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#### Abstract

Let $t$ be a positive real number. A graph is called $t$-tough, if the removal of any cutset $S$ leaves at most $|S| / t$ components. The toughness of a graph is the largest $t$ for which the graph is $t$-tough. A graph is minimally $t$-tough, if the toughness of the graph is $t$ and the deletion of any edge from the graph decreases the toughness. The complexity class DP is the set of all languages that can be expressed as the intersection of a language in NP and a language in coNP. We prove that recognizing minimally $t$-tough graphs is DP-complete for any positive integer $t$ and for any positive rational number $t \leq 1 / 2$.
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## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. Let $\omega(G)$ denote the number of components and $\alpha(G)$ denote the independence number. For a graph $G$ and a vertex set $V \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[V]$ denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V$.

The complexity class DP was introduced by C. H. Papadimitriou and M. Yannakakis [4].
Definition 1 A language $L$ is in the class $D P$ if there exist two languages $L_{1} \in N P$ and $L_{2} \in$ coNP such that $L=L_{1} \cap L_{2}$.

We mention that $\mathrm{DP} \neq \mathrm{NP} \cap$ coNP, if $\mathrm{NP} \neq \mathrm{coNP}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{NP} \cup$ coNP $\subseteq \mathrm{DP}$. A language is called DP-hard if all problems in DP can be reduced to it in polynomial time. A language is DP-complete if it is in DP and it is DP-hard.

[^0]A critical-type DP-complete problem is CriticalClique [5], in our proofs we use an equivalent form of it, $\alpha$-Critical.

## CriticalClique

Instance: a graph $G$ and a positive integer $k$.
Question: is it true that $G$ has no clique of size $k$, but adding any missing edge $e$ to $G$, the resulting graph $G+e$ has a clique of size $k$ ?

By taking the complement of the graph, we can obtain $\alpha$-Critical from CriticalClique.
Definition $2 A$ graph $G$ is called $\alpha$-critical, if $\alpha(G-e)>\alpha(G)$ for all $e \in E(G)$.

## $\alpha$-Critical

Instance: a graph $G$ and a positive integer $k$.
Question: is it true that $\alpha(G)<k$, but $\alpha(G-e) \geq k$ for any edge $e \in E(G)$ ?
Since a graph is clique-critical if and only if its complement is $\alpha$-critical, $\alpha$-Critical is also DPcomplete.

Corollary $3 \alpha$-Critical is DP-complete.
The notion of toughness was introduced by Chvátal [2].
Definition 4 Let $t$ be a positive real number. A graph $G$ is called $t$-tough, if

$$
\omega(G-S) \leq \frac{|S|}{t}
$$

for any cutset $S$ of $G$ (i.e. for any $S$ with $\omega(G-S)>1$ ). The toughness of $G$, denoted by $\tau(G)$, is the largest $t$ for which $G$ is $t$-tough, taking $\tau\left(K_{n}\right)=\infty$ for all $n \geq 1$.

We say that a cutset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a tough set if $\omega(G-S)=|S| / \tau(G)$.
For all positive rational number $t$ we can define a separate problem:

## $t$-Tough

Instance: a graph $G$,
Question: is it true that $\tau(G) \geq t$ ?
Bauer et al. proved the following.
Theorem 5 ([1]) For any positive rational number $t$, $t$-TOUGH is coNP-complete.
The critical form of this problem is minimally toughness.
Definition 6 a graph $G$ is minimally $t$-tough, if $\tau(G)=t$ and $\tau(G-e)<t$ for all $e \in E(G)$.
Given $t$ we define:

## Min-t-Tough

Instance: a graph $G$,
Question: is it true that $G$ is minimally $t$-tough?
Our main result is the following.
Theorem 7 Min-t-Tough is DP-complete for any positive integert and for any positive rational number $t \leq 1 / 2$.

First we prove this theorem for $t=1$, then we generalize that proof for positive integers, and finally we prove it for any positive rational number $t \leq 1 / 2$.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we prove some useful lemmas.
Proposition 8 Let $G$ be a connected noncomplete graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\tau(G) \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, and if $\tau(G)=$ $a / b$, where $a, b$ are positive integers and $(a, b)=1$, then $1 \leq a, b \leq n-1$.

Proof: By definition,

$$
\tau(G)=\min _{\substack{S \subseteq V V(G) \\ \text { cutset }}} \frac{|S|}{\omega(G-S)}
$$

for a noncomplete graph $G$. Since $G$ is connected and noncomplete, $1 \leq|S| \leq n-2$ and since $S$ is a cutset, $2 \leq \omega(G-S) \leq n-1$.

Corollary 9 Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected noncomplete graphs on $n$ vertices. If $\tau(G) \neq \tau(H)$, then

$$
|\tau(G)-\tau(H)|>\frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

Claim 10 For every positive rational number $t$, Min-t-Tough $\in D P$.

Proof: For any positive rational number $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Min- } t \text {-Tough }= & \{G \text { graph } \mid \tau(G)=t \text { and } \tau(G-e)<t \text { for all } e \in E(G)\}= \\
= & \{G \text { graph } \mid \tau(G) \geq t\} \cap\{G \text { graph } \mid \tau(G) \leq t\} \cap \\
& \cap\{G \text { graph } \mid \tau(G-e)<t \text { for all } e \in E(G)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{1,1}=\{G \text { graph } \mid \tau(G-e)<t \text { for all } e \in E(G)\}, \\
L_{1,2}=\{G \text { graph } \mid \tau(G) \leq t\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
L_{2}=\{G \operatorname{graph} \mid \tau(G) \geq t\}
$$

$L_{2} \in$ coNP, a witness is a cutset $S \subseteq V(G)$ whose removal leaves more than $|S| / t$ components. $L_{1,1} \in$ NP, the witness is a set of cutsets: $S_{e} \subseteq V(G)$ for each edge $e$ whose removal leaves more than $\left|S_{e}\right| / t$ components.

Now we show that $L_{1,2} \in$ NP, i.e. we can express $L_{1,2}$ in a form of

$$
L_{1,2}=\{G \operatorname{graph} \mid \tau(G)<t+\varepsilon\},
$$

which belongs to NP. Let $a, b$ be positive integers such that $t=a / b$ and $(a, b)=1$, and let $G$ be an arbitrary graph on $n$ vertices. If $G$ is disconnected, then $\tau(G)=0$, and if $G$ is complete, then $\tau(G)=\infty$, so in both cases $G$ is not minimally $t$-tough. By Proposition 8 , if $1 \leq a, b \leq n-1$ does not hold, then $G$ is also not minimally $t$-tough. So we can assume that $t=a / b$, where $a, b$ are positive integers, $(a, b)=1$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq n-1$. With this assumption

$$
L_{1,2}=\{G \text { graph } \mid \tau(G) \leq t\}=\left\{G \text { graph } \left\lvert\, \tau(G)<t+\frac{1}{|V(G)|^{2}}\right.\right\}
$$

so $L_{1,2} \in$ NP.
Since $L_{1,1} \cap L_{1,2} \in \mathrm{NP}, L_{2} \in \mathrm{coNP}$ and Min-t-Tough $=\left(L_{1,1} \cap L_{1,2}\right) \cap L_{2}$, we can conclude that Min-t-Tough $\in$ DP.

Claim 11 Let $t$ be a positive rational number and $G$ a minimally t-tough graph. For every edge e of $G$,

1. the edge $e$ is a bridge in $G$, or
2. there exists a vertex set $S=S(e) \subseteq V(G)$ with

$$
\omega(G-S) \leq \frac{|S|}{t} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega((G-e)-S)>\frac{|S|}{t}
$$

and the edge $e$ is a bridge in $G-S$.
In the first case, we define $S=S(e)=\emptyset$.

Proof: Let $e$ be an arbitrary edge of $G$, which is not a bridge. Since $G$ is minimally $t$-tough, $\tau(G-e)<t$. So there exists a cutset $S=S(e) \subseteq V(G-e)=V(G)$ in $G-e$ satisfying $\omega((G-e)-S)>|S| / t$. On the other hand, $\tau(G)=t$, so $\omega(G-S) \leq|S| / t$. This is only possible if $e$ connects two components of $(G-e)-S$.

Finally we cite a Lemma that our proof relies on.
Lemma 12 (Problem 14 of 8 in [3]) If we replace a vertex of an $\alpha$-critical graph with a clique, and connect every neighbor of the original vertex with every vertex in the clique, then the resulting graph is still $\alpha$-critical.

## 3 Recognizing minimally 1-tough graphs

To show that Min-1-Tough is DP-hard, we reduce $\alpha$-Critical to it.

## Theorem 13 Min-1-Tough is DP-complete.

Proof: In Claim 10 we have already proved that Min-1-Tough $\in$ DP.
Let $G$ be an arbitrary connected graph on the vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Let $G_{\alpha}$ be defined as follows. It will be easy to see that it can be constructed from $G$ in polynomial time. For all $i \in[n]$, let

$$
V_{i}=\left\{v_{i, 1}, v_{i, 2}, \ldots, v_{i, \alpha}\right\}
$$

and place a clique on the vertices of $V_{i}$. For all $i, j \in[n]$, if $v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)$, then place a complete bipartite graph on $\left(V_{i} ; V_{j}\right)$. For all $i \in[n]$ and for all $j \in[\alpha]$ add the vertex $u_{i, j}$ to the graph and connect it to $v_{i, j}$. Let

$$
V=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}
$$

and

$$
U=\left\{u_{i, j} \mid i \in[n], j \in[\alpha]\right\} .
$$

Add the vertex set

$$
W=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\alpha}\right\}
$$

to the graph and for all $j \in[\alpha]$ connect $w_{j}$ to $v_{1, j}, \ldots, v_{n, j}$.


Figure 1: The graph $G_{\alpha}$.

We need to prove that $G$ is $\alpha$-critical with $\alpha(G)=\alpha$ if and only if $G_{\alpha}$ is minimally 1-tough. First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 14 Let $G$ be a graph with $\alpha(G) \leq \alpha$. Then $G_{\alpha}$ is 1-tough.

Proof: Let $S \subseteq V\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$ be a cutset. We show that $\omega\left(G_{\alpha}-S\right) \leq|S|$.
Case 1: $W \subseteq S$. If a vertex of $U$ has only one neighbor in $V\left(G_{\alpha}\right) \backslash S$, then we can assume that this vertex is not in $S$. Then there are two types of components in $G_{\alpha}-S$ : isolated vertices from $U$ and components containing at least one vertex from $V$. There are at most $\alpha(G)$ components of the second type and (exactly) $|V \cap S|=|S|-\alpha$ components of the first type. Thus $\omega\left(G_{\alpha}-S\right) \leq|S|-\alpha+\alpha(G) \leq|S|$.

Case 2: $W \nsubseteq S$. First, we make two convenient assumptions for $S$.
(1) $U \cap S=\emptyset$.

It is easy to see that if $u_{i, j} \in S$, then we can assume that $v_{i, j} \notin S$. Now there are two cases.
Case 2.1: $v_{i, j}$ is not isolated in $G_{\alpha}-S$. Then we can consider $S^{\prime}=\left(S \backslash\left\{u_{i, j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v_{i, j}\right\}$ instead of $S$.
Case 2.2: $v_{i, j}$ is isolated in $G_{\alpha}-S$. Since there are no isolated vertices in $G$, there exists $k \in[n]$ such that $v_{i} v_{k} \in E(G)$. Then $v_{k, j} \in S$, so $u_{k, j} \notin S$, which means that $w_{j}$ is not isolated in $G_{\alpha}-S$, so we can consider $S^{\prime}=\left(S \backslash\left\{u_{i, j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ instead of $S$.
(2) For all $i \in[n]$, either $V_{i} \subseteq S$ or $V_{i} \cap S=\emptyset$.

After the assumption (1), assume that only a proper subset of $V_{i}$ is contained in $S$. Let $v$ be an element of this subset. We can consider the cutset $S \backslash\{v\}$ instead of $S$, since this decreases the number of components by at most one. So we can repeat this procedure until $V_{i} \cap S=\emptyset$.

So in $G_{\alpha}-S$ there are isolated vertices from $U$ and one more component containing the remaining vertices of $W$ and $V$. So there are less than $|V \cap S|$ isolated vertices, thus

$$
\omega\left(G_{\alpha}-S\right) \leq|V \cap S| \leq|S|
$$

So $G_{\alpha}$ is 1-tough.
We show that $G$ is $\alpha$-critical with $\alpha(G)=\alpha$ if and only if $G_{\alpha}$ is minimally 1-tough.

Let us assume that $G$ is $\alpha$-critical with $\alpha(G)=\alpha$. So by Lemma $14 G_{\alpha}$ is 1-tough. Let $e \in E\left(G_{\alpha}\right)$ be an arbitrary edge. If $e$ has an endpoint in $U$, then this endpoint has degree 2 , so $\tau\left(G_{\alpha}-e\right)<1$. If $e$ does not have an endpoint in $U$, then it connects two vertices of $V$. By Lemma $12 G_{\alpha}[V]$ is $\alpha$-critical, so in $G_{\alpha}[V]-e$ there exists an independent vertex set $I$ of size $\alpha(G)+1$. Let $S=(V \backslash I) \cup W$. Then $|S|=(|V|-\alpha(G)-1)+\alpha=|V|-1$ and $\omega\left(\left(G_{\alpha}-e\right)-S\right)=|V|$, so $\tau\left(G_{\alpha}-e\right)<1$.

Let us assume that $G$ is not $\alpha$-critical with $\alpha(G)=\alpha$.
Case 1: $\alpha(G)>\alpha$. Let $I$ be an independent vertex set of size $\alpha(G)$ in $G_{\alpha}[V]$ and let $S=(V \backslash I) \cup W$. Then $|S|=(|V|-\alpha(G))+\alpha<|V|$ and $\omega\left(G_{\alpha}-S\right)=|V|$, so $\tau\left(G_{\alpha}\right)<1$, which means that $G_{\alpha}$ is not minimally 1-tough.

Case 2: $\alpha(G) \leq \alpha$. Since $G$ is not $\alpha$-critical there exists an edge $e \in E(G)$ such that $\alpha(G-e) \leq \alpha$. By Lemma $14(G-e)_{\alpha}$ is 1-tough, but we can obtain $(G-e)_{\alpha}$ from $G_{\alpha}$ by edge-deletion, which means that $G_{\alpha}$ is not minimally 1-tough.

## 4 Further results

## Theorem 15 For every positive integer $t$, Min-t-Tough is DP-complete.

To prove this more general theorem, first we generalize the construction on Figure 1. We follow a similar argument to show that this construction has the required properties. However, due to the more complicated construction, the proof is harder.

The case when $t \leq 1 / 2$ is also covered in the paper.
Theorem 16 For every positive rational number $t=a / b \leq 1 / 2$, Min- $t$-Tough is DP-complete.
It is shown that Min-1-Tough can be reduced to this problem. The construction and the proof uses different ideas than the previous proofs.

We were not able to prove the DP-completeness for the remaining $t$ values, but we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 17 Min-t-Tough is $D P$-complete for any positive rational number $t$.
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