

1 **Edit Ludmerszki¹, Sengnirane Chounramany¹, Csilla Oláh¹, György Kátay², Ilona Rác¹,**
2 **Asztéria Almási², Ádám Solti¹, Iván Béla², Szabolcs Rudnóy¹**

3

4

5 **Protective role of *S*-methylmethionine-salicylate in maize plants infected with *Maize dwarf***
6 ***mosaic virus***

7

8

9 ¹Department of Plant Physiology and Molecular Plant Biology, Eötvös Loránd University,
10 Pázmány Péter Lane 1/C, Budapest 1117, Hungary

11 ²Plant Protection Institute, Centre for Agricultural Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
12 P.O. Box 102, Budapest 1525, Hungary

13



14 Edit Ludmerszki
15 luedit@caesar.elte.hu

16

17 **Acknowledgements**

18 The authors thank Dr. Demeter Lásztity for all his help and advice, Györgyi Balogh for her
19 technical assistance and Barbara Harasztos for revising the manuscript linguistically. This
20 research was funded by a grant from the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA 108834).

21

22

1 **Abstract**

2 This study aimed to detect the harmful effects of *Maize dwarf mosaic virus* (MDMV) infection,
3 and to demonstrate the potential benefits of S-methylmethionine-salicylate (MMS) pretreatment
4 in infected maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants. The results of chlorophyll *a* fluorescence measurements
5 showed that in MDMV-infected plants additional quenchers of fluorescence appear, probably as
6 the result of associations between the virus coat protein and thylakoid membranes. It is important
7 to note that when infected plants were pretreated with MMS, such associations were not formed.
8 MDMV infection and MMS pretreatment resulted in a decrease in ascorbate peroxidase (APX)
9 activity in maize leaves, while infection contributed to an increase in activity in the roots.
10 Infection raised the guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) enzyme activity level, which was reduced by
11 MMS pretreatment. MMS contributed to a decrease in both the RNA and coat protein content of
12 MDMV, to an equal extent in maize leaves and roots. The results showed that MMS pretreatment
13 enhanced the stress response reactions against MDMV infection in maize plants and retarded the
14 spreading of infection.

15 **Key words:**

16 S-methylmethionine-salicylate, *Maize dwarf mosaic virus*, ascorbate peroxidase, guaiacol
17 peroxidase, qRT-PCR, chlorophyll *a* fluorescence induction

18

19

1 **Introduction**

2 Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is an important, widely cultivated crop, which also plays a major role in
3 industry, so the maintenance of plant health and crop production is of great importance. *Maize*
4 *dwarf mosaic virus* (MDMV) is one of the most important microbial stressors of sweet corn
5 varieties. The infection often causes a crop loss of 10–45%, but the damage may reach up to
6 100% (Oertel et al. 1997; Tóbiás et al. 2007). MDMV preferentially colonizes members of the
7 Poaceae family and is spread via aphids, pollen, and seed transmission (Urcuqui-Inchima et al.
8 2001; Gell et al. 2010; Stewart et al. 2012).

9 The application of biologically active compounds seems to be a feasible way of improving the
10 stress tolerance of plants. Previous research has shown the beneficial effects of two protective
11 compounds, *S*-methylmethionine (SMM) and salicylic acid (SA) (Raskin 1992; Bi et al. 1995;
12 Conrath et al. 1995; Rao et al. 1997; Ranocha et al. 2001; Ko et al. 2004; Rácz et al. 2008; Páldi
13 et al. 2014; Ludmerszki et al. 2015). SMM is involved in the methylation processes inside plant
14 cells. It contributes to plant resistance, being a direct precursor of the osmoprotectant
15 sulfopropionates, and it also influences the biosynthesis of other regulatory and defence
16 compounds (such as polyamines and ethylene) (Ranocha et al. 2001; Ko et al. 2004; Rácz et al.
17 2008). SA is an important signalling molecule in flowering, plant growth, ethylene production,
18 and even stomatal movement (Raskin 1992). It also contributes to pathogenesis-related resistance
19 (Bi et al. 1995; Conrath et al. 1995). The aim of the present work was to combine these two
20 defence-related compounds and to test the effects of the combined molecule, known as *S*-
21 methylmethionine-salicylate (MMS).

22 During MDMV infection, the viral particles accumulate in the cytoplasm of the leaf mesophyll
23 cells where they are generally associated with cytoplasmic inclusions and may use chloroplasts
24 for their replication (Mayhew and Ford 1974; Chen et al. 1994; Hammond 1998; Wei et al.
25 2010). MDMV causes the breakdown of the thylakoid membranes in infected mesophyll cells,
26 resulting in a reduction in the size and number of chloroplasts and in the chlorophyll content (Tu
27 et al. 1968; Gates and Gudauskas 1969; Musetti et al. 2002; Williams and Pataky 2012;
28 Ludmerszki et al. 2015). The disintegration of the thylakoid membranes negatively affects the
29 photosynthetic electron transport, resulting in a disruption of the photosynthetic electron transport
30 chain in photosystem II (PSII). As a result, the relative surplus of excitation energy damages the
31 PSII reaction centres due to singlet oxygen formation. In order to avoid extensive damage, non-
32 photochemical quenching (NPQ) processes eliminate the excess light energy by heat dissipation.
33 These thermal dissipation pathways have three basic mechanisms (D'Ambrosio et al. 2008).
34 Some of the antennae-based excitation energy quenching processes depend on a high energy
35 transmembrane ΔpH across the thylakoid membranes, which induces zeaxanthin formation in the
36 light harvesting complex of PSII (LHCII) antennae, which is followed by the formation of
37 quenching centres in cooperation with the protonated PsbS proteins (Kiss et al. 2008; Horton
38 2012). As a result, LHCII kinase becomes active, resulting in the phosphorylation of LHCII
39 complexes and the migration of the antennae to the PSI complexes.

40 Any damage in the thylakoid membranes leads to the formation of highly reactive oxygen species
41 (ROS). Compared to other plant species, maize bundle sheet cells are unusually sensitive to

1 oxidative damage (Asada 1996; Kingston-Smith and Foyer 2000). This is overcome by the
2 presence of antioxidant enzymes in the plant cells, which effectively scavenge these reactive
3 molecules (Fryer et al. 1998). One of these enzymes is ascorbate peroxidase (APX), two main
4 isoforms of which are found in chloroplasts and in the cytosol (Amako et al. 1994). One of the
5 most important functions of ascorbate is to protect plant cells from oxidative damage by
6 scavenging hydrogen peroxide (Asada 1996). Another important antioxidant enzyme is guaiacol
7 peroxidase (GPX), which is localized in vacuoles, cell walls and cytosol, but is absent from
8 chloroplasts (Nakano and Asada 1981).

9 The precise molecular details of maize responses to MDMV infection are largely unknown. RNA
10 silencing is a well-known plant defence mechanism (Marathe et al. 2000; Pradeep et al. 2012;
11 Zhang et al. 2013), which helps the plant to restrict viral replication and spreading, and to
12 decrease the number of viral particles. One of the most widely used techniques to monitor
13 changes in the amount of viral particles in infected plant samples is enzyme-linked
14 immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) (Clark and Adams 1977). Antibodies uniquely designed to
15 interact with certain regions of the viral coat proteins make precise detection possible. The coat
16 protein is important in the transmission of the virus through both aphids and plants, and also takes
17 an important part in the regulation of the replication process (Shaw et al. 1986; Osbourn et al.
18 1990; Murry et al. 1993; Gell et al. 2010). When the virus particles enter the plant cells, they
19 induce the formation of special inclusion bodies, where replication will take place. In a later step,
20 the viral coat proteins are resynthesized and encapsidate the newly replicated virus RNAs
21 (Cassone et al. 2014). Therefore, measuring the coat protein content of infected plant samples
22 only gives information on the amount of infectious, self-assembled viral particles, not on the
23 exact amount of RNA. Currently, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) - based techniques are the
24 most popular method for detecting viruses in plant samples (Balaji et al. 2003; López-Fabuel et
25 al. 2013). With this technique, the viral RNA load of the plants can also be determined,
26 supplementing the results of the ELISA technique.

27 This paper presents the possible advantages of MMS against MDMV infection in maize plants by
28 examining the different thermal dissipating pathways, the level of activity of APX and GPX
29 enzymes, and the amount of viral coat protein and viral RNA content in infected plants.

30
31
32
33
34
35

1 **Materials and Methods**

2 **Plant material**

3 Sweet corn (*Zea mays* var. *saccharata* (Sturt.) Bailey cv. 'Honey') plants were grown on ¼
4 strength Hoagland solution (80 µM Fe^(III)-EDTA as iron form) in a SANYO MLR-350 HT
5 growth chamber (SANYO Electric Co., Ltd., Japan). The environmental parameters were: 14 h
6 light, 10 h dark periods, 300 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density, 25 °C day/
7 22 °C night temperatures, and 70% relative air humidity. Plants without further treatment are
8 referred to as control plants. To test the effects of MMS, 10-day-old plants were treated with 0.5
9 mM MMS for 24 h (code: *mms*). MDMV infection was carried out on 11 and 13-day-old plants
10 (code: *mdmv*). Leaves from infected plants showing macroscopic symptoms were homogenized
11 in Sørensen phosphate buffer (pH 7.2, 0.067 M KH₂PO₄ and Na₂HPO₄•2H₂O), and were used for
12 inoculation. Carborundum was added as abrasive. The first and second leaves of the maize plants
13 were inoculated mechanically with Dallas-A strain MDMV. To study the effects of MMS
14 treatment on MDMV-infected plants, MMS-treated plants were infected with MDMV (code:
15 *mms+mdmv*). Mock inoculation was performed on leaves mechanically injured as for MDMV
16 infection, but with no virus inoculum. The 3rd, 4th and 5th leaves were investigated 1, 2 and 3
17 weeks after the first inoculation (1 *wpi*; 2 *wpi* and 3 *wpi*, respectively).

18 **Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence induction**

19 Measurements were carried out on intact leaves using a PAM 101-102-103 Chlorophyll *a*
20 Fluorometer (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). After dark adaptation for 12 min, a 3 s illumination
21 with far-red light was applied in order to eliminate reduced electron carriers (Belkhdja et al.
22 1998). The F_0 level of fluorescence was determined by switching on the measuring light (1.6 kHz
23 modulation frequency and less than 1 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density). The
24 maximum and minimum fluorescence yields in the dark-adapted state (F_m and F_0 , respectively)
25 were measured by applying a 0.7 s light pulse (3500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux
26 density; PPF). Actinic light (100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PPF) was provided for the quenching analysis.
27 Simultaneously with the onset of actinic light, the modulation frequency was switched to 100
28 kHz. Light-adapted maximal fluorescence (F_m') was determined using a 3500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PPF
29 flash after 10 min light adaptation; then the actinic light was switched off and a 0.7 s light flash
30 (3500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was applied 20 s (F_{md} 20''), 5 min (F_{md} 5') and 15 min (F_{md} 15') after the
31 start of dark adaptation. The following equations were used to calculate the NPQ components
32 (Baker 2008):

$$33 \quad qE = [(F_m - F_{md} 20'') - (F_m - F_{md} 5')] / (F_m - F_0),$$

$$34 \quad qT = [(F_m - F_{md} 5') - (F_m - F_{md} 15')] / (F_m - F_0),$$

$$35 \quad qI = (F_m - F_{md} 15') / (F_m - F_0),$$

36 where qE is the ΔpH -dependent process of the high energy state; qT includes state transition
37 processes, by which the excitation energy of PSII and I can be reversibly balanced with the
38 phosphorylation-related migration of the LHCII pool between PSI and PSII; and qI is known as
39 the photoinhibition of photosynthesis (Dodd et al. 1998).

1 Enzyme activity measurements

2 0.5 g plant material was ground in liquid N₂, after which 5 ml of extraction buffer (0.5 mM Tris
3 pH 7.4, 3 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA) was added to the powdered material. Soluble enzymes were
4 purified by centrifugation for 20 min at 15 000 x g. The measurements were carried out using a
5 LAMBDA 25 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Ltd., Chalfont Road, Seer Green,
6 Beaconsfield, United Kingdom). To measure APX activity, 534 µl distilled water, 150 µl 1 M pH
7 7.8 TRIS buffer, and 7.5 µl 0.05 M ascorbate was used for blank measurements. After blanking,
8 0.75 µl 0.1 mM EDTA, 7.5 µl 0.1 M H₂O₂, and finally 50 µl extracted plant sample was added to
9 the cuvette. Enzyme kinetics was measured for 3 min at 290 nm, and the results are given as
10 $\Delta A_{290} \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ total protein. To measure GPX enzyme activity, 375 µl reaction buffer (0.2 M
11 sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 and 0.01 M guaiacol) and 243 µl distilled water was added for
12 blank measurements. After blanking, 0.75 µl 0.1 mM EDTA, 112.5 µl 0.1 M H₂O₂, and 18.75 µl
13 extracted plant sample was added to the cuvette. Enzyme kinetics was measured for 3 min at 470
14 nm, and the results are given as $\Delta A_{470} \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ total protein. For total protein content
15 measurements, the plant extracts were measured at 260 and 280 nm (100 x diluted with distilled
16 water) and the protein content was calculated using the following equation: $1.55 A_{280} - 0.76 A_{260}$.

17 Expression analysis

18 A ZR Plant RNA MiniPrepTM 2024 kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) was used for RNA
19 extraction, and a RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL,
20 USA) for cDNA synthesis following the manufacturers' instructions.

21 Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) measurements were carried out using the GoTaq® Probe
22 qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). All experiments were run on an ABI
23 StepOnePlusTM Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, Foster, CA, USA). The final volume
24 of the reaction was 20 µl, containing: 2 µl 2.5 µM PrimeTime probe (IDT Integrated DNA
25 Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA), 10 µl GoTaq 2xMM (containing ROX), 6 µl cDNA, 1 µl
26 500 nmol forward and reverse primers. The primers were designed for the MDMV genome
27 (Accession number in Uniprot database: CAA04929.1). The forward and reverse primers were:
28 CACCAAGGCTTAGATTCCAC and ACCAAAGCATCAGTAGACCG, respectively, and the
29 length of the amplicon was 124 bp. The sequence of the PrimeTime probe was: 5'-/56-
30 FAM/GCTCAAAGG/ZEN/AAGGTGGAACGGAGA/3IABkFQ/-3'. The thermal cycling
31 conditions for qRT-PCR consisted of 40 cycles of 95 °C 15 s, and 60 °C 1 min, previously
32 heating up to 95 °C for 2 min. A 500 bp fragment of the MDMV genome (CAA04929.1)
33 containing the given primer sequences was synthesized (IDT, Coralville, IA, USA) and was used
34 as a reference for absolute quantification. Since a synthesized fragment was available for absolute
35 quantification, no further reference primers were used in this investigation. The results are
36 expressed as viral RNA concentration (attomol/µl).

37

38

39

1 ELISA test

2 The concentration of MDMV coat protein was determined with ELISA, using an MDMV
3 antiserum kit (Bioreba A.G., Reinach, Switzerland) for detection. The virus coat protein content
4 was measured at 405 nm with a Labsystem Multiscan MS spectrophotometer.

5 Statistical analysis

6 The results were evaluated by ANOVA, using the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison *post-hoc*
7 test (GraphPad InStat statistical software) to assess for significant differences between the
8 different sets of data. Three biological and six technical repeats were performed for each
9 experiment.

10

11 Results

12 The first symptoms were detected as early as 4 days after the first inoculation. The first
13 measurements were taken a week after inoculation *1 wpi*, and were repeated *2* and *3 wpi*. There
14 was no significant difference between the control and mock-inoculated plants (results not shown)
15 (Ludmerszki et al. 2014, 2015).

16 Analysis of NPQ parameters

17 **Fig. 1** Changes in the NPQ parameters of *mms*, *mdmv* and *mms+mdmv* plants *1*, *2* and *3 wpi*
18 ($n=18$). *mms*: MMS-treated plants, *mdmv*: MDMV-infected plants, *mms+mdmv*: MDMV-infected
19 plants pretreated with MMS, *wpi*: weeks post infection. Values recorded for control plants (all
20 shown in arbitrary units): *1 wpi* qE 0.035 ± 0.008 ; *1 wpi* qT 0.062 ± 0.008 ; *1 wpi* qI $0.006 \pm$
21 0.005 ; *2 wpi* qE 0.038 ± 0.005 ; *2 wpi* qT 0.059 ± 0.005 ; *2 wpi* qI 0.045 ± 0.005 ; *3 wpi* qE $0.005 \pm$
22 0.002 ; *3 wpi* qT 0.054 ± 0.006 ; *3 wpi* qI 0.009 ± 0.003 . The results of ANOVA are shown at the
23 right of each column, using letters to indicate significance. Other significant relationships are
24 given in the text. Total NPQ values are the sum of qI + qT + qE.

25 qI increased significantly ($p < 0.001$) in *mms* and *mms+mdmv* plants *1 wpi* (making up $73.06 \pm$
26 9.05% and $84.65 \pm 9.03\%$ of total NPQ, respectively, **Fig. 1**). *2* and *3 wpi* the rate of qI decreased
27 in the *mms* and *mms+mdmv* groups, but in *mms* plants still played an important role in total NPQ
28 *3 wpi* ($56.80 \pm 7.42\%$). The contribution of qI to total NPQ decreased *2* and *3 wpi* in *mms+mdmv*
29 plants, and reached the level of *mdmv* plants *3 wpi* (*ns*).

30 qE significantly ($p < 0.001$) increased in *mdmv* plants *3 wpi*, making up $79.03 \pm 8.64\%$ of total
31 NPQ (as shown in **Fig. 1**). On the other hand, in *mms+mdmv* plants considerably lower qE values
32 were measured *3 wpi* compared to *mdmv*, with a smaller percentage contribution to total NPQ
33 ($24.42 \pm 1.22\%$, **Fig. 1**).

34 The values of qT in *mms+mdmv* were significantly ($p < 0.001$) smaller than those of *mms* and
35 *mdmv* *1 wpi* (**Fig. 1**), as was the contribution of qT to total NPQ ($8.46 \pm 1.30\%$). Smaller values
36 were also recorded in *mms+mdmv* plants *2 wpi* (*ns* compared to *1 wpi mms+mdmv*, but $p < 0.001$
37 compared to *2 wpi mms* and *mdmv*, **Fig. 1**). On the other hand, it made a greater contribution to

1 NPQ ($41.18 \pm 4.59\%$). The qT values of *mms+mdmv* did not differ significantly from those of
2 *mms* and *mdmv* 3 *wpi*, while they made a larger contribution to total NPQ ($61.85 \pm 6.76\%$, **Fig.**
3 **1**). In *mdmv* plants the values of qT rose slightly from 1 *wpi* to 2 *wpi* ($p < 0.05$), but dropped again
4 from 2 *wpi* to 3 *wpi* ($p < 0.001$), when they were not significantly different from 1 *wpi*. The
5 values of qT increased significantly in *mms* plants ($p < 0.001$) 2 *wpi* compared to both 1 *wpi* *mms*
6 and to 2 *wpi* *mdmv* and *mms+mdmv*, resulting in a greater contribution to total NPQ ($78.15 \pm$
7 7.75% , **Fig. 1**). At 3 *wpi* there was no significant difference between, the qT values of *mms*,
8 *mdmv* and *mms+mdmv* plants.

9

10 Enzyme activity measurements

11 Significantly higher levels of APX enzyme activity were measured in the leaves of *mms* plants (p
12 < 0.001) 1 *wpi* than in *mdmv* and *mms+mdmv* (**Table 1**). A week later (2 *wpi*) the APX activity in
13 *mdmv* leaves was significantly ($p < 0.001$) higher than in the other treated groups, but was still
14 significantly lower than in the control (**Table 1**). The APX values of *mms* increased 3 *wpi*,
15 approaching the control levels, while *mdmv* and *mms+mdmv* were significantly lower ($p < 0.001$)
16 (**Table 1**). In the roots, the APX values of *mdmv* were considerably higher 1 and 2 *wpi* than those
17 of the other treated groups, which did not differ significantly from each other or from the control
18 plants. The values of *mdmv* decreased significantly ($p < 0.001$) 3 *wpi* compared to the 1 and 2 *wpi*
19 *mdmv* values, reaching the control levels and not differing significantly from the *mms* plants. The
20 values of *mms+mdmv* decreased ($p < 0.001$) compared to the 2 *wpi* data. The enzyme activities
21 measured in the roots always exceeded those measured in the leaves (**Table 1**).

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1 **Table 1** APX and GPX enzyme activity in the leaves and roots of maize plants, expressed as
 2 $\Delta A_{290} * \text{min}^{-1} * \text{g}^{-1}$ total protein and $\Delta A_{470} * \text{min}^{-1} * \text{g}^{-1}$ total protein, respectively. Error values
 3 represent standard deviation, n = 18. The results of ANOVA, performed separately for leaves and
 4 roots, are shown in subscript, where different letters indicate significant differences.

APX ($\Delta A_{290} * \text{min}^{-1} * \text{g}^{-1}$ total protein)	1 wpi	2 wpi	3 wpi
control leaves	5.49 ± 1.67 ^h	29.84 ± 2.32 ^a	9.30 ± 1.12 ^c
<i>mms</i> leaves	8.69 ± 1.52 ^c	8.21 ± 0.94 ^e	8.55 ± 1.13 ^u
<i>mdmv</i> leaves	6.37 ± 1.90 ⁱ	18.08 ± 1.60 ^d	5.05 ± 0.79 ⁿ
<i>mms+mdmv</i> leaves	6.22 ± 1.21 ⁱ	4.23 ± 1.44 ⁱ	6.11 ± 0.69 ^g
control roots	22.94 ± 4.41 ⁱ	65.38 ± 2.87 ^c	78.88 ± 7.08 ^d
<i>mms</i> roots	25.09 ± 3.55 ⁱ	76.21 ± 1.84 ^d	72.28 ± 5.15 ^{dc}
<i>mdmv</i> roots	36.56 ± 4.36 ^e	101.62 ± 6.27 ^a	78.80 ± 5.19 ^d
<i>mms+mdmv</i> roots	21.97 ± 2.18 ⁱ	71.50 ± 4.78 ^{dc}	55.70 ± 4.14 ^a
GPX ($\Delta A_{470} * \text{min}^{-1} * \text{g}^{-1}$ total protein)			
control leaves	11.57 ± 0.93 ⁱ	11.93 ± 2.47 ⁱ	22.51 ± 1.97 ^d
<i>mms</i> leaves	10.82 ± 1.93 ^{ig}	9.05 ± 0.82 ^{ig}	16.86 ± 2.57 ^u
<i>mdmv</i> leaves	17.79 ± 1.27 ^c	8.64 ± 2.33 ^{ig}	31.06 ± 1.11 ^a
<i>mms+mdmv</i> leaves	15.35 ± 2.23 ^e	7.58 ± 1.02 ^g	12.42 ± 2.01 ⁱ
control roots	116.1 ± 20.32 ^{cu}	143.71 ± 13.36 ^c	215.98 ± 22.46 ^a
<i>mms</i> roots	182.83 ± 8.16 ^d	134.44 ± 11.36 ^{cu}	181.69 ± 5.04 ^d
<i>mdmv</i> roots	108.08 ± 19.14 ^{ue}	201.19 ± 18.98 ^{ad}	202.27 ± 7.35 ^a
<i>mms+mdmv</i> roots	141.19 ± 19.42 ^c	85.36 ± 6.14 ^e	142.01 ± 15.28 ^c

5
 6 In maize leaves, the activity of GPX increased significantly ($p < 0.001$) 1 wpi in *mdmv* and
 7 *mms+mdmv* compared to *mms*. Similarly high levels were measured in *mdmv* 3 wpi, while the
 8 activity levels dropped in the leaves of *mms* and *mms+mdmv* 2 wpi, and were significantly lower
 9 in *mms+mdmv* than in *mms* ($p < 0.01$) and 3 wpi *mdmv* ($p < 0.001$, **Table 1**). High GPX activity
 10 was measured in *mms* maize roots 1 wpi ($p < 0.001$), but this value decreased 2 wpi. After
 11 MDMV infection the enzyme activity of GPX was similar to that of the control plants 1 and 3 wpi,
 12 and higher 2 wpi. *mms+mdmv* plants showed a slight increase in enzyme activity 1 wpi ($p <$
 13 0.001), but had lower values 2 and 3 wpi, when the level was significantly different ($p < 0.001$)
 14 from the other treatments (**Table 1**).

15
 16
 17
 18

1 Results of expression analysis

2

3 **Fig. 2** Results of PrimeTime-based qRT-PCR reactions. The virus concentration is given in
4 *attomol/μl*. n=18. *mdmv*: MDMV-infected plants, *mms+mdmv*: MDMV-infected plants pretreated
5 with MMS, *wpi*: weeks post infection. Different letters indicate significant differences in the
6 ANOVA results.

7 At 1 *wpi* the highest viral RNA concentration was measured in *mdmv* leaves, with a significantly
8 lower RNA concentration in *mms+mdmv* leaves (**Fig.2**). In the roots significantly higher RNA
9 concentration was measured in *mms+mdmv*, than in *mdmv* 1 *wpi*. From the 2nd week onwards,
10 both the leaves and roots of *mms+mdmv* plants contained less viral RNA than the *mdmv* plants.
11 In *mdmv* leaves, higher RNA concentrations were measured 1 and 3 *wpi* than 2 *wpi*. By contrast,
12 in *mdmv* roots, lower RNA contents were found 1 and 3 *wpi* than 2 *wpi*, showing a dynamic
13 oscillation of viral RNA content between the roots and shoots.

14 ELISA measurements

15

16 **Fig. 3** Changes in ELISA absorbance values, indicating the amount of viral coat protein present
17 in the samples. n=18. *mdmv*: MDMV-infected plants, *mms+mdmv*: MDMV-infected plants
18 pretreated with MMS, *wpi*: weeks post infection. Different letters indicate significant differences
19 in the ANOVA results.

20 The highest absorbance levels were measured in *mdmv* leaves 1, 2 and 3 *wpi* (**Fig. 3**), but in the
21 first two weeks similar values were measured in *mms+mdmv* leaves. The values measured in
22 *mdmv* roots were always significantly lower than in the leaves ($p < 0.001$). Apart from the 2nd
23 week, the coat protein content was significantly lower in *mms+mdmv* roots than in *mdmv* roots (p
24 < 0.001).

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

1 Discussion

2 Alterations in NPQ under MMS treatment and MDMV infection

3 This study aimed to detect the harmful effects of MDMV infection, and to demonstrate the
4 potential beneficial effects of MMS treatment in previously infected plants. Since xanthophylls
5 not only take part in the quenching of excess light, but also contribute to the antioxidative stress
6 defence, their concentration increases under various biotic and abiotic stresses. According to
7 Moharekar et al. (2003) increased concentrations of SA significantly increased the size of the
8 xanthophyll pool, and contributed to a slight degree of oxidative stress in some plant species.
9 Similarly, Mateo et al. (2006) investigated the effects of low and high levels of SA on
10 photosynthesis in Arabidopsis plants, and found that the larger part of the population suffered
11 under photoinhibition. The increasing values of qI in MMS-treated plants can be explained by the
12 characteristics of SA; hence high concentrations of this molecule contribute to slight
13 photooxidative damage in plants (Moharekar et al. 2003; Mateo et al. 2006), which diminishes in
14 time (**Fig. 1**). Moreover, MMS treatment contributed to increased state-transition, where
15 phosphorylated LHCII trimers bind to PSI, leading to the elimination of the over-excited state of
16 PSII reaction centres. When plants were exposed to MDMV infection, lower levels of qI were
17 measured, but when MMS treatment was applied before infection, the initially high levels of qI
18 diminished to those of the *mdmv* plants, coupled with a drop in qT (**Fig. 1**).

19 Balachandran et al. (1994) demonstrated that in the thylakoid membranes, the association of
20 *Tobacco mosaic virus* coat protein with PSII reaction centres inhibits the photosynthetic electron
21 transport, leading to severe photoinhibition and to the destruction of chloroplasts. The association
22 of viral coat proteins to PSII reaction centres results in the formation of quenching centres
23 (Reneiro and Beachy 1986; Hodgson et al. 1989). Beddard and Porter (1976) stated that the
24 relatively close association of chlorophyll molecules can form a non-fluorescent trap for the
25 excitational energy. Therefore, the aggregation of chlorophyll molecules coupled with their
26 association with viral coat proteins may result in an increase in non-photochemical quenching. In
27 the course of MDMV infection high qE values were measured 3 *wpi* (making up 79.03 ± 8.64 %
28 of total NPQ, **Fig. 1**). This high qE value can be explained by the appearance of additional
29 quenchers of fluorescence, possibly as a result of virus coat protein- thylakoid membrane
30 associations. When infected plants were pretreated with MMS, low qE values were measured 3
31 *wpi*, indicating that such associations were not formed. This statement is supported by the ELISA
32 and PrimeTime-based qRT-PCR results, which showed a decrease in the amount of MDMV coat
33 protein and viral RNA in the leaves of *mms+mdmv* plants (**Figs. 2, 3**), providing an explanation
34 for the lower qE values.

35 Alterations in the activity of antioxidant enzymes after MMS treatment and MDMV 36 infection

37 *Effects of MMS treatment and viral infection on APX activity*

38 MMS treatment modified the enzymatic activity of APX in maize leaves, causing a great increase
39 1 *wpi*, followed by a considerable decrease 2 *wpi*, then returning to the level of the control plants
40 3 *wpi* (**Table 1**). Different results were reported by Kang and Saltveit (2002), who investigated

1 the effects of SA (0.5 mM) treatment and chilling tolerance on the activity of antioxidant
2 enzymes, including APX and GPX, in maize plants. According to their results, SA treatment had
3 no significant effect on the activity of APX. On the other hand, Durner and Klessig (1995), who
4 investigated the effects of SA treatment (0.1 and 1 mM) on tobacco plants and measured changes
5 in antioxidant enzyme activities, obtained results in contradiction to those of Kang and Saltveit
6 (2002). They found that SA treatment inhibited APX activity (0.1 mM by 59 % and 1 mM by
7 95%), thus contributing to an increase in H₂O₂ (Fodor et al. 1997; Rao et al. 1997). The present
8 results are in agreement with those of Durner and Klessig (1995), since MMS treatment was
9 shown to have an inhibiting effect on APX enzyme activity (27% of control). One explanation
10 could be the different concentrations used in the different experiments. Higher concentrations of
11 SA have negative effects on enzyme activity, whereas smaller concentrations tend to have no
12 effect. Since different species were used in the various experiments, the discrepancy could be
13 caused by differences in plant responses. In tobacco plants 0.1 mM SA concentrations are high
14 enough to cause an inhibition in enzyme activity, while in maize plants, higher concentrations
15 have no effect. Great differences exist not only between different plant species, but also between
16 different cultivars of the same species. Kang and Saltveit (2002) used 'Golden Jubilee' for their
17 experiments, while 'Honey' was tested in the present work. Since 'Honey' is much more sensitive
18 to viral infection, smaller concentrations of SA may be required for inhibition than in 'Golden
19 Jubilee' (Ludmerszki et al. unpublished).

20 It is interesting to note that MMS treatment did not appear to affect the enzyme activity in maize
21 roots, since no substantial changes in APX activity were detected in the roots of MMS-treated
22 plants (**Table 1**). This could be due to the different metabolic pathways in leaves and roots.
23 Another explanation could be that after the plant takes up MMS from the growing medium, it is
24 transported to the shoot and leaves, so that its effects diminish in the root area.

25 MDMV infection resulted in a decrease in APX activity in maize leaves (**Table 1**). Similar
26 results were acquired by Mittler et al. (1998), who investigated cytosolic APX activity in infected
27 tobacco plants in areas where programmed cell death was induced. They found that the pathogen
28 inhibited the expression and activity of APX, probably to enhance H₂O₂ production and increase
29 the rate of cell death. Fodor et al. (1997) investigated the effects of *Tobacco mosaic virus*
30 infection and also measured a decrease in the enzyme activity following infection.

31 Contrasting results were seen in maize roots, since a significant increase in APX activity was
32 observed in infected plants 1 and 2 weeks after infection (**Table 1**). It thus seems that infection
33 results in an increase in APX activity in the roots and a decrease in the leaves. One explanation
34 could be that higher initial levels of APX enzyme activity are present in the roots, preventing the
35 virus from restricting its activity (**Table 1**). The decrease measured in the 3rd week can be
36 explained by the decrease in the viral coat protein content measured with ELISA and qRT-PCR,
37 which resulted in fewer infectious virus particles being present in the roots (**Figs. 2, 3**).

38 MMS pretreatment had no effect on infected maize leaves 1 and 3 *wpi*, however, a considerable
39 decrease was detected 2 *wpi* compared to infected plants.

40

1 *Effects of MMS treatment and MDMV infection on GPX activity*

2 SA treatment was found to increase the activity of GPX in maize leaves (Kang and Saltveit
3 2002). In the present work MMS treatment slightly modified the GPX activity in maize leaves,
4 resulting in a slight inhibition 2 and 3 *wpi*. However, in maize roots, an increase similar was
5 observed in MMS-treated plants, to that reported by Kang and Saltveit (2002), which diminished
6 with time (**Table 1**).

7 Ye et al. (1990) investigated the peroxidase activity in infected tobacco plants, and found that the
8 peroxidase activity rose in all the tissues investigated after *Tobacco mosaic virus* infection. They
9 assumed that increased levels of peroxidase activity induced systemic resistance. These authors
10 measured GPX activity after adding guaiacol as substrate. It is therefore assumed that the high
11 levels of GPX activity in infected maize plants are associated with increased plant defence as a
12 response to MDMV infection. If MMS treatment was applied before infection, smaller levels of
13 enzyme activity were measured, indicating a reduced level of resistance, which can be explained
14 by the decrease in the amount of viral particles due to MMS pretreatment (**Figs. 2, 3, Table 1**).

15 **Changes in the amount of MDMV following MMS pretreatment**

16 MMS pretreatment contributed to a decrease in MDMV RNA and coat protein content, both in
17 leaves and roots (**Figs. 2, 3**). The beneficial effects of SA on virus-infected plants has already
18 been shown (White 1979; Malamy et al. 1990; Métraux et al. 1990; Delaney et al. 1994; Vernooij
19 et al. 1994). According to White (1979), SA reduced the number of *Tobacco mosaic virus*
20 particles in tobacco plants, and further increased the plants' defence against viral infection. It is
21 well known that SA participates in the pathways of systemic acquired resistance (SAR), which is
22 triggered by infection with certain pathogens. The accumulation of SA induces plant resistance
23 and contributes to better plant defence (Malamy et al. 1990; Métraux et al. 1990; Delaney et al.
24 1994; Vernooij et al. 1994). Similarly, SMM has an important role in plant resistance pathways,
25 since it is a direct precursor of the osmoprotectant sulphopropionates and also influences the
26 biosynthesis of other regulatory and defence compounds (such as polyamines and ethylene)
27 (Ranocha et al. 2001; Ko et al. 2004; Rácz et al. 2008). As MMS is built up from SMM and SA,
28 their beneficial effects also appear when plants are treated with this new compound. By boosting
29 their resistance and defence pathways, MMS-treated plants are more able to fight infections, and
30 viral replication and movement are restricted.

31 Based on the present results, it can be stated MMS treatment has protective and beneficial effects
32 in MDMV-infected maize plants. MMS is capable of protecting photochemical systems and
33 reducing the oxidative stress caused by infection. It has also been proved to restrict viral RNA
34 replication and MDMV coat protein formation.

35

36

37

1 **References**

- 2 Amako, K., Chen, G.-X., Asada, K. (1994). Separate assays specific for ascorbate peroxidase and
3 guaiacol peroxidase and for the chloroplastic and cytosolic isoenzymes of ascorbate
4 peroxidase in plants. *Plant Cell Physiol*, 35, 497-504.
- 5 Asada, K. (1996). Radical production and scavenging in the chloroplasts. In: Baker NR (ed)
6 *Photosynthesis and the Environment*, 123-150.
- 7 Baker, N.R. (2008). Chlorophyll fluorescence: a probe of photosynthesis in vivo. *Annu Rev Plant*
8 *Biol*, 59,89-113.
- 9 Balachandran, S., Osmond, C.B., Daley, P.F. (1994). Diagnosis of the earliest strain-specific
10 interactions between Tobacco mosaic virus and chloroplasts of tobacco leaves in vivo by
11 means of chlorophyll fluorescence imaging. *Plant Physiol*, 104, 1059-1065.
- 12 Balaji, B., Bucholtz, D.B., Anderson, J.M. (2003). *Barley yellow dwarf virus* and *Cereal yellow*
13 *dwarf virus* quantification by real-time polymerase chain reaction in resistant and
14 susceptible plants. *Phytopathology*, 93, 1386-1392.
- 15 Beddard, G.S. and Porter, G. (1976). Concentration quenching in chlorophyll. *Nature*, 260, 366-
16 367.
- 17 Belkhodja, R., Morales, F., Sanz, M., Abadía, A., Abadía, J. (1998). Iron deficiency in peach
18 trees: effects on leaf chlorophyll and nutrient concentrations in flowers and leaves. *Plant*
19 *and Soil*, 203, 257-268.
- 20 Bi, Y.-M., Kenton, P., Mur, L., Darby, R., Draper, J. (1995). Hydrogen peroxide does not
21 function downstream of salicylic acid in the induction of PR protein expression. *Plant J*,
22 8, 235-245.
- 23 Cassone, B.J., Chen, Z., Chiera, J., Stewart, L.R., Redinbaugh, M.G. (2014). Responses of highly
24 resistant and susceptible maize to vascular puncture inoculation with *Maize dwarf mosaic*
25 *virus*. *Physiol Mol Plant P*, 86, 19-27.
- 26 Chen, S., Das, P., Hari, V. (1994). In situ localization of ATPase activity in cells of plants
27 infected by maize dwarf mosaic potyvirus. *Arch Virol*, 134, 433-439.
- 28 Clark, M.F. and Adams, A.N. (1977). Characteristics of the microplate method of enzyme-linked
29 immunosorbent assay for the detection of plant viruses. *J Gen Virol*, 34, 475-483.
- 30 Conrath, U., Chen, Z., Ricigliano, J.R., Klessig, D.F. (1995). Two inducers of plant defence
31 responses, 2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid and salicylic acid, inhibit catalase activity in
32 tobacco. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 92, 7143-7147.
- 33 D'Ambrosio, N., Guadagno, C.R., Virzo De Santo, A. (2008). Is qE always the major component
34 of non-photochemical quenching? In: Allen JF, Gantt E, Golbeck JH, Osmond B (eds).
35 *Photosynthesis Energy from the Sun: 14th International Congress on Photosynthesis*,
36 Springer, 1001-1004.
- 37 Delaney, T.P., Uknes, S., Vernooij, B., Friedrich, L., Weymann, K., Negrotto, D., Gaffney, T.,
38 Gut-Rella, M., Kessmann, H., Ward, E., Ryals, J. (1994). A central role of salicylic acid
39 in plant disease resistance. *Science*, 266, 1247-1250.

- 1 Dodd, I.C., Critchley, C., Woodall, G.S., Stewart, G.R. (1998). Photoinhibition in differently
2 coloured juvenile leaves of *Syzygium* species. *J Exp Bot*, 49, 1437-1445.
- 3 Durner, J. and Klessig, D.F. (1995). Inhibition of ascorbate peroxidase by salicylic acid and 2,6-
4 dichloroisonicotinic acid, two inducers of plant defense responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
5 *USA*, 92, 11312-11316.
- 6 Fodor, J., Gullner, G., Adam, A.L., Barna, B., Komives, T., Kiraly, Z. (1997). Local and systemic
7 responses of antioxidants to Tobacco mosaic virus infection and to salicylic acid in
8 tobacco (role in systemic acquired resistance). *Plant Physiol*, 114, 1443-1451.
- 9 Fryer, M.J., Andrews, J.R., Oxborough, K., Blowers, D.A., Baker, N.R. (1998). Relationship
10 between CO₂ assimilation, photosynthetic electron transport, and active O₂ metabolism in
11 leaves in maize in the field during periods of low temperature. *Plant Physiol*, 116, 571-
12 580.
- 13 Gates, D.W. and Gudauskas, R.T. (1969). Photosynthesis, respiration and evidence of a
14 metabolic inhibitor in corn infected with maize dwarf mosaic virus. *Phytopathology*, 59,
15 575-580.
- 16 Gell, G., Balázs, E., Petrik, K. (2010). Genetic diversity of Hungarian Maize dwarf mosaic virus
17 isolates. *Virus Genes*, 40, 277-281.
- 18 Hammond, J. (1998). Serological relationship between the cylindrical inclusion proteins of
19 potyviruses. *Phytopathology*, 88, 965-971.
- 20 Hodgson, R.A.J., Beachy, R.N., Pakrasi, H.B. (1989). Selective inhibition of photosystem II in
21 spinach by tobacco mosaic virus: An effect of the viral coat protein. *FEBS*, 245, 267-270.
- 22 Horton, P. (2012). Optimization of light harvesting and photoprotection: molecular mechanisms
23 and physiological consequences. *Phil Trans R Soc B*, 367, 3455-3465.
- 24 Kang, H.-M. and Saltveit, M.E. (2002). Chilling tolerance of maize, cucumber and rice seedling
25 leaves and roots are differentially affected by salicylic acid. *Physiol Plantarum*, 115, 571-
26 576.
- 27 Kingston-Smith, A.H. and Foyer, C.H. (2000). Bundle sheath proteins are more sensitive to
28 oxidative damage than those of the mesophyll in maize leaves exposed to paraquat or low
29 temperatures. *J Exp Bot*, 51, 123-130.
- 30 Kiss, A.Z., Ruban, A.V., Horton, P. (2008). The PsbS protein controls the organization of the
31 photosystem II antenna in higher plant thylakoid membranes. *J Biol Chem*, 283, 3972-
32 3978.
- 33 Ko, S., Eliot, A.C., Kirsch, I.F. (2004). S-methylmethionine is both a substrate and an inactivator
34 of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase. *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 421, 85-90.
- 35 López-Fabuel, I., Wetzels, T., Bertolini, E., Bassler, A., Vidal, E., Torres, L.B., Yuste, A., Olmos,
36 A. (2013). Real-time multiplex RT-PCR for the simultaneous detection of the five main
37 grapevine viruses. *J Virol Methods*, 188, 21-24.
- 38 Ludmerszki, E., Rácz, I., Rudnóy, S. (2014). S-methylmethionine alters gene expression of
39 candidate genes in *Maize dwarf mosaic virus* infected and drought stressed maize plants.
40 *Acta Biol Szeged*, 58, 1-5.

- 1 Ludmerszki, E., Almási, A., Rácz, I., Szigeti, Z., Solti, Á., Oláh, C., Rudnóy, S. (2015). S-
2 methylmethionine contributes to enhanced defense against *Maize dwarf mosaic virus*
3 infection in maize. *Braz J Bot*, 38, 771-782.
- 4 Malamy, J., Carr, J.P., Klessig, D.F., Raskin, I. (1990). Salicylic acid: a likely endogenous signal
5 in the resistance response of tobacco to viral infection. *Science*, 250, 1002-1004.
- 6 Marathe, R., Anandalakshmi, R., Smith, T.H., Pruss, G.J., Vance, V.B. (2000). RNA viruses as
7 inducers, suppressors and targets of post-transcriptional gene silencing. *Plant Mol Biol*,
8 43, 295-306.
- 9 Mateo, A., Funck, D., Mühlenbock, P., Kular, B., Mullineaux, P.M., Karpinski, S. (2006).
10 Controlled levels of salicylic acid are required for optimal photosynthesis and redox
11 homeostasis. *J Exp Bot*, 57, 1795-1807.
- 12 Mayhew, D.E. and Ford, R.E. (1974). Detection of ribonuclease-resistant RNA in chloroplasts of
13 corn leaf tissue infected with maize dwarf mosaic virus. *Virology*, 57, 503-509.
- 14 Métraux, J.P., Signer, H., Ryals, J., Ward, E., Wyss-Benz, M., Gaudin, J., Raschdorf, K., Schmid,
15 E., Blum, W., Inverardi, B. (1990). Increase in salicylic acid at the onset of systemic
16 acquired resistance in cucumber. *Science*, 250, 1004-1006.
- 17 Mittler, R., Feng, X., Cohen, M. (1998). Post-transcriptional suppression of cytosolic ascorbate
18 peroxidase expression during pathogen-induced programmed cell death in tobacco. *Plant*
19 *Cell*, 10, 461-473.
- 20 Moharekar, S.T., Lokhande, S.D., Hara, T., Tanaka, R., Tanaka, A., Chavan, P.D. (2003). Effect
21 of salicylic acid on chlorophyll and carotenoid contents of wheat and moong seedlings.
22 *Photosynthetica*, 41, 315-317.
- 23 Murry, L.E., Elliott, L.G., Capitant, S.A., West, J.A., Hanson, K.K., Scarafia, L., Johnston, S.,
24 DeLuca-Flaherty, C., Nichols, S., Cunanan, D., Dietrich, P.S., Mettler, I.J., Dewald, S.,
25 Warnick, D.A., Rhodes, C., Sinibaldi, R.M., Brunke, K.J. (1993). Transgenic corn plants
26 expressing MDMV strain B coat protein are resistant to mixed infections of maize dwarf
27 mosaic virus and maize chlorotic mottle virus. *Nat Biotechnol*, 11, 1559-1564.
- 28 Musetti, R., Bruni, L., Favali, M.A. (2002). Cytological modifications in maize plants infected by
29 barley yellow dwarf virus and maize dwarf mosaic virus. *Micron*, 33, 681-686.
- 30 Nakano, Y. and Asada, K. (1981). Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific
31 peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Physiol*, 22, 867-880.
- 32 Oertel, U., Schubert, J., Fuchs, E. (1997). Sequence comparison of the 3'-terminal parts of the
33 RNA of four German isolates of sugarcane mosaic potyvirus (SCMV). *Arch Virol*, 142,
34 675-687.
- 35 Osbourn, J.K., Sarkar, S., Wilson, T.M.A. (1990). Complementation of coat protein-defective
36 TMV mutants in transgenic tobacco plants expressing TMV coat protein. *Virology*, 179,
37 921-925.
- 38 Páldi, K., Rácz, I., Szigeti, Z., Rudnóy, S. (2014). S-methylmethionine alleviates the cold stress
39 by protection of the photosynthetic apparatus and stimulation of the phenylpropanoid
40 pathway. *Biol Plantarum*, 58, 189-194.

- 1 Pradeep, B., Rai, P., Mohan, S.A., Shekhar, M.S., Karunasagar, I. (2012). Biology, host range,
2 pathogenesis and diagnosis of *White spot syndrome virus*. *Indian J Virol*, 23, 161-174.
- 3 Rácz, I., Páldi, E., Szalai, G., Janda, T., Pál, M., Lásztity, D. (2008). S-methylmethionine reduces
4 cell membrane damage in higher plants exposed to low-temperature stress. *J Plant*
5 *Physiol*, 165, 1483-1490.
- 6 Ranocha, P., McNeil, S.D., Ziemak, M.J., Li, C., Tarczynski, M.C., Hanson, A.D. (2001). The S-
7 methylmethionine cycle in angiosperms: ubiquity, antiquity and activity. *Plant J*, 25, 575-
8 584.
- 9 Rao, M.V., Paliyath, G., Ormrod, D.P., Murr, D.P., Watkins, C.B. (1997). Influence of salicylic
10 acid on H₂O₂ production, oxidative stress, and H₂O₂-metabolizing enzymes (salicylic
11 acid-mediated oxidative damage requires H₂O₂). *Plant Physiol*, 115, 137-149.
- 12 Raskin, I. (1992). Role of salicylic acid in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Phys*, 43, 439-463.
- 13 Reneiro, A. and Beachy, R.N. (1986). Association of TMV coat protein with chloroplast
14 membranes in virus-infected leaves. *Plant Mol Biol*, 6, 291-301.
- 15 Shaw, J.G., Plaskitt, K.A., Wilson, T.M.A. (1986). Evidence that tobacco mosaic virus particles
16 disassemble contrtranslationally *in vivo*. *Virology*, 148, 326-336.
- 17 Stewart, L.R., Bouchard, R., Redinbaugh, M.G., Meulia, T. (2012). Complete sequence and
18 development of a full-length infectious clone of an Ohio isolate of Maize dwarf mosaic
19 virus (MDMV). *Virus Res*, 165, 219-224.
- 20 Tóbiás, I., Bakardjieva, N., Palkovics, L. (2007). Comparison of Hungarian and Bulgarian
21 isolates of maize dwarf mosaic virus. *Cereal Res Commun*, 35, 1643-1651.
- 22 Tu, J.C., Ford, R.E., Krass, C.J. (1968). Comparisons of chloroplasts and photosynthesis rates of
23 plants infected and not infected by maize dwarf mosaic virus. *Phytopathology*, 58, 285-
24 288.
- 25 Urququi-Inchima, S., Haenni, A.L., Bernardi, F. (2001). Potyvirus proteins: a wealth of functions.
26 *Virus Res*, 74, 157-175.
- 27 Vernooij, B., Friedrich, L., Morse, A., Reist, R., Kolditz-Jawhar, R., Ward, E., Uknes, S.,
28 Kessmann, H., Ryals, J. (1994). Salicylic acid is not the translocated signal responsible
29 for inducing systemic acquired resistance but is required in signal transduction. *The Plant*
30 *Cell*, 6, 959-985.
- 31 Wei, T., Huang, T.-S., McNeil, J., Laliberté, J.-F., Hong, J., Nelson, R.S., Wang, A. (2010).
32 Sequential recruitment of the endoplasmic reticulum and chloroplasts for plant potyvirus
33 replication. *J Virol*, 84, 799-809.
- 34 White, R.F. (1979). Acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) induces resistance to Tobacco mosaic virus in
35 tobacco. *Virology*, 99, 410-412.
- 36 Williams, M.M. and Pataky, J.K. (2012). Interactions between maize dwarf mosaic and weed
37 interference on sweet corn. *Field Crop Res*, 128, 48-54.
- 38 Ye, X.S., Pan, S.Q., Kuć, J. (1990). Activity, isozyme pattern, and cellular localization of
39 peroxidase as related to systemic resistance of tobacco to blue mold (*Peronospora*
40 *tabacina*) and to Tobacco mosaic virus. *Plant Physiol Bioch*, 80, 1295-1299.

1 Zhang, Z.-Y., Wang, Y.-G., Shen, X.-J., Li, L., Zhou, S.-F., Li, W.-C., Fu, F.-L. (2013). RNA
2 interference-mediated resistance to maize dwarf mosaic virus. *Plant Cell Tiss Org*, 113,
3 571-578.

4

5

6

7





