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#### Abstract

The representation given by Mathews to describe parucles of inieger spins is modified in such a way that the llamilonian does not contain the matrix $C_{0}$ which is a null matrix. An extreme relativistic lumit of the modified Ifamitonian is also obtained
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## 1. Introduction

Following the work of Weaver et al [1] regarding the relativistic wave equation for particles of arbitrary spin, Mathews [2] obtanned two Hamiltonians of the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}=\sum_{\mu=\frac{1}{2}}^{s} E C_{\mu} \tanh 2 \mu \theta+\beta \sum_{\mu=\frac{1}{2}}^{s} E B_{\mu} \operatorname{sech} 2 \mu \theta  \tag{1.1}\\
& H_{2}=\sum_{\mu=0}^{s} E C_{\mu} \operatorname{coth} 2 \mu \theta+\beta \sum_{\mu=0}^{s} E C_{\mu} \operatorname{cosech} 2 \mu \theta  \tag{1.2}\\
& \tanh \theta=\rho / E .
\end{align*}
$$

Of the above two, former is quantizable for half-integer spins and the latter is quantizable for inter spins. The integer Spin Hamiltonian is rather peculiar [3].

The matrix $C_{0}$ occurring in $I_{2}$ is a null matrix.
This can be seen from the relation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\mu}=\Lambda_{\mu}-\Lambda_{-\mu}  \tag{1.3}\\
& B_{\mu}=\Lambda_{\mu}+\Lambda_{-\mu} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{\mu}$ is a certain projection operator possessing the property,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\mu} \Lambda_{v}=\Lambda_{\mu} \delta \mu v \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the above relation, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\mu} C_{v}=\left(\Lambda_{\mu} \Lambda_{-\mu}\right)\left(\Lambda_{v}-\Lambda_{-v}\right)=B_{\mu} \delta \mu v  \tag{1.5a}\\
& C_{\mu} B_{v}=\left(\Lambda_{\mu}-\Lambda_{\mu}\right)\left(\Lambda_{v}+\Lambda_{v}\right)=C_{\mu} \delta \mu v  \tag{1.5b}\\
& B_{\mu} B_{v}=\left(\Lambda_{\mu}+\Lambda_{-\mu}\right)\left(\Lambda_{v}+\Lambda_{-v}\right)=B_{\mu} \delta \mu v \tag{1.5c}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mu=0$, we have from cqs. (1.3-1.5)

$$
C_{0}=0
$$

The occurrence of $C_{o}$ in the RHS of cq. (1.2), makes the first term in the expansion i.c. $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ CothO indeterminate since $C_{\mathrm{o}}=0$ and $\operatorname{CothO} \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper, an attempt has been made to modify the Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and other relevant operators in such a way that they do not contain the matrix $C_{\mathrm{o}}$ and consequently the above mentioned indeterminate term. This elimination of $C_{0}$ gives us a new representation which we call the modified Mathews representation (MMR). The MMR has one distinct advantage. The extreme relativistic representation which one obtains from MMR is free from the null matrix $C_{0}$. Earlier work regarding the extreme relativistic representation [4] contained the matrix $C_{0}$ and its presence was considered to be an unhappy feature of the work.

The broad oulline of the paper is as follows:
The operator $(S)$ linking the Hamiltonian $H_{2}$ and the Hamiltonian $H_{c}=\beta E$ is available [5]. (Here $C$ stands for Canonical representation). Taking this operator $S$ and eliminating the matrix $C_{0}$ from this operator, a new operator $R$ is constructed. By using the operator $R$, a Hamiltonaan $H_{2}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $I_{\text {, }}$ by a sumilarity transformation. The Hamiltonian $H_{2}^{\prime}$ thus obtained, remains frec from the matrix $C_{0}$. Relevant expressions for the position, spin and boost operators are obtained. Finally, the extreme relativistic limit of the Hamiltonian $H_{2}^{\prime}$ is obtained.

## 2. Operator $S$ linking $\boldsymbol{H}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$

The Operator $S$ linking the Hamiltontan $H_{2}$ and $H_{c}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=\sum_{\mu=0}^{S}\left[\delta^{(+)}{ }_{\mu}(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3} \delta^{(-)} \mu(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right]  \tag{2.1}\\
& S^{1}=\sum_{\mu=0}^{S}\left[\beta^{(+)}{ }_{\mu}(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3} \beta^{()}{ }_{\mu}(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right], \text { where }  \tag{2.2}\\
& \delta^{(+)}{ }_{\mu}=\left(m_{0} / 8 E\right)^{1 / 2} m_{o}^{\mu}(E+p)^{\mu}\left[(E+p)^{2 \mu} \pm m^{2 \mu}{ }_{o}\right]  \tag{2.3}\\
& \beta^{( \pm)}{ }_{\mu}=\left(E / 2 m_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} m_{o}^{\mu}(E+p)^{\mu}\left[(E+p)^{2 \mu} \pm m^{2 \mu}{ }_{o}\right]^{-1}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \sigma_{3} \beta+\beta \sigma_{3}=I I ; \sigma_{3}^{2}=\beta^{2}=I . \tag{2.4a}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the matrix $C_{0}$ from the above expression, let us define operators $R$ and $R^{-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\sum_{\mu=1}^{S}\left[\delta_{\mu}^{(+)}(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3} \delta_{\mu}^{(-)}(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right]+k_{1} B_{\mathbf{c}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& R^{-1}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{J}\left[\beta_{\mu}^{(+)}(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3} \beta_{\mu}^{(-)}(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right]+k_{2} B_{0} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The consistance of eq. (2.5) with eq. (2.6) demands that $k_{1} k_{2}=1$. Of the many possibilities, we choose the simplest one, that is, $k_{1}=k_{2}=1$. Accordingly we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\sum_{\mu=1}^{J}\left[\delta_{\mu}^{(+)}(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3} \delta_{\mu}^{()}(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right]+B_{0}  \tag{2.7}\\
& R^{-1}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{s}\left[\beta_{\mu}^{(+)}(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3} \beta_{\mu}^{()}(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right]+B_{\mathbf{o}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define a wave-function $\psi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}=R \psi_{c} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the wave function satisfies the Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial \psi_{\iota}}{\partial \lambda}=\beta E \psi . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $G_{c}$ represents a poincare group generator relevant for the representation provided by $\psi_{c}$, the corresponding gencrator $G^{\prime}$ lor the wave function $\psi^{\prime}$ is given by the similarity transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}=R G_{c} R^{\prime} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacıng $G_{c}$ by $I_{c}=\beta E$, we oblain the Hamiltonian $I_{2}^{\prime}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=R \beta E R^{-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtuc of eq. (2.7) and (2.8) we gel

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}^{\prime}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{s} E C_{\mu} \operatorname{coth} 2 \mu \theta+\beta \sum_{\mu=1}^{s} E C_{\mu} \operatorname{cosech} 2 \mu \theta+\sigma_{3} E B_{0} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As desired, The Hamiltonian $\|_{2}^{\prime}$ does not contain the null matrix $C_{0}$. It may be noted here that in eq. (2.13) the summation runs from $\mu=1$ and not from $\mu=0$ as in eq. (1.2)

## 3. Lorentz invariant scalar product and observable in MMR

In the $\psi_{c}$ representation, the Lorentz invariant scalar product is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\psi_{c} \cdot \psi_{c}\right)=\int \psi^{+}{ }_{c} \sigma_{3} \psi_{c} d^{3} x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transforming the above equation to $\psi^{\prime}$ representation we have

$$
\left(\psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)=\int \psi^{+} M^{\prime} \psi^{\prime} d^{3} x
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{\prime}=\left(R^{-1}\right)^{+} \sigma_{3} R^{-1} \\
& =\left(1 / 2 m_{0}\right)\left[\beta H_{2}^{\prime}+H_{2}^{\prime} \beta\right]+\sigma_{3} B_{0} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The expectation value of an operator $O$ in the state is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle O\rangle=\int \psi^{\prime+} M^{\prime} O \psi^{\prime} d^{3} x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for O to be real, we should have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime} O=O^{+} M^{+} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conventional position operator $x$ and the spin operator $S$ do not satisfy the above requirement. However, by exploiting the eq. (2.11), suitable well behaving expressions for position and spin observables can be obtained. Replacing $G_{c}$ by $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}$ in the eq.'(2.11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=R \chi R^{-1}  \tag{3.5}\\
& S^{\prime}=R S R^{-1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}$ are observables in the $\psi_{c}$ representation $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}^{\prime}$ are observables in the $\psi^{\prime}$ represention. By replacing $R$ and $R^{1}$ by their equivalent expressions, the rught hand sides of the eq. (3.5) and (3.6) can be evaluated. For spin 1 , they are of the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}= & \chi+\left(m_{0}+2 E-\left(2 m_{0} E\right)^{1 / 2}\right) /\left(8 m_{0} E\right)^{1 / 2}(I+\beta) B_{1} \sigma_{3} \tau / p \\
& +\left(m_{0} / 8 E\right)^{1 / 2}(I+\beta) \sigma_{3} \tau / p-B_{0} \sigma_{3} \tau / p \\
& -i(I-\beta) C_{1} \lambda / 2 p+i\left(\sigma_{3} / p^{2}\right)\left(m_{0} E / 8\right)^{1 / 2}(I+\beta) B_{0} \lambda \\
+\sigma_{3}(I+ & \beta) C_{1} \sigma_{3} \tau /\left(2 m_{0} E\right)^{1 / 2}-i p B_{1} / p^{2}+i \beta B_{1} p\left(m_{0}^{2}+E^{2}\right) / 2 p^{2} E^{2} .  \tag{3.7}\\
S^{\prime}= & S-C_{1} i \sigma_{3}(S \times p) / p-B_{0} S+\left(m_{0} / 8 E\right)^{1 / 2}(I+\beta) B_{0} S \\
+ & i\left[\left(2 p^{2} m_{0} E\right] / p\left(8 m_{0} E\right)^{1 / 2}\right](I-\beta) B_{1}(S \times p) / p \\
- & \left(m_{0} E / 8 p^{2}\right)^{1 / 2 \cdot} i(I-\beta)(S \times p) / p \\
+ & \left(E / 2 m_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}(I+\beta) C_{1} i \sigma_{3}(S \times p) / p . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\quad \tau=(\lambda \times p) / p$ and $\lambda=\sigma_{3} S$.

## 4. Boost operator

A representation is said to be completcly defined only when we have determined expressions for all the operators of Poincare group in the representation. The Poincare group operators are : Hamiltonian $(H)$, momentum $(p)$, the angular momentum $(J)$ and the boost operator (k).

For the modified Mathews representation, the Hamiltonion is given in the equation (2.13). The angular momentum $J$ is given as $J=(\alpha \times p)+S$,
where $S$ in the Spin operator.
To complete the description of the representation, the expression for the operator $k$ is to be determined. The boost operator $k$ links the wave functions $\phi^{\prime}$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ in two different inertial frames. If $\phi^{\prime}$ is the wave function at a space-time point in one frame, the wave function $\psi^{\prime}$ in another Lorentz frame is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}=\left(I+i d v \cdot \dot{k}^{\prime}\right) \phi^{\prime} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $d v$ is the infinitesimal relative velocity between the two frames. An expression for the Boost operator can be obtained from the similarity transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=R \boldsymbol{k}_{c} R^{\prime} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
k_{c}=t p-\frac{1}{2}\left(x H_{c}+H_{c} x\right)+\beta(S \times p) /\left(E+m_{o}\right)
$$

is the boost operator in the $\psi_{c}$ representation. For the Spin 1 case, $K^{\prime}$ is of the form,

$$
\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=t \boldsymbol{t}-\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{\prime} H_{2}^{\prime}+H_{2}^{\prime} x\right)+H_{2}^{\prime}(S \times p) / E\left(E+m_{\mathrm{o}}\right) .
$$

## 5. Extreme relativistic representation

A representation suitable for describing Dirac particles with extreme relativistic energies was first studied by Mendlowit: [6] and later by Cini and Touschek [7]. The Cini-Touschek representation given for Spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ was gencralised by one of us [4] for arbitrary Spin. For any Spin-S; the extreme relativistic Hamiltonian is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{E}=\sum_{\mu=0 \text { or } 1 / 2} C_{\mu} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For integer spin case, the Hamiltonian $\|_{E}$ contains the null matrix $C_{\mathrm{o}}$ and thus exhibits a drawback.

In this sectuon, we obtain an extreme relativistic Hamiltonian $H_{E}^{\prime}$ by projecting the MMR to the extreme relativistic limit. For the integer Spin case, this Hamiltonian $H_{E}^{\prime}$ does not contain the matrix $C_{\mathrm{o}}$ and hence is a better candidate than the one obtained carlier by one of us.

To project the Hamiltonian $H_{2}$ to the extreme relativistic limit, we recall the similarity rransformation (2.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}^{\prime}=R \beta E R^{-1} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the extreme relativistic limit, the ratio $m_{0} / \eta \rightarrow 0$. Injecting this high momentum approximation into the operators $R$ and $R^{-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(m_{\mathrm{o}} / p \rightarrow 0\right) \rightarrow T=\sum_{\mu=1}^{\dot{ }} \delta_{\mu}\left\{(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3}(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right\}+B_{\mathrm{o}}  \tag{5.3}\\
& R^{1}\left(m_{\mathrm{o}} / p \rightarrow 0\right) \rightarrow T^{-1}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{s} \beta_{\mu}\left\{(I+\beta) B_{\mu}+\sigma_{3}(I+\beta) C_{\mu}\right\}+B_{\mathrm{o}}(5.4)  \tag{5.4}\\
& \delta_{\mu}=\left(m_{\mathrm{o}} / 8 p\right)^{1 / 2}\left(2 p / m_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{\mu} ; \beta_{\mu}=\left(p / 2 m_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(m_{\mathrm{o}} / 2 p\right)^{\mu} .
\end{align*}
$$

The extreme relativistic Hamiltonian can now be oblained as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{E}^{\prime}=T \beta E T^{-1}=\sum_{\mu=1} E\left\{C_{\mu}\right\}+\sigma_{3} B_{0} E \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other operators $\left(G_{E}^{\prime}\right)$ relevant for this extreme relativistic representation can be oblained from the sımilarity transformation.

## 6. Conclusion

The representation given by Mathews to describe particles of integer spins has been modified, in such a way that the Hamiltonian does not contan the null matrix $C_{0}$. By projecting the modified Hamiltonaan to the extreme relativistic limit, we have obtained an extreme relativistic representation. Now it remains to be seen whether or not the modified Hamiltonian $\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is quantizable. Work in this direction is in progress and will be reported in a future publication.
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