brought to you by 🐰 CORE

Working Document to be presented to WGEF 2017 31/05-07/06, Lisbon, Portugal



Spanish discards of Small-spotted catshark (*Scyliorhinus canicula*) and Blackmouth catshark (*Galeus melastomus*)

H. Araújo, J. Rodríguez, J. Teruel, I. Salinas and J. Castro

Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO) Centro Oceanográfico de Vigo, Beiramar 37, 36202 Vigo, Spain.

Abstract

Discard estimations of Small-spotted catshark (*Scyliorhinus canicula*) and Blackmouth catshark (*Galeus melastomus*) for the Spanish bottom otter trawl, bottom pair trawl and set gill nets fleets operating in the Northeast Atlantic ICES Subareas VI, VII, VIII and IX are presented. Information has been obtained from the "Spanish Discard Sampling Programme" carried out by IEO. Time series provide information on discarded catch in weight and length distributions since 2011 to 2016. A description of the sampling design and the discard estimation process is also provided. Low market value is the main factor that forces the fleet to discard these species.

Keywords: Discards, elasmobranches, Trawl, Celtic Sea, Iberian Waters.

1. Introduction

The IEO "Spanish Discards Sampling Programme" started in 1988, focused on the Spanish trawl fleets operating in the "Celtic Seas" (ICES Subareas VI and VII) and the "Bay of Biscay and the Iberian coast" (ICES Subareas VIII and IX) Ecoregions. However, at first it was funded by individual scientific projects, so that it did not have annual continuity until 2003, after the Data Collection Regulation (DCR) implementation. Since 2008, the set gillnets fleets operating in the North-western Iberian waters were also included for sampling.

Estimations of Spanish discards of elasmobranches were presented for first time at the WGEF in 2010 (Santos *et al.*, 2010; ICES, 2010), although without technical (*métier*) or geographical disaggregation. Therefore, the objective of the present work is to complete the discards time series of Small-spotted catshark (*Scyliorhinus canicula*) and Blackmouth catshark (*Galeus melastomus*) under the geographical requirements of the WEGF 2017 Data Call, *i.e.* disaggregated by ICES Division, which can only be completed for the 2011-2016 time series.



2. Material and methods

2.1 Sampling strategy

The sampling strategy and the estimation methodology used in the IEO's "Spanish Discards Sampling Programme" are quite similar since the beginning (1988), although since 2003 it has been updated to meet the "Workshop on Discard Sampling Methodology and Raising Procedures" guidelines (ICES, 2003). Since 2016, the trip selection protocol was improved introducing a random selection of the vessel from the official census, with record of refusals.

The observers-on-board programme is based on a stratified random sampling design, where the métier is the sampling stratum, while trips and hauls are the Primary Sampling Unit (PSU) and Secondary Sampling Unit (SSU), respectively. The trips are randomly or quasi-randomly selected for sampling within métiers. Whenever possible, all hauls of the same trip are sampled. However, this is especially complicated in trips of Celtic Seas métiers, since their duration (between one and two weeks) do not allow the total coverage for operational issues.

The 2002 Data Collection Regulation (DCR) asked for annual estimates and, hence, sampling was organised so as to obtain annual results (time series 2003-2008). Since the Data Collection Framework (DCF) implementation in 2008, time stratification was introduced, so that the discard could start to be estimated quarterly from 2009 onwards.

2.2 Fleets stratification

Fishing area, gear and target species are the auxiliary covariates used to stratify fleets into métiers. Two DCF metiers are sampled by IEO within the Spanish trawl fleets operating in the Celtic Sea Ecoregion (ICES Subareas VI and VII):

- 1. Bottom otter trawl targeting megrim and monk (OTB-DEF_70_99_0_0).
- 2. Bottom otter trawl targeting hake and Nephrops (OTB-DEF_100_119_0_0).

In the North-western Iberian waters (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa-North), the following six DCF metiers are sampled:

- 1. Bottom otter trawl targeting demersal fish (hake, megrims and anglerfishes) (OTB_DEF _>=55_0_0).
- 2. Bottom otter trawl targeting a mixed of pelagic (horse mackerel and mackerel) accompanied by demersal fish (OTB_MPD_>=55_0_0).
- Bottom pair trawl targeting a mixed of pelagic (blue whiting) and demersal fish (hake) (PTB_DEF_>=55_0_0).
- 4. Set gillnet targeting mixed of demersal species (GNS_DEF_60-79_0_0).
- 5. Set gillnet targeting hake (GNS_DEF_70-99_0_0).
- 6. Set gillnet targeting white anglerfish (GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0).

2.3 Sampling scheme and raising procedures

Taken, as indicated above, that the métier is the sampling stratum, and trips and hauls are the Primary Sampling Unit (PSU) and Secondary Sampling Unit (SSU), let h_{ij} be the j - th(j = 1, ..., J) sampled haul in sampled trip i(i = 1, ..., t). Let d_{ij}^s be a randow sample drawn from the total discards d_{ij} ocurred in h_{ij} . Therefore the ratio of the sampled weight to the total weight of discards is:



$$r_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}}{d_{ij}^s} \qquad (1)$$

For a given species, let f_{ijlk} be the k-th (k = 1,...,n) fish of size l sampled in d_{ij}^s . The total individuals of size l in d_{ij}^s is denoted as $F_{ijl} = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{ijlk}$. Alternatively, biomass by size can be obtained using the species weight-length relationship available:

$$w_{ijl} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{ijl} \times a \times b^{l} \qquad (2)$$

Further steps will be expressed in terms of numbers.

2.3.1 **Trip level**

Let

Be the estimated numbers of individuals of size l discarded in haul j and,

$$y_{ijl}^w = w_{ijl} \times r_{ij} \tag{4}$$

 $y_{ijl} = F_{ijl} \times r_{ijl} \quad (3)$

The estimated discards in terms of biomass. The mean discards for size l in trip i can be calculated as follows,

$$\bar{y}_{il} = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{i=1}^{J} y_{ijl}$$
 (5)

With variance

$$Var(\bar{y}_{il}) = \frac{1}{J-1} \sum_{i=1}^{J} (y_{ijl-} \bar{y}_{il})^2 \qquad (6)$$

If J is the total number of hauls carried out in trip i, the estimated total discards in numbers by size is:

$$Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{J} y_{ijl}$$
 (7)

Else,

$$Y_i = \bar{y}_{il} \times H_i \qquad (8)$$

With H_i being the total number of hauls (sampled + unsampled). The variance associated to (8) is

$$Var\left(Y_{i} = \left(1 - \frac{J}{H}\right) \times H^{2} \times \frac{Var(\bar{y}_{il})}{J}$$
(9)

2.3.2 Strata level

In order to obtain the estimation of the total discard by métier, the raising procedure is made by weighting to the total effort (number of trips) of all the vessels that have operated in each métier. Mean discarded by trip is estimated to be

$$\bar{Y}_{=}\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{t}\times Y_{i} \qquad (10)$$

Working Document to be presented to WGEF 2017 31/05-07/06, Lisbon, Portugal



With associated variance

$$Var(\bar{Y}) = \frac{1}{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^{t} (Y_i - Y)^2 \qquad (11)$$

(10) and (11) can be raised to the total fishing effort of the fleet (T), to obtain a estimation of total Discarded (D) of the fleet:

$$D = \overline{Y} \times T \qquad (12)$$

With variance

$$Var(D) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) \times T^2 \times \frac{Var(\bar{Y})}{t}$$
(13)

2.4 Sampling effort

The sampling effort (number of trips sampled) by fishing area, gear, year and ICES Division is presented in Table 1.

3. Results

The level values show stability in both Ecoregions for trawlers, but a steady increase, greater than 50%, has occurred in gillnets during the last two years (Table 2). The mean proportion of sampled hauls $\hat{p} = \frac{J_i}{H_i}$ within trip is ~ 0.5 in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion, while the Iberian métiers present higher ratios (\hat{p} ~ 0.8). In both cases, the information is considered representative of the discard behavior of the whole fleets operating in the areas.

Estimations on biomass discarded for Small-spotted catshark (*Scyliorhinus canicula*) and Blackmouth catshark (*Galeus melastomus*) are presented in Tables 2-3 and Figures 1-3. Data are presented by métier, year and ICES Division, also included percentage of discards and variation coefficients.

 $(\% Discarded = \frac{Discarded Catch}{Total Catch})$

Gill nets data show that these species discards are not significant in these métiers, although the percentage discard is mostly of the 100 % total volumes are not high.

In Celtic Seas Ecoregion, **Small-spotted catshark** presents the highest discards values in the bottom otter trawl métier targeting megrim and anglerfish (**OTB_DEF_70-99_0_0**), which present percentages around 100% all years. The maximum is observed in Division VIIj in 2012 (3544 t), while the minimum is in Division VIIk in 2016 (1 t). In Iberian waters, Small-spotted catshark presents the highest discards in the bottom otter trawl métier targeting demersal fish (**OTB_DEF_>=55_0_0**), with percentages between 72.4 and 85.4 %. The highest discard value occurred in 2014 in Division VIIIc (1446 t), while the lowest one is shown in 2012 Division IXa (234 t).

For **Blackmouth catshark** in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion, the highest values are also observed in the bottom trawl métier targeting hake (**OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0**), with percentages around 100% all years. The maximum in Division VII in 2011 (452 t) and the minimum in Division VIIc in 2016 (0.5 t). In Iberian waters, the highest Blackmouth catshark discards occur, as for Small-spotted catshark in the bottom otter trawl targeting demersal fish (**OTB_DEF_>=55_0_0**),



with percentages above 90 %. Maximum biomass discarded occurred in Division VIIIc in 2015 (1112 t), and minimum in Division IXa in 2016 (137 t).

Only discard length distributions from the most important métiers for each species are presented in the paper (Figures 4-7).

4. References

- ICES 2003. Report of ICES Workshop on Discard Sampling Methodology and Raising Procedures. Charlottenlund, Denmark, 2-4 September 2003. 27 pp.
- ICES. 2010. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF), 22–29 June 2010, Horta, Portugal. ICES. CM 2010/ACOM:19. 558 pp
- Santos, J., Araújo, H., Salinas, I. and N. Pérez. 2010. Elasmobranches Results from Spanish Discard Sampling Programme. Working Document presented at WGEF 2010. 20 pp.



Fishing Area	Gear	Year	Division	Hauls Sampled
Divisions VIIIc- IXa North		2011	VIIIc	328
			IXa North	38
		2012	VIIIc	296
			IXa North	73
		2013	VIIIc	267
	Bottom		IXa North	44
	trawle	2014	VIIIc	194
	tiawis		IXa North	81
		2015	VIIIc	310
			IXa North	81
		2016	VIIIc	275
			IXa North	71
		2011	VIIIc	28
		2012	VIIIc	7
		2013	VIIIc	13
	Gill nets	2014	VIIIc	44
		2015	VIIIc	89
		2016	VIIIc	82
			IXa North	12
Subàrea VI- VII		2011	VIb	11
			VIIc	34
			VIIh	29
			VIIj	207
			VIIK	12
		2012	VIIc	/
			VIIg	23
			VIIh	49
			VIIj	241
		2012	VIIK	20
		2013	VIID	10
			VIIC	23
			VIIg	5
			VIIN	/3
			VIIJ	190
	Pottom	2014	VIIK	2
	bottom	2014	VIID	50
	lidwis		VIIa	7
			VIIb	8
			VIII	257
			VIIk	237
		2015	VIIh	9
		2015	VIIC	25
			VIIa	11
			VIIh	29
			VIII	205
		2016	VIb	-55
		2010	VIIb	6
			VIIc	87
			VIIa	1
			VIIh	94
			VIIi	170
			VIIk	2

 Table 1. Sampling effort of the IEO's Spanish Discard Sampling Programme for years 2011-2016.



METIER	YEAR	DIVISION	% DISCARD	DISCARD (Tn)	cv	METIER	YEAR	DIVISION	% DISCARD	DISCARD (Tn)	cv
GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0	2011	IXa-North	100	0.026	42.23		2011	VIIj	100	24.623	99.5
		VIIIc	100	0.459	44.49			VIIk	100	7.408	98.5
	2012	IXa-North	100	0.026	0.00			VIIb	100	1.461	92.5
		VIIIc	100	0.991	0.00			VIIc	100	6.677	98.4
	2013	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00		2012	VIIj	0	0.000	0.0
		VIIIc	0	0.000	0.00	OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0		VIIk	0	0.000	0.0
	2014	IXa-North	100	0.009	96.92			VIIb	0	0.000	0.0
		VIIIc	100	0.332	99.92			VIIc	0	0.000	0.0
	2015	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00		2014	VIIj	100	2.853	0.0
	2016	VIIIC	0	0.000	0.00			VIIK	100	2.622	0.0
	2016	Nulle	100	0.023	65.07		2011	VIIC	100	2820.655	27.7
	2011	IXa-North	100	10.475	99.92		2011	Vih	100	78 042	22.7
	2011	VIIIc	100	9 593	99.99			VIIh	100	66 893	19.0
	2012	IXa-North	na	0.000 na	na			VIIc	100	217 402	33.4
	LOIL	VIIIc	na	na	na			VIIa	100	5.574	0.0
	2013	IXa-North	100	43.096	38.80			VIIh	100	189.530	32.6
		VIIIc	100	43.182	38.80		2012	VIIj	99.0	3544.190	28.1
GNS_DEF_60-79_0_0	2014	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00		-	VIb	99.0	33.835	0.0
		VIIIc	77.3	15.241	52.17			VIIb	99.0	118.422	16.9
	2015	IXa-North	100	7.494	51.49			VIIc	99.0	380.641	25.4
		VIIIc	100	5.213	51.49			VIIh	99.0	499.063	26.1
	2016	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00		2013	VIIj	98.2	3277.049	22.6
		VIIIc	0	0.000	0.00			VIb	98.2	30.770	0.0
	2011	IXa-North	100	10.896	99.99			VIIb	98.2	153.852	16.1
		VIIIc	100	9.593	99.99			VIIc	98.2	153.852	16.1
	2012	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00			VIIg	98.2	38.463	0.0
		VIIIc	0	0.000	0.00			VIIh	98.2	430.786	20.7
	2013	IXa-North	100	43.096	38.80	OTB_DEF_70-99_0_0	2014	VIIj	98.5	1121.954	23.4
GNS_DEF_80-99_0_0		VIIIc	100	43.182	38.80			VIb	98.5	4.171	0.0
	2014	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00			VIIb	98.5	116.783	18.1
		VIIIC	//.3	15.241	52.17			VIIC	98.5	183.51/	20.4
	2015	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00			VIIG	98.5	20.854	10.0
	2016	VIIIC	0	0.000	0.00		2015	VIII	98.5	133.407	18.5
	2010	VIIIc	0	0.000	0.00		2015	VIIJ	97.0	2 700	14.0
	2011	IXa-North	82.4	31/1 35/1	21 52			VIh	97.8	21 597	0.0
	2011	VIIIc	82.4	655 295	21.52			VIIh	97.8	70 189	11 5
	2012	IXa-North	78.4	233.820	25.73			VIIc	97.8	153.876	13.1
		VIIIc	78.4	592.414	26.02			VIIh	97.8	78.288	11.8
	2013	IXa-North	73.8	330.849	25.53		2016	VIIj	98.0	262.872	27.6
		VIIIc	73.8	799.070	25.74			VIIk	98.0	1.355	0.0
OIB_DEF_>=55_0_0	2014	IXa-North	83.3	436.704	32.90			VIb	98.0	2.710	0.0
		VIIIc	83.3	1446.525	33.26			VIIb	98.0	44.715	22.2
	2015	IXa-North	85.4	507.880	20.71			VIIc	98.0	81.301	25.3
		VIIIc	85.4	1262.763	20.99			VIIg	98.0	2.710	0.0
	2016	IXa-North	72.4	362.343	20.66			VIIh	98.0	27.100	16.9
		VIIIc	72.4	673.140	20.82		_				_
OTB_MPD_>=55_0_0	2011	IXa-North	74.2	6.735	50.62						
		VIIIc	74.2	19.408	51.05						
	2012	IXa-North	86.0	5.162	62.20						
		VIIIc	86.0	11.362	62.62						
	2013	IXa-North	69.3	9.054	41.89						
		VIIIc	69.3	17.582	42.27						
	2014	IXa-North	64.7	0.705	99.12						
	2015	VIIIC	64.7	1.231	99.38						
	2015	ixa-North	85.2	24.3/1 45.190	73.99						
	2016	VIIIC	03.Z	43.182	74.3U						
	2010	INU-INORTA	21.0	3.189	20.01						
	2011	VIIIC	46.5	0.155	99.26						
	2011	VIIIc	40.5	0.133	99.56						
PTB_MPD_>=55_0_0	2012	IXa-North	-0.5	0.200	0.00						
	2012	VIIIc	0	0.000	0.00						
	2013	IXa-North	0	0.000	0.00						
	-919	VIIIc	0	0.000	0.00						
	2014	IXa-North	100	1,287	98.64						
	2014	VIIIc	100	4,458	99.61						
	2015	IXa-North	100	0.499	99.08						
	2010	VIIIc	100	1,153	99.60						
	2016	IXa-North	98.6	1.556	66.72						
	-010		50.0	2.550							

 Table 2. Discards estimates in weight (t), percentage of discard and associated CV of Small-spotted catshark.



2011 IXa-North 100 0.006 94.65 2011 VIIb 100 26.816 4 VIIIc 100 0.103 99.71 VIIc 100 122.587 5 2012 IXa-North 100 0.008 0.00 VIIi 100 452.038 5 VIIIc 100 0.319 0.00 VIIk 100 135.995 5 VIIIc 100 0.007 95.20 2012 VIIb 100 6.026 6 GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 2013 IXa-North 100 0.247 99.87 OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 VIIc 100 19.584 8 2015 IXa-North 100 0.273 49.74 VIIb 100 141.610 9	cv
VIIIc 100 0.103 99.71 VIIc 100 122.587 5 2012 IXa-North 100 0.008 0.00 VIIi 100 452.038 5 VIIIc 100 0.319 0.00 VII 100 135.995 5 GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 1/3 I/A.North 100 0.007 95.20 2012 VIIb 100 6.026 6 2015 I/Xa-North 100 0.247 99.87 OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 VIIc 100 19.544 8	49.09
2012 IXa-North 100 0.008 0.00 VII 100 452.038 5 GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 2013 IXa-North 100 0.319 0.00 VIIIk 100 135.995 5 GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 2013 IXa-North 100 0.007 95.20 2012 VIIb 100 6.026 6 2015 IXa-North 100 0.247 99.87 OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 VIIc 100 19.584 8 2015 IXa-North 100 0.273 49.74 VIIj 100 141.610 9	52.19
VIIIc 100 0.319 0.00 VIIk 100 135.995 5 GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 2013 IXa-North 100 0.007 95.20 2012 VIIb 100 6.026 6 VIIIc 100 0.247 99.87 OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 VIIc 100 19.584 8 2015 IXa-North 100 0.273 49.74 VIIj 100 141.610 9	52.80
GNS_DEF_>=100_0_0 2013 IXa-North 100 0.007 95.20 2012 VIIb 100 6.026 6 VIIIc 100 0.247 99.87 OTB_DEF_100-119_0 VIIc 100 19.584 8 2015 IXa-North 100 0.273 49.74 VIIj 100 141.610 9	52.27
VIII 100 0.247 99.87 OTB_DEF_100-119_0_0 VIIc 100 19.584 8 2015 IXa-North 100 0.273 49.74 VIIj 100 141.610 9	58.33
2015 IXa-North 100 0.273 49.74 VIIj 100 141.610 9	38.89
	95.60
VIIIc 100 10.225 51.52 VIIk 100 105.454 9	95.24
2016 IXa-North 100 0.024 66.72 2014 VIIc 100 0.544	0.00
<u>VIIIc 100 0.506 70.11</u> VIIj 100 1.550	0.00
GNS_DEF_60-79_0_0 2016 IXa-North 100 19.481 99.98 VIIk 100 1.424	0.00
<u>VIIIc 100 11.969 99.96</u> 2011 VIb 87.2 2.739 3	38.91
2014 IXa-North 100 0.770 98.65 VIIb 87.2 2.528 3	35.07
VIIIc 100 6.691 99.85 VIIc 87.2 8.216 6	51.52
GNS_DEF_80-99_0_0 2015 IXa-North 76 1.829 46.39 VIIh 87.2 7.162 6	50.15
VIIIc 76 14.965 47.04 VIIj 87.2 106.593 6	59.52
2016 IXa-North 100 3.117 47.12 2012 VIb 99.8 0.201	0.00
Ville 100 25.439 47.73 Vilb 99.8 0.704 3	31.73
2011 IXa-North 99.7 407.952 50.38 VIIc 99.8 2.264 4	47.49
Ville 99.7 850.429 50.93 Ville 99.8 2.968 4	48.88
2012 IXa-North 99.2 426.755 37.26 VII 99.8 21.078 5	52.52
VIIIC 99.2 1081.242 37.68 VIIK 99.8 0.252	0.00
2013 IXa-North 96.1 234.344 26.45 2013 VIb 100 0.153	0.00
OTB_DEF_>=55_0_0 VIIIc 96.1 565.990 26.67 VIIb 100 0.765 4	41.92
2014 IXA-NORTH 98.9 273.749 30.93 VIIC 100 0.765 4	41.92
VIIC 98.9 906.757 37.33 VIIG 100 0.191	0.00
2015 IX7-NOTTI 96.7 447.303 20.87 VIII 100 2.142 5	53.73
VIIC 96.7 1112.148 27.23 OTB_DEF_70-99_0_ VIIK 100 16.298 5	58.58 C2.02
2010 XX-W0/11 93.8 13/.422 44.15 2014 VIII 100 0.300 0	53.UZ
	/1.09
2011 /AU-WOITII 100 0.405 /5.10 Ving 100 0.055 1	0.00
VIII. 100 1.341 75.72 VIII 100 0.300 0	91 AQ
OTB_MPD_>=55_0_0 Vile 100 2357 45.92 2015 Vile 100 2357	0.00
2015 IZa Nath 97 8 5 649 64 05 2011 100 0.127	62.00
Viir 97.8 10.473 64.49 Viir 100 0904 7	70 39
2011 /Xa-Narth 63,5 7,902 85,06 V//h 100 0,460 6	63.70
VIIIc 63.5 14.329 85.31 VIII 100 3.743 7	75.23
2012 /Xa-North 100 4.311 70.99 V//k 100 0.016	0.00
VIIIc 100 8,660 71.27 2016 V/b 95.2 0,266	0.00
2013 Xa-North 100 0.187 99.21 VIIb 95.2 4.393 5	52.76
VIIIc 100 0.518 99.71 VIIc 95.2 7.987 5	59.98
PTB_MPD_>=55_0_0 2014 IXa-North 100 1.440 98.64 VIIa 95.2 0.266	0.00
VIIIc 100 4.986 99.61 VIIh 95.2 2.662 4	40.09
2015 IXa-North 100 12.000 62.54 VIII 95.2 25.825 6	65.46
VIIIc 100 27.744 62.87	
2016 IXa-North 100 1.521 93.48	
VIIIc 100 3.660 94.33	

 Table 3. Discards estimates in weight (t), percentage of discard and associated CV of Blackmouth catshark.





Figure 1. Biomass discarded (t) of Small-spotted catshark and Blackmouth catshark in Iberian waters by ICES Divisions (all métiers).



Figure 2.Biomass discarded (t) of Small-spotted catshark in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion by ICES Division (all métiers).



Figure 3. Biomass discarded (t) of Blackmouth catshark in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion by ICES Division (*all* métiers).





Figure 4. Annual length size distribution of Small-spotted catshark discarded in Iberian waters (in thousands of indivuals).



Figure 5. Annual length size distribution of Small-spotted catshark discarded in Celtic Sea (in thousands of indivuals).





Figure 6. Annual length size distribution of Blackmouth catshark discarded in Iberian waters (in thousands of indivuals).



Figure 7. Annual length size distribution of Blackmouth catshark discarded in Celtic Sea (in thousands of indivuals)