# GAS PROPERTIES AT HIGH TEMPERATURES ON THE EXPONENTIAL MODEL

# P. K. CHAKRABORTI

INDIAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE CULTIVATION OF SCIENCE, JADAVPUR, CALCUTTA-32 (Received March 15, 1961)

**ABSTRACT.** High temperature viscosity data have been utilised to obtain the potential parameters for the exponential model for He, A,  $N_2$ ,  $O_2$  and  $O_2$ . These parameters can reproduce the exportmental viscosity data at high temperatures more satisfactorily than the parameters determined from scattering experiments. The combination rules proposed for the exponential model have been tested in relation to the high temperature inter-diffusion coefficient and the results so obtained are discussed.

## INTRODUCTION

The knowledge of the gas properties at high temperatures is of great importance particularly in connection with high speed gas dynamics, combustion, detonation etc. In the experimental determination of the required gas properties at very high temperatures, many difficulties are to be surmounted and even then the results are liable to large errors. Further, the graphical extrapolation of the low temperature data is likely to give unsatisfactory results at high temperatures. More satisfactory values for the transport coefficients can, however, be obtained by calculating the intermolecular potential from high temperature properties and utilising the equations of the kinetic theory to calculate the required transport property.

At high temperatures small intermolecular separations are predominant (Hirschfelder *et al.*, 1954). Above the Boyle point the attractive part of the intermolecular potential becomes less important than the repulsive part. Hence in the consideration of the high temperature gas properties we may neglect the attractive part of the potential and assume only the repulsive part (Cottrell, 1956). At low and intermediate temperatures the intermolecular potential is believed to be represented reasonably well by the various molecular models (e.g. Lennard-Jones 12 : 6, exp-6, etc.), but their applicability to gases at high temperatures is open to question (1958a, 1958b).

Amdur and his co-workers (1954-57) have tried to fit their molecular scattering data to a potential of the form

$$\phi(r) = \frac{A}{r^s} \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad (1)$$

where A and s are constants and r is the intermolecular separation. Subsequently Amdur and Mason (1958a) have calculated a large number of gas properties at high temperatures from the low and intermediate temperature data and have suggested that a potential of the form

$$\phi(r) = A e^{-\frac{r}{p}} \qquad \dots \qquad (2)$$

may be able to reproduce the experimental data over a wide range of temperatures than the inverse power model. They have obtained the constants A and  $\rho$  for several substances from the scattering experiments, but could not test the accuracy of the values by comparison with experimental transport property data, as the collision integrals on the exp-model were not available. Quite recently Monchick (1959) has evaluated the various collision integrals on the exp-model ; therefore evaluation of the potential parameters from transport data is also possible. Walker and Westenberg (1960) have utilised these collision integrals to determine the unlike potential parameters from their experimental diffusion data for the gas pairs  $CO_2-O_2$ ,  $CH_4 + O_2$ ,  $H_2O + O_2$  and  $CO-O_2$  and obtained some interesting results. In the present paper we have determined the potential parameters for the exponential model from the data on high temperature viscosity of pure components. These have been compared with the values available from other sources.

## CALCULATION OF POTENTIAL PARAMETERS FROM HIGH TEMPERATURE VISCOSITY

Large amount of experimental data in the temperature range where Eq. (2) is applicable exists for viscosity (1930, 1945, 1958, 1959). It was, however, found that the constants A and  $\rho$  given by Amdur and Mason (1958) fail to reproduce these data satisfactorily. Hence it was felt desirable to obtain the constants A and  $\rho$  on the exponential model directly from the viscosity data at high temperatures. These constants are likely to reproduce the transport properties better than those determined from the scattering data. The viscosity data for He, A, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in the temperature range 800°K -1500°K were utilised for this purpose.

On the Chapman-Enskog theory the viscosity coefficient  $\eta$  is given to the first approximation as (Hirschfelder *et al.*, 1954).

$$[\eta]_1 \times 10^7 = 266.93 \sqrt{T} \times M/\sigma^2 \Omega^{(2,2)*} g/\text{cm-sec.}$$
 ... (3)

where T is the absolute temperature, M the molecular weight of the substance  $\Omega^{(2,2)*}$  are the collision integrals,  $\sigma$  is some arbitrary length parameter which is defined by  $\phi(r)$  and is given by (Monchick, 1959).

$$\sigma^2 \Omega^{(l,s)*} = 8\alpha^2 \rho^2 I_{(l,s)}(\alpha) / (s+1)! \lfloor 1 - \frac{1}{2} \{1 + (-1)^l\} / (1+l) \rfloor \qquad \dots \quad (4)$$

# P. K. Chakraborti

where l, s are integral numbers,  $I(\alpha)_{(l,s)}$  are in the form of integrals depending upon  $\alpha$ , and  $\alpha$  is related to A in Eq. (2) by (Monchick, 1959).

$$\alpha = \ln \left( A/kT \right) \qquad \qquad \dots \quad (5)$$

Values of the integrals  $I(\alpha)_{(l,s)}$  are tabulated (Monchick, 1959) as function of  $\alpha$ . Comparing Eq. (3) and (4) we get  $(l = s = 2, \text{ for } \eta)$ 

$$]_{1} \times 10^{7} = 133.46 \sqrt{T.M/\alpha^{2} \rho^{2} I(\alpha)_{(2,2)}}$$
(6)

In Eq. (6)  $\rho$  is temperature independent and  $\alpha^2 I(\alpha)_{(2,2)}$  is temperature dependent. Let  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  be the viscosities at temperatures  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively. Then

$$[\eta_2/\eta_1] = (T_2/T_1)^2 (\alpha_1/\alpha_2)^2 [I(\alpha)_{(2,2)}]_2 / [I(\alpha)_{(2,2)}]_1$$
(7)

where  $[I(\alpha)_{(2\cdot2)}]_1$  and  $[I(\alpha)_{(2\cdot2)}]_2$  represent the collision integrals corresponding to  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  respectively. Hence by knowing the quantities  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  experimentally, values of A can be so adjusted that the right hand side of Eq. (7) becomes equal to  $[\eta_2/\eta_1]_{expt}$ . For a particular substance different experimental values of the ratio  $[\eta_2/\eta_1]$  were taken and A values were found in each case. The geometric mean of the A values so obtained was taken as the true values of the constants for the substance. Once A is obtained the value of  $\rho$  can be found from Eq. (6). The arithmetic mean of all  $\rho$  values thus obtained was taken as the true value for the substance. The values of the constants thus obtained are given in Table 1. For the sake of comparison the values of the constants obtained by Amdur and Mason (1958) from scattering experiments are also given in the Table 1.

### TABLE I

Values of the constants A and  $\rho$  on the exp-model

	From Viscosity			From Scattering		
Subs- tance	$A \times 10^8$ ergs.	ρ in Å	Range of rigid sphere diameter $r_0$ in Å	A×10 <sup>8</sup> orgs.	ρ in Å	Range of rigid sphere diameter $r_0$ in Å
Ho	0.0615	0.225	1.9461.984	0.0618	0.220	1.3-2.3
Λ	5.125	0.244	3.1063.214	5.174	0.224	2.23.4
$N_2$	1.053	0.295	3.2923.383	2.163	2.063	2.43.6
$O_2$	1.086	0.275	3.0823.222	n.e was		
CO <sub>2</sub>	1.149	0.328	3.6543.843			

The potential parameters determined from viscosity and scattering data are not quite consistent.

356

Substance	T°K	$\eta \times 10^7$ g cm.sec. expt.	$\eta > 10^{7}$ g/cm, sec. calculated with force constants from		
	ana dan dina dan salar sa sa		Viscosity	Scattering	
	800	38407	3873	4042	
	850	4000a	4049	4226	
Не	900	\$154a	4222	4407	
	950	4304"	4394	4584	
ang	1000	4455 <b>T</b>	4587	4764	
	800	4621*	4677	5412	
	900	49604	4961	5870	
	1000	$5302^{r}$	5282	6263	
Λ	1100	5 <b>63</b> 6«	5626	6649	
	1200	5947r	- 5948	7044	
	1300	62564	6272	7437	
	1400	$6532^{a}$	6601	7802	
	1500	6778 <sup>r</sup>	6900	8196	
	800	3493*	3379	3764	
	972.5	3916 <sup>r</sup>	3856	4287	
	1000	40110	3929	4367	
	1020.7	4017	3986	4430	
	1068.8	4119°	4108	4563	
$\mathbf{N}_2$	1120.2	4216''	4240	4710	
	1166	43741	4359	4838	
	1220.5	4461 <sup>r</sup>	4499	4984	
	1273.2	4582"	4629	5134	
	1500	5050*	5174	5728	
and a second	800	4115"	4131	der mit under abertude eiter eiter ander	
	1000	4720a	4806		
	1130	5 <b>2</b> 30″	5240		
$O_2$	1166	538 <b>2</b> °	5342		
_	1203	5480*	5459		
	1278	5677°	5685		
	1292	5715 <sup>e</sup>	5728	. with respected and the state of the state	
	800	3391r	3375		
	900	3676 <sup>a</sup>	3657		
	1000	$3935^{v}$	3925		
CO2	1100	42000	4188		
	1200	4453"	4442		
	1300	<b>4688</b> <sup>a</sup>	4736		
	1400	4912a	4933		
	1500	51 <b>3</b> 9 <sup>r</sup>	5169		

TA	B	L	$\mathbf{E}$	1	L
----	---	---	--------------	---	---

Trautz, M. and Zink, R. (1930).
Vasilesco, V. (1945).
Raw, C. J. G. and Ellis, C. P. (1958).
Raw, C. J. G. and Ellis, C. P., (1959).
Raw, C. J. G. and Ellis, C. P., (1959).
Values obtained from the interpolation of available high temperature viscosity data.

# COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

#### (a) Viscosity

An obvious test of the success of any molecular model is its ability to reproduce various experimental data with the same set of constants. In Table II, the experimental and the calculated values of the viscosity coefficients of He, A,  $O_2$ ,  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  at high temperatures are given. The calculated values on the expmodel from the force-constants obtained by Amdur and Mason (1958) from seattering data are also given. It can be seen from Table II that the experimental viscosity data are reproduced much better on the exponential model with the force constants determined in the present work than with those determined from scattering data. This is due to the fact that the rigid sphere diameter range of validity of the two sets of parameters are different. Parameters from scattering data are expected to be more appropriate at still higher temperatures.

#### (b) Inter-diffusion coefficient

The binary diffusion coefficient may be written to the first approximation as (Hirschfelder *et al.*, 1954)

$$[D_{12}]_1 = 0.002628\sqrt{T^3(M_1 + M_2)/2M_1M_2/p\sigma_{12}^2\Omega_{12}^{(1,1)}}$$
(8)

where p is the pressure in atmospheres,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are the molecular weights of the species 1 and 2 respectively. Using the values of  $\sigma_{12}{}^2\Omega_{12}{}^{(1,1)*}$  as determined with the help of Eq. (4), the expression (8) for the diffusion coefficient on the exp-model becomes,

$$|D_{12}|_{1} = 0.002628\sqrt{T^{3}(M_{1} + M_{2})/2M_{1}M_{2}/4p\alpha_{12}^{2}\rho_{12}^{2}I_{(1,1)}(\alpha_{12})}$$
(9)

The constants  $A_{12}$  and  $\rho_{12}$  for the pair 1 - 2 may be approximated by the use of the combination rules given by Amdur and Mason (1958)

$$1/\rho_{12} = 1/2(1/\rho_1 + 1/\rho_2) \tag{10}$$

$$A_{12} = (A_1 \land A_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{11}$$

and  $\alpha_{12}$  is defined as before

$$\alpha_{12} = \ln \left( A_{12} / kT \right) \tag{12}$$

The values of the integrals  $I_{(1^{\prime}1)}(\alpha_{12})$  as functions of  $\alpha_{12}$  have been tabulated by Monchick (1959).

Recently Walker and Westenberg (1958, 1959 and 1960) have measured the inter-diffusion coefficients at high temperatures for a number of gas pairs by the "point source technique." By using the combination rules, Eqs. (10) and (11), the inter-diffusion coefficients for the systems He-A, He-N<sub>2</sub>,  $CO_2$ -N<sub>2</sub> and  $CO_2$ -O<sub>2</sub> have been calculated by us with the force constants determined from viscosity.

The results of these calculations together with the experimental values are given in Table III. Examination of the results in Table III shows that the agreement between the calculated and the experimental values of the diffusion coefficients is very poor for systems involving  $CO_2$ . This discrepancy in the case of  $CO_2$ can be attributed to the fact that the  $CO_2$  molecule is a much poorer approximation to spherical elastic particle than the other simple molecules and the simple combining rules valid for central force fields are not as appropriate. This has also been observed by Walker and Westenberg. Further, Mason *et al.* (1960) have pointed out that the effect of excitation or charge exchange will be important in case of high temperature diffusion and thermal diffusion in particular. Besides, multiplicity of the different interaction energy curves governing collisions should also be taken into account.

 TABLE III

 Calculated and experimental values of binary diffusion coefficients for system

 He-A, He-N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub>

Systom	T°K	$D_{12} \ { m em^2/sec.} \ { m expt.}$	$D_{12}~{ m em2/sec.}~{ m cal-}$ culated with force constants from viscosity
	700	3.500	3.062
	800	4.355	3.852
Ho-A	900	5,338	4.692
	1000	6.1905	6.067
	1100	7.207	7 131
	700	3.000	3.074
	800	3,828	3.864
He-N.	900	4,686	4.747
THE THE	1000	5.614	5.676
	1100	6.572	6 674
4	700	0,8095	0,6232
	800	1.022	0.7794
CON.	900	1.251	0.9506
	1000	J.486	1.134
	1100	1.724	1.345
	1200	1.937	1,544
•• • • •	700	0.7696	0,6469
	800	0.9759	0.8102
CO O.	900	1.197	0,9872
0.09 0.2	1000	1.440	1,180
	1100	1.679	1.387

The small amount of discrepancy observed in the calculated and the experimental values of the diffusion coefficients for He–A at the lower temperature can be explained by assuming that the diffusion corresponds to more penetrating collisions than other transport properties at the same temperature (Hirschfelder and Eliason, 1957) and therefore the parameters from scattering data are expected to give better agreement.

# P. K. Chakraborti

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his thanks to Prof. B. N. Srivastava, D.Sc., F.N.I., for his valuable guidance. Thanks are also due to Dr. A. K. Barua for suggesting the problem and valuable discussions throughout the progress of the work.

#### REFERENCES

Amdur, I. and Ross, J., 1958, Combustion and Flame, 2, 412.

Amdur, I. and Harkness, A. L., 1954, J. Chem. Phys., 22, 664.

Amdur, I. and Mason, E. A., 1954, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 415.

Amdur, I. and Mason, E. A., 1954, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 670.

Amdur, I. and Mason, E. A., 1955, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 2268.

Amdur, I. and Mason, E. A., 1956, J. Chem. Phys. 25, 624.

Amdur, I., Mason, E. A. and Jordhan, 1957, J. Chem. Phys. 27, 527.

Amdur, I. and Mason, E. A., 1958, Phys. Fluids, 1, 370.

Cottrell, T. L., 1956, Disc. Faraday. Soc., 22, 10.

Hirschfelder, Curtiss and Bird, 1954, Molecular Theory of Gases Liquids, (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., N.Y.)

Hirschfelder, J. O. and Eliason, E. A., 1957, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 67, 451.

Monchick, L., 1959, Phys. Fluids, 2, 695.

Mason, E. A., Vanderslice, J. T. and Yos, J. M., 1960, Phys. Fluids, 2, 686.

Raw, C. J. G. and Ellis, C. P., 1958, J. Chem. Phys., 28, 1198.

Raw, C. J. G. and Ellis, C. P., 1959, J. Chem. Phys., 30, 574.

Trautz, M. and Zink, R., 1930, Ann. Physik, 7, 427.

Vasilesco, V., 1945, Ann. Physique, 20, 292.

Walker, R. E. and Westenberg, A. A., J. 1958, Chem. Phys., 29, 1147.

Walker, R. E. and Westenberg, A. A., 1959, J. Chem. Phys., 81, 519.

Walker, R. E. and Westenberg, A. A., 1960, J. Chem. Phys., 32, 436.

360