarra	0.229***	0.050	0.166***	0.052	0.125**	0.049
País Vasco	0.097**	0.042	0.164***	0.045	0.083*	0.043
La Rioja	0.101**	0.044	0.056	0.044	0.072*	0.042
Ceuta y Melilla	-0.748**	0.330	-0.604*	0.331	-0.673**	0.319
Constant	-0.307***	0.051	-0.158**	0.061	0.042	0.059

Source: Based on PISA (2012)

Category of reference: Non-immigrant household, student did not repeat during secondary level, parents' highest level of education (ISCED 2), attended ISCED0 for one year or more, region of residence: Andalucía.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table A1. Summary statistics: PIRLS (2006)

	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.	N
Reading Score					
Plausible value 1	0.013	0.805	-3.459	2.525	2,381
Plausible value 2	0.016	0.788	-3.884	2.646	2,381
Plausible value 3	0.014	0.806	-3.157	2.795	2,381
Plausible value 4	0.019	0.799	-2.769	2.500	2,381
Plausible value 5	0.016	0.797	-3.460	2.181	2,381
Female	0.520	0.500	0	1	2,381
Household immigrant status					
Non-immigrant	0.844	0.363	0	1	2,381
First generation	0.077	0.267	0	1	2,381
Second generation	0.079	0.269	0	1	2,381
Books at home					
0-25	0.198	0.198	0	1	2,381
26-100	0.346	0.346	0	1	2,381
101-200	0.188	0.188	0	1	2,381
More than 200	0.268	0.268	0	1	2,381
Parents' highest level of education					
ISCED2	0.296	0.296	0	1	2,381
ISCED3	0.278	0.278	0	1	2,381
ISCED4	0.122	0.121	0	1	2,381
ISCED5+	0.304	0.304	0	1	2,381
Month of Birth					
January	0.083	0.083	0	1	2,381
February	0.094	0.094	0	1	2,381
March	0.091	0.091	0	1	2,381
April	0.084	0.084	0	1	2,381
May	0.084	0.084	0	1	2,381
June	0.078	0.078	0	1	2,381
July	0.078	0.078	0	1	2,381
August	0.071	0.071	0	1	2,381
September	0.082	0.082	0	1	2,381
October	0.082	0.082	0	1	2,381
November	0.087	0.087	0	1	2,381
December	0.086	0.086	0	1	2,381
Attended ISCED0					
Less than 1 year	0.073	0.260	0	1	2,381
Region					
Andalucía	0.235	0.424	0	1	2,381
Aragón	0.332	0.179	0	1	2,381
Asturias	0.148	0.121	0	1	2,381
Baleares	0.257	0.158	0	1	2,381
Canarias	0.556	0.229	0	1	2,381
Cantabria	0.069	0.083	0	1	2,381
Cast Mancha	0.412	0.199	0	1	2,381
Cast. León	0.383	0.192	0	1	2,381
Catalunya	0.195	0.397	0	1	2,381
Com. Valenciana	0.109	0.313	0	1	2,381
Extremadura	0.101	0.100	0	1	2,381
Galicia	0.404	0.197	0	1	2,381
Madrid	0.981	0.298	0	1	2,381
Murcia	0.367	0.188	0	1	2,381
Navarra	0.171	0.130	0	1	2,381
País Vasco	0.277	0.164	0	1	2,381
La Rioja	0.069	0.083	0	1	2,381
Ceuta y Melilla	0.063	0.079	0	1	2,381

Source: Based on PIRLS (2006)

Table A2. Summary statistics: PISA (2012)

	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.	N
Reading score					
Plausible value 1	0.108	0.797	-3.856	3.220	21,230
Plausible value 2	0.104	0.803	-3.733	3.038	21,230
Plausible value 3	0.106	0.802	-3.655	3.267	21,230
Plausible value 4	0.109	0.804	-3.972	3.121	21,230
Plausible value 5	0.104	0.801	-4.233	2.969	21,230
Female	0.509	0.500	0	1	21,230
Household immigrant status					
Non-immigrant	0.930	0.255	0	1	21,230
First generation	0.057	0.231	0	1	21,230
Second generation	0.013	0.113	0	1	21,230
Grade retention					
Repeated once during lower secondary	0.186	0.389	0	1	21,230
Repeated more than once in secondary	0.020	0.139	0	1	21,230
Books at home					
0-25	0.184	0.388	0	1	21,230
26-100	0.326	0.469	0	1	21,230
101-200	0.229	0.420	0	1	21,230
More than 200	0.261	0.439	0	1	21,230
Parents' highest level of education					
ISCED2	0.216	0.412	0	1	21,230
ISCED3	0.018	0.134	0	1	21,230
ISCED4	0.252	0.434	0	1	21,230
ISCED 5+	0.513	0.500	0	1	21,230
Month of Birth	6.435	3.457	1	12	21,230
Attended ISCED0 less than 1 year	0.113	0.316	0	1	21,230
Region					
Andalucía	0.200	0.400	0	1	21,230
Aragón	0.026	0.158	0	1	21,230
Asturias	0.020	0.139	0	1	21,230
Baleares	0.020	0.142	0	1	21,230
Canarias	0.034	0.182	0	1	21,230
Cantabria	0.012	0.107	0	1	21,230
Castilla Mancha	0.051	0.221	0	1	21,230
Castilla León	0.050	0.217	0	1	21,230
Catalunya	0.164	0.370	0	1	21,230
Com. Valenciana	0.115	0.319	0	1	21,230
Extremadura	0.027	0.161	0	1	21,230
Galicia	0.050	0.218	0	1	21,230
Madrid	0.131	0.337	0	1	21,230
Murcia	0.031	0.173	0	1	21,230
Navarra	0.014	0.119	0	1	21,230
País Vasco	0.045	0.208	0	1	21,230
La Rioja	0.007	0.083	0	1	21,230
Ceuta y Melilla	0.004	0.061	0	1	21,230

Source: Based on PISA (2012)

Table A3. Estimates of cross-sectional and pseudo-panel data models

	Age 9/10		Age 15/16					
	Cross-section		Cross-section		Dynamic		Dynamic with grade retention	
	Coef.	S.E.	Coef.	S.E.	Coef.	S.E.	Coef.	S.E.
Previous achievement in primary					0.347***	0.096	0.253***	0.089
Gender (Girl)	-0.008	-0.031	0.208***	0.022	0.209***	0.022	0.187***	0.019
Immigrant household: Second generation	-0.211**	-0.099	-0.272***	0.086	-0.223**	0.086	-0.190**	0.088
Immigrant household: First generation	-0.115	-0.070	-0.166***	0.060	-0.080***	0.066	-0.036	0.063
Books at home (26-100)	0.117*	-0.065	0.345***	0.026	0.302***	0.030	0.234***	0.033
Books at home (101-200)	0.462***	-0.073	0.576***	0.031	0.412***	0.054	0.329***	0.054
Books at home (More than 200)	0.510***	-0.060	0.711***	0.031	0.529***	0.058	0.425***	0.059
Attended ISCED0 less than one year	-0.197***	-0.076						
Month of birth	-0.0215***	-0.005						
Repeated once during lower secondary education							-0.620***	0.023
Repeated more than once lower secondary education							-0.879***	0.088
Aragón	0.250	-0.243	0.062	0.058	-0.029	0.063	0.005	0.061
Asturias	0.799***	-0.185	0.144***	0.055	-0.141	0.097	-0.099	0.090
Baleares	-0.072	-0.065	-0.025	0.054	-0.004	0.055	-0.007	0.052
Canarias	-0.204*	-0.116	-0.120	0.088	-0.058	0.090	-0.085	0.077
Cantabria	-0.092	-0.126	-0.053	0.046	-0.027	0.045	-0.039	0.043
Castilla la Mancha	-0.012	-0.062	0.172*	0.097	0.170*	0.097	0.139	0.085
Castilla León	0.176	-0.108	0.147***	0.053	0.079	0.056	0.109**	0.053
Catalunya	0.062	-0.076	0.123**	0.053	0.095*	0.054	0.044	0.056
Com. Valenciana	0.086	-0.086	-0.071	0.086	-0.105	0.086	-0.072	0.088
Extremadura	-0.013	-0.350	-0.174***	0.054	-0.176***	0.053	-0.132***	0.049
Galicia	0.205	-0.194	0.116**	0.051	0.041	0.055	0.065	0.052
Madrid	0.301***	-0.086	0.221***	0.057	0.111*	0.062	0.134**	0.061
Murcia	0.012	-0.163	-0.005	0.052	-0.015	0.052	-0.019	0.052
Navarra	0.202	-0.463	0.212***	0.046	0.141***	0.050	0.103**	0.047
País Vasco	-0.030	-0.179	0.068*	0.037	0.080**	0.037	0.018	0.036
La Rioja	0.080	-0.382	0.061	0.041	0.025	0.041	0.042	0.039
Ceuta y Melilla	-0.319***	-0.107	-0.660*	0.342	-0.554	0.343	-0.632*	0.330
Constant	-0.140*	-0.075	-0.479***	0.047	-0.367***	0.058	-0.151***	0.057

Source: Based on PIRLS (2006) and PISA (2012)

Category of reference: Non-immigrant household, student did not repeat during secondary level, parents' highest level of education (ISCED 2), attended ISCED0 for one year or more, region of residence: Andalucía.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

2012

- 2012/1, **Montolio, D.; Trujillo, E.:** "What drives investment in telecommunications? The role of regulation, firms' internationalization and market knowledge"
- 2012/2, **Giesen, K.; Suedekum, J.:** "The size distribution across all "cities": a unifying approach"
- 2012/3, **Foremny, D.; Riedel, N.:** "Business taxes and the electoral cycle"
- 2012/4, **García-Estévez, J.; Duch-Brown, N.:** "Student graduation: to what extent does university expenditure matter?"
- 2012/5, **Durán-Cabré, J.M.; Esteller-Moré, A.; Salvadori, L.:** "Empirical evidence on horizontal competition in tax enforcement"
- 2012/6, **Pickering, A.C.; Rockey, J.:** "Ideology and the growth of US state government"
- 2012/7, **Vergolini, L.; Zanini, N.:** "How does aid matter? The effect of financial aid on university enrolment decisions"
- 2012/8, **Backus, P.:** "Gibrat's law and legacy for non-profit organisations: a non-parametric analysis"
- 2012/9, **Jofre-Monseny, J.; Marín-López, R.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "What underlies localization and urbanization economies? Evidence from the location of new firms"
- 2012/10, **Mantovani, A.; Vandekerckhove, J.:** "The strategic interplay between bundling and merging in complementary markets"
- 2012/11, **García-López, M.A.:** "Urban spatial structure, suburbanization and transportation in Barcelona"
- 2012/12, **Revelli, F.:** "Business taxation and economic performance in hierarchical government structures"
- 2012/13, **Arqué-Castells, P.; Mohnen, P.:** "Sunk costs, extensive R&D subsidies and permanent inducement effects"
- 2012/14, **Boffa, F.; Piolatto, A.; Ponzetto, G.:** "Centralization and accountability: theory and evidence from the Clean Air Act"
- 2012/15, **Cheshire, P.C.; Hilber, C.A.L.; Kaplanis, I.:** "Land use regulation and productivity – land matters: evidence from a UK supermarket chain"
- 2012/16, **Choi, A.; Calero, J.:** "The contribution of the disabled to the attainment of the Europe 2020 strategy headline targets"
- 2012/17, **Silva, J.I.; Vázquez-Grenno, J.:** "The ins and outs of unemployment in a two-tier labor market"
- 2012/18, **González-Val, R.; Lanaspa, L.; Sanz, F.:** "New evidence on Gibrat's law for cities"
- 2012/19, **Vázquez-Grenno, J.:** "Job search methods in times of crisis: native and immigrant strategies in Spain"
- 2012/20, **Lessmann, C.:** "Regional inequality and decentralization – an empirical analysis"
- 2012/21, **Nuevo-Chiquero, A.:** "Trends in shotgun marriages: the pill, the will or the cost?"
- 2012/22, **Piil Damm, A.:** "Neighborhood quality and labor market outcomes: evidence from quasi-random neighborhood assignment of immigrants"
- 2012/23, **Ploeckl, F.:** "Space, settlements, towns: the influence of geography and market access on settlement distribution and urbanization"
- 2012/24, **Algan, Y.; Hémet, C.; Laitin, D.:** "Diversity and local public goods: a natural experiment with exogenous residential allocation"
- 2012/25, **Martínez, D.; Sjögren, T.:** "Vertical externalities with lump-sum taxes: how much difference does unemployment make?"
- 2012/26, **Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.:** "The effect of within-group inequality in a conflict against a unitary threat"
- 2012/27, **Andini, M.; De Blasio, G.; Durantón, G.; Strange, W.C.:** "Marshallian labor market pooling: evidence from Italy"
- 2012/28, **Solé-Ollé, A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "Do political parties matter for local land use policies?"
- 2012/29, **Buonanno, P.; Durante, R.; Prarolo, G.; Vanin, P.:** "Poor institutions, rich mines: resource curse and the origins of the Sicilian mafia"
- 2012/30, **Anghel, B.; Cabrales, A.; Carro, J.M.:** "Evaluating a bilingual education program in Spain: the impact beyond foreign language learning"
- 2012/31, **Curto-Grau, M.; Solé-Ollé, A.; Sorribas-Navarro, P.:** "Partisan targeting of inter-governmental transfers & state interference in local elections: evidence from Spain"
- 2012/32, **Kappeler, A.; Solé-Ollé, A.; Stephan, A.; Väilä, T.:** "Does fiscal decentralization foster regional investment in productive infrastructure?"
- 2012/33, **Rizzo, L.; Zanardi, A.:** "Single vs double ballot and party coalitions: the impact on fiscal policy. Evidence from Italy"
- 2012/34, **Ramachandran, R.:** "Language use in education and primary schooling attainment: evidence from a natural experiment in Ethiopia"
- 2012/35, **Rothstein, J.:** "Teacher quality policy when supply matters"
- 2012/36, **Ahlfeldt, G.M.:** "The hidden dimensions of urbanity"
- 2012/37, **Mora, T.; Gil, J.; Sicras-Mainar, A.:** "The influence of BMI, obesity and overweight on medical costs: a panel data approach"
- 2012/38, **Pelegrín, A.; García-Quevedo, J.:** "Which firms are involved in foreign vertical integration?"

2012/39, **Agasisti, T.; Longobardi, S.:** "Inequality in education: can Italian disadvantaged students close the gap? A focus on resilience in the Italian school system"

2013

2013/1, **Sánchez-Vidal, M.; González-Val, R.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "Sequential city growth in the US: does age matter?"

2013/2, **Hortas Rico, M.:** "Sprawl, blight and the role of urban containment policies. Evidence from US cities"

2013/3, **Lampón, J.F.; Cabanelas-Lorenzo, P.; Lago-Peñas, S.:** "Why firms relocate their production overseas? The answer lies inside: corporate, logistic and technological determinants"

2013/4, **Montolio, D.; Planells, S.:** "Does tourism boost criminal activity? Evidence from a top touristic country"

2013/5, **García-López, M.A.; Holl, A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "Suburbanization and highways: when the Romans, the Bourbons and the first cars still shape Spanish cities"

2013/6, **Bosch, N.; Espasa, M.; Montolio, D.:** "Should large Spanish municipalities be financially compensated? Costs and benefits of being a capital/central municipality"

2013/7, **Escardíbul, J.O.; Mora, T.:** "Teacher gender and student performance in mathematics. Evidence from Catalonia"

2013/8, **Arqué-Castells, P.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "Banking towards development: evidence from the Spanish banking expansion plan"

2013/9, **Asensio, J.; Gómez-Lobo, A.; Matas, A.:** "How effective are policies to reduce gasoline consumption? Evaluating a quasi-natural experiment in Spain"

2013/10, **Jofre-Monseny, J.:** "The effects of unemployment benefits on migration in lagging regions"

2013/11, **Segarra, A.; García-Quevedo, J.; Teruel, M.:** "Financial constraints and the failure of innovation projects"

2013/12, **Jerrim, J.; Choi, A.:** "The mathematics skills of school children: How does England compare to the high performing East Asian jurisdictions?"

2013/13, **González-Val, R.; Tirado-Fabregat, D.A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "Market potential and city growth: Spain 1860-1960"

2013/14, **Lundqvist, H.:** "Is it worth it? On the returns to holding political office"

2013/15, **Ahlfeldt, G.M.; Maennig, W.:** "Homevoters vs. leasevoters: a spatial analysis of airport effects"

2013/16, **Lampón, J.F.; Lago-Peñas, S.:** "Factors behind international relocation and changes in production geography in the European automobile components industry"

2013/17, **Guío, J.M.; Choi, A.:** "Evolution of the school failure risk during the 2000 decade in Spain: analysis of Pisa results with a two-level logistic model"

2013/18, **Dahlby, B.; Rodden, J.:** "A political economy model of the vertical fiscal gap and vertical fiscal imbalances in a federation"

2013/19, **Acacia, F.; Cubel, M.:** "Strategic voting and happiness"

2013/20, **Hellerstein, J.K.; Kutzbach, M.J.; Neumark, D.:** "Do labor market networks have an important spatial dimension?"

2013/21, **Pellegrino, G.; Savona, M.:** "Is money all? Financing versus knowledge and demand constraints to innovation"

2013/22, **Lin, J.:** "Regional resilience"

2013/23, **Costa-Campi, M.T.; Duch-Brown, N.; García-Quevedo, J.:** "R&D drivers and obstacles to innovation in the energy industry"

2013/24, **Huisman, R.; Stradnic, V.; Westgaard, S.:** "Renewable energy and electricity prices: indirect empirical evidence from hydro power"

2013/25, **Dargaud, E.; Mantovani, A.; Reggiani, C.:** "The fight against cartels: a transatlantic perspective"

2013/26, **Lambertini, L.; Mantovani, A.:** "Feedback equilibria in a dynamic renewable resource oligopoly: pre-emption, voracity and exhaustion"

2013/27, **Feld, L.P.; Kalb, A.; Moessinger, M.D.; Osterloh, S.:** "Sovereign bond market reactions to fiscal rules and no-bailout clauses – the Swiss experience"

2013/28, **Hilber, C.A.L.; Vermeulen, W.:** "The impact of supply constraints on house prices in England"

2013/29, **Revelli, F.:** "Tax limits and local democracy"

2013/30, **Wang, R.; Wang, W.:** "Dress-up contest: a dark side of fiscal decentralization"

2013/31, **Dargaud, E.; Mantovani, A.; Reggiani, C.:** "The fight against cartels: a transatlantic perspective"

2013/32, **Saarimaa, T.; Tukiainen, J.:** "Local representation and strategic voting: evidence from electoral boundary reforms"

2013/33, **Agasisti, T.; Murtinu, S.:** "Are we wasting public money? No! The effects of grants on Italian university students' performances"

2013/34, **Flacher, D.; Harari-Kermadec, H.; Moulin, L.:** "Financing higher education: a contributory scheme"

2013/35, **Carozzi, F.; Repetto, L.:** "Sending the pork home: birth town bias in transfers to Italian municipalities"

2013/36, Coad, A.; Frankish, J.S.; Roberts, R.G.; Storey, D.J.: "New venture survival and growth: Does the fog lift?"

2013/37, Giuliotti, M.; Grossi, L.; Waterson, M.: "Revenues from storage in a competitive electricity market: Empirical evidence from Great Britain"

2014

2014/1, Montolio, D.; Planells-Struse, S.: "When police patrols matter. The effect of police proximity on citizens' crime risk perception"

2014/2, García-López, M.A.; Solé-Ollé, A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Do land use policies follow road construction?"

2014/3, Piolatto, A.; Rablen, M.D.: "Prospect theory and tax evasion: a reconsideration of the Yitzhaki puzzle"

2014/4, Cuberes, D.; González-Val, R.: "The effect of the Spanish Reconquest on Iberian Cities"

2014/5, Durán-Cabré, J.M.; Esteller-Moré, E.: "Tax professionals' view of the Spanish tax system: efficiency, equity and tax planning"

2014/6, Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.: "Difference-form group contests"

2014/7, Del Rey, E.; Racionero, M.: "Choosing the type of income-contingent loan: risk-sharing versus risk-pooling"

2014/8, Torregrosa Hetland, S.: "A fiscal revolution? Progressivity in the Spanish tax system, 1960-1990"

2014/9, Piolatto, A.: "Itemised deductions: a device to reduce tax evasion"

2014/10, Costa, M.T.; García-Quevedo, J.; Segarra, A.: "Energy efficiency determinants: an empirical analysis of Spanish innovative firms"

2014/11, García-Quevedo, J.; Pellegrino, G.; Savona, M.: "Reviving demand-pull perspectives: the effect of demand uncertainty and stagnancy on R&D strategy"

2014/12, Calero, J.; Escardíbul, J.O.: "Barriers to non-formal professional training in Spain in periods of economic growth and crisis. An analysis with special attention to the effect of the previous human capital of workers"

2014/13, Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.: "Gender differences and stereotypes in the beauty"

2014/14, Piolatto, A.; Schuett, F.: "Media competition and electoral politics"

2014/15, Montolio, D.; Trillas, F.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Regulatory environment and firm performance in EU telecommunications services"

2014/16, Lopez-Rodriguez, J.; Martinez, D.: "Beyond the R&D effects on innovation: the contribution of non-R&D activities to TFP growth in the EU"

2014/17, González-Val, R.: "Cross-sectional growth in US cities from 1990 to 2000"

2014/18, Vona, F.; Nicolli, F.: "Energy market liberalization and renewable energy policies in OECD countries"

2014/19, Curto-Grau, M.: "Voters' responsiveness to public employment policies"

2014/20, Duro, J.A.; Teixidó-Figueras, J.; Padilla, E.: "The causal factors of international inequality in CO₂ emissions per capita: a regression-based inequality decomposition analysis"

2014/21, Fleten, S.E.; Huisman, R.; Kilic, M.; Pennings, E.; Westgaard, S.: "Electricity futures prices: time varying sensitivity to fundamentals"

2014/22, Afcha, S.; García-Quevedo, J.: "The impact of R&D subsidies on R&D employment composition"

2014/23, Mir-Artigues, P.; del Río, P.: "Combining tariffs, investment subsidies and soft loans in a renewable electricity deployment policy"

2014/24, Romero-Jordán, D.; del Río, P.; Peñasco, C.: "Household electricity demand in Spanish regions. Public policy implications"

2014/25, Salinas, P.: "The effect of decentralization on educational outcomes: real autonomy matters!"

2014/26, Solé-Ollé, A.; Sorribas-Navarro, P.: "Does corruption erode trust in government? Evidence from a recent surge of local scandals in Spain"

2014/27, Costas-Pérez, E.: "Political corruption and voter turnout: mobilization or disaffection?"

2014/28, Cubel, M.; Nuevo-Chiquero, A.; Sanchez-Pages, S.; Vidal-Fernandez, M.: "Do personality traits affect productivity? Evidence from the LAB"

2014/29, Teresa Costa, M.T.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Retail price effects of feed-in tariff regulation"

2014/30, Kilic, M.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "The stabilizing effect of hydro reservoir levels on intraday power prices under wind forecast errors"

2014/31, Costa-Campi, M.T.; Duch-Brown, N.: "The diffusion of patented oil and gas technology with environmental uses: a forward patent citation analysis"

2014/32, Ramos, R.; Sanromá, E.; Simón, H.: "Public-private sector wage differentials by type of contract: evidence from Spain"

2014/33, Backus, P.; Esteller-Moré, A.: "Is income redistribution a form of insurance, a public good or both?"

2014/34, Huisman, R.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Costs of power supply flexibility: the indirect impact of a Spanish policy change"

- 2014/35, Jerrim, J.; Choi, A.; Simancas Rodríguez, R.: "Two-sample two-stage least squares (TSTSLS) estimates of earnings mobility: how consistent are they?"
- 2014/36, Mantovani, A.; Tarola, O.; Vergari, C.: "Hedonic quality, social norms, and environmental campaigns"
- 2014/37, Ferraresi, M.; Galmarini, U.; Rizzo, L.: "Local infrastructures and externalities: Does the size matter?"
- 2014/38, Ferraresi, M.; Rizzo, L.; Zanardi, A.: "Policy outcomes of single and double-ballot elections"

2015

- 2015/1, Foremny, D.; Freier, R.; Moessinger, M-D.; Yeter, M.: "Overlapping political budget cycles in the legislative and the executive"
- 2015/2, Colombo, L.; Galmarini, U.: "Optimality and distortionary lobbying: regulating tobacco consumption"
- 2015/3, Pellegrino, G.: "Barriers to innovation: Can firm age help lower them?"
- 2015/4, Hémet, C.: "Diversity and employment prospects: neighbors matter!"
- 2015/5, Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.: "An axiomatization of difference-form contest success functions"
- 2015/6, Choi, A.; Jerrim, J.: "The use (and misuse) of Pisa in guiding policy reform: the case of Spain"
- 2015/7, Durán-Cabré, J.M.; Esteller-Moré, A.; Salvadori, L.: "Empirical evidence on tax cooperation between sub-central administrations"
- 2015/8, Batalla-Bejerano, J.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Analysing the sensitivity of electricity system operational costs to deviations in supply and demand"
- 2015/9, Salvadori, L.: "Does tax enforcement counteract the negative effects of terrorism? A case study of the Basque Country"
- 2015/10, Montolio, D.; Planells-Struse, S.: "How time shapes crime: the temporal impacts of football matches on crime"
- 2015/11, Piolatto, A.: "Online booking and information: competition and welfare consequences of review aggregators"
- 2015/12, Boffa, F.; Pingali, V.; Sala, F.: "Strategic investment in merchant transmission: the impact of capacity utilization rules"
- 2015/13, Slemrod, J.: "Tax administration and tax systems"
- 2015/14, Arqué-Castells, P.; Cartaxo, R.M.; García-Quevedo, J.; Mira Godinho, M.: "How inventor royalty shares affect patenting and income in Portugal and Spain"
- 2015/15, Montolio, D.; Planells-Struse, S.: "Measuring the negative externalities of a private leisure activity: hooligans and pickpockets around the stadium"
- 2015/16, Batalla-Bejerano, J.; Costa-Campi, M.T.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Unexpected consequences of liberalisation: metering, losses, load profiles and cost settlement in Spain's electricity system"
- 2015/17, Batalla-Bejerano, J.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Impacts of intermittent renewable generation on electricity system costs"
- 2015/18, Costa-Campi, M.T.; Paniagua, J.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Are energy market integrations a green light for FDI?"
- 2015/19, Jofre-Monseny, J.; Sánchez-Vidal, M.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Big plant closures and agglomeration economies"
- 2015/20, Garcia-López, M.A.; Hémet, C.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "How does transportation shape intrametropolitan growth? An answer from the regional express rail"
- 2015/21, Esteller-Moré, A.; Galmarini, U.; Rizzo, L.: "Fiscal equalization under political pressures"
- 2015/22, Escardíbul, J.O.; Afcha, S.: "Determinants of doctorate holders' job satisfaction. An analysis by employment sector and type of satisfaction in Spain"
- 2015/23, Aidt, T.; Asatryan, Z.; Badalyan, L.; Heinemann, F.: "Vote buying or (political) business (cycles) as usual?"
- 2015/24, Albæk, K.: "A test of the 'lose it or use it' hypothesis in labour markets around the world"
- 2015/25, Angelucci, C.; Russo, A.: "Petty corruption and citizen feedback"
- 2015/26, Moriconi, S.; Picard, P.M.; Zanj, S.: "Commodity taxation and regulatory competition"
- 2015/27, Brekke, K.R.; Garcia Pires, A.J.; Schindler, D.; Schjelderup, G.: "Capital taxation and imperfect competition: ACE vs. CBIT"
- 2015/28, Redonda, A.: "Market structure, the functional form of demand and the sensitivity of the vertical reaction function"
- 2015/29, Ramos, R.; Sanromá, E.; Simón, H.: "An analysis of wage differentials between full-and part-time workers in Spain"
- 2015/30, Garcia-López, M.A.; Pasidis, I.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Express delivery to the suburbs the effects of transportation in Europe's heterogeneous cities"
- 2015/31, Torregrosa, S.: "Bypassing progressive taxation: fraud and base erosion in the Spanish income tax (1970-2001)"

- 2015/32, Choi, H.; Choi, A.: "When one door closes: the impact of the hagwon curfew on the consumption of private tutoring in the republic of Korea"
- 2015/33, Escardíbul, J.O.; Helmy, N.: "Decentralisation and school autonomy impact on the quality of education: the case of two MENA countries"
- 2015/34, González-Val, R.; Marcén, M.: "Divorce and the business cycle: a cross-country analysis"
- 2015/35, Calero, J.; Choi, A.: "The distribution of skills among the European adult population and unemployment: a comparative approach"
- 2015/36, Mediavilla, M.; Zancajo, A.: "Is there real freedom of school choice? An analysis from Chile"
- 2015/37, Daniele, G.: "Strike one to educate one hundred: organized crime, political selection and politicians' ability"
- 2015/38, González-Val, R.; Marcén, M.: "Regional unemployment, marriage, and divorce"
- 2015/39, Foremny, D.; Jofre-Monseny, J.; Solé-Ollé, A.: "'Hold that ghost': using notches to identify manipulation of population-based grants"
- 2015/40, Mancebón, M.J.; Ximénez-de-Embún, D.P.; Mediavilla, M.; Gómez-Sancho, J.M.: "Does educational management model matter? New evidence for Spain by a quasiexperimental approach"
- 2015/41, Daniele, G.; Geys, B.: "Exposing politicians' ties to criminal organizations: the effects of local government dissolutions on electoral outcomes in Southern Italian municipalities"
- 2015/42, Ooghe, E.: "Wage policies, employment, and redistributive efficiency"

2016

- 2016/1, Galletta, S.: "Law enforcement, municipal budgets and spillover effects: evidence from a quasi-experiment in Italy"
- 2016/2, Flatley, L.; Giulietti, M.; Grossi, L.; Trujillo-Baute, E.; Waterson, M.: "Analysing the potential economic value of energy storage"
- 2016/3, Calero, J.; Murillo Huertas, I.P.; Raymond Bara, J.L.: "Education, age and skills: an analysis using the PIAAC survey"
- 2016/4, Costa-Campi, M.T.; Daví-Arderius, D.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "The economic impact of electricity losses"
- 2016/5, Falck, O.; Heimisch, A.; Wiederhold, S.: "Returns to ICT skills"
- 2016/6, Halmenschlager, C.; Mantovani, A.: "On the private and social desirability of mixed bundling in complementary markets with cost savings"
- 2016/7, Choi, A.; Gil, M.; Mediavilla, M.; Valbuena, J.: "Double toil and trouble: grade retention and academic performance"
- 2016/8, González-Val, R.: "Historical urban growth in Europe (1300–1800)"
- 2016/9, Guio, J.; Choi, A.; Escardíbul, J.O.: "Labor markets, academic performance and the risk of school dropout: evidence for Spain"
- 2016/10, Bianchini, S.; Pellegrino, G.; Tamagni, F.: "Innovation strategies and firm growth"
- 2016/11, Jofre-Monseny, J.; Silva, J.I.; Vázquez-Grenno, J.: "Local labor market effects of public employment"
- 2016/12, Sanchez-Vidal, M.: "Small shops for sale! The effects of big-box openings on grocery stores"
- 2016/13, Costa-Campi, M.T.; García-Quevedo, J.; Martínez-Ros, E.: "What are the determinants of investment in environmental R&D?"
- 2016/14, García-López, M.A.; Hémet, C.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Next train to the polycentric city: The effect of railroads on subcenter formation"
- 2016/15, Matas, Anna; Raymond, José-Luis; Dominguez, Andrés: "Changes in fuel economy: An analysis of the Spanish car market"
- 2016/16, Leme, Alfonso; Escardíbul, Josep-Oriol: "The effect of a specialized versus a general upper secondary school curriculum on students' performance and inequality. A difference-in-differences cross country comparison"
- 2016/17, Scandurra, Rosario Ivano; Calero, Jorge: "Modelling adult skills in OECD countries"
- 2016/18, Fernández-Gutiérrez, Marcos; Calero, Jorge: "Leisure and education: insights from a time-use analysis"
- 2016/19, Del Rio, Pablo; Mir-Artigues, Pere; Trujillo-Baute, Elisa: "Analysing the impact of renewable energy regulation on retail electricity prices"
- 2016/20, Taltavull de la Paz, Paloma; Juárez, Francisco; Monllor, Paloma: "Fuel Poverty: Evidence from housing perspective"
- 2016/21, Ferraresi, Massimiliano; Galmarini, Umberto; Rizzo, Leonzio; Zanardi, Alberto: "Switch towards tax centralization in Italy: A wake up for the local political budget cycle"
- 2016/22, Ferraresi, Massimiliano; Migali, Giuseppe; Nordi, Francesca; Rizzo, Leonzio: "Spatial interaction in local expenditures among Italian municipalities: evidence from Italy 2001-2011"
- 2016/23, Daví-Arderius, Daniel; Sanin, María-Eugenia; Trujillo-Baute, Elisa: "CO2 content of electricity losses"
- 2016/24, Arqué-Castells, Pere; Viladecans-Marsal, Elisabet: "Banking the unbanked: Evidence from the Spanish banking expansion plan"



UNIVERSITAT DE
BARCELONA

 **IEB**
Institut
d'Economia
de Barcelona

ieb@ub.edu
www.ieb.edu

Human Capital

IEB Working Paper