

Strathprints Institutional Repository

Sen, Robin and Green Lister, Pam (2012) *Grading the graded care profile.* In: British Association for the Study and Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect. 8th Congress "Keeping children safe in an uncertain world: learning from evidence and practice", 2012-04-15 - 2012-04-18, Belfast.

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (http:// strathprints.strath.ac.uk/) and the content of this paper for research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk

Grading the Graded Care Profile

Robin Sen (University of Sheffield) and Dr Pam Green Lister (Glasgow School of Social Work) April 17th, 2012

Acknowledgements

□ Funded by BASPCAN

Joint evaluation work with Glasgow City Council

GCP as response to neglect

- Difficulties in professional identification and response to neglect
- Co-existence with other difficulties
- Assessment of parenting is not value free
- GCP 'Objective' measure of caring using qualitative bipolar five point scale
- Breaks caring task down using into specific 'sub-areas' and 'items' of care
- Previous claims
 - Reliability
 - User-friendly for both professionals and parents
 - Quick to undertake

Data

- Baseline data gathered by local authority from practitioners: Questionnaires (22), follow up interviews (8)
- Two focus groups with practitioners who had used the GCP
- Individual contact with practitioners who had or were due to use the tool (56)
- Semi-structured interviews with parents who had previously had the GCP used with them (4) and with practitioners managing these cases (4)
- A Observations of how the GCP was being used with 3 sets of parents
- Brief follow up interviews with parents (2) and practitioners
 (2) practitioners where practitioners were observed using the GCP

GCP as an assessment tool

Practitioner Views of GCP	Good	Acceptable	Poor
Use in assessing neglect (20 responses)	82% (18/20)	9% (2/20)	0% (0/20)
Tool for assisting multi-	59%	23%	0%
professional assessment (18 responses)	(13/18)	(5/18)	(0/18)
(To responses)			
GCP as tool for engaging	45%	32%	18%
parents	(10/21)	(7/21)	(4/21)
(21 responses)			

- Interviewer: Did you feel that the Graded Care Profile gave an accurate view of your parenting?
- Mother: Oh God, aye, aye, I'm glad that I had something like this. (Case 3)
- It showed me where I was going wrong and how I could build myself up. It makes you see different things. (Mother, case 5)

But questions about its accuracy

- Minority view, but clear theme, amongst practitioners statements about the tool:
 - "very, very subjective" (Practitioner Interview)
 - I am not convinced that it is hugely accurate () each of the items, the choices that they give you, they are pretty specific, so there isn't a huge amount of leeway, but there is some leeway, I suppose, in the interpretation of you going through that (SW, Case Four)
 - Some concerns about accuracy where reliant on parents' selfreporting

Parental Engagement

- Language in the GCP a barrier to parental engagement
- But two of seven parents very positive experiences of its use
- Case Five: Parent very favourable experience of use of the GCP, supported by observation data
 - Relationship SW and mother tool use to generate dialogue
 - Second time of use and progress in between times
 - SW in all but one items agreed with parents' score or suggested a better (lower) score

Where there was disagreement, scoring of the GCP could exacerbate it

Parent Case Four

- he's ((the social worker)) not here twenty four seven so he doesn't see it all does he?
- I'd have scored myself a two because I feel aye fair enough it isnae Prada and all that but it's like Nike, Adidas and Lacosse, any trainers we've got is Lacosse trainers. 30 to 40 pound a pair of trainers and Greg's ((the social worker)) saying he thinks I'm not doing my best at. Everyone's like that, what you talking about?

SW Case Four

She was really up for doing it [the GCP]... I think she enjoyed doing it.

Observation, case six

- F: The only reason I'm early for my ((Addictions)) appointment and all is because I take the weans to school and then I just=
- HV: =so maybe I should get you, may be I should get you (for) appointments at quarter to nine in the morning in my office=
- F: =nae bother ()=
- HV: =but I doubt you'll make it though, I doubt you will make it though
- F: I would, nine o'clock
- HV: I think we are taking bets on that one
- F: Nine o'clock

Going forward with the GCP

- Study illustrated some strengths to the GCP : breaking caring task down, allowing discussion about standards of care in some cases
- Need to modify language (academic, abstract)
- Does it give an objective assessment of care?
- Diagnostic/prescriptive use to grade care appears in tension with dialogical use to encourage discussion around care standards