

# THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

**Original citation:**

Tribe, Roger, Yip, S. K. and Zaboronski, Oleg V. (2012) One dimensional annihilating and coalescing particle systems as extended Pfaffian point processes. *Electronic communications in probability*, Vol.17 . pp. 1-7

**Permanent WRAP url:**

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/50189>

**Copyright and reuse:**


The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes the work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported (CC BY-NC-ND 3.0) license and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

**A note on versions:**

The version presented in WRAP is the published version, or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: [wrap@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:wrap@warwick.ac.uk)

warwick**publications**wrap  
  
highlight your research

<http://go.warwick.ac.uk/lib-publications>

## One dimensional annihilating and coalescing particle systems as extended Pfaffian point processes

Roger Tribe\*      Siu Kwan Yip†      Oleg Zaboronski‡

### Abstract

We prove that the multi-time particle distributions for annihilating or coalescing Brownian motions, under the maximal entrance law on the real line, are extended Pfaffian point processes.

**Keywords:** Extended Pfaffian point process ; coalescing Brownian motions; annihilating Brownian motions.

**AMS MSC 2010:** 60K35 ; 60G55.

Submitted to ECP on July 4, 2012, final version accepted on August 13, 2012.

### 1 Main result.

Consider a system of annihilating Brownian motions (ABMs) on the real line, where the particles move independently except for instantaneous annihilation when they meet. Assume that the initial distribution of particles is given by a natural maximal entrance law, which can be constructed as the infinite intensity limit of Poisson initial conditions (see [2] or [10] for details). The particles, at any fixed time  $t > 0$ , form a simple point process on  $\mathbf{R}$  and it is shown in [10] that the (Lebesgue) intensities  $\rho_t(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  are given by

$$\rho_t(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \text{Pf}[K_t(z_i - z_j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n]$$

where the Pfaffian is of the  $2n \times 2n$  anti-symmetric matrix constructed using the  $2 \times 2$  matrix kernel

$$K_t(z) = \begin{pmatrix} K_t^{11}(z) & K_t^{12}(z) \\ K_t^{21}(z) & K_t^{22}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -t^{-1}F''(zt^{-1/2}) & -t^{-1/2}F'(zt^{-1/2}) \\ t^{-1/2}F'(zt^{-1/2}) & \text{sgn}(z)F(|z|t^{-1/2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $F$  is the Gaussian error function given by

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/2}} \int_z^\infty e^{-x^2/4} dx$$

with  $\text{sgn}(z) = 1$  for  $z > 0$ ,  $\text{sgn}(z) = -1$  for  $z < 0$  and  $\text{sgn}(0) = 0$ . Briefly, this result was derived in [10] from a Pfaffian expression for the parity interval probabilities for ABM's (called spin variables below), similar to those found in [4].

This result can also be expressed by saying that the positions of ABMs, at any fixed time  $t > 0$ , form a Pfaffian point process on  $\mathbf{R}$  with kernel  $K_t(x - y)$  (see [9] for an introduction to Pfaffian point processes). Using a thinning relation (see [2] or section 2

---

\*Warwick University, UK. E-mail: r.p.tribe,s.k.yip,o.v.zaboronski@warwick.ac.uk

of [10]) between instantaneously coalescing Brownian motions (CBMs) and ABMs, it is easy to show that under the maximal entrance law for CBMs, the particle positions, at a fixed time  $t > 0$ , also form a Pfaffian point process with the kernel  $K_t(\cdot)$  replaced by  $2K_t(\cdot)$ .

The purpose of this note is to show that the multi-time distributions of particles for both ABMs and CBMs can be characterised as extended Pfaffian point processes (see [8] and references therein for other examples).

**Notation.** We write  $(G_r)_{r \geq 0}$  for the semi-group generated by convolution with the Gaussian density  $g_r(z) = (2\pi r)^{-1/2} e^{-z^2/2r}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under the maximal entrance law for annihilating Brownian motions, the particle positions at times  $t > 0$  form an extended Pfaffian point process, with multi-time joint intensities*

$$\rho_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \text{Pf}[K(t_i, z_i; t_j, z_j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n] \tag{1.1}$$

where the space-time kernel  $K$  is defined as follows: for  $t > s$  and  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$

$$K^{ij}(t, x; s, y) = G_{t-s} K_s^{ij}(y - x) - 2I_{\{i=1, j=2\}} g_{t-s}(y - x);$$

for  $t < s$  and  $i \neq j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$K^{ii}(t, x; s, y) = -K^{ii}(s, y; t, x), \quad K^{ij}(t, x; s, y) = -K^{ji}(s, y; t, x);$$

and  $K(t, x; t, y) = K_t(y - x)$ .

Under the analogous maximal entrance law for CBM's, the particle positions also form an extended Pfaffian point process with kernel  $K(\cdot)$  replaced by  $2K(\cdot)$ .

**Remark.** The extra term  $g_{t-s}(y - x)$  in the kernel entry  $K^{12}$  is singular as  $t \downarrow s$ , acting like a delta function. This reflects the fact that the particle at  $(t, y)$  is likely to have evolved from the particle at  $(s, x)$ . Indeed consider the following heuristic approximation, for small  $\epsilon$ , based on the fact that  $N_s$  is a simple point measure:

$$\begin{aligned} E[N_s([z, z + \epsilon])] &\approx E[(N_s([z, z + \epsilon]))^2] \\ &= \lim_{t \downarrow s} E[N_s([z, z + \epsilon])N_t([z, z + \epsilon])] \\ &= \lim_{t \downarrow s} \int_z^{z+\epsilon} \int_z^{z+\epsilon} \rho_{st}(y, x) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side is  $O(\epsilon)$  and it is the presence of the delta function that implies the same for the right hand side.

**Remark.** Expression (1.1) for the case  $n = 2$  of two space-time points coincides with the 2-time correlation function derived in [5].

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the analysis of PDEs solved by certain spin variables and particle intensities. We summarize the main steps in the proof in the next section, and refer the reader to [10] for certain details.

## 2 Summary of the proof.

Consider the system of ABM's on  $\mathbf{R}$  under the maximal entrance law. We write  $N_t(dx)$  for the empirical measure for the particle positions at time  $t$ . Fixing  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_m$ , the multi-time intensities (1.1), for  $t_i \in \{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ , act as intensities for the simple point process generated by  $(N_{r_i}(dx) : i = 1, \dots, m)$  on  $m$  disjoint copies of

**R.** See [1] definition 4.2.3 for a careful discussion. In particular, for almost all disjoint  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  we have

$$\rho_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^{-n} E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n N_{t_i}([z_i, z_i + \epsilon]) \right].$$

Thus  $\rho_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  acts a Lebesgue density for the absolutely continuous part of the measure  $E[\prod_{i=1}^n N_{t_i}(dz_i)]$  (and the measure is non-singular off the set  $\cup_{i \neq j} \{z_i = z_j\}$ ). To denote such an intensity we will use the informal notation

$$\rho_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n N_{t_i}(\delta_{z_i}) \right]. \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $N_t(0 : x)$  be the number of particles between 0 and  $x$ , for any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $t > 0$ . Borrowing the terminology from the theory of spin chains [7] we will refer to the following random variables as ‘spin variables’:

$$S_t(x) = (-1)^{N_t(0:x)}.$$

In [10] the multi-spin correlation function  $E[S_t(x_1) \dots S_t(x_{2m})]$  was shown to be given by a Pfaffian. Taking derivatives in  $x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2m-1}$  and then letting  $x_2 \downarrow x_1, \dots, x_{2m} \downarrow x_{2m-1}$  leads to the intensity  $(-2)^m \rho_t(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2m-1})$ . We will follow a fairly similar route, but will include an induction over the number of space-time points.

The following stronger statement, giving a Pfaffian expression for a mixed spin correlation and particle intensity, is more suited to an inductive proof.

**Theorem 2.1.** Fix  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{2m})$  satisfying  $y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_{2m}$  and times  $0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t$ , for  $m, n \geq 1$ . Then for ABMs under the maximal entrance law, the intensities

$$E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n N_{t_i}(\delta_{z_i}) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_t(y_j) \right]$$

exist, in the sense described in (2.1), and have versions given by the  $(2n + 2m)$  by  $(2n + 2m)$  Pfaffian

$$\Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y}) = (-2)^m \text{Pf} \left[ \hat{K}(s_i, x_i; s_j, x_j) : (s_i, x_i), (s_j, x_j) \in A \right]$$

where  $A$  is the set of  $2m + n$  space-time points

$$A = \{(t, y_1), \dots, (t, y_{2m})\} \cup \{(t_1, z_1), \dots, (t_n, z_n)\}$$

and the first  $2m$  rows and columns correspond to the space-time points  $(t, y_1), \dots, (t, y_{2m})$ . The kernel  $\hat{K}$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}(t_i, z_i; t_j, z_j) &= K(t_i, z_i; t_j, z_j) && (2 \times 2 \text{ entry}), \\ \hat{K}(t, y_i; t_j, z_j) &= \left( G_{t-t_j} K_{t_j}^{21}(z_j - y_i), G_{t-t_j} K_{t_j}^{22}(z_j - y_i) \right) && (1 \times 2 \text{ entry}), \\ \hat{K}(t_j, z_j; t, y_i) &= \left( -G_{t-t_j} K_{t_j}^{21}(z_j - y_i), -G_{t-t_j} K_{t_j}^{22}(z_j - y_i) \right)^T && (2 \times 1 \text{ entry}), \\ \hat{K}(t, y_i; t, y_j) &= K_t^{22}(y_j - y_i), \text{ for } i < j && (1 \times 1 \text{ entry}). \end{aligned}$$

The same result holds if one of  $m$  or  $n$  is zero, if we take an empty product to have value 1.

Note that Theorem 1.1 is the special case of Theorem 2.1 when  $m = 0$ . Furthermore the special case when  $n = 0$  was the key to the results in [10]. Note also that the ordering of the entries corresponding to the space-time points  $(t_i, z_i)$  in the Pfaffian is not important. Indeed switching  $(t_i, z_i)$  for  $(t_j, z_j)$  will switch two rows and columns at once, leaving the Pfaffian unchanged.

The main idea of the proof is to examine the equation solved by  $\Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y})$  as a function of  $t \geq t_n$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \bar{V}_{2m}$ , where  $V_{2m}$  is the open cell  $\{\mathbf{y} : y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_{2m}\}$ .

**Step 1. Regularity of  $\Phi$ .** The regularity of the kernel  $\hat{K}$  implies that  $(t, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y})$  defines a bounded function lying in  $C^{1,2}((t_n, \infty) \times V_{2m}) \cap C((t_n, \infty) \times \bar{V}_{2m})$ . The initial condition at  $t = t_n$  may have a jump discontinuity when  $y_j = z_i$  for some  $i, j$ . However, the function  $(t, \mathbf{y}') \rightarrow \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y}')$  is continuous as  $t \downarrow t_n, \mathbf{y}' \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$  provided that  $\{y_j\}_{j \in [1, 2m]} \cap \{z_i\}_{i \in [1, n]} = \emptyset$ .

**Step 2. PDE for  $\Phi$ .**  $\Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y})$  satisfies the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y})$$

for  $t > t_n$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in V_{2m}$ . To see this consider expanding  $\Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y})$  as a finite sum arising from the terms of the Pfaffian. We claim each of these terms separately solves the heat equation. Indeed, in each summand, all occurrences of the variables  $t, (y_i)$  occur inside products of terms of the form

$$K_t^{22}(y_j - y_i), \quad G_{t-t_j} K_{t_j}^{21}(z_j - y_i), \quad G_{t-t_j} K_{t_j}^{22}(z_j - y_i).$$

Note that each of these terms solves the heat equation. Since each coordinate  $y_i$ , for  $i \in [1, 2m]$ , appears exactly once in each product, the product itself solves the heat equation.

**Step 3. BC for  $\Phi$ .** Consider the cell faces  $F_{i, 2m} = \{\mathbf{y} : y_1 < \dots < y_i = y_{i+1} < \dots < y_{2m}\}$  for  $i \in [1, 2m - 1]$ . On  $F_{2m, i}$  the Pfaffian reduces to a Pfaffian with  $2n + 2(m - 1)$  rows and columns, namely where the row and column indexed by  $(t, y_i)$  and  $(t, y_{i+1})$  are removed. This can be seen since these two rows and columns become identical except for the the entries  $0, +1, -1$  where they cross. Then one may subtract row and column  $(t, y_{i+1})$  from row and column  $(t, y_i)$  (using  $\text{Pf}(EBE^T) = \text{Pf}(B) \det(E)$  for the corresponding elementary matrix) and expanding the Pfaffian along row  $(t, y_i)$  leads to the Pfaffian of smaller size. Thus

$$\Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y}) = \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y}^{i, i+1}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y} \in F_{i, 2m}, t > t_n$$

where  $\mathbf{y}^{i, i+1} = (y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{2m})$  (where  $y^{i, i+1} = \emptyset$  if  $m = 2$ ).

**Step 4.  $\Phi$  as an intensity.** Fix smooth compactly supported  $\phi_i : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , for  $i \in [1, n]$ , so that the distributions  $\delta_{t_i} \times \phi_i$  are disjointly supported for  $i \in [1, n]$ . Consider the integral

$$u(t, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(z_i) dz_i \right) \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y}).$$

The regularity of  $\Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}$  above implies that  $u$  is a bounded  $C^{1,2}((t_n, \infty) \times V_{2m}) \cap C([t_n, \infty) \times \bar{V}_{2m})$  solution to the heat equation with initial condition

$$u(t_n, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(z_i) dz_i \right) \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t_n, \mathbf{y}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y} \in V_{2m}, \tag{2.2}$$

and boundary conditions

$$u(t, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(z_i) dz_i \right) \Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}(t, \mathbf{y}^{i, i+1}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y} \in F_{i, 2m}, t \geq t_n. \quad (2.3)$$

**Step 5. ABM mixed spin correlation and particle intensities.** Consider

$$v(t, \mathbf{y}) = E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n N_{t_i}(\phi_i) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_t(y_j) \right].$$

The simple moment bounds  $E[|N_t([a, b])|^k] < C(t, k)|b-a|^k$  from [10] imply  $v$  is a bounded function in  $C([t_n, \infty) \times \bar{V}_{2m})$ . We claim also that  $v \in C^{1,2}((t_n, \infty) \times V_{2m})$  and satisfies the heat equation on  $(t_n, \infty) \times V_{2m}$ . One way to see this is to apply the time-duality from section 2.2 of [10] to rewrite

$$E \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_t(y_j) | \sigma(N_s : s \leq t_n) \right] = E_{(y_1, \dots, y_{2m})} \left[ (-1)^{\mu([\hat{X}_{t-t_n}^1, \hat{X}_{t-t_n}^2] \cup \dots \cup [\hat{X}_{t-t_n}^{2K-1}, \hat{X}_{t-t_n}^{2K}])} \right] |_{\mu=N_{t_n}}$$

where the right hand side is the expectation over an annihilating system of Brownian motions started from  $(y_1, \dots, y_{2m})$  which yields a set of  $2K$  remaining particles at time  $t - t_n$ , positioned at  $\hat{X}_{t-t_n}^1 < \dots < \hat{X}_{t-t_n}^{2K}$  (here  $K$  is random and possibly zero). This satisfies the heat equation in  $(t, \mathbf{y})$  and can be used to show the same for  $v$ .

Note that  $v$  has initial condition

$$v(t_n, \mathbf{y}) = E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n N_{t_i}(\phi_i) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_{t_n}(y_j) \right] \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y} \in V_{2m}, \quad (2.4)$$

and boundary conditions

$$v(t, \mathbf{y}) = E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n N_{t_i}(\phi_i) \prod_{j=1, j \neq i, i+1}^{2m} S_t(y_j) \right] \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y} \in F_{i, 2m}, t \geq t_n. \quad (2.5)$$

**Step 6. Induction.** We aim to argue inductively that the initial and boundary conditions for  $u$  and  $v$  agree (it is enough to consider boundary conditions only on the faces). This implies that  $u = v$  and confirms that  $\Phi_{(t_i, z_i)}$  is the desired intensity, completing the proof. In order to use induction we relabel the points  $(t_i, z_i)$  as follows. Choose  $0 < s_1 < \dots < s_k$  and smooth compactly supported  $(\phi_{i, i'} : i \in [1, k], i' \in [1, n_i])$ , where  $n_i \geq 1$ , so that the distributions  $(\delta_{t_i} \times \phi_i : i \in [1, n])$  are precisely  $(\delta_{s_i} \times \phi_{i, i'} : i \in [1, k], i' \in [1, n_i])$ . We argue inductively first in  $k \geq 0$ , with a second inner induction on the number  $2m$  of spin space points. Note that when  $k = 0$ , and the expectation has only spins, the theorem corresponds exactly to the Pfaffian found for  $E[S_t(y_1) \dots S_t(y_{2m})]$  in [10].

The initial condition (2.4) for  $v$  can be rewritten, noting that  $s_k = t_n$ , as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{i'=1}^{n_i} N_{s_i}(\phi_{i,i'}) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_{t_n}(y_j) \right] \\
 &= \lim_{\hat{z}_l \downarrow z_l} E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{i'=1}^{n_i} N_{s_i}(\phi_{i,i'}) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_{t_n}(y_j) \left( \prod_{l=1}^{n_k} \int \phi_{k,l}(z_l) S_{t_n}(z_l) S_{t_n}(\hat{z}_l) N_{t_n}(dz_l) \right) \right] \\
 &= 2^{-n_k} \lim_{\hat{z}_l \downarrow z_l} E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{i'=1}^{n_i} N_{s_i}(\phi_{i,i'}) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_{t_n}(y_j) \left( \prod_{l=1}^{n_k} \int \phi'_{k,l}(z_l) S_{t_n}(z_l) S_{t_n}(\hat{z}_l) dz_l \right) \right] \\
 &= 2^{-n_k} \lim_{\hat{z}_l \downarrow z_l} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n_k}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{n_k} \phi'_{k,l}(z_l) dz_l \right) E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{i'=1}^{n_i} N_{s_i}(\phi_{i,i'}) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_{t_n}(y_j) \prod_{l=1}^{n_k} S_{t_n}(z_l) S_{t_n}(\hat{z}_l) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The distributional derivative used in the second equality,  $(d/dz)S_t(z) = -2S_t(z)N_t(dz)$ , holds almost surely and can be justified as in section 4.3 of [10]. The final expectation can be evaluated using the inductive hypothesis in  $k$  in terms of the intensities  $\Phi$ . In brief, one can move the derivatives back from the test functions  $\phi_{k,l}$  onto these intensities, then carry out the limits  $\hat{z}_l \downarrow z_l$ , and one reaches the corresponding expression for the initial condition (2.2) for  $u$ , confirming the initial conditions for  $u$  and  $v$  coincide. More carefully, note that the final expectation

$$E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{i'=1}^{n_i} N_{s_i}(\phi_{i,i'}) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_{t_n}(y_j) \prod_{l=1}^{n_k} S_{t_n}(z_l) S_{t_n}(\hat{z}_l) \right] \tag{2.6}$$

is a continuous function of the variables  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n_k})$ . The integral over  $\mathbf{R}^{n_k}$  can be broken into finitely many disjoint regions, according to the ordering of the points  $(y_i : i \in [1, 2m]) \cup (z_j, \hat{z}_j : j \in [1, n_k])$  on the real line. In each such region the inductive hypothesis gives a Pfaffian expression for (2.6). These expressions have continuous bounded derivatives in the variables  $(z_j)$ . Hence we may integrate by parts to take the derivatives off the test functions  $\phi_{k,l}$  and onto the Pfaffian expressions. By the boundedness of the derivatives and the compact support of the test functions we may take the limits  $\hat{z}_j \downarrow z_j$  inside the integral. This leaves a sum of Pfaffian expressions indexed over a smaller number of regions, namely the possible orderings of the points  $(y_i : i \in [1, 2m]) \cup (z_j : j \in [1, n_k])$ . But in each of these regions the Pfaffian expression is identical, since switching two of the points  $y_i$  and  $z_j$ , or  $z_i$  and  $z_j$ , involves interchanging two rows and columns leaving the Pfaffian unchanged. Moreover, it is straightforward to check this single remaining Pfaffian is exactly the corresponding expression for the initial condition (2.2) for  $u$ .

The above argument shows that the statement of Theorem 2.1 follows for  $k$  and  $m = 0$  from the case  $k - 1$ . An induction in  $m$  implies immediately that the boundary condition (2.5) for  $v$  agrees with the boundary condition (2.3) for  $u$  and completes the double induction.

**Step 7. CBMs.** The result for CBMs can be achieved by repeating the above argument replacing the spin functional  $\prod_{j=1}^{2m} S_t(y_j)$  by the empty interval indicator

$$I(N_t([y_1, y_2]) = N_t([y_3, y_4]) = \dots = N_t([y_{2m-1}, y_{2m}]) = 0)$$

throughout. The induction starts due to the Pfaffian shown for the empty interval probability in [10].

Alternatively the thinning relation that connects one-dimensional distributions for ABMs and CBMs (under their maximal entrance laws) can be used to check, when  $y_i < z_i$  for  $i \in [1, n]$ , that the spin correlations  $E [\prod_{i=1}^n S_{t_i}(y_i) S_{t_i}(z_i)]$  for ABMs coincide with the empty interval probabilities  $E [\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{I}(N_{t_i}([y_i, z_i]) = 0)]$  for CBMs. Differentiating in  $y_i$  and then letting  $z_i \downarrow y_i$  leads to the relation between the intensities (which can be shown to exist via moment bounds).

**Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to Neil O’Connell for many illuminating discussions.

## References

- [1] Anderson, G. W., Guionnet, A. and Zeitouni, O.: An Introduction to Random Matrices. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **118**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. xiv+492 pp. MR-2760897
- [2] Arratia, R.: Limiting point processes for rescalings of coalescing and annihilating random walks on  $Z_d$ . *Ann. Probab.* **9** no. 6, (1981), 909-936. MR-0632966
- [3] ben Avraham, D. and Brunet, E.: On the relation between one-species diffusion-limited coalescence and annihilation in one dimension. *J. Phys A: Math. Gen.* **38**, (2005), 3247-3252. MR-2132708
- [4] ben Avraham, D.: Complete Exact Solution of Diffusion-Limited Coalescence, A+A  $\rightarrow$  A. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, (1988), 4756. ben Avraham, D. and Masser, T.: Correlation functions for diffusion-limited aggregation. *Phys. Rev. E.* **64**, (2001).
- [5] [105] Durang, X., Fortin, J-Y., Henkel, M.: Exact two-time correlation and response functions in the one-dimensional coagulation-diffusion process by the empty-interval method. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, (2011), P02030.
- [6] Felderhof, B. U.: Reports on Mathematical Physics **1**, (1970), p 215 and **2**, (1971), p151-152.
- [7] Glauber, R.: Time-dependent statistics of the Ising model. *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, (1963), 294-307. MR-0148410
- [8] Petrov, L.: Pfaffian stochastic dynamics of strict partitions. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **16** paper 82, (2011), 2246-2295. MR-2861674
- [9] Soshnikov, A.: Determinantal Random Fields. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics. Editors Jean-Pierre Francoise, Greg Naber and Tsou Sheung Tsun. Oxford: Elsevier **2**, (2006), 47-53.
- [10] Tribe, R. and Zaboronski, O.: Pfaffian formulae for one dimensional coalescing and annihilating systems. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **16** paper 76, (2011), 2080-2103. MR-2851057