

research communications



Received 3 August 2016 Accepted 19 October 2016

Edited by G. Smith, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Keywords: crystal structure; caesium; metalorganic framework; phosphonic acid ligand.

CCDC reference: 1510674

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure of a compact three-dimensional metal-organic framework based on Cs⁺ and (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid)

Ricardo F. Mendes,^a Nutalapati Venkatramaiah,^b João P. C. Tomé^{c,b} and Filipe A. Almeida Paz^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, CICECO - Aveiro Institute of Materials, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, QOPNA, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, and ^cCentro de Química Estrutural, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. *Correspondence e-mail: filipe.paz@ua.pt

A new metal organic framework compound, poly[[μ_7 -dihydrogen (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)diphosphonato](oxonium)caesium], $[Cs(C_8H_4N_2O_6P_2)(H_3O)]_n$ (I), based on Cs⁺ and the organic linker 4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid, (H_4 cpp), containing two distinct coordinating functional groups, has been prepared by a simple diffusion method and its crystal structure is reported. The coordination polymeric structure is based on a CsO₈N₂ complex unit comprising a monodentate hydronium cation, seven O-atom donors from two phosphonium groups of the $(H_2 cpp)^{2-}$ ligand, and two N-atom donors from bridging cyano groups. The high level of connectivity from both the metal cation and the organic linker allow the formation of a compact and dense threedimensional network without any crystallization solvent. Topologically (I) is a seven-connected uninodal network with an overall Schäfli symbol of $\{4^{17}.6^4\}$. Metal cations form an undulating inorganic layer, which is linked by strong and highly directional O H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions. These metallic layers are, in turn, connected by the organic ligands along the [010] direction to form the overall three-dimensional framework structure.

1. Chemical context

The area of metal organic frameworks (MOFs) and coordination polymers (CPs) has proven to be of great importance, not only in academic research but also for industrial applications (Silva et al., 2015). The simple and easy preparation of these materials, allied with the enormous variety of building blocks (either metal atoms or organic linkers) make these materials ideal to be employed in different applications: gas sorption/separation (Sumida et al., 2012), as heterogeneous catalysts (Mendes et al., 2015), luminescence (Heine & Müller-Buschbaum, 2013), batteries and as corrosion inhibitors (Morozan & Jaouen, 2012), among many others. Most of these compounds are obtained by mixing transition metal cations with carboxylic acids. The use of other oxygen-based donor groups such as phosphonic acids has seen a great resurgence in recent years. The use of mixed oxygen nitrogen donor organic linkers is relatively less common, as confirmed by a search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) (Groom et al., 2016).

Although alkali-metal cations are of great interest due to their abundance in biological systems, there is a surprisingly small number of MOFs/CPs based on these elements. Cs^+ -based materials are not as common as other alkali metals,

especially when coordinated by either phosphonic or sulfonic acid residues. Reports on these structures are directed to solely structural descriptions rather than to applications. Nevertheless, these compounds can be used as functional materials in batteries, either as proton conductors (Bazaga-Garcia *et al.*, 2015) or as insulators (Tominaka *et al.*, 2013).



Following our interest in this field of research, we report the preparation of a new compact and dense MOF network, $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$, prepared by the self-assembly of Cs⁺ and the organic linker (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid), (H₄cpp), previously reported by our group (Venka-tramaiah *et al.*, 2015). The title compound, $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$ (I), was assembled under atmospheric conditions and represents, to the best of our knowledge, the first reported MOF or CP based on an amino/cyano phosphonate with caesium as the metal cation, and the crystal structure is reported herein.

2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of (I) comprises one Cs^+ atom coordinated by a dianionic H_2cpp^{2-} ligand, together with a monodentate hydronium cation (Fig. 1). The irregular CsO_8N_2



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$ (I) showing all non hydrogen atoms represented as displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms as small spheres with arbitrary radius. The coordination sphere of Cs⁺ is completed by generating (through symmetry) the remaining oxygen and nitrogen atoms. For symmetry codes, see Table 1.

Table 1Selected bond lengths (Å).

01	3.400 (3)	Cs1 O6 ^v	3.259 (4)
O1W	3.388 (4)	Cs1 O5 ^{vi}	3.159 (4)
O4	3.269 (4)	P1 O1	1.499 (4)
N1 ⁱ	3.234 (7)	P1 O2	1.509 (4)
N2 ⁱⁱ	3.334 (6)	P1 O3	1.558 (4)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.229 (3)	P2 O4	1.497 (4)
O3 ^{iv}	3.356 (4)	P2 O5	1.572 (4)
$O4^{v}$	3.410 (3)	P2 O6	1.495 (3)
	$\begin{array}{c} O1 \\ O1W \\ O4 \\ N1^{i} \\ N2^{ii} \\ O1^{iii} \\ O3^{iv} \\ O4^{v} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} O1 & 3.400 (3) \\ O1W & 3.388 (4) \\ O4 & 3.269 (4) \\ N1^{i} & 3.234 (7) \\ N2^{ii} & 3.334 (6) \\ O1^{iii} & 3.229 (3) \\ O3^{iv} & 3.356 (4) \\ O4^{v} & 3.410 (3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) $x + 2, y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) x + 1, y + 1, z + 1; (iv) x + 1, y + 1, z + 2; (v) x + 2, y + 1, z + 1; (vi) x + 2, y + 1, z + 2.

coordination polyhedron is defined by the O atom of one monodentate hydronium molecule, six hydrogen phosphonate O-atom donors and two cyano N-atom donors. The Cs O bond-length range is 3.159 (4) 3.410 (3) Å and for Cs N, 3.234 (7) and 3.334 (6) Å (Table 1). These values are in good agreement with those reported for other phosphonate-based materials as found in a search in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Groom *et al.*, 2016): mean value of 3.24 Å for the Cs O bond (CSD range, 3.01 3.41 Å), and 3.28 Å for the Cs N bond (CSD range, 2.35 3.79 Å).

The crystallographic independent $H_2 cpp^{2-}$ residue in (I) acts as a linker connecting seven symmetry-related Cs⁺ metal atoms. The coordination modes between cyano and phosphonate groups are, as expected, different. While the cyano groups connect to two different metal atoms, each in a simple κ^1 coordination mode, the two phosphonate groups coordinate to the remaining metals by κ^1 -O, κ^2 -O and μ_2 -O,O coordination modes. This high coordination of the phosphonate groups is responsible for the formation of a metallic undulating inorganic layer lying in the *ac* plane of the unit cell. Within this layer, the intermetallic Cs...Cs distances range from 5.7792 (4) to 7.8819 (5) Å (Fig. 2). The cyano groups are, on the other hand, responsible for the inter-layer connections along the [010] direction. In this case, the intermetallic $Cs \cdot \cdot \cdot Cs$ distances between layers range from 9.7347 (6) to 9.9044 (6) Å. Although the organic linkers are stacked, the





Schematic representation of the connectivity of (*a*) the anionic H_2cpp^2 ligand; (*b*) the Cs⁺ cation and (*c*) the seven connected [Cs(H₂cpp)(H₃O)] uninodal network with an overall Schäfli symbol of $\{4^{17}.6^4\}$.

research communications

Table 2	
Hydrogen bond	geometry (Å, °).

D H···A	D H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D H \cdots A$
O3 H3···O6 ^{vii}	0.95 (1)	1.59 (12)	2.528 (5)	172 (5)
O5 H5···O2	0.94(1)	1.60 (12)	2.545 (5)	175 (5)
$O1W H1X \cdots O2^{iv}$	0.95 (1)	1.64 (16)	2.553 (5)	160 (4)
O1W H1 $Y \cdot \cdot \cdot$ O1	0.96(1)	1.66 (11)	2.526 (5)	149 (4)
$O1W H1Z \cdots O4^{iii}$	0.95 (1)	1.56 (15)	2.485 (5)	162 (4)

Symmetry codes: (iii) x + 1, y + 1, z + 1; (iv) x + 1, y + 1, z + 2; (vii) x = 1, y, z.

minimum inter-centroid distance of 4.6545 (3) Å (as calculated using *PLATON*: Spek, 2009) indicates the absence of any significant π π stacking interactions.

The unusual presence of a coordinating H_3O^+ ion in this Cs⁺ structure is confirmed by the location of the three hydrogen atoms associated with this cation, which were clearly visible from difference-Fourier maps and by the presence of the double charge with respect to the delocalized P1 O1, P1 O2 and P2 O4, P1 O6 bonds [1.499 (4), 1.509 (3) Å and 1.497 (4), 1.495 (3) Å, respectively]. The P1 O3 and P2 O5 bond lengths for the protonated groups are 1.558 (4) and 1.572 (4) Å, respectively. In addition, although the distance between O1W and O4 is very short, suggesting a possible O4 $H \cdots O1W$ interaction, a calculated site for such a hydrogen was found to be sterically impossible in the crowded environment about Cs. Not only that, but any attempts to refine this molecule as a coordination water molecule proved to be not as successful as the hydronium cation. When the proton is connected to the adjacent phosphonic residue, the bond is only possible by restraining the O H distance between O4 and the proton. Also there was still a residual charge near O1W, which corroborated the initial refinement.

3. Topology

The various coordination modes of the ligand and the presence of a compact undulating inorganic layer formed by the metal atoms to form the MOF architecture can be better understood from a pure topological perspective. Based on the recommendations of Alexandrov et al. (2011), any moiety (ligand, atom or clusters of atoms) connecting more than two metallic centers (μ_n) should be considered as a network node. For (I), all crystallographically independent moieties comprising the asymmetric unit, both the Cs⁺ cation and the anionic H₂cpp²⁻ ligand, should therefore be considered as nodes. Using the software package TOPOS (Blatov & Shevchenko, 2006), (I) could be classified as a seven-connected uninodal network with an overall Schäfli symbol of $\{4^{17}, 6^4\}$. Fig. 2 illustrates the breakdown of the network of (I) into nodes and connecting rods, with the individual connectivity of each node being superimposed into the crystal structure itself (Fig. 2a and 2b). The metal atom and the organic linker are connected to each other in every direction of the unit cell (Fig. 2c), forming a compact and robust three-dimensional network (Fig. 3). The absence of water molecules of crystallization leads to this very compact structure having no solventaccessible pores: only 0.2% of the unit cell volume [calculated using *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006)] corresponds to voids.

4. Supramolecular features

The lack of crystallization solvent molecules in (I) results in a rather small number of crystallographically different hydrogen-bonding supramolecular interactions (Table 2). Indeed, although the structure is rich in hydrogen-bonding acceptors, only the POH and the H_3O^+ moieties can establish strong interactions. A total of five distinct hydrogen bonds are present, two of these involving the phosphonic acid donor groups [O3 $H_3 \cdots O6^{vii}$ and O5 $H_5 \cdots O2$) and three involving the H_3O^+ moiety (O1W $H_1X \cdots O2^{iv}$, O1W $H_1Y \cdots O1$ and O1W $H_1Z \cdots O4^{iii}$ (for symmetry codes, see Tables 1 and 2)]. An overall three-dimensional network structure is generated in which there are 62 Å³ voids (though not solvent-accessible ones). No $\pi \pi$ ring interactions are present (minimum ring-centroid separation = 4.655 Å). These





Schematic representation of the crystal packing of $[Cs(H_2cpp) (H_3O)]_n$ viewed in perspective (a) along [001] and (b) along [100]. The representations emphasize the connection of the undulating inorganic layers located in the *ac* plane of the unit cell (and formed by the metal cations) through the organic ligand. The bottom representation further emphasizes the stacking of the organic linkers with inter centroid ring distances of 4.6545 (3) Å.



Figure 4

Schematic representation of a portion of the undulating inorganic layer comprising the crystal structure of (I), emphasizing the various strong and directional supramolecular O H O hydrogen bonding interactions (orange dashed lines) present within this layer. For geometrical details and symmetry codes, see Table 2.

hydrogen bonds are confined within the inorganic undulating layer (Fig. 4).

5. Database survey

Although unusual in the case of Cs, in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) a total of 45 structures in which coordination between the metal cation and the hydronium cation is present, e.g. among the metal complexes (Reves-Martínez et al., 2009; Jennifer et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2016; Hu & Mak, 2013) and coordination polymer/metal organic frameworks (Yotnoi et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Humphrey et al., 2005). Wang et al. (2013) in fact reported the structures of an isotypic series of crystal materials involving lanthanides (Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er and Y), in which the presence of the coordinating hydronium cation was confirmed.

6. Synthesis and crystallization

Chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without any further purification steps. (4,5-Dicyano-1,2phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid) (H₄cpp) was prepared according to published procedures (Venkatramaiah et al., 2015).

Synthesis of $[Cs(H_2cpp)(H_3O)]_n$, (I): H_4cpp (29 mg, 0.1 mM) was dissolved in 4 ml of methanol. A 1 ml aliquot of a methanolic caesium hydroxide solution (45 mg, 0.3 mM; Sigma Aldrich, puriss p.a. \geq 96%) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 10 min for uniform mixing. The final solution was allowed to slowly evaporate at ambient temperature. White transparent crystals of the title compound were obtained after one week. Crystals were filtered and dried under vacuum.

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Cs(C_8H_4N_2O_6P_2)(H_3O)]$
M _r	438.01
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	180
a, b, c (Å)	7.8819 (5), 24.5497 (14), 7.3137 (4)
β (°)	98.739 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	1398.76 (14)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	2.91
Crystal size (mm)	$0.15 \times 0.06 \times 0.02$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 QUEST
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2012)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.647, 0.747
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	27787, 2550, 2499
R _{int}	0.021
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.602
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.031, 0.080, 1.50
No. of reflections	2550
No. of parameters	196
No. of restraints	10
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.70, 0.60

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2012), SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999).

7. Refinement

Table 3

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Hydrogen atoms bound to carbon were placed at idealized positions with C H = 0.95 Å and included in the final structural model in a riding-motion approximation with the isotropic displacement parameters fixed at $1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Hydrogen atoms associated with the H₃O⁺ moiety and the phosphonate groups were clearly located from difference-Fourier maps and were included in the refinement with the O H and H ··· H (only for the cation) distances restrained to 0.95 (1) and 1.55 (1) Å, respectively, in order to ensure a chemically reasonable environment for these moieties. These hydrogen atoms were modelled with the isotropic displacement parameters fixed at $1.5U_{eq}(O)$. In order to avoid a close proximity between the H atoms associated with the POH group and the H_3O^+ cation and the central Cs^+ ion in the crystal structure, an antibump restraint $[3.5 (1) \text{ \AA})]$ was included in the overall refinement.

Acknowledgements

(a) Funding sources and entities: Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal), the European Union, QREN, FEDER through Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade (COMPETE), CICECO-Aveiro Institute of Materials (Ref. FCT UID/CTM/50011/2013) financed by national

research communications

funds through the FCT/MEC and when applicable co-financed by FEDER under the PT2020 Partnership Agreement. (*b*) Projects and individual grants: We wish to thank the FCT for funding the R&D project FCOMP-01 0124-FEDER-041282 (Ref. FCT EXPL/CTM-NAN/0013/2013), and also CICECO for specific funding towards the purchase of the single-crystal diffractometer. The FCT is also gratefully acknowledged for the post-doctoral research grant No. SFRH/BPD/79000/2011 (to NV) and the PhD research grant No. SFRH/BD/84231/ 2012 (to RFM).

References

- Alexandrov, E. V., Blatov, V. A., Kochetkov, A. V. & Proserpio, D. M. (2011). CrystEngComm, 13, 3947–3958.
- Bazaga García, M., Papadaki, M., Colodrero, R. M. P., Olivera Pastor, P., Losilla, E. R., Nieto Ortega, B., Aranda, M. Á. G., Choquesillo Lazarte, D., Cabeza, A. & Demadis, K. D. (2015). *Chem. Mater.* 27, 424 435.
- Blatov, V. A. & Shevchenko, A. P. (2006). *TOPOS*. Samara State University, Samara, Russia.
- Brandenburg, K. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2012). SAINT, APEX2 and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171 179.
- Heine, J. & Muller Buschbaum, K. (2013). Chem. Soc. Rev. 42, 9232 9242.
- Hu, T. & Mak, T. C. W. (2013). Cryst. Growth Des. 13, 4957 4967.

- Humphrey, S. M., Mole, R. A., McPartlin, M., McInnes, E. J. L. & Wood, P. T. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* 44, 5981 5983.
- Jennifer, S., Thomas Muthiah, P. & Tamilselvi, D. (2014). *Chem. Cent. J.* **8**, 58 70.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453 457.
- Mendes, R. F., Silva, P., Antunes, M. M., Valente, A. A. & Almeida Paz, F. A. (2015). *Chem. Commun.* 51, 10807 10810.
- Morozan, A. & Jaouen, F. (2012). Energ. Environ. Sci. 5, 9269 9290.
- Reyes Martínez, R., Hopfl, H., Godoy Alcántar, C., Medrano, F. & Tlahuext, H. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 2417–2424.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112 122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3 8.
- Silva, P., Vilela, S. M. F., Tomé, J. P. C. & Almeida Paz, F. A. (2015). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 44, 6774–6803.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148 155.
- Sumida, K., Rogow, D. L., Mason, J. A., McDonald, T. M., Bloch, E. D., Herm, Z. R., Bae, T. H. & Long, J. R. (2012). *Chem. Rev.* 112, 724–781.
- Teng, C. L., Xiao, H. X., Cai, Q., Tang, J. T., Cai, T. J. & Deng, Q. (2016). J. Coord. Chem. 69, 2148 2163.
- Tominaka, S, Henke, S. & Cheetham, A. K. (2013). *CrystEngComm*, **15**, 9400 9407.
- Venkatramaiah, N., Pereira, P. M. R., Almeida Paz, F. A., Ribeiro, C. A. F., Fernandes, R. & Tomé, J. P. C. (2015). *Chem. Commun.* 51, 15550 15553.
- Wang, P., Fan, R. Q., Liu, X. R., Wang, L. Y., Yang, Y. L., Cao, W. W., Yang, B., Hasi, W., Su, Q. & Mu, Y. (2013). *CrystEngComm*, 15, 1931 1949.
- Yotnoi, B., Meundaeng, N., Funfuenha, W., Pattarawarapan, M., Prior, T. & Rujiwatra, A. (2015). J. Coord. Chem. 68, 4184–4202.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1794-1798 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989016016765]

Crystal structure of a compact three-dimensional metal-organic framework based on Cs⁺ and (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)bis(phosphonic acid)

Ricardo F. Mendes, Nutalapati Venkatramaiah, João P. C. Tomé and Filipe A. Almeida Paz

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS* (Sheldrick, 2015); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014/6* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2014/6* (Sheldrick, 2015).

Poly[[µ₇-dihydrogen (4,5-dicyano-1,2-phenylene)diphosphonato](oxonium)caesium]

Crystal data

[Cs(C₈H₄N₂O₆P₂)(H₃O)] $M_r = 438.01$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 7.8819 (5) Å b = 24.5497 (14) Å c = 7.3137 (4) Å $\beta = 98.739$ (2)° V = 1398.76 (14) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST
diffractometer
Radiation source: Sealed tube
Multi-layer X-ray mirror monochromator
Detector resolution: 10.4167 pixels mm ⁻¹
ω/φ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker 2012)
$T_{\min} = 0.647, \ T_{\max} = 0.747$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$ $wR(F^2) = 0.080$ S = 1.502550 reflections 196 parameters 10 restraints F(000) = 840 $D_x = 2.080 \text{ Mg m}^3$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9290 reflections $\theta = 2.7-36.7^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.91 \text{ mm}^1$ T = 180 KPlate, colourless $0.15 \times 0.06 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}$

27787 measured reflections 2550 independent reflections 2499 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{max} = 25.4^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 3.6^\circ$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -29 \rightarrow 29$ $l = -8 \rightarrow 8$

Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0134P)^2 + 6.7796P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.70$ e Å ³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.60$ e Å ³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cs1	0.78119 (4)	0.56625 (2)	0.69290 (4)	0.02080 (11)
O1W	0.3543 (5)	0.54753 (15)	0.6850 (5)	0.0224 (8)
H1X	0.330 (6)	0.5549 (18)	0.806 (3)	0.034*
H1Y	0.3732 (16)	0.5092 (4)	0.675 (6)	0.034*
H1Z	0.258 (4)	0.5572 (15)	0.597 (5)	0.034*
P1	0.56069 (15)	0.42656 (5)	0.83441 (16)	0.0139 (2)
P2	0.97399 (15)	0.40970 (5)	0.72111 (17)	0.0147 (3)
01	0.5083 (4)	0.45821 (14)	0.6597 (5)	0.0197 (7)
O2	0.6914 (4)	0.45406 (14)	0.9768 (5)	0.0186 (7)
O3	0.4048 (4)	0.41059 (15)	0.9310 (5)	0.0185 (7)
Н3	0.311 (5)	0.403 (2)	0.837 (6)	0.028*
O4	0.8955 (4)	0.44573 (15)	0.5659 (5)	0.0237 (8)
05	0.9966 (4)	0.44125 (15)	0.9103 (5)	0.0217 (8)
Н5	0.886 (3)	0.4463 (14)	0.943 (7)	0.033*
O6	1.1438 (4)	0.38507 (14)	0.7016 (5)	0.0203 (7)
N1	0.4101 (9)	0.1823 (3)	0.7906 (11)	0.0603 (19)
N2	0.9099 (8)	0.1597 (2)	0.7224 (8)	0.0435 (14)
C1	0.6536 (6)	0.36144 (19)	0.7793 (6)	0.0143 (9)
C2	0.8230 (6)	0.3543 (2)	0.7429 (6)	0.0160 (10)
C3	0.8843 (6)	0.3016 (2)	0.7237 (7)	0.0189 (10)
H3A	0.9990	0.2968	0.7014	0.023*
C4	0.7834 (7)	0.2561 (2)	0.7360 (6)	0.0201 (10)
C5	0.6129 (7)	0.2632 (2)	0.7663 (7)	0.0222 (11)
C6	0.5506 (6)	0.3153 (2)	0.7867 (7)	0.0194 (10)
H6A	0.4350	0.3198	0.8061	0.023*
C7	0.5014 (8)	0.2170 (2)	0.7777 (9)	0.0333 (14)
C8	0.8522 (8)	0.2021 (2)	0.7244 (8)	0.0294 (12)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cs1	0.02062 (17)	0.02091 (18)	0.02049 (17)	-0.00137 (12)	0.00196 (12)	-0.00099 (12)
O1W	0.0225 (18)	0.0260 (19)	0.0182 (18)	0.0044 (15)	0.0015 (15)	-0.0030 (15)
P1	0.0117 (6)	0.0164 (6)	0.0135 (6)	0.0012 (5)	0.0021 (4)	-0.0005 (5)
P2	0.0109 (6)	0.0189 (6)	0.0142 (6)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0014 (5)	-0.0007 (5)
01	0.0225 (18)	0.0222 (18)	0.0151 (17)	0.0047 (14)	0.0051 (14)	0.0011 (14)
O2	0.0139 (16)	0.0240 (18)	0.0177 (17)	0.0003 (14)	0.0020 (13)	-0.0046 (14)
03	0.0126 (16)	0.0276 (19)	0.0156 (17)	0.0001 (14)	0.0034 (13)	-0.0003 (14)
O4	0.0198 (18)	0.0239 (19)	0.0257 (19)	-0.0040 (15)	-0.0019 (15)	0.0079 (16)

supporting information

05	0.0160 (17)	0.028 (2)	0.0216 (18)	-0.0040 (14)	0.0041 (14)	-0.0063 (15)
O6	0.0136 (17)	0.0279 (19)	0.0187 (18)	0.0005 (14)	0.0003 (14)	-0.0020 (15)
N1	0.067 (4)	0.037 (3)	0.082 (5)	-0.024 (3)	0.029 (4)	-0.006 (3)
N2	0.054 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.012 (3)	0.000 (3)	-0.003 (2)
C1	0.018 (2)	0.014 (2)	0.010(2)	0.0009 (18)	-0.0006 (18)	0.0020 (18)
C2	0.013 (2)	0.018 (2)	0.015 (2)	-0.0004 (19)	-0.0017 (18)	-0.0024 (19)
C3	0.017 (2)	0.023 (3)	0.015 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.0022 (19)	0.000 (2)
C4	0.029 (3)	0.021 (3)	0.008 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.0014 (19)
C5	0.026 (3)	0.020 (3)	0.020 (3)	-0.006 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.002 (2)
C6	0.017 (2)	0.022 (3)	0.019 (3)	-0.002 (2)	0.0028 (19)	-0.001 (2)
C7	0.040 (3)	0.023 (3)	0.039 (4)	-0.006 (3)	0.015 (3)	-0.004 (3)
C8	0.037 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.002 (2)	-0.004 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cs1—01	3.400 (3)	O1W—H1X	0.95 (3)	
Cs1—O1W	3.388 (4)	O1W—H1Y	0.957 (11)	
Cs1—O4	3.269 (4)	O1W—H1Z	0.95 (3)	
Cs1—N1 ⁱ	3.234 (7)	O3—H3	0.95 (4)	
Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	3.334 (6)	O5—H5	0.95 (3)	
Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.229 (3)	N1—C7	1.128 (9)	
Cs1—O3 ^{iv}	3.356 (4)	N2—C8	1.137 (7)	
Cs1—O4 ^v	3.410 (3)	C1—C2	1.412 (7)	
Cs1—O6 ^v	3.259 (4)	C1—C6	1.399 (7)	
Cs1—O5 ^{vi}	3.159 (4)	C2—C3	1.396 (7)	
P101	1.499 (4)	C3—C4	1.382 (7)	
P1—O2	1.509 (4)	C4—C8	1.440 (7)	
P103	1.558 (4)	C4—C5	1.406 (8)	
P1-C1	1.829 (5)	С5—С7	1.445 (8)	
P2—O4	1.497 (4)	C5—C6	1.386 (7)	
P2—O5	1.572 (4)	С3—НЗА	0.9500	
P2—O6	1.495 (3)	C6—H6A	0.9500	
P2—C2	1.830 (5)			
O1—Cs1—O1W	43.71 (8)	O2—P1—C1	106.8 (2)	
O1—Cs1—O4	58.15 (8)	O3—P1—C1	104.5 (2)	
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $N1^{1}$	113.35 (14)	O4—P2—O5	110.8 (2)	
O1—Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	170.46 (11)	O4—P2—O6	116.1 (2)	
O1—Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	55.63 (9)	O4—P2—C2	107.8 (2)	
$O1-Cs1-O3^{iv}$	80.81 (9)	O5—P2—O6	107.5 (2)	
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$	114.27 (8)	O5—P2—C2	106.1 (2)	
O1—Cs1—O6 ^v	114.88 (9)	O6—P2—C2	108.1 (2)	
O1—Cs1—O5 ^{vi}	106.05 (9)	Cs1—O1—P1	104.72 (16)	
O1W—Cs1—O4	100.85 (9)	Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	124.37 (11)	
O1W—Cs1—N1 ⁱ	69.68 (14)	Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ —O1—P1	130.85 (18)	
O1W—Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	142.36 (12)	Cs1 ^{iv} —O3—P1	143.32 (19)	
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—O1W	51.81 (9)	Cs1—O4—P2	114.80 (18)	
O1W—Cs1—O3 ^{iv}	58.71 (8)	Cs1—O4—Cs1 ^v	119.82 (11)	

O1W—Cs1—O4 ^v	143.40 (9)	Cs1 ^v —O4—P2	96.45 (15)
O1W—Cs1—O6 ^v	110.36 (8)	Cs1 ^{vi} —O5—P2	138.83 (19)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O5^{vi}$	114.73 (9)	Cs1 ^v —O6—P2	102.81 (17)
O4—Cs1—N1 ⁱ	163.38 (15)	H1X—O1W—H1Z	109 (3)
O4—Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	116.78 (11)	H1Y—O1W—H1Z	108 (3)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—O4	78.26 (9)	Cs1—O1W—H1Z	132 (2)
O3 ^{iv} —Cs1—O4	124.05 (9)	Cs1—O1W—H1X	107 (3)
O4—Cs1—O4 ^v	60.18 (9)	Cs1—O1W—H1Y	88.2 (9)
O4—Cs1—O6 ^v	89.17 (9)	H1X—O1W—H1Y	108 (4)
O4—Cs1—O5 ^{vi}	94.02 (9)	Р1—О3—Н3	108 (3)
N1 ⁱ —Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	73.64 (16)	Cs1 ^{iv} —O3—H3	104 (3)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—N1 ⁱ	85.23 (15)	Р2—О5—Н5	108 (3)
O3 ^{iv} —Cs1—N1 ⁱ	63.64 (15)	Cs1 ^{vi} —O5—H5	100 (3)
$O4^v$ — $Cs1$ — $N1^i$	119.25 (15)	Cs1 ^{vii} —N1—C7	167.2 (6)
$O6^{v}$ —Cs1—N1 ⁱ	81.85 (15)	Cs1 ^{viii} —N2—C8	155.5 (5)
O5 ^{vi} —Cs1—N1 ⁱ	102.33 (15)	P1—C1—C2	124.9 (4)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	133.14 (12)	C2—C1—C6	118.5 (4)
O3 ^{iv} —Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	97.40 (12)	P1—C1—C6	116.4 (4)
O4 ^v —Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	64.93 (12)	P2—C2—C3	116.1 (4)
O6 ^v —Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	71.74 (12)	P2—C2—C1	124.8 (4)
O5 ^{vi} —Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ	65.30 (12)	C1—C2—C3	119.1 (4)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—O3 ^{iv}	110.02 (8)	C2—C3—C4	122.1 (5)
$O1^{iii}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$	92.16 (8)	C3—C4—C5	118.9 (5)
$O1^{iii}$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^{v}$	64.05 (8)	C5—C4—C8	120.1 (5)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—O5 ^{vi}	161.55 (9)	C3—C4—C8	121.0 (5)
$O3^{iv}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$	157.79 (8)	C6—C5—C7	119.3 (5)
$O3^{iv}$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^{v}$	145.49 (9)	C4—C5—C7	121.1 (5)
O3 ^{iv} —Cs1—O5 ^{vi}	60.47 (8)	C4—C5—C6	119.6 (5)
$O4^v$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^v$	44.67 (8)	C1—C6—C5	121.7 (5)
$O4^v$ — $Cs1$ — $O5^{vi}$	98.52 (8)	N1—C7—C5	177.0 (7)
$O5^{vi}$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^{v}$	133.23 (8)	N2-C8-C4	177.2 (6)
O1—P1—O2	115.3 (2)	С2—С3—НЗА	119.00
O1—P1—O3	112.52 (19)	С4—С3—Н3А	119.00
O1—P1—C1	109.5 (2)	С1—С6—Н6А	119.00
O2—P1—O3	107.6 (2)	С5—С6—Н6А	119.00
O1W—Cs1—O1—P1	-114.0 (2)	$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O5^{vi}$ — $P2^{vi}$	-110.8 (3)
O1W—Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	68.38 (14)	$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O5^{vi}$ — $P2^{vi}$	-64.9 (3)
O4—Cs1—O1—P1	79.83 (17)	$O4$ — $Cs1$ — $O5^{vi}$ — $P2^{vi}$	-168.8 (3)
O4—Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-97.75 (14)	O1—P1—C1—C2	79.5 (4)
N1 ⁱ —Cs1—O1—P1	-116.3 (2)	O1—P1—C1—C6	-105.0 (4)
N1 ⁱ —Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	66.1 (2)	O2—P1—C1—C2	-46.0 (4)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—O1—P1	177.6 (2)	O2—P1—C1—C6	129.6 (4)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-0.02 (14)	O3—P1—C1—C2	-159.8 (4)
O3 ^{iv} —Cs1—O1—P1	-60.36 (16)	O3—P1—C1—C6	15.7 (4)
$O3^{iv}$ —Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	122.06 (13)	O3—P1—O1—Cs1	132.24 (17)
O4 ^v —Cs1—O1—P1	102.56 (17)	C1—P1—O1—Cs1	-112.09 (19)
O4 ^v —Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-75.03 (14)	O2—P1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-174.33 (19)

O6 ^v —Cs1—O1—P1	151.95 (15)	O3—P1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-50.4 (3)
O6 ^v —Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-25.63 (15)	C1—P1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	65.3 (3)
O5 ^{vi} —Cs1—O1—P1	-4.83 (18)	O1— $P1$ — $O3$ — $Cs1$ ^{iv}	-111.9 (3)
O5 ^{vi} —Cs1—O1—Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	177.59 (11)	O2—P1—O1—Cs1	8.3 (2)
O1—Cs1—O4—P2	-89.90 (19)	$C1 - P1 - O3 - Cs1^{iv}$	129.5 (3)
O1-Cs1-O4-Cs1 ^v	156.05 (16)	O2—P1—O3—Cs1 ^{iv}	16.2 (4)
O1W—Cs1—O4—P2	-99.61 (18)	O4—P2—C2—C3	121.5 (4)
O1W—Cs1—O4—Cs1 ^v	146.34 (11)	O5—P2—C2—C1	59.4 (4)
N2 ⁱⁱ —Cs1—O4—P2	80.8 (2)	O6—P2—C2—C1	174.4 (4)
N2 ⁱⁱ —Cs1—O4—Cs1 ^v	-33.23 (17)	O6—P2—C2—C3	-4.7 (4)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1—O4—P2	-146.54 (19)	O5—P2—C2—C3	-119.8 (4)
$O1^{iii}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4$ — $Cs1^{v}$	99.41 (12)	O4—P2—C2—C1	-59.4 (4)
O3 ^{iv} —Cs1—O4—P2	-40.2 (2)	O5—P2—O4—Cs1	-4.8 (2)
$O3^{iv}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4$ — $Cs1^{v}$	-154.24 (10)	O6—P2—O4—Cs1	-127.67 (19)
O4 ^v —Cs1—O4—P2	114.1 (2)	C2—P2—O4—Cs1	110.9 (2)
$O4^{v}$ —Cs1—O4—Cs1 v	-0.02 (9)	O5—P2—O4—Cs1 ^v	122.33 (16)
O6 ^v —Cs1—O4—P2	149.81 (18)	O6—P2—O4—Cs1 ^v	-0.5 (2)
$O6^{v}$ —Cs1—O4—Cs1 ^v	35.76 (12)	C2—P2—O4—Cs1 ^v	-121.95 (17)
O5 ^{vi} —Cs1—O4—P2	16.53 (19)	O4—P2—O5—Cs1 ^{vi}	-158.3 (2)
$O5^{vi}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4$ — $Cs1^{v}$	-97.52 (12)	O6—P2—O5—Cs1 ^{vi}	-30.5 (3)
O1W-Cs1-N2 ⁱⁱ -C8 ⁱⁱ	-38.5 (13)	C2—P2—O5—Cs1 ^{vi}	85.0 (3)
O4—Cs1—N2 ⁱⁱ —C8 ⁱⁱ	140.8 (11)	O4—P2—O6—Cs1 ^v	0.6 (2)
O1—Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.00 (10)	O5—P2—O6—Cs1 ^v	-124.03 (17)
O1—Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —P1 ⁱⁱⁱ	176.9 (3)	C2—P2—O6—Cs1 ^v	121.81 (17)
O1W—Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-54.81 (12)	P1—C1—C2—P2	-6.5 (6)
O1W—Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —P1 ⁱⁱⁱ	122.1 (3)	P1-C1-C2-C3	172.7 (4)
O4—Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Cs1 ⁱⁱⁱ	59.28 (12)	C6—C1—C2—P2	178.1 (4)
O4—Cs1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —P1 ⁱⁱⁱ	-123.8 (2)	C6—C1—C2—C3	-2.8 (7)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O3^{iv}$ — $P1^{iv}$	-14.9 (3)	P1-C1-C6-C5	-173.3 (4)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O3^{iv}$ — $P1^{iv}$	25.8 (3)	C2-C1-C6-C5	2.5 (7)
$O4$ — $Cs1$ — $O3^{iv}$ — $P1^{iv}$	-55.9 (3)	P2-C2-C3-C4	-179.6 (4)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$ — $Cs1^{v}$	-22.22 (15)	C1—C2—C3—C4	1.2 (7)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^v$ — $P2^v$	101.24 (17)	C2—C3—C4—C5	0.9 (7)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^v$ — $Cs1^v$	-65.93 (19)	C2—C3—C4—C8	-177.0 (5)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^v$ — $P2^v$	57.5 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.2 (7)
$O4$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{v}$ — $Cs1^{v}$	0.00 (10)	C3—C4—C5—C7	179.1 (5)
O4—Cs1—O4 v —P2 v	123.46 (19)	C8—C4—C5—C6	176.7 (5)
$O1$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^{v}$ — $P2^{v}$	-99.78 (17)	C8—C4—C5—C7	-2.9 (7)
$O1W$ — $Cs1$ — $O6^v$ — $P2^v$	-147.10 (15)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.5 (7)
O4—Cs1—O6 v —P2 v	-45.83 (17)	C7—C5—C6—C1	179.2 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) -x+2, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2; (v) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1; (vi) -x+2, -y+1, -z+2; (vii) -x+2, -y+1, -z+3/2; (viii) -x+2, -y+1/2, -x+3/2; (viii) -x+2, -x+3/2; (viii) -x+2; (viii) -x+2, -x+3/2; (viii) -x+2, -x+3/2; (viii) -x+2; (viii) -x+2, -x+3/2; (viii) -x+2; (viii) -x+2, -x+3/2; (viii) -x+2; (vi

Hydrogen bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H… <i>A</i>
O3—H3…O6 ^{ix}	0.95 (1)	1.59 (12)	2.528 (5)	172 (5)
O5—H5…O2	0.94 (1)	1.60 (12)	2.545 (5)	175 (5)

supporting information

O1W—H1X···O2 ^{iv}	0.95 (1)	1.64 (16)	2.553 (5)	160 (4)
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>Y</i> ···O1	0.96 (1)	1.66 (11)	2.526 (5)	149 (4)
O1 <i>W</i> —H1Z····O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95 (1)	1.56 (15)	2.485 (5)	162 (4)

Symmetry codes: (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2; (ix) x-1, y, z.