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#### Abstract

Numerical solution of fractional order diffusion problems with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions is investigated on a square domain. An appropriate extension is applied to have a well-posed problem on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and the solution on the square is regarded as a localization. For the numerical approximation a finite difference method is applied combined with the matrix transformation method. Here the discrete fractional Laplacian is approximated with a matrix power instead of computing the complicated approximations of fractional order derivatives. The spatial convergence of this method is proved and demonstrated in some numerical experiments.
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## 1. Introduction

Numerical solution techniques for fractional order diffusion problems have been intensively studied in the last decade. The corresponding mathematical models describe superdiffusion or subdiffusion, which were observed in several phenomena [3], [8] due to the increasing accuracy of the measurement techniques. Since in these models fractional order differential operators are used they are tied closely with the theory of the fractional calculus [15], [23], [24], which has a long history. Moreover, a novel framework has been recently elaborated to generalize the Fick's law and the fractional calculus, called the non-local calculus [6], [7].

[^0]The majority of the numerical solution techniques is based on finite difference discretizations. A stable method based on shifted finite differences was first developed in [19]. Based of this work, higher-order methods [27], [30] were constructed and analyzed and the results were extended to some related non-linear problems [16]. The analysis has been extended also for the finite volume discretization, see [10] and [29].

A non-trivial aspect of the modeling and the precise error analysis is the handling of boundary conditions. The non-local nature of the fractional order diffusion operators [6] and the reduced regularity implies that the classical Dirichlet type boundary condition may not make sense. An approach to solve this problem has been developed in [25] in the one-dimensional situation dealing both with the homogenous Neumann and the Dirichlet type boundary conditions.
A difficulty in the practice of the numerical approximations is to compute the involved finite differences in two (or three) space dimension [28], [30]. To alleviate this procedure, the so-called matrix transform (or matrix transfer) method (MTM) has been proposed in [12], [13] and [17] and generalized in [14] for time and spacefractional diffusion problems. This approach makes possible to deal with the sparse matrix $\widehat{A}$ corresponding to the standard Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ : for the discretization of $-\Delta^{\alpha}$ we have to use $\widehat{A}^{\alpha}$. The computational experiments confirmed the favor of this method. A corresponding error analysis was carried out only for the finite element methods with respect to the $L_{2}$-norm, see [26].

The aim of the present work is twofold.

- The first objective is to define a well-posed problem which corresponds to the space-fractional diffusion equation and involves homogeneous Dirichlet type boundary conditions.
- Second, we intend to develop a convergence theory for the matrix transformation method corresponding to the finite difference approximation and establish the order of convergence in the $L_{2}$-norm.

In the rest of the paper, after some preliminaries, an extension operator is introduced corresponding to homogeneous Dirichlet type boundary conditions. It is pointed out that in this way we arrive at a well-posed problem. We verify then the approximation property of the matrix transform approach. Based on this, a corresponding general semidiscrete numerical scheme is defined and the spatial convergence of this method is proved. Whenever our final result concerns finite difference approximation, the analysis is mainly based on spectral arguments and we use some recent results of the numerical aspects of the semigroup theory. The work is closed with some numerical experiments, which confirm the presented convergence theory.

## 2. Mathematical preliminaries

We examine the fractional diffusion equation in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ using its divergence form.
2.1. Fractional Laplacian and its eigenspace. We define first the fractional Laplacian operator on the domain $\Omega=(0,1) \times(0,1)$ and the fractional Hilbert spaces following [13].

Definition 1. Let $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote the eigenfunctions and the corresponding eigenvalues of the Laplace operator $\left(-\Delta_{D}\right): L_{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)$, which is defined on a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. These functions form a complete orthonormal set in $L_{2}(\Omega)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$ we introduce

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}=\left\{f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}, \quad c_{n}=\left\langle f, \varphi_{n}\right\rangle: \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{\alpha}<\infty\right\}
$$

such that the fractional Laplacian $\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2}: \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions is defined with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} f:=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}^{\alpha / 2} c_{j} \varphi_{j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

While both the operator $-\Delta_{D}$ and the linear space $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ depend on $\Omega$, this is not shown for the sake of simplicity. Note that alternative definitions of the fractional Laplacian are available. Corresponding to the pointwise approximation of the Laplacian, in [5] for the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ its fractional power is defined as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u(\underline{x}):=\frac{C_{\alpha}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{u(\underline{x}+\underline{y})+u(\underline{x}-\underline{y})-2 u(\underline{x})}{|\underline{y}|^{2+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} \underline{y},  \tag{2.2}\\
\text { where } C_{\alpha}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{1-\cos \zeta_{1}}{|\zeta|^{2+\alpha}} d \zeta\right)^{-1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

According to [20], the right hand side of (2.2) can be given as

$$
\operatorname{div} J(\underline{x}), \text { where } \quad J(\underline{x})=\frac{C_{\alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} \operatorname{grad} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{u(\underline{y})}{|\underline{x}-\underline{y}|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d} \underline{y},
$$

which is a divergence form corresponding to a non-local Fick's law [7]. Accordingly, we will use the following definition of the fractional Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u(\underline{x}):=\frac{C_{\alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} \Delta\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{u(\underline{y})}{|\underline{x}-\underline{y}|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d} \underline{y}\right)(\underline{x}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2. For $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$let

$$
\|u\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}=\left(|u|_{0}^{2}+|u|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where

$$
|u|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}=\sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty}\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left|u_{k, l}\right|^{2}
$$

with the Fourier coefficients $u_{k, l}$ of $u$. Then $\mathbb{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega):=\left(\mathcal{F}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}},\|\cdot\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$, see [4], [21].
dsds Remarks: Usually, $\mathbb{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)$ is only defined for $\alpha \in(0,2)$ as this can be related with the classical Sobolev spaces, see [21] and [22].
According to Definition 2, we frequently use $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ for the $L_{2}(\Omega)$-norm.
Definition 3. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$the linear space $S_{N} \subset L_{2}(\Omega)$ is defined with

$$
S_{N}=\operatorname{span}\{2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y): x, y \in[0,1], 1 \leq k, l \leq N-1, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}
$$

and the corresponding projection operator $P_{N}: L_{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow S_{N}$ with

$$
P_{N} f(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} f_{k, l} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)
$$

where

$$
f(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} f_{k, l} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)
$$

Remarks: Since $P_{N}$ is a projection, we obviously have $\left\|P_{N}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\left.P_{N}\right|_{S_{N}}$ is the identity.
Also, since $P_{N}$ projects to the eigenfunctions of the operator $\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} P_{N} f=P_{N}(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} f \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Discretization and Fourier interpolation. We define a uniform grid with the gridsize $h=\frac{1}{N}$ and the corresponding interior gridpoints

$$
\Omega_{h}:=\left\{\left(x_{k}, y_{l}\right)=(k h, l h): 1 \leq k, l \leq N-1\right\}
$$

In the error estimates we always assume that $h<1$, because we are interested in the fine-grid limit. The finite difference method results in a nodal approximation. We can relate it to a continuous analytic solution by using the following interpolation.

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{I}_{N}: \mathbb{R}^{(N-1) \times(N-1)} \rightarrow S_{N}$ denote the sine Fourier interpolation given by

$$
\left(\mathcal{I}_{N} \mathbf{f}\right)(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} \bar{f}_{k, l} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)
$$

where

$$
\bar{f}_{k, l}=h^{2} \sum_{m, n=1}^{N-1} f(m, n) 2 \sin \left(k \pi x_{m}\right) \sin \left(l \pi y_{n}\right) .
$$

Here the entries of $\mathbf{f}$ in the interior gridpoints are denoted with $f(m, n)$ for $1 \leq$ $m, n \leq N-1$.

Remarks: One can easily verify that for any $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\left.u\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right)\right)(x)=u(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{h} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover, for any $g \in C_{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\Theta \in S_{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g, \Theta)=\left(\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\left.g\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right), \Theta\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the $L_{2}(\Omega)$-inner product and $C_{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ denotes the continuous functions on $\Omega$ with vanishing boundary values.

## 3. Results

Our objective is to find $u:[0, T] \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, \underline{x})=-\mu\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u(t, \underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \Omega, t \in(0, T)  \tag{3.1}\\
u(t, \underline{x})=0, \quad \underline{x} \in \partial \Omega, t \in[0, T), \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(t, \underline{x})=u(0, \underline{x})=u_{0}(\underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $\Omega=(0,1) \times(0,1), u_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+1}$ are given and we assume that $\alpha \in(1,2]$. In the applications, this assumption is not restrictive, at the same time, it implies sufficient smoothness.

Lemma 1. If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$ then $f \in C_{0}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Taking the eigenfunction expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} f_{k, l} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. The extension procedure ${ }^{-}$and annihilation between $A_{3}$ and $A$. Values equal to $u(A)$ are denoted with $\bullet$, while their negative with $\circ$. $\Omega$ is the shaded domain.
the relation $f \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$ implies that $\sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} f_{k, l}^{2}\left(k^{2}+l^{2}\right)^{2}$ is convergent. Then using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\sqrt{\sum_{k, l=1}^{N}\left(f_{k, l}\left(k^{2}+l^{2}\right)\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{k, l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+l^{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}}} \geq \sum_{k, l=1}^{N} \frac{\left|f_{k, l}\right|\left(k^{2}+l^{2}\right)}{\left(k^{2}+l^{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \geq \sum_{k, l=1}^{N}\left|f_{k, l}\right|
$$

where the left hand side and hence the right hand side is finite. Therefore, the series in (3.2) converges uniformly, which results in a continuous sum with vanishing boundary values as stated.
3.1. Extension corresponding to homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. The extension ${ }^{-}: C_{0}(\Omega) \rightarrow C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is defined as follows: The reflection of $A \in \Omega$ across the faces of $\Omega$ is denoted with $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ in a fixed order. With these

$$
\bar{u}\left(A_{1}\right)=\bar{u}\left(A_{2}\right)=\bar{u}\left(A_{3}\right)=\bar{u}\left(A_{4}\right):=-u(A) .
$$

Following this procedure repeatedly on the boundary of the new unit squares and using the equality $u\left(A_{i, j}\right)=-u\left(A_{i}\right)=-u\left(A_{j}\right)=u(A)$ we have that the extension : is well-defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. See also Figure 1.

Remarks: Note that this is an odd extension in the sense that the following identities are valid for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{u}(1+x, y)=-\bar{u}(1-x, y) ; & \bar{u}(x, y)=-\bar{u}(-x, y) \\
\bar{u}(x, 1+y)=-\bar{u}(x, 1-y) ; & \bar{u}(x, y)=-\bar{u}(x,-y) . \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

A physical motivation of the extension procedure is that taking particles in $\Omega$ with positive weight, their mirror images should be equipped with the negative weights since in this way, after a collision on $\partial \Omega$ they will be annihilated making the boundary an absorbing wall. This is also depicted in Figure 1 between $A$ and $A_{3}$.

Note that for any integers $k$ and $l$ the extension of the function $\sin k \pi x \sin l \pi y$ from $\Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is given with the same formula, which is used without further reference.
3.1.1. The extended problem and its solution. Using the extension procedure we pose the following extended problem for $\bar{u}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}(t, \underline{x})=-\tilde{\mu}(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} \bar{u}(t, \underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, t \in(0, T)  \tag{3.4}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \bar{u}(t, \underline{x})=\bar{u}(0, \underline{x})=\bar{u}_{0}(\underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u_{0} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\tilde{\mu}>0$ are given.
To highlight the relation between (3.1) and (3.4) our main tool is the fact that the definitions in (2.1) and (2.2) are equivalent in a sense. Using also the formulation in (2.3) we state the following.

Theorem 1. Using the assumptions for (3.1), the following equality holds true for all $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u(\underline{x})=\frac{1}{2 \tilde{C}_{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{C_{\alpha}}(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} \bar{u}(\underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \Omega
$$

with a constant $\tilde{C}_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
The technical proof is postponed to the appendix.
Lemma 2. Using the assumptions for (3.1), the solution of (3.4) is smooth in the sense that for $t>0 \bar{u}(t, \cdot) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and it satisfies homogeneous "boundary" condition: $\bar{u}(t, x, y)=0$ for $(x, y) \in \partial \Omega$.

Proof. We first note that (3.4) is well-posed and its solution can be given as

$$
\bar{u}(t, x, y)=\left(\Phi_{t} * \bar{u}_{0}\right)(x, y)
$$

where $\Phi_{t}$ denotes the fundamental solution of (3.4), see [18]. With a straightforward generalization of Lemma 2.3 in [25] we obtain that $\Phi_{t} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ which implies also the required smoothness of $\bar{u}(t, \cdot)$.

Concerning the boundary conditions we only show that $u\left(t, x_{0}, 1\right)=0$ for $x_{0} \in$ $(0,1)$, the proofs for the remaining cases can be obtained similarly. Using the fact that $\Phi_{t}$ is even in both of its variables and the equalities in (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{u}\left(t, x_{0}, 1\right)=\lim _{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0-} \bar{u}\left(t, x_{0}, 1-\epsilon_{n}\right)=\lim _{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0-} \bar{u}_{0} * \Phi_{t}\left(x_{0}, 1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_{0}\left(x_{0}-x, 1-\epsilon_{n}-y\right) \Phi_{t}(x, y) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\lim _{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_{0}\left(x_{0}-x, 1+\epsilon_{n}+y\right) \Phi_{t}(x, y) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\lim _{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}_{0}\left(x_{0}-x, 1+\epsilon_{n}+y\right) \Phi_{t}(x,-y) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\lim _{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0-}\left(\bar{u}_{0} * \Phi_{t}\right)\left(x_{0}, 1+\epsilon_{n}\right)=-\lim _{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0-} \bar{u}\left(t, x_{0}, 1+\epsilon_{n}\right)=-\bar{u}\left(t, x_{0}, 1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives that $\bar{u}\left(t, x_{0}, 1\right)=0$.
3.2. Analytic solution with sine Fourier expansion. Using Theorem 1 the solution of (3.1) is nothing but the restriction of the solution of (3.4) to $\Omega$. We also need its Fourier expansion, which is given in the following.

Theorem 2. Using the assumptions for (3.1), for all $t>0$ there exists a unique solution $u(t, \cdot)$ of (3.1) such that $u(t, \cdot)=\left.\bar{u}(t, \cdot)\right|_{\Omega} \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$, where $\tilde{\mu}=\mu \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \tilde{C}_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}}$. Moreover, $\left\|\left.\bar{u}(t, \cdot)\right|_{\Omega}\right\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq\left\|\left.\bar{u}_{0}\right|_{\Omega}\right\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and $u(t, \cdot)$ satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.
Proof. We seek the solution of (3.1) in the following form:

$$
u(t, x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} u_{k, l}(t) 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)
$$

Taking the scalar product of (3.1) with the function $2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)$ on $\Omega$, we get the following system of differential equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{k, l}^{\prime}(t)=-\mu\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u_{k, l}(t) \\
u_{k, l}(0)=u_{0, k, l},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u_{0, k, l}$ are the coefficients of the Fourier series of $u_{0}$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we have that

$$
u(t, x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} u_{0, k, l} \exp \left\{-t \mu\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right\} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)
$$

such that $u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha>0$.
To see uniqueness, we note that using Theorem 1 for the extension of any solution of (3.1) we have

$$
\partial_{t} \bar{u}(t, x, y)=\partial_{t} u(t, x, y)=-\mu\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(t, x, y)=-\mu \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \tilde{C}_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}}(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \bar{u}(t, x, y)
$$

for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$ and by the extension procedure,

$$
\partial_{t} \bar{u}(t, x, y)=-\tilde{\mu}(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \bar{u}(t, x, y)
$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. On the other hand, this solution (as mentioned in Lemma 2) is unique, which also implies the uniqueness of the solution of (3.1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Since }-t \mu\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \leq 0 \text {, we have that } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\left\|\left(\left.\bar{u}(t, \cdot)\right|_{\Omega}\right)\right\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left[u_{0, k, l} \exp \left\{-t \mu\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right\}\right]^{2} \\
\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\left[u_{0, k, l} \exp \left\{-t \mu\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right\}\right]^{2} \\
\quad \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left[u_{0, k, l}\right]^{2}+\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\left[u_{0, k, l}\right]^{2}=\left\|\left(\left.\bar{u}_{0}\right|_{\Omega}\right)\right\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

as stated.
3.3. Numerical solution of (3.1). We analyze here the numerical solution of (3.1) using the matrix transformation method (MTM). First we investigate an approximation with a spectral method using Fourier projection for the initial value. Using this result, we will show that the MTM also gives a good approximation.
3.3.1. The spectral method. We will use the following approximation results, which are stated in [4] for periodic boundary conditions, but can be adopted (with a minimal change) to the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Proposition 1. For all $0 \leq \eta \leq s$ there is a constant $C$ such that for all $u \in \mathbb{H}^{s}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{\eta} \leq C N^{\eta-s}|u|_{s}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover, if $s>1$ then we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\left.u\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right)\right\|_{\eta} \leq C N^{\eta-s}|u|_{s} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we define an approximation $u_{N}(t, \cdot) \in S_{N}$ as the solution of the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial t}(t, \underline{x})=-\mu\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u_{N}(t, \underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \Omega, t \in(0, T),  \tag{3.7}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u_{N}(t, \underline{x})=u_{N}(0, \underline{x})=\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right)(\underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

A corresponding error estimate is given as follows:
Theorem 3. Let $u_{N}$ be the solution of the problem in (3.7) and $u$ is the solution of (3.1), using again the assumptions here. Then there exists a constant $C$ independent of $u_{0}$ such that for all $t \in(0, T)$ the following error estimation is valid:

$$
\left\|u(t)-u_{N}(t)\right\|_{0} \leq C h\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha+1}, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] .
$$

Proof. Let $L f:=\mu(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} f$ and $L_{N} f=P_{N} L f$. Taking the scalar product of (3.1) and (3.7) with a function $\Theta \in S_{N}$ we get the following equalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\partial_{t} P_{N} u, \Theta\right)+\left(L P_{N} u, \Theta\right)=-\left(L\left(u-P_{N} u\right), \Theta\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
\left(\partial_{t} u_{N}, \Theta\right)+\left(L u_{N}, \Theta\right)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where, indeed, the notations $P_{N}(u(s)), L P_{N}(u(s))$ and $u_{N}(s)$ should be used, which for the brevity is simplified over the proof. The difference of (3.8) and (3.9) gives for $e=u_{N}-P_{N} u$ and $\Theta=e$ the following:

$$
\left(\partial_{t} e, e\right)+(L e, e)=\left(L\left(u-P_{N} u\right), e\right) .
$$

Since $L$ is positive definite, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\|e\|_{0} \partial_{t}\|e\|_{0}=\partial_{t}\|e\|_{0}^{2}=\int_{\Omega} \partial_{t} e^{2}=\int_{\Omega} 2 e \partial_{t} e \\
& =2\left(L\left(u-P_{N} u\right), e\right)-2(L e, e) \leq 2\left(L\left(u-P_{N} u\right), e\right) \leq 2\|e\|_{0}\left\|L\left(u-P_{N} u\right)\right\|_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\partial_{t}\|e\|_{0} \leq\left\|L\left(u-P_{N} u\right)\right\|_{0} .
$$

Integrating both sides on $[0, t]$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|e(t)\|_{0} \leq\left\|e_{0}\right\|_{0}+t \sup _{(0, t)}\left\|L\left(u-P_{N} u\right)\right\|_{0} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.7), (3.5) and (3.6) with $\eta=0$, we get
$\left\|e_{0}\right\|_{0}=\left\|u_{N}(0)-P_{N} u(0)\right\|_{0} \leq\left\|\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right)-u_{0}\right\|_{0}+\left\|u_{0}-P_{N} u(0)\right\|_{0} \leq C N^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}$ and (3.5) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L\left(u-P_{N} u\right)\right\|_{0} \leq\left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{\alpha} \leq N^{-1}\|u\|_{\alpha+1} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, inserting (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.10) with the inequality in Theorem 2 gives the following estimate:

$$
\|e(t)\|_{0} \leq C N^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}+t N^{-1}\|u\|_{\alpha+1}
$$

Combining this with the triangle inequality and using (3.5) with $\eta=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u(t)-u_{N}(t)\right\|_{0} \leq\left\|u(t)-P_{N} u(t)\right\|_{0}+\|e\|_{0} \leq 2 C N^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}+t N^{-1}\|u\|_{\alpha+1} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using that $\alpha>1$, we obtain $2 C N^{-\alpha}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq 2 C N^{-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha+1}$, which compared with (3.13) completes the proof of the theorem.
3.3.2. The matrix transformation method. In this subsection, we establish the order of convergence for the MTM. $\widehat{A}_{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)^{2} \times(N-1)^{2}}$ denotes the matrix corresponding to the standard five-point difference scheme of the Laplacian $(-\Delta)$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The eigenvectors of the matrix $\widehat{A}_{h}$ and the corresponding eigenvalues in the order of increasing value are given for $k, l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N-1\}$ by

$$
\left(v_{k, l}\right)_{i, j}=2 \sin (k \pi i h) \sin (l \pi j h) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{k, l}=\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{l \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Since $\widehat{A}_{h}$ is positive definite, we can take its singular value decomposition $V^{T} \Lambda V$. Here the $k$-th column of $V$ is the $k$-th eigenvector of $\widehat{A}_{h}$ belonging to the $k$-th eigenvalue and the diagonal matrix $\Lambda$ contains the corresponding eigenvalues.

The basic idea of the MTM is to use the matrix $\widehat{A}_{h}^{\alpha / 2}$ for the approximation of the operator $\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2}$. Accordingly, we define $\widehat{A}_{h}^{\alpha / 2}:=V^{T} \Lambda^{\alpha / 2} V$ and with this we have to solve the semidiscretized problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \widehat{U}_{N}}{\partial t}(t)=-\mu \widehat{A}_{h}^{\alpha / 2} \widehat{U}_{N}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0  \tag{3.14}\\
\widehat{U}_{N}(0)=\left.u_{0}(\cdot)\right|_{\Omega_{h}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\widehat{U}_{N}(t)$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{(N-1)^{2}}$ and its components are given in the gridpoints of $\Omega_{h}$.
3.4. Convergence result. We also introduce the operator $A_{h}^{\alpha / 2}: S_{N} \rightarrow S_{N}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} u(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)\left[\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{l \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2} \\
\text { where } u(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark: The operators $A_{h}$ and $\widehat{A}_{h}$ are equivalent in the following sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[A_{h} u(x, y)\right]\right|_{\Omega_{h}}=\widehat{A}_{h}\left[\left.u(x, y)\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right] \text { and } \mathcal{I}_{N}\left\{\widehat{A}_{h}\left[\left.u(x, y)\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right]\right\}=A_{h} u(x, y) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in S_{N}$. To relate the operators $(-\Delta)$ and $A_{h}$ we need the following estimate.
Proposition 2. For arbitrary $\alpha \in(0,2]$ and integers $k, l$ with $1 \leq k, l \leq N-1$ there is a mesh-independent constant $C_{\alpha, 0}$ such that the following estimation is valid:

$$
\left[(k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}-\left[\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{l \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2} \leq C_{\alpha, 0} h^{\alpha}\left((k \pi)^{2 \alpha}+(l \pi)^{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

Proof. We first note that using the Taylor expansion of the function $\sin ^{2}$ around zero we have that

$$
\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}=(k \pi)^{2}-h^{2} \frac{(k \pi)^{4}}{12} \cos \xi_{k}
$$

is satisfied for all $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ with some $\xi_{k} \in[0, \pi]$.
Since $\sin x \leq x$ is satisfied for $x \geq 0$, we obviously get

$$
B=\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{l \pi h}{2}\right)^{2} \leq(k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}=A
$$

such that $\cos \xi_{k} \geq 0$ should also be satisfied. Therefore, using the inequality $A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-$ $B^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq(A-B)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ for $\frac{\alpha}{2} \in(0,1]$, we finally obtain the following estimate for all $1 \leq k, l \leq N-1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[(k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}-\left[\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{l \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \\
& \leq\left(h^{2} \frac{(k \pi)^{4}}{12} \cos \xi_{k}\right)^{\alpha / 2}+\left(h^{2} \frac{(l \pi)^{4}}{12} \cos \xi_{l}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \leq\left[\left(\frac{(k \pi)^{4}}{12}\right)^{\alpha / 2}+\left(\frac{(l \pi)^{4}}{12}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right] h^{\alpha} \\
& =\frac{1}{12^{\alpha / 2}} h^{\alpha}\left((k \pi)^{2 \alpha}+(l \pi)^{2 \alpha}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the statement.

We can now quantify the difference between $A_{h}^{\alpha / 2}$ and $(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}$.
Lemma 3. If $u \in S_{N}$ and $\alpha \in(0,2]$, then we have

$$
\left\|\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u-A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} u\right\|_{0} \leq C_{N} h^{\alpha}|u|_{\alpha+1}
$$

Proof. If $u \in S_{N}$ with $u(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u(x, y)=C_{\alpha} \sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l}\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y) \\
& A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} u(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l}\left(\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{l \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y)
\end{aligned}
$$

such that using Proposition 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u-A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} u\right\|_{0}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l}^{2}\left(\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}-\left(\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{k \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{h} \sin \frac{l \pi h}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right) \\
& \leq C_{\alpha, 0} h^{\alpha} \sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l}^{2}\left((k \pi)^{2 \alpha}+(l \pi)^{2 \alpha}\right) \leq C_{\alpha, 0} h^{\alpha} \sum_{k, l=1}^{N-1} u_{k, l}^{2}\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+1} \\
& =C_{\alpha, 0} h^{\alpha}|u|_{\alpha+1}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

as stated in the lemma.

To use a key approximation theorem, we pose some assumptions following the setting in [2].

Assumption 1: For the Banach spaces $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $X$, the operators $P_{n}: X \rightarrow$ $X_{n}$ and $J_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow X$ satisfy the following:

- there exists a constant $K>0$ such that $\left\|P_{n}\right\|,\left\|J_{n}\right\| \leq K \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $P_{n} J_{n}=I_{n}$, where $I_{n}$ is the identity operator on the space $X_{n}$,
- $J_{n} P_{n} f \rightarrow f, \forall f \in X$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assumption 2: For the generators $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $A$ of the strongly continuous semigroups $\left(T_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $T$ on $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $X$, respectively, we have

- $\left\|T_{n}(t)\right\| \leq M e^{\omega t} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some constants $M>1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$

On the Banach space $\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{Y}\right)$ with $Y \subset D(A)$ dense we have the following:

- $\|T(t)\|_{Y} \leq M e^{\omega t}$ with the above constants $M>1$ and $\omega$,
- for all $g \in Y$ there exists a sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$ with $y_{n} \in D\left(A_{n}\right)$ which satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-P_{n} g\right\|_{X_{n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|A_{n} y_{n}-P_{n} A g\right\|_{X_{n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Suppose that Assumption 1 and 2 hold true and there exist constants $C>0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $f \in Y$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\left\|A_{n} P_{n} f-P_{n} A f\right\|_{X_{n}} \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{Y}}{n^{p}}
$$

Then for all $t>0$ there exists a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that we have the error estimate

$$
\left\|T_{n}(t) P_{n} f-P_{n} T(t) f\right\|_{X_{n}} \leq C^{\prime} \frac{\|f\|_{Y}}{n^{p}}
$$

and this convergence is uniform in $t$ on compact intervals.
This statement is an easy consequence of Corollary 1.11 in [9], page 163 and the detailed proof can be found in [2].

To use the above results we investigate the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial U_{N}}{\partial t}(t, \underline{x})=-\mu A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} U_{N}(t, \underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \Omega, t \in(0, T)  \tag{3.17}\\
U_{N}(t) \in S_{N} \quad t \in(0, T) \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} U_{N}(t, \underline{x})=\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right)(\underline{x}), \quad \underline{x} \in \Omega, t \in(0, T),
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is related with (3.14) to obtain the main result. We intend to use also Proposition 2 and Theorem 3 and therefore, we need to assume some smoothness on the initial condition and restrict the exponent of the Dirichlet Laplacian to preserve the initial accuracy.

Theorem 5. Using the assumptions for (3.1), we have that the numerical solution $\widehat{U}_{N}$ in (3.14) satisfies the following error estimate:

$$
\left\|u(t)-\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\widehat{U}_{N}(t)\right)\right\|_{0} \leq h C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha+1} \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

Proof. Using (3.17) and the interpolation property of $\mathcal{I}_{N}$ in (2.5) we obviously have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{N}(0, \underline{x})=\left(\mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Omega_{h}}\right)\right)(\underline{x})=u_{0}(\underline{x}) \quad \text { for } \underline{x} \in \Omega_{h} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, applying (3.17) in the gridpoints using (3.15) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial U_{N}}{\partial t}(t, \underline{x})=-\mu A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} U_{N}(t, \underline{x})=-\left.\mu \widehat{A}_{h}^{\alpha / 2} U_{N}(t, \underline{x})\right|_{\Omega_{h}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities in (3.18) and (3.19) imply that (3.17) in the gridpoints coincides with (3.14) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.U_{N}(t)\right|_{\Omega_{h}}=\widehat{U}_{N}(t) \quad \text { and vice versa } \quad \mathcal{I}_{N}\left(\widehat{U}_{N}(t)\right)=U_{N}(t) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \in S_{N}$ then using Lemma 3 we get

$$
\left\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u-A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} u\right\|_{0} \leq C_{\alpha} h|u|_{\alpha+1}
$$

We can now apply the Theorem 4 with the following choice for the function spaces:

$$
X_{n}=\left(S_{N},\|\cdot\|_{0}\right), X=L_{2}(\Omega), Y=\mathcal{F}_{\alpha+1}(\Omega)
$$

and for the corresponding operators:

$$
P_{n}=P_{N}, J_{n}=S_{N} \hookrightarrow L_{2}(\Omega), A_{n}=A_{h}^{\alpha / 2}, \text { and } A=(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}
$$

where $\hookrightarrow$ denotes the identical embedding, i.e. $J_{n}(v)=v$. Finally, we define the semigroups $T_{n}(t) \in S_{N}$ and $T(t) \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ to be the solution operators of (3.17) and (3.1), respectively.

To verify Assumption 1, we first note that $\left\|P_{N}\right\|=1$, see the remark after the definition of $P_{N}$. The subspace $S_{N}$ is also equipped with the $\|\cdot\|_{0}$-norm, so that $\left\|J_{n}\right\|=1$. Since $P_{N}$ is a projection, we also have $P_{n}\left(J_{n}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)=P_{N}\left(u_{k}\right)=u_{k}$ for all $u_{k} \in S_{N}$. Finally, the orthogonal system $\{2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y): x, y \in[0,1], k, l \in$ $\left.\mathbb{N}^{+}\right\}$is complete and therefore, $J_{n}\left(P_{n}(v)\right) \rightarrow v$ for all $v \in L_{2}(\Omega)$.

For the first estimate in Assumption 2, we note that

$$
T_{n}\left(U_{N}(0, \cdot)\right)=U_{N}(t, \cdot)=\exp \left\{-\mu A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} t\right\} U_{N}(0, \cdot)
$$

and therefore, $\left\|T_{n}\right\|=\left\|\exp \left\{-\mu A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} t\right\}\right\| \leq 1$ since the matrix $A_{h}$ is positive definite. Using Theorem 2 we have that $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\alpha} \leq\|u(0, \cdot)\|_{\alpha}$ for the solution of (3.1) such that we obtain $\|T(t)\|_{Y} \leq 1$.
Finally, with the choice $y_{n}=P_{N} g$ the first item in (3.16) is obviously satisfied and the second one is an easy consequence of (2.4) and Lemma 3:

$$
\left\|A_{n} P_{N} g-P_{N} A g\right\|_{0}=\left\|\left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} g-A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} g\right\|_{0} \leq C_{\alpha, 0} h^{\alpha}\|g\|_{\alpha+1}
$$

Finally, using $A_{n} P_{n} u=A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} P_{N} u$ and (2.4) again with Lemma 3 gives that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|A_{n} P_{n} u-P_{n} A u\right\|_{0}=\left\|A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} P_{N} u-P_{N}(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u\right\|_{0} \\
=\left\|A_{h}^{\alpha / 2} P_{N} u-(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} P_{N} u\right\|_{0} \leq C_{\alpha, 0} h^{\alpha}\|u\|_{\alpha+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

such that the assumption in Theorem 4 is satisfied. Therefore, Theorem 4 implies the following inequality

$$
\left\|u_{N}(t)-U_{N}(t)\right\|_{0} \leq C_{1} h^{\alpha}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha+1}
$$

According to Theorem 3, we also have

$$
\left\|u(t)-u_{N}(t)\right\|_{0} \leq h C_{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha+1}
$$

such that using (3.20) the triangle inequality and $\alpha>1$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\| u(t)-\mathcal{I}_{N}\right)\left(\widehat{U}_{N}(t)\right)\left\|_{0}=\right\| u(t)-U_{N}(t)\left\|_{0} \leq\right\| u(t)-u_{N}(t)\left\|_{0}+\right\| u_{N}(t)-U_{N}(t) \|_{0} \\
& \leq\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) h\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\alpha+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

as stated in the theorem.
Remarks: Theorem 5 gives the spatial accuracy of the MTM method, which is a consequence of the standard five-point stencil in the underlying finite difference discretization. The accuracy of the full discretization depends on the time integration to approximate $\widehat{U}_{N}(t)$.

The method presented here can also be applied for rectangular domains in any space dimensions. In such a case we know the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_{h}$ corresponding to the Dirichlet Laplacian $-\Delta_{D}$ and the extension procedure in Section 3.1 can also be applied. By using sharp estimates for the eigenvalues of $A_{h}$ one could mimic the presented analysis. At the same time, in this case additional strong smoothness assumptions would be necessary to ensure that applying the fractional Dirichlet Laplacian will lead to solutions with homogeneous boundary conditions since in general, the extension procedure can not be performed.
The treatment of inhomogeneous boundary conditions is still an open problem. Even the correct formulation of a corresponding continuous problem is still under discussion in the literature [1].

## 4. Numerical experiments

We investigate the following test problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}(t, \underline{x})=-0.01(-\Delta)^{1.4 / 2} \bar{u}(t, \underline{x}), \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{4.1}\\
u(0, x, y)=\left[100\left(x(1-x)+(x(1-x))^{2}\right)\left(y(1-y)+(y(1-y))^{2}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\underline{x}=(x, y) \in \Omega=(0,1) \times(0,1)$. Note that this is a restriction of the corresponding problem in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that according to Lemma 2, the homogeneous Dirichlet
boundary condition is also satisfied. The sine Fourier series of the analytic solution of (4.1) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t, x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 230400 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y) \frac{\left.\left.\left(1+(-1)^{k+1}\right)\right)\left(1+(-1)^{l+1}\right)\right)}{(k l)^{5} \pi^{10}} \\
& \cdot \exp \left[-0.01 t\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{0.7}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The semidiscretization of (4.1) with MTM for the point values in $\Omega_{h}$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \widehat{U}_{N}}{\partial t}(t)=-0.01 \widehat{A}_{h}^{0.7} \widehat{U}_{N}(t), \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{4.2}\\
\widehat{U}_{N}(0)=\left.100\left(x(1-x)+(x(1-x))^{2}\right)\left(y(1-y)+(y(1-y))^{2}\right)\right|_{\Omega_{h}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

To solve this ODE we used the implicit Euler and Crank-Nicolson method. Based on these approximations, we can estimate the error $\left\|\mathcal{I}_{N}\left[\widehat{U}_{N}(t)\right](\cdot)-\bar{u}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{0}$.

To compare $\left(\mathcal{I}_{N}\right)\left[\widehat{U}_{N}(t)\right](\cdot)$ with $u(t, \cdot)$ we cut off the Fourier-series of $u(t, \cdot)$ at the first $N$ terms in both variables, this results an extra error term of order $O\left(h^{2}\right)$, which does not harm the accuracy of the method since $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+2}$.

| $h$ | time step | IE $\\|\cdot\\|_{0}$ | convergence order | $\mathrm{CN}\\|\cdot\\|_{0}$ | convergence order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0111 |  | 0.0083 |  |
| 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0036 | 1.6245 | 0.0021 | 1.9822 |
| 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.0013 | 1.4695 | $5.309610^{-4}$ | 1.9837 |
| 0.025 | 0.025 | $5.015310^{-4}$ | 1.3741 | $1.32810^{-4}$ | 1.9993 |
| 0.0125 | 0.0125 | $2.178310^{-4}$ | 1.2031 | $3.320310^{-5}$ | 1.9999 |

TABLE 1. Error and convergence for the test problem in (4.1) using the MTM with implicit Euler (IE) and Crank-Nicolson (CN) method

In this case we obtain a second order convergence for the full discretization if the Crank-Nicolson method is used for the time steps. We can not expect this (full) convergence order, if the implicit Euler method is applied. Accordingly, we obtain an order near to one.

## Appendix

4.1. Equivalence of different forms of the fractional Laplacian. For the proof of Theorem 1 we recall the Bessel functions $K_{\nu}(z), I_{\nu}(z)$ and the modified Struve function $\mathbf{L}_{\nu}(z)$; see the definitions in the work [11] at the points of 8.55, 8.43 and 8.407. We summarize these properties in the following.

Proposition 3. For all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $\beta, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \beta>0, \operatorname{Re} \mu>-1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{Re} \nu<1 / 2$ with $\nu \neq-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{5}{2}, \ldots$ the following properties hold:

1. $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\beta^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\nu-1 / 2} \cos (a x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{a}\right)^{\nu} \cos (\pi \nu) \Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right) K_{-\nu}(a \beta)$,
2. $\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\beta^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\nu-1 / 2} \sin (a x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{a}\right)^{\nu} \cos (\pi \nu) \Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(I_{-\nu}(a \beta)-\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\nu}(a \beta)\right)$,
3. $K_{\nu}(x)=K_{-\nu}(x)$,
4. $\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\mu} K_{\mu}(a x) \cos (b x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}(2 a)^{\mu} \Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(b^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{-\mu-1 / 2}$.

In the following statement, we use the notation $\Delta$ for the differential operator $\partial_{x x}+\partial_{y y}$.

Proposition 4. For each $\alpha \in(1,2]$ there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_{\alpha}>0$ such that for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we have

$$
(-\Delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\right) \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left[\left(x-s_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2}=\tilde{C}_{\alpha}\left[(k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2} 2 \sin (k \pi x) \sin (l \pi y) .
$$

Proof:
(4.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\right) \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left[\left(x-s_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\right)}{\left[\left(x-s_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi\left(x+s_{1}\right)\right)}{\left[s_{1}^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\alpha}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi\left(x+s_{1}\right)\right)}{\left[\left(\frac{s_{1}}{y-s_{2}}\right)^{2}+1\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi\left(x+s_{1}\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right)\right)}{\left[s_{1}^{2}+1\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}} \\
& \cdot\left\{\sin (k \pi x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\cos \left(k \pi s_{1}\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right)}{\left[s_{1}^{2}+1\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1}-\cos (k \pi x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right)}{\left[s_{1}^{2}+1\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =\sin (k \pi x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\cos \left(k \pi s_{1}\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right)}{\left[s_{1}^{2}+1\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \\
& =2 \sin (k \pi x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\alpha-1}} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \left(k \pi s_{1}\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right)}{\left[s_{1}^{2}+1\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the first formula of proposition 3 with parameters $\beta=1, a=k \pi|y-v|, \nu=\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \left(k \pi s_{1}\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right)}{\left[s_{1}^{2}+1\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{2}{k \pi}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos \left(\pi \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\left(k \pi\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right) \frac{1}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting this into (4.3) gives the following equality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\right) \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left[\left(x-s_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin (k \pi x)\left(\frac{2}{k \pi}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \cdot \cos \left(\pi \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}} K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\left(k \pi\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}} K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\left(k \pi\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{y} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}} K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\left(k \pi\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2}+\int_{y}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}} K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\left(k \pi\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \sin \left(l \pi\left(y-s_{2}\right)\right) s_{2}^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} K_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}\left(k \pi s_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \sin \left(l \pi\left(y+s_{2}\right)\right) s_{2}^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} K_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}\left(k \pi s_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =2 \sin (l \pi y) \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos \left(l \pi s_{2}\right) s_{2}^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} K_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}\left(k \pi s_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fourth formula of proposition 3 with the parameters $\mu=\frac{1-\alpha}{2}, a=k \pi, b=$ $l \pi$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left|y-s_{2}\right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}} K_{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\left(k \pi\left|y-s_{2}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} \\
& =2 \sin (l \pi y) \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}(2 k \pi)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}\left((k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, with the aid of (4.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\right) \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left[\left(x-s_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \\
& =\sin (l \pi y) \sin (k \pi x)\left[(k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} 2^{2-\alpha} \sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)\left[\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the operator $(-\Delta)$ for the last formula we get the statement with the constant

$$
\tilde{C}_{\alpha}=2^{2-\alpha} \sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right)\left[\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right]^{2} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1: Let $u(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} u_{k, l} 2 \sin k \pi x \sin l \pi y$ be the spectral expansion of $u \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$. The extension $\bar{u}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is automatically obtained just by extending the domain of $x$ and $y$ in the same formula. Using (2.1), Proposition 4
and finally (2.3) we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-\Delta_{D}\right)^{\alpha / 2} u(x, y)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} u_{k, l}\left[(k \pi)^{2}+(l \pi)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2} 2 \sin k \pi x \sin l \pi y \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \tilde{C}_{\alpha}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} u_{k, l}(-\Delta)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2 \sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\right) \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right)}{\left[\left(x-s_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2}\right)(x, y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \tilde{C}_{\alpha}}(-\Delta) \\
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left[\left(x-s_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y-s_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\alpha / 2}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{\infty} u_{k, l} 2 \sin \left(k \pi s_{1}\right) \sin \left(l \pi s_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2}\right)(x, y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \tilde{C}_{\alpha}}(-\Delta)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{u}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}{\left|(x, y)-\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d} s_{1} \mathrm{~d} s_{2}\right)(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \tilde{C}_{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{C_{\alpha}}(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} \bar{u}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

as we stated in the theorem.
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