## CMS search plans and sensitivity to new physics with dijets

A nw ar B hatti<sup>1</sup>, B en jam in Bollen<sup>2</sup>, M arco C ardaci<sup>2</sup>, Frank C hlebana<sup>3</sup>, Selda E sen<sup>4</sup>, R obert M . H arris<sup>3</sup>, M anoj K . Jha<sup>5</sup>, K on stantinos K ousouris<sup>3</sup>, D avid M ason<sup>3</sup> and M arek Z ielinski<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup> Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>3</sup> Ferm ilab, Batavia, IL, USA

<sup>4</sup> Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

<sup>5</sup> University of Delhi, India and INFN, Bologna, Italy

<sup>6</sup> University of R ochester, R ochester, NY, USA

E-m ail: rharris@fnal.gov

A bstract. CMS will use dijets to search for physics beyond the standard model during early LHC running. The inclusive jet cross section as a function of jet transverse momentum, with 10 pb<sup>-1</sup> of integrated lum inosity, is sensitive to contact interactions beyond the reach of the Tevatron. The dijet mass distribution will be used to search for dijet resonances coming from new particles, for example an excited quark. Additional sensitivity to the existence of contact interactions or dijet resonances can be obtained by comparing dijet rates in two distinct pseudorapidity regions.

PACS num bers: 12.38 Q k, 12.60 R c, 13.87 C e

Submitted to: J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.

The Large Hadron Collider at CERN will produce many events with two energetic jets resulting from proton-proton collisions at  ${}^{P}\overline{s} = 14$  TeV. These dijet events result from parton scattering, produced by the strong interaction of quarks (q) and gluons (g) inside the protons. This paper discusses plans to use dijets in the search for two signals of new physics: contact interactions and resonances decaying into dijets. Two models of quark compositeness have been considered for this generic search. The rst model is a contact interaction [1] am ong left-handed quarks at an energy scale  ${}^+$  in the process qq ! qq, modeled with the elective Lagrangian  $L_{qq} = (2 = {}^2)(\overline{q}_L - q_L)(\overline{q}_L - q_L)$  with  ${}^+$  chosen for the sign. The second is a model of an excited quark (q\*) [2] in the process qg ! q ! qg, detectable as a dijet resonance. All processes presented here have been simulated using PYTHIA version 6.4 [3].

A detailed description of the Compact M uon Solenoid (CMS) experiment can be found elsewhere [4, 5]. The CMS coordinate system has the origin at the center of the detector, z-axis points along the beam direction toward the west, with the transverse plane perpendicular to the beam. We de net to be the azim uthal angle, to be the polar angle and the pseudorapidity as  $= \ln(\tan[=2])$ . The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid, of 6 m internal diam eter. W ithin the eld volume are the silicon pixel and strip tracker, and the barrel and endcap calorim eters (j j < 3): a crystal electrom agnetic calorim eter (ECAL) and a brassscintillator hadronic calorim eter (HCAL). Outside the eld volume, in the forward region, there is an iron-quartz ber hadronic calorim eter (3 < j j < 5). The HCAL and ECAL cells are grouped into towers, projecting radially outward from the origin, for triggering purposes and to facilitate the jet reconstruction. In the region j < 1.74these projective calorim eter towers have segmentation = 0:087, and the = width progressively increases at higher values of . The energy in the HCAL and and ECAL within each projective tower is summed to nd the calorim eter tower energy. Towers with j j < 1.3 contain only cells from the barrel calorin eters, towers in the transition region 1:3 < j j < 1:5 contain a mixture of barrel and endcap cells, and towers in the region 1.5 < j j < 3.0 contain only cells from the endcap calorin eters.

Jets are reconstructed using both the iterative and m idpoint cone algorithm s [5], with indistinguishable results for this analysis. Below we will discuss three types of jets: reconstructed, corrected and generated. The reconstructed jet energy, E, is  $\frac{de}{(1)^2 + (1)^2} = 0.5$ , centered on the jet axis. The jet momentum, p, is the corresponding vector sum:  $p = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ E_i \hat{u}_i \end{bmatrix}$  with  $\hat{u}_i$  being the unit vector pointing from the origin to the energy deposition  $E_i$  inside the cone. The jet transverse momentum,  $p_T$ , is the component of p in the transverse plane. The E and p of a reconstructed jet are then corrected for the non-linear response of the calorim eter to a generated jet. G enerated jets come from applying the same jet algorithm to the Lorentz vectors of stable generated particles before detector simulation. On average, the  $p_T$  of a corrected jet is equal to the  $p_T$  of the corresponding generated jet. The corrections estim ated from a GEANT [6] simulation of the CM S detector increase the average jet  $p_T$  by roughly 50% (10%) for 70 G eV (3 TeV) jets in the region j j < 1:3. The applied corrections depend on jet as well as  $p_{\rm f}$ . The jet m easurements presented here are within the region j j < 1:3, where the sensitivity to new physics is expected to be the highest, and where the reconstructed jet response variations as a function of are both moderate and smooth. Further details on jet reconstruction and jet energy corrections can be found elsewhere [5,7].

The dijet system is composed of the two jets with the highest  $p_r$  in an event (leading jets), and the dijet mass is given by  $m = (E_1 + E_2)^2 (p_1 + p_2)^2$ . The estimated dijet mass resolution varies from 9% at a dijet mass of 0.7 TeV to 4.5% at 5 TeV.

CMS will record events that pass a rst level trigger followed by a high level trigger. For an instantaneous lum inosity of  $10^{32}$  cm  $^2$ s  $^1$ , we consider three event samples collected by requiring at least one jet in the high level trigger with corrected transverse energy above 60, 120 and 250 G eV, prescaled by factors of 2000, 40 and 1, respectively. For an integrated lum inosity of 100 pb  $^1$ , the three event samples will e ectively correspond to 0.05, 2.5, and 100 pb  $^1$ . The rst event sample will be used to m easure the trigger e ciency of the second sample. The second and third event samples will be used to study dijets of m ass above 330 and 670 G eV, respectively, for which the trigger e ciencies are expected to be higher than 99% [8].

Backgrounds from cosm ic rays, beam halo, and detector noise are expected to occasionally produce events with large or unbalanced energy depositions. They will be removed by requiring  $\mathbf{E}_{T} = {}^{P} \mathbf{E}_{T} < 0.3$  and  ${}^{P} \mathbf{E}_{T} < 14 \text{ TeV}$ , where  $\mathbf{E}_{T}$  ( ${}^{P} \mathbf{E}_{T}$ ) is the magnitude of the vector (scalar) sum of the transverse energies measured by all calorim eter towers in the event. This cut is estimated to be more than 99% e cient for both QCD jet events and the signals of new physics considered. In the high  $p_{T}$  region relevant for this search, jet reconstruction is fully e cient.

CMS plans to search for contact interactions using the jet  $p_T$  distribution. Figure 1 shows simulations of the inclusive jet di erential cross section as a function of  $p_T$ , for jets with j j < 1. Considering rst the QCD processes, the reconstructed and corrected quantities are compared with the QCD prediction for generated jets. A fter corrections, the reconstructed and generated distributions agree. The ratio of the corrected jet cross section to the generated jet cross section varies between 1.2 at  $p_T = 100 \text{ GeV}$  and 1.05 at  $p_T = 500 \text{ GeV}$ , remaining roughly constant for higher  $p_T$ . The deviation of this ratio from 1 is attributed to the sm earing e ect of the jet  $p_T$  resolution on the steeply falling spectrum. The measured spectrum in data could be further corrected for resolution smearing, and this ratio from simulation is an estimate of the size of that correction. The measurem ent uncertainties are predom inantly system atic. The inset in Fig.1 shows the e ect on the jet rate of a 10% uncertainty in the jet energy correction. Fig. 2 also shows the e ect of this uncertainty on a lowest order QCD calculation. This level of jet energy uncertainty could be expected in early running, for an integrated lum inosity around 10 pb<sup>1</sup>. This experimental uncertainty is roughly an order of magnitude larger than the uncertainties from parton distributions, as estimated using CTEQ6.1 ts [9] and shown in Fig. 2. Figures 1 and 2 show that the e ect of new physics from a contact

interaction with scale + = 3 TeV is convincingly above what could be expected for measurement uncertainties with only 10 pb<sup>-1</sup>. For comparison, a Tevatron search has excluded contact interactions with scales + below 2.7 TeV [10]. The results of the lowest order calculations in Fig. 2 are the same as the simulation results in the inset to Fig.1.

CM S plans to search for narrow digt resonances using the digt m ass distribution. Figure 3 shows the di erential cross section versus dijet mass, where both leading jets have  $j \leq 1$ , and the mass bins have a width roughly equal to the digt mass resolution. Considering rst the QCD processes, the cross section for corrected jets agrees with the QCD prediction from generated jets. To determ ine the background shape either the Monte Carlo prediction or a parameterized to the data can be used. The inset to Fig. 3 shows a simulation of narrow dijet resonances with a q\* production cross section. For q\* m asses of 0:7,2:0 and 5:0 TeV the cross sections for  $i \neq j < 1$  are 795,9:01 and 0.0182 pb, respectively. This is compared to the statistical uncertainties in the QCD prediction, including trigger prescaling. This comparison shows that with an integrated lum inosity of 100 pb<sup>-1</sup> a q<sup>\*</sup> dijet resonance with a mass of 2 TeV would produce a convincing signal above the statistical uncertainties from the QCD background. For com parison, a Tevatron search has excluded q\* dijet resonances with m ass, M, below 0.87 TeV [11]. The heaviest differ resonances that CMS can discover (at ve standard deviations) with 100 pb<sup>1</sup> of integrated lum inosity, using this search technique and including the expected system atic uncertainties [12, 13], are: 2.5 TeV for q\*, 2.2 TeV for axigluons [14] or colorons [15], 2.0 TeV for  $E_6$  diquarks [16], and 1.5 TeV for color octet technishos [17]. Studies of the jet cut have concluded that the optim alsensitivity to new physics is achieved with j j< 1:3 for a 2 TeV spin 1 dijet resonance decaying to qq [18].

CMS plans to search for both contact interactions and dijet resonances using the dijet ratio, r = N (j j < 0:7)=N (0:7 < j j < 1:3), where N is the number of events with both jets in the specied j j region. The dijet ratio is sensitive to the dijet angular distribution. For the QCD processes, the dijet ratio is the same for corrected jets and generated jets, and is constant at r = 0.5 for dijet masses up to 6 TeV [18]. Figure 4 shows the dijet ratio from contact interactions and dijet resonances, com pared to the expected statistical uncertainty on the QCD processes, for 100 pb<sup>-1</sup> of integrated lum inosity, including trigger prescaling. The signal from a contact interaction with scale  $^+$  = 5 TeV rises well above the QCD statistical errors at high digt mass. System atic uncertainties in the dijet ratio are expected to be sm all, since they predom inantly cancel in the ratio as previously reported [12, 19]. Using the dijet ratio, CMS can discover a contact interaction at scale + = 4, 7 and 10 TeV with integrated lum inosities of 10, 100, and 1000 pb<sup>-1</sup>, respectively [18]. The signal from a 2 TeV spin 1/2 q\* produces a convincing peak in the dijet ratio, because it has a signi cant rate and a relatively isotropic angular distribution compared to the QCD t-channel processes. Fixing the cross section of the 2 TeV digt resonance for  $j \neq 1:3$  at 13:6 pb (from the q\* model), the dijet ratio in the presence of QCD background increases by approximately 6% when

considering a spin 2 resonance decaying to both qq and gg (such as a R andall-Sundrum graviton [20]), and the dijet ratio decreases by approximately 4% when considering a spin 1 resonance decaying to qq (such as a Z<sup>0</sup>, axigluon, or coloron) [18]. Hence, the sensitivity to a 2 TeV dijet resonance depends only weakly on the spin of the resonance. To measure the spin, we need both the dijet ratio and an independent measurement of the cross section of the resonance, for example, from the dijet mass dimensial cross section. Nevertheless, with su cient lum inosity, this simple measure of the dijet angular distribution, or a more complete evaluation of the angular distribution, can be used to see these small variations and infer the spin of an observed dijet resonance.

In conclusion, CM S plans to use m easurem ents of rate as a function of jet  $p_T$  and dijet mass, as well as a ratio of dijet rates in di erent regions, to search for new physics in the data sample collected during early LHC running. W ith integrated lum inosity samples in the range 10{100 pb<sup>-1</sup>, CM S will be sensitive to contact interactions and dijet resonances beyond those currently excluded by the Tevatron.

## A cknow ledgm ents

W e gratefully acknowledge the assistance of our colleagues at CMS in preparing this paper. For their constructive comments and guidance, we would like to thank our analysis review committee: Richard Cavanaugh, Dan Green and Luc Pape. We appreciate the many useful comments on prose and style provided by Carlos Lourenco and the CMS publications committee. Finally, we would like to thank GigiRolandi for his encouragement and for leading the collaboration wide review of this paper, which served as a test of the publication process at CMS.

## R eferences

- [1] Eichten E, Lane K and Peskin M 1983 Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 811
- [2] Baur U, Hinchli e I and Zeppenfeld D 1987 Int. J. M od. Phys. A 2 1285
- [3] Sjostrand T , Lonnblad L and M renna S 2008 preprint hep-ph/0108264
- [4] CM S Collaboration 2008 The CM S experiment at the CERN LHC (accepted for publication in JINST)
- [5] CM S Collaboration 2006 Physics TDR Volume ICERN-LHCC-2006-001
- [6] A gostinelli S et al. (GEANT4 Collaboration) 2003 Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 506 250
- [7] CM S Collaboration 2008 CM S Physics Analysis Summary JM E-07-003 at
- http://ams-physics.web.cem.ch/ams-physics/public/JME-07-003-pas.pdf
- [8] Esen S and Harris R 2006 CM S Note 2006/069
- [9] Pum plin J et al. (CTEQ Collaboration) 2002, JHEP 07 012
- [10] Abbott B et al. (D 0 C ollaboration) 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 2457
- [11] Hatakeyam a K et al. (CDF Collaboration) 2008 recent public results at http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/exotic/r2a/20080214 m jj.resonance\_1b/
- [12] CM S Collaboration 2006 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34 995
- [13] G um us K , A kchurin N , E sen S and H arris R 2006 CM S N ote 2006/070
- [14] Bagger J, Schmidt C and King S 1988 Phys. Rev. D 37 1188
- [15] Simmons E 1997 Phys. Rev. D 55 1678
- [16] Hewett J and Rizzo T 1989 Phys. Rep. 183 193 and references therein

## CMS search plans and sensitivity to new physics with dijets

- [17] Lane K and M renna S 2003 Phys. Rev. D 67 115011
- [18] CM S Collaboration 2007 CM S Physics Analysis Sum mary SBM -07-001 at http://cm s-physics.web.cem.ch/cm s-physics/public/SBM -07-001-pas.pdf
- [19] Esen S and Harris R 2006 CM S Note 2006/071
- [20] RandallL and Sundrum R 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 3370



Figure 1. The inclusive jet  $p_T$  di erential cross section expected from QCD for j j < 1, for generated jets (points), reconstructed jets (triangles), and corrected jets (open circles). The inset shows the num ber of generated jets expected in 50 G eV bins for an integrated lum inosity of 10 pb<sup>-1</sup>. The standard QCD curve (solid) ism odi ed by a signal from contact interactions with scale <sup>+</sup> = 3 TeV (dotted) and 5 TeV (dashed). The shaded band represents the e ect of a 10% uncertainty on the jet energy scale.



Figure 2. The fractional di erence from the QCD jet rate resulting from a 10% uncertainty on the jet energy scale (dashed), uncertainties in parton distributions (dotted), and signals from contact interactions with scale  $^+$  = 3 TeV (boxes) and  $^+$  = 5 TeV (triangles). Statistical uncertainties expected for an integrated lum inosity of 10 pb  $^1$  (vertical bars) are shown on the QCD prediction (points).



F igure 3. The dijet mass di erential cross section expected from QCD for j j < 1 from generated jets (points), reconstructed jets (triangles), and corrected jets (open boxes). The inset shows dijet resonances reconstructed using corrected jets, com ing from q\* signals [13] of m ass 0:7, 2, and 5 TeV. The fractional di erence (histogram ) between the q\* signal and the QCD background is com pared to the statistical uncertainties in the QCD prediction (vertical bars) for an integrated lum inosity of 100 pb  $^{1}$ .



Figure 4. The dijet ratio for corrected jets expected from QCD (horizontal line), with statistical uncertainties (vertical bars) for an integrated lum inosity of 100 pb<sup>-1</sup>, is compared to QCD + contact interaction signals with a scale <sup>+</sup> = 5 TeV (dashed) and 10 TeV (dotted), as well as to QCD + dijet resonance signals (histogram) with q\* m asses of 0.7 and 2 TeV.