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ABSTRACT: Glass-ceramics, with a specific crystalline phase assembly, can combine the advantages of glass and ceramic and avoid their disadvantages. In this study, both cubic-zirconia and zirconolite-based glass-ceramics were obtained by the crystallization of SiO₂–CaO–Al₂O₃–TiO₂–ZrO₂–Nd₂O₃–Na₂O glass. Results show that all samples underwent a phase transformation from cubic-zirconia to zirconolite when crystallized at 900, 950, and 1000 °C. The size of the cubic-zirconia crystal could be controlled by temperature and dwelling time. Both cubic-zirconia and zirconolite crystals/particles show dendrite shapes, but with different dendrite branching. The dendrite cubic-zirconia showed highly oriented growth. Scanning electron microscopy images show that the branches of the cubic-zirconia crystal had a snowflake-like appearance, while those in zirconolite were composed of many individual crystals. Rietveld quantitative analysis revealed that the maximum amount of zirconolite was ~19 wt %. A two-stage crystallization method was used to obtain different microstructures of zirconolite-based glass-ceramic. The amount of zirconolite remained approximately 19 wt %, but the individual crystals were smaller and more homogeneously dispersed in the dendrite structure than those obtained from one-stage crystallization. This process-control feature can result in different sizes and morphologies of cubic-zirconia and zirconolite crystals to facilitate the design of glass-ceramic waste forms for nuclear wastes.

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear power is a low-carbon energy source that significantly reduces greenhouse gas emissions. However, public acceptance of nuclear power has faced immense challenges throughout the world, especially since the Fukushima Daiichi accident in March 2011. A key public concern is the management of radioactive waste generated by nuclear reactors. Following several decades of study, the short-lived and low-level radioactive wastes are routinely disposed of in certified sites; however, the disposal of high-level radioactive waste (HLW) still faces a number of challenges. In HLW, the radiotoxicity remaining after 200–300 years’ disposal is expected to mostly arise from the long-lived radionuclides of Np, Am, and Cm. To minimize disposal costs and environmental damage, it has been suggested that these long-lived radionuclides be separated and immobilized in a specific waste-form matrix. Many potential such waste forms have been proposed for disposing of HLW, which can be classified into glass, ceramic, and glass-ceramic. The glassy waste forms, such as borosilicate glass and phosphate glass, have been used on an industrial scale in many countries. These waste forms can incorporate a wide range of radionuclides and perform well in terms of chemical durability, hence their widespread use. However, the minor actinides (Np, Am, Cm) have very low solubilities in the glassy waste forms. The ceramic waste form has excellent radiation stability, chemical durability, and chemical flexibility. However, it is challenging to produce a single-crystalline phase for the immobilization of minor actinides. In addition, alpha decay...
from the radionuclides in the ceramic waste form can result in a crystalline-to-amorphous phase transition, which leads to reduced chemical durability and inferior physical properties. The glass-ceramic waste form offers the possibility of avoiding the drawbacks of both glassy and ceramic waste forms while exploiting their advantages for the disposal of HLW. The concept of double-barrier containment using glass-ceramics has the potential to greatly reduce the leaching rate of radionuclides into the biosphere.

In the glass-ceramic waste form, the choice of crystalline phase for incorporating radionuclides into the crystallographic sites is crucial. Generally, the potential candidates are the crystalline phases of the ceramic waste forms. These crystalline phases have been proposed to immobilize minor actinides (Np, Am, Cm) and have been found to stably coexist with actinides within the Earth over geologic time scales. Both cubic-zirconia and zirconolite are fluorite-derived structures with high radiation tolerance to amorphization and high chemical durability. It has been reported that ThO₂, UO₂, and PuO₂ transform between crystalline and amorphous phases, interfacial areas will decrease the free-energy gap in the phase enhancement the radiation tolerance. Which can hinder the accumulation of point defects and fraction, smaller crystallites result in larger interfacial areas, within the Earth over geologic time scales. Both cubic-zirconia and zirconolite obtained at different crystallization temperatures and times have not been investigated. To obtain a better glass-ceramic matrix, investigation of these parameters is necessary.

As indicated above, the amounts of the crystalline phases and the size and morphology of the crystallites play important roles in the performance of nuclear waste forms. In this study, the crystallization of CaO−Al₂O₃−SiO₂−TiO₂−ZrO₂−Na₂O−Nd₂O₃ glass at 900, 950, and 1000 °C with different treatment times was systematically studied. Both cubic-zirconia-based glass-ceramic and zirconolite-based glass-ceramic were obtained from this glassy matrix. The evolution of the crystal size and morphology of the glassy matrix was characterized with a combination of transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD), powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Rietveld quantitative phase analysis with a spiked standard reference (Al₂O₃, SRM-676a) was used to quantify the phase contents (i.e., crystalline and amorphous).

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Glass-ceramic sample preparation: First, the parent glass was prepared with a chemical composition (by wt %) of SiO₂ (40.57), Al₂O₃ (11.95), CaO (19.63), TiO₂ (12.45), ZrO₂ (8.46), Nd₂O₃ (6.00), and Na₂O (0.94). The parent glass was obtained as follows. Reagent-grade oxides (SiO₂, Al₂O₃, TiO₂, ZrO₂, Nd₂O₃) and carbonates (CaCO₃, Na₂CO₃,10H₂O) were mixed, melted in a platinum crucible at 1500 °C for 9 h, and then poured into an alumina crucible to be cooled in air. The obtained glass was further ground into powder, remelted at 1500 °C for 5 h, and poured into the alumina crucible to cool in air. The cast parent glass was annealed at 775 °C for 2 h to relieve the internal stresses and then cooled to room temperature. The glass-ceramic sample was obtained via a two-step fabrication route as described in the literature. (1) placing the parent glass into an 810 °C preheated furnace for 2 h of nucleation, and (2) transferring the sample to another furnace, which was preheated to the designated temperature (900, 950, or 1000 °C), then dwelling the sample in the furnace for a designated period. After crystallization, the product was annealed at 775 °C for 2 h to relieve the internal stresses. For the samples with two crystallization stages, the parent glass was placed into an 810 °C preheated furnace for 2 h nucleation, and then the product was transferred to another 900 °C preheated furnace for 10 h to crystallize, after which the temperature was increased to the designated value (950 or 1000 °C) in 10 min and held for 2 h. Finally, the obtained glass-ceramic was also annealed at 775 °C for 2 h to relieve the internal stresses. These samples are denoted G-900−10h−950−2h or G-900−10h−1000−2h.

The X-ray powder diffraction data were collected using a D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker AXS) with a Lyneye detector, operating at 40 kV and 40 mA with Cu Kα radiation at room temperature. The 2θ scan range was from 10° to 110° (or 2θ) with a step size of 0.02° and a counting time of 1.5 s. The quantitative X-ray diffraction (QXRD) analysis was carried out using TOPAS 4.2 software (Bruker AXS GmbH, Germany) with a fundamental-parameters approach. Because the investigated samples contained
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both crystalline and amorphous (glass) phases, all of the samples were mixed with 20 wt % of Al₂O₃ (NIST, SRM-676a) as the standard reference in our QXRD technique to assist the quantification of the amorphous content.

For SEM characterization, the samples were polished using decreasing grain sizes of diamond paste. The EBSD and TEM samples were prepared by mechanical thinning followed by ion-beam milling (Fischione model 1010 Ion Beam Milling). A Hitachi S4800 FEG-SEM, Hitachi S-3400N with variable pressure and Leo 1530 FESEM were used to observe the sample morphology. The TEM-EDX analysis was performed on an FEI Tecnai G2 20 S-TWIN operated at 200 kV. During EBSD characterization, the sample was tilted at 70°, and a scanning step size of 0.1 μm was used for the electron beam.

\section*{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

Quantitative Phase Transformation and Crystal Evolution at 900 °C. Previously, Loiseau et al. studied a sample prepared under a single set of conditions (900 °C for 2 h) and observed the formation of a fluorite-type phase.\textsuperscript{28,29} In the present study, the sample was crystallized at 900 °C with different dwelling times (0.5, 2, 4, 10, and 15 h) after nucleating at 810 °C for 2 h. In Figure 1a, the XRD results show that a single cubic-zirconia phase was present in the samples crystallized for 2, 4, and 10 h, while both cubic-zirconia and zirconolite phases were observed for 15 h crystallization. The sample crystallized at 900 °C for 0.5 h became opaque (see Supporting Information, Figure S1), and a few small crystals (approximately 973 nm in size) were found in the SEM image (Supporting Information, Figure S2). However, no obvious Bragg reflections were detected in the XRD pattern (Figure 1b), indicating that the fraction of crystalline phase was below the detection limit of powder XRD. Using the spiked standard reference (NIST, SRM 676a), the amounts of both the glass and crystalline phases in the samples with 4, 10, and 15 h crystallization times were obtained (Figure 1c). In the sample crystallized for 4 h, the percentage by weight of residual glass was ∼96.3 wt %, while that of cubic-zirconia was ∼3.7 wt %. A greater percentage of cubic-zirconia (∼4.6 wt %) was obtained when the crystallization time increased to 10 h. Although the amounts of crystalline phases increased with increasing dwelling time, the content of residual glass was still very high (∼88 wt %) after 15 h crystallization. The SEM images likewise show that the fractions of crystalline phases (cubic-zirconia and zirconolite) in the glass-ceramic samples (Figure 2) increased with increasing crystallization time. From Figure 2, we can see that the numbers of crystals/particles remained constant from 4 to 15 h, even though the amounts of the crystalline phases increased. However, the size of the dendrite crystals/particles increased considerably from ∼1 to 13 μm when prolonging the crystallization period (Figure 3). These results endorse the theory of nucleation and crystallization,\textsuperscript{31} in that sufficient nuclei were formed from the glass in the first stage, followed by crystal growth.

Furthermore, the growth of the cubic-zirconia crystals in this glass-ceramic system proved to be highly oriented. As shown in Figure 4, an inverse pole figure (IPF) map was scanned for a dendrite crystal in the 10 h crystallization sample, in which only the cubic-zirconia phase was identified by XRD. Only one color appeared in the Euler angle map, and the same was observed in each IPF map along the x, y, and z axes. Because different orientations would be represented by different colors, the appearance of the same color in the Euler angle map and each individual IPF map indicates that the cubic-zirconia crystal grew in a single direction, and the entire dendrite can be considered as one crystal. Because radiation tolerance is related to the size and morphology of the crystal, this highly oriented growth of cubic-zirconia may enhance its radiation resistance and is thus deserving of further study.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{(a) XRD phase-identification results of parent glass and samples prepared by nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h followed by crystal growth at 900 °C for different dwelling times (t = 0.5, 2, 4, 10, 15 h); (b) details of the XRD patterns for parent glass and samples crystallized at 900 °C for 0.5 and 2 h after nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h; (c) XRD phase-quantification results of samples crystallized at 900 °C for 4, 10, and 15 h based on Rietveld refinement with addition of standard reference Al₂O₃.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Backscattered SEM images of samples prepared by nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h followed by crystal growth at 900 °C for different dwelling times t, (a) t = 0.5 h; (b) t = 2 h; (c) t = 4 h; (d) t = 10 h.}
\end{figure}
Quantitative Phase Transformation and Crystal Evolution at 950 °C. As shown in Figure 5a, cubic-zirconia was the only crystalline phase observed in the samples with shorter crystallization times (0.5 and 1 h), while both crystalline phases (cubic-zirconia and zirconolite) appeared in the sample crystallized at 950 °C for 2 h. In the samples crystallized for 4 and 6 h, however, zirconolite was the only crystalline phase formed. These results indicate that the phase transformation of cubic-zirconia to zirconolite can occur even at 950 °C in the glass-ceramic system. This phase transformation is consistent with the results reported by Loiseau et al., who studied the same glass system,29 and by Vance, who used an alkoxide precursor to study the CaZrTi2O7 phase.30 In the above section, the sample crystallized at 900 °C also underwent such a phase transformation after dwelling for 15 h. The occurrence of this phase transformation may be related to the crystal structures of cubic-zirconia and zirconolite, both of which are fluorite-related structures.11,31 After 10 h crystallization, anorthite and wollastonite were formed by the crystallization of residual glass in the bulk, which was rich in CaO, Al2O3, and SiO2. The titanite phase could not be quantified by PXRD due to its very low concentration, attributed to the thinness of the surface crystallization (less than 50 μm).29 The quantitative phase analysis (Figure 5b) shows that residual glass remained the largest component in the glass-ceramic, although it decreased from ~98.6 wt % to 63.3 wt % with increasing dwelling time from 0.5 to 10 h. The maximum percentage of the zirconolite phase was ~19 wt %, beyond which it remained nearly constant with prolonged crystallization time. The fractions of crystals in the bulk sample display the same trends in the SEM images (Figure 6). In Figure 6, the fraction of crystals increased with increasing dwelling time from 0.5 to 2 h, but then remained nearly constant as the crystallization time was extended from 4 to 10 h. These results indicate that the highest content of zirconolite that can be obtained in this glass-ceramic system at 950 °C is approximately 19 wt %, a figure that cannot be improved by further increasing the crystallization time. In addition, it can be seen that there were cracks in the bulk sample after 10 h crystallization (Figure 6f). This phenomenon renders the sample unacceptable for a nuclear waste immobilization form, because the cracks will increase the surface area and consequently lead to an enhanced leaching rate of radionuclides.

The SEM images in Figure 7a–f show a representative dendrite crystal of each crystallized sample. The size of the dendrite crystals/particles became progressively larger with increasing crystallization time, except for the sample crystallized at 950 °C for 10 h (Figure 7g). The size decreased in the 10 h crystallization sample, possibly due to the formation of anorthite and wollastonite, which led to the dispersion and separation of dendrite particles. In addition, the dendritic morphologies of cubic-zirconia and zirconolite were very different in their branches. The branches in the cubic-zirconia had a morphology that was snowflake-like in appearance (Figure 7a,b), whereas those in the zirconolite were composed of many small slices (Figure 7d,e). These microstructural evolutions may be worthy of detailed investigation in the
context of radiation tolerance, because size and morphology effects play an important role in controlling the radiation damage.9,17−20

**Evolution of Dendrite Crystals and Phase Transformation of Cubic-Zirconia to Zirconolite at 1000 °C.**

As indicated in Figure 8a, the cubic-zirconia crystal was also the first phase to develop in the glass matrix at the beginning of the crystallization stage (after 10 min crystallization). The titanite phase was detected by PXRD after 0.5 h crystallization at 1000 °C, although it was not observed even after 10 h crystallization at 950 °C (Figure 5a). Titanite was detectable at 1000 °C because the surface crystallization in the samples crystallized at that temperature had a greater thickness than in the samples crystallized at 950 °C, sufficient to create an XRD-detectable quantity. These results also imply that the surface crystallization of the CaO−Al₂O₃−SiO₂−TiO₂−ZrO₂−Nd₂O₃−Na₂O glass matrix is more sensitive to temperature than to dwelling time. Figure 8a also shows that only the zirconolite phase was observed in the bulk sample after 2 and 4 h crystallization. This suggests a potential fabrication route to obtain a single-phase zirconolite glass-ceramic product for actinide immobilization. With prolonged crystallization, additional crystalline phases (anorthite and wollastonite) were formed in the bulk sample. These two phases are not recommended waste forms for hosting actinides.32−34 Therefore, the products of 6 and 10 h crystallization were not suitable for use in actinide immobilization, although their zirconolite contents were higher than those in the 2 and 4 h crystallization samples. Figure 8b shows that the residual glass was still the major component of the glass-ceramic product even after 4 h crystallization. The amounts of zirconolite in the samples crystallized for 2 and 4 h were similar (~19 wt %). This value is close to that in the samples crystallized for 4 and 6 h at 950 °C. The similar crystallization results for zirconolite at 950 and 1000 °C indicate that it may be impossible to further increase the zirconolite content in this designed glass system only by adjusting the heat-treatment temperature and time. To obtain greater zirconolite contents, modifying the ratio of the chemical components of the glass system may prove an effective method, since increasing the contents of ZrO₂ and TiO₂ in the system was reported to enhance the zirconolite yield.35 In addition, the theoretical amount of zirconolite is about 23.3 wt % which can be calculated based on the chemical compositions of parent glass if ZrO₂ is completely transformed into the zirconolite phase. A lower formation of zirconolite (~19 wt %) than the theoretical value indicates the existences of Zr and Ti in the glass network.

Because zirconolite-based glass-ceramic is a potential double-barrier waste form for actinide immobilization, studying the elemental distribution of the Nd (acting as a surrogate actinide) and other elements in the glass-ceramic system is critical for evaluating the immobilization ability. Figure 9 shows the TEM-EDX line-scan profiles of the elements Al, Ca, Nd, Si, Ti, and Zr across the zirconolite crystal in the sample crystallized at 1000 °C for 2 h. From these profiles it is clear that Nd, Ti, and Zr were concentrated in the zirconolite crystal, while the residual glass was rich in Si and Al. This result indicates that the
zirconolite-based glass-ceramic is an excellent double barrier for Nd immobilization. Because both the zirconolite crystal and the Si-containing glass show good performance in terms of chemical durability,\textsuperscript{12,29,34} the residual glass (rich in Si and Al) acts as the first barrier to hinder the leaching of radionuclides, and zirconolite as the second.

Figure 10 shows the details of crystal evolution from cubic-zirconia to zirconolite and the crystal growth of zirconolite. In the early stage of crystallization, snowflake-shaped cubic-zirconia crystals precipitated (Figure 10a); then, phase transformation from cubic-zirconia to zirconolite occurred, and many small, separated crystals of zirconolite became observable (Figure 10b). The length of an individual zirconolite crystal reached 1 to 2 \( \mu m \) after 4 h crystallization (Figure 10d). With prolonged crystallization, many fractures and voids appeared in the bulk sample crystallized for 10 h (Figure 10e). The crystal evolution described above indicates that the crystal size and microstructure can be controlled by adjusting the processing time. This allows the crystal size and microstructure to be carefully controlled to enhance the radiation resistance.

Two-Stage Crystallization to Form Various Microstructures. Because the zirconolite phase was formed through the phase transformation of cubic-zirconia, and single zirconolite was obtained in the samples crystallized at both 950 and 1000 °C, a fabrication protocol based on a two-stage crystallization was designed to obtain various morphologies of zirconolite crystals. After nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h, the product was first crystallized at 900 °C for 10 h to obtain cubic-zirconia, followed by crystallization at 950 or 1000 °C for 2 h. Compared with the sample crystallized at 950 °C for 2 h, the sample G-900–10h-950–2h (crystallized at 900 °C for 10 h, then at 950 °C for 2 h) yielded a greater fraction of zirconolite (Table 1) but still with a component of cubic-zirconia (Figure 11). The XRD patterns in both the G-900–10h-1000–2h sample (crystallized at 900 °C for 10 h, then at 1000 °C for 2 h) and the sample crystallized only at 1000 °C for 2 h were similar, and only the zirconolite phase was found in the bulk samples (Figure 12). Quantitative powder XRD analysis revealed that the quantity of zirconolite phase in both samples was \( \approx \) 19 wt % (Table 1). This result indicates that the two samples should possess similar abilities to incorporate actinide radionuclides into the zirconolite phase. Combined with the results at 950 °C, it is reasonable to state that with its chemical composition fixed, the amount of zirconolite that can be obtained in this system is limited to approximately 19 wt %. Furthermore, it is not feasible to increase the quantity of zirconolite by adjusting the temperature or treatment time in this system design.

Figure 13a,b shows the differences between the microstructures of the sample crystallized at 950 °C for 2 h and G-900–10h-950–2h. The dendrite shapes of these two samples were similar, but the individual crystals in the G-900–10h-950–2h sample were smaller than those in the sample crystallized at 950 °C for 2 h. In Figure 14a,b, the branches in the dendrite shape of the sample crystallized only once, at 1000 °C for 2 h, were clearly separated, while those in the G-
900–10h-1000–2h sample overlapped heavily. The individual crystals in the G-900–10h-1000–2h sample were also smaller than those in the sample crystallized only once at 1000 °C for 2 h. These different microstructures may come from the different paths of obtaining zirconolite crystals through the two different processes. In the two-stage crystallization process, the first stage (crystallized at 900 °C for 10 h) provided a sufficient time to obtain a large amount of cubic-zirconia crystals. In the second stage, these cubic-zirconia crystals were transformed to zirconolites and continued to grow. Although cubic-zirconia also appeared first in the one-stage crystallization process, there was not enough time for growing into large crystals. These small cubic-zirconia crystals were subsequently transformed to zirconolite for the growth. In addition, the difference in the microstructures implies differences in the radiation tolerance of these two products, because the microstructure plays an important role in radiation stability.17–20

Table 1. XRD Phase-Quantification Results of Samples with One Crystallization Stage (Nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h, Crystal Growth at 950 or 1000 °C for 2 h) and Two Crystallization Stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>cubic-zirconia</th>
<th>zirconolite</th>
<th>glass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>950–2h</td>
<td>4.6(1)</td>
<td>9.1(1)</td>
<td>83.3(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900–10h plus 950–2h</td>
<td>2.4(1)</td>
<td>160.1(1)</td>
<td>81.6(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>titanite</th>
<th>zirconolite</th>
<th>glass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000–2h</td>
<td>1.3(1)</td>
<td>19.1(1)</td>
<td>79.5(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900–10h plus 1000–2h</td>
<td>1.0(1)</td>
<td>18.9(1)</td>
<td>80.1(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h and crystal growth at 900 °C for 10 h and then at 950 or 1000 °C for 2 h.

Figure 9. TEM-EDX line-scan profiles of the elements Al, Ca, Nd, Si, Ti, and Zr across the zirconolite crystal.

Figure 10. Backscattered SEM images of samples prepared by nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h followed by crystal growth at 1000 °C for different dwelling times t, (a) t = 10 min; (b) t = 0.5 h; (c) t = 2 h; (d) t = 4 h; (e) t = 10 h, showing the details of crystal evolution from cubic-zirconia to zirconolite and the crystal growth of zirconolite.

Figure 11. Comparison between XRD results of samples with one crystallization stage (nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h, crystal growth at 950 °C for 2 h) and two crystallization stages (nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h and crystal growth at 900 °C for 10 h and then at 950 °C for 2 h).

Figure 12. Comparison between XRD results of samples with one crystallization stage (nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h, crystal growth at 1000 °C for 2 h) and two crystallization stages (nucleation at 810 °C for 2 h and crystal growth at 900 °C for 10 h and then at 1000 °C for 2 h).
However, the branches of the dendrites in cubic-zirconia and zirconolite crystals/particles showed dendrite shapes. It is interesting that both the cubic-zirconia/zirconolite-based glass-ceramics with different microstructures. The results will be useful for the design of suitable waste forms to dispose of high-level waste generated by commercial nuclear reactors or defense activities.
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