# Letters to the Editor

The Board of Editors will not hold itself responsible for opinions expressed in the letters published in this section. The notes containing reports of new work communicated for this section should not contain many figures and should not exceed 500 words in length. The contributions must reach the Assistant Editor not later than the 15th of the second month preceding that of the issue in which the pipter is to appear. No proof will be sent to the authors.

### 3

## ANISOTROPY OF WATER CLUSTER ABOUT THE Cuth ION

#### A MOOKHERJI AND M. S CHHONKAR

Physics Laboratory, Agra College, Agra (Received, January 9, 1960)

The ground state of  $Cu^{\pm\pm}$  ion  $(3d^{0.2}D_{5/3})$  in octahedrally co-ordinated salts under the influence of the usual type of cubic crystalline electric field with positive coefficient (Van Vlock, 1932, Schlapp and Penney, 1932 and Gorter, 1942) splits into an orbital doublet and triplet above it.

Beevers and Lipson (1934) have shown that the water cluster about the  $Cu^{\pm\pm}$  ion has the approximate tetragonal symmetry and hence according to the theories of Polder (1942). Abragram and Pryce (1951), Bleanev *et al.* (1955) and Bose *et al.* (1957) the ionic magnetic anisotropy of the  $Cu^{\pm\pm}$  ion is given by

$$K_{\parallel} = K_{\perp} = \Delta K = - rac{2Neta^2}{kT} \left( -\lambda + kT 
ight) \cdot D' = - rac{2Neta^2}{kT} \left( -\lambda + kT 
ight) \cdot D f^2$$

where  $K_{\parallel}$  and  $K_{\perp}$  are the ionic susceptibilities parallel and normal to the tetragonal axis respectively;  $D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{E_{\parallel}} & -\frac{1}{E_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $E_{\parallel}$  and  $E_{\perp}$  are the energy differences of the lowest basic doublet and the two tetragonal levels respectively of the triplet, and  $f^2$  is the covalency factor (Owen, 1955).

Our measurements of the absorption spectra of  $Cu^{++}$  ion in copper sulphate in aqueous solution at first sight show that there is only one band having maximum at 12,400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, but a closer examination of the absorption curves (fig.1) shows that there is another maximum at about 12,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The second maximum is directly noticeable, though it is not very prominent. There is a great deal of experimental evidences (Ballhaussen and Jorgensen, 1954) that the absorption curves of cupric ion in aqueous solution in different amino copper complexes consist of at least two discernible bands

If this separation is taken to be due to the tetragonal component of the crystal field then one can calculate D, which when substituted in the above expression will give  $\Delta K/f^2$ . Now according to Owen's findings and also of ours (Mookherji and Chhonkar, 1959)  $f^2$  in CuSO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution is 0.85 which gives directly  $\Delta K$  in solution state for the water cluster about the Cu<sup>++</sup> ion – Since we have found in our studies of nickel salts (Mookherji and Chhonkar, in course of publication)  $\Delta K$  in state of solution and in crystalline state does not differ appreciably and hence our evaluated value of  $\Delta K$  in case of CuSO<sub>4</sub> solution should agree with that of crystal value of  $\Delta K$  (Bose *et al.* 1957) provided that our optical findings are correct.  $\Delta K = 548.4 \times 10^{-6}$  at 300°K as obtained by Bose *et al.* (1957) from magnetic studies agrees well with our calculated value of  $\Delta K = 548 \times 10^{-6}$  at the same temperature and hence we conclude that the first and second maxima are due to tetragonal sphtting

Since our assignment agrees well with the magnetic findings we have calculated  $f^2$  evaluating  $\Delta K$  from our optical measurements and utilising Bose *et al.* (1957) magnetic  $\Delta K$  — values – They are given in Table 1. We find that  $f^2$  -values are of the right order and almost the same for all the salts studied in agreement with the suggestions of Bose *et al.* (1957) for these salts

Sulphate series					
Pontahydrato	к	NH₄	Rb	Ti	Zn-K
0 85	0 88	0-76	0.875	0.81	0.88
	Selena	to serios			
Pentahydrate	ĸ	NH4			
0 865	0 88	0 83			

#### TABLE 1

#### REFERENCES

Abragram, A. and Pryce, M. H L, 1951, Proc. Roy. Soc., A206, 165.
Bleaney, B., Bowers, K. D. and Pryce, 1955, Proc. Roy. Soc., 228, 166.
Bose, A., Mitra, S. K and Dutta, S K., 1957, Proc. Roy. Soc., 239, 165.
Bjerram, J., Ballhausen, C. J. and Jorgenson, 1954, Acta Chem. Scand., 8, 1275.
Beevers, C. A. and Lapson, H., 1934, Proc. Roy. Soc., A146, 570.
Gorter, C. J., 1932, Phys. Rev., 42, 437.
Mookorji, A. and Chhonkar, N. S., 1959, Ind. Jour. Phys., 33, 74.
Polder, D., 1942, Physika, 9, 713
Schlapp and Penney, 1932, Phys. Rev., 41 & 42, 194 & 666.
YacClock, J. H., 1932, The Theory of electric and nuggotic susceptibilities, Oxford Press.