## Letter to the Editor

Indian J. Phys. 47, 251-255 (1973)

### Low lying energy levels of odd mass Ir isotopes

C. R. Chandran, M. L. Narasimha Raju, G. Satyanabayana and K. Parthasaradhi

Laboratories for Nuclear Research, Andhra University, Waltair-4.

. (Received 22 May 1972, revised 24 October 1972)

Eversince de Shalit (1961) proposed the core-excitation model for non-deformed odd A nuclei, it attracted the attention of many investigators in view of its simplicity. The experimental data on the  $E_2$  and  $M_1$  transition probabilities and nuclear moments of several nuclei in the spherical region were satisfactorily explained (Braunstein & de Shalit 1962, Seetharamanath *et al* 1971a, 1971b) within the frame-work of core excitation model. In particular the transition probabilities of various transitions de-exciting from the low-lying levels and the nuclear moments of the ground and excited states in <sup>197</sup>Au were explained (Braunstein & de-Shalit, 1962) with large degree of success on the basis of the core-excitation model. Recently Sectharamath *et al* (1971b) studied the level structure of <sup>193,105,107,198</sup>Au within the frame-work of this model and the levels were well reproduced.

In view of many striking similarities between Au and Ir nuclei it is interesting to study the applicability of the core-excitation model to Ir nuclei. Such a study for Ir nuclei is particularly interesting since Ir nuclei lie just at the border of deformed region. Recently Avida *et al* (1968) and Berant *et al* (1972) satisfactorily explained the experimental data on transition probabilities and nuclear moments only, in <sup>191</sup>Ir and <sup>193</sup>Ir within the frame-work of the core-excitation model. The values of the electromagnetic properties are given in table 1. Sufficient data on <sup>189</sup>Ir are not available to test the applicability of the core-excitation model. Hence, in the present paper an attempt is made to predict the energy levels in all the odd mass Ir isotopes on the basis of the core-excitation model, about which so far no attempt has been made. Such an attempt also throws some light on the nature of the core-particle interaction. However, for comparison the levels are also predicted using intermediate coupling model. The level diagram of the Ir isotopes is shown in figure 1.

With the core-excitation model, the four excited levels in  $1^{89}$ ,  $1^{91}$ ,  $1^{98}$ Ir with spins 1/2, 5/2, 3/2 and 7/2 can be described as members of the multiplet which

# 252 Chandran, Raju, Satyanarayana and Parthasaradhi

Nuclei	Transition		B(M <sub>1</sub> )	$B(E_2)$		
<sup>191</sup> Tr	$ 1/2 \rangle \rightarrow  3/2 \rangle_1$	Theo: Expt:	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.0071} \ \pm \textbf{0.} \\ \textbf{0.0037} \ \pm \textbf{0.001} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.173 \\ 0.14 & \pm 0.03 \end{array}$		
	$ 3/2>_2 \rightarrow  1/2>$	Theo: Expt·	0.344 0.52 ±0.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \\ 0.2 \\ -0.2 \end{array}$		
	3/2>₂→ 3/2>1	Theo: Expt:	0.0107 0.174 ±0.007	$0.185 \\ 0.104 \pm 0.05$		
	$ 5/2 > \rightarrow  3/2 >_1$	Theo: Expt.	0.136 0.134 ±0.015	0.204 0.38 ±0.1		
	$7/2 \rightarrow 5/2$	Theo: Expt:	0,184 0.23 ±0,06	0.241 0.23 ±0.12		
	$ 7/2 > \rightarrow 3/2 >_1$	Theo: Expt:		0.188 0 265 ±0.06		
	$\mu_{(3/2)1}$	Theo: Expt:	0.14591 0 14589±0.00003	Theo: 0.644 Expt: 1.0 ±0.5		
	$\mu_{1/2}$	Theo: Expt:	0.5414 0.5415 ±0.005			
19 <del>9</del> ]r	$ 1/2 > \rightarrow 3/2 >_1$	Theo: Expt:	0.0074 0.0065 ±0.001	0.196 0.153 ± <sup>0</sup> .02		
	$3/2 \rightarrow 1/2$	Theo: Expt:	0367 0.5	0.00016 0.00015		
	$ 5/2 > \rightarrow 3/2 >_1$	Theo: Expt:	0.172 0.19 ±0.03	0.196 0.34 ±0.15		
	3/2>2→3/2>1	Theo: Expt:	0.0058 0.016	0.134 0.047		
	$7/2 \rightarrow 5/2$	Theo: Expt:	0.191 0.27 ±0.06	0.145 0.095 ±0.05		
	$7/2 \rightarrow 3/2$	Theo: Expt:		$\begin{array}{c} 0.21 \\ 0.30 \\ \pm 0.06 \end{array}$		
	μ <sub>(3/2)1</sub>	Theo: Expt:	0.1559 0.1589 ±0.0009	Theo: $0.75$ Expt: $1.0 \pm 0.5$		
	$\mu_{1/2}$	Theo: Expt:	0.5470 0.477 ±0.02			
	μ <sub>5/2</sub>	Theo: Expt:	1.405 0.68 $\pm 0.11$			

Table 1. Electromagnetic properties of odd mass Ir nuclei



Figure 1 Low lying energy levels in odd mass ridium nuclei. 1. Levels as predicted by the core excitation model.

- Experimental levels.
- 3. Levels as predicted by the intermediate coupling model.

results from the coupling of the  $d_{3/2}$  orbit of the 77th proton or the 79th proton hole, to the  $2_+$  state of the core. The core-particle interaction can in general be represented by the sum of scalar products of irreducible tensors :

$$H_{\rm int} = \Sigma f_{\mathcal{K}}(T_c K.T_K K) \tag{1}$$

where  $T_c^{\mathbf{K}}$  operates on the degrees of freedom of the core only and  $T_P^{\mathbf{K}}$  operates on the degrees of freedom of the particle only and  $f_k$  is the strength of the interaction Then the energy levels are given by

$$E_{3} = E_{0} + (-1)^{i+J} \sum_{K} C_{K} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 3/2 & J \\ 3/2 & 2 & K \end{array} \right\} \qquad \dots (2)$$
$$C_{K} = \hat{i}_{K} < J_{C} \| T_{C}^{K} \| J_{C} > < J_{P} \| T_{P}^{K} \| J_{P} > \dots$$

where  $E_0$  is the centre of mass parameter. The allowed values of K are 0, 1, 2 and 3 for Jr nuclei. Leaving alone K = 0 term which causes only shift of the centre of gravity the terms which split the multiplet are the terms with K = 1, K = 2 and K = 3. However, the K = 2 term is found to be small and neglected in the case of Av nuclei.

As in the case of Au nuclei we have neglected K = 2 term and attempted to predict the energy levels following the procedure outlined (Seetharamanath *et al* 1971a, b, Narasimharaju *et al*, 1971). The value of  $E_0$  is varied from the energy of the 2<sub>+</sub> state in the neighbouring <sup>188</sup>, <sup>190</sup>, <sup>192</sup>Os nuclei to the energy of 2<sub>+</sub> state in the neighbouring <sup>190</sup>, <sup>192</sup>, <sup>194</sup>Pt nuclei respectively. However, the energy levels were not reproduced. In fact the predicted spin sequence is 1/2, 3/2, 5/2 and 7/2, whereas, the observed sequence is 1/2, 5/2, 3/2 and 7/2 as can be seen from figure 1. So we have included K = 2 term in expression (2). Varying  $E_0$  between the limits specified above, we have attempted to predict the four energy levels.

#### 254 Chandran, Raju, Satyanarayana and Parthasaradhi

The energy levels are also predicted using the intermediate coupling model (Chowdry *et al*, 1963). In these calculations the last odd proton available to the  $3S_{1/2}$  and  $d_{3/2}$  states is coupled to the even-even core of the corresponding neighbouring Pt nuclei and the resulting Hamiltonian is diagonalized up to the first two phonon states to get the energy eigen values. Since Ir isotopes fall in the  $2_+$  state of the corresponding oven-even Pt core the parameters are varied to get the best fit. The best fit is obtained at a lower energy value of  $E_0$ , the energy of the first excited  $2_+$  state of Pt isotopes, indicating the deformation. The calculations are performed on IBM 1130 computer of the Andhra University. The set of parameters used are given in table 2.

Table 2. Values of the parameters used in the intermediate coupling model

Isotope	$E_0({ m MoV})$	Coupling strength	Energy spacing $E_{1/2} - E_{3/2}$ (MoV)
109Ir	0.186	1.1	0.27
<sup>101</sup> Ir	0 186	14	0 31
<sup>193</sup> Ir	0.186	0.6	0,29

The energy levels predicted on the basis of core-excitation and intermediate coupling models are given in table 3. From table 3, it can be seen that the agreement between the predicted and the observed energy levels is in general satisfactory with the core-excitation model calculations. The value of the parameter

Table 3. Low-lying energy levels in odd mass Ir nuclei

Isotope		$E_0({ m MeV})$	$C_1$	<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	$C_3$	<b>E</b> <sub>1/2</sub>	<b>E</b> <sub>6/2</sub>	$E_{_{3/2}}$	$E_{7/2}$
190Ir	Theo 1 :	0 296	+0.624	-0 667	-3.244	0 094	0.114	0.176	0.242
	Theo 2 :					0.092	0.189	0.284	0.136
	Expt :					0.094	0.114	0 176	0.300
<sup>101</sup> Ir	Theo 1 ;	0 317	+0.494	-0.675	-3.294	0.082	0.129	0.179	$0.\bar{2}82$
	Theo 2 :					0.084	0.190	0.320	0.190
	Expt :					0 082	0.129	0.169	0.349
193 <b>∏</b> r	Theo 1 ;	0.328	+0 281	-0.561	-2 638	0.073	0.139	0.180	0.317
	Theo 2 :					0.130	0.170	0 210	0.150
	$\mathbf{Expt}:$					0.073	0.139	0.180	0.357
	Theo 1 :	Соге-охо	itation n	aodel					
	Theo 2 : Intermediate Coupling model								

Expt: Experimental value

 $E_0$  in core-excitation model calculations indicates that the four low-lying levels in odd mass Ir nuclei can be described as resulting from a coupling of the  $d_{3/2}$ orbit of the 78th proton hole to the 2<sub>+</sub> state of the core. The present results do not favour the odd proton being coupled to the 2<sub>+</sub> state of the core. For values of  $E_0$  close to the energy of the 2<sub>+</sub> state in Os even-even nuclei, the energy of the 7/2 state is too low for comparison. This conclusion is also supported by the calculations of Avida *et al* (1968) for <sup>193</sup>Ir. The reduced matrix elements  $< 0||Tc^2|| 2 >_{\rm Avida}(=1)$  and  $< 0||Tc^2|| 2 >_{\rm herant} (=1)$  are in good agreement with  $< 0||Tc^2|| 2 >_{\rm 182pt} (=1.17)$  and disagrees with  $< 0||Tc^2|| 2 >_{\rm 1920s} (=2.63)$ .

Finally it is interesting to compare the applicability of the core excitation model to Au and Ir nuclei. In the case of Au nuclei, a simple dipole-octupole interaction between the core and the particle could explain the level structure, whereas in the case of Ir nuclei the quadrupole interaction also plays an important role. The relative strengths of the dipole, quadrupole and octopole interactions can be determined only if the values of the reduced 'matrix elements'  $< J_C ||T_C^K||J_C > \text{and } < J_P ||T_P^K||J_P > \text{for } K = 1, 2, 3 \text{ are known.}$  The presence of the quadrupole effect in Ir nuclei is not entirely unexpected since they lie in the intermediate region between the deformed and spherical nuclei.

#### REFERENCES

Avida R., Brudo J. & Molchadzki A. 1968 Nuclear Physics 115A, 405.

- Berant Z., Burde J. & Molchadzki A. 1972 Nuclear Physics 180A, 321.
- do Shalit A. 1961 Phys. Rev. 122, 1530.

Narasimha Raju. M. L. Satyanarayana G. & Parthasaradhi K. 1971a Indian J. Phys.

Sectheramanath M. N., Sastry B. R. & Parthasaradhi K. 1971b Indian J. Phys. 44, 469.

Scotharamanath M. N., Narasimham K. L. & Lakshminarayana V. Nuovo Cumento 1971 3A, 359.