1 This is the pre-reviewed version of the work. Published version in Separation and Purification Technology

2 Volume 186, 2 October 2017, Pages 10–19, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2017.05.035

3 Demethanization of aqueous anaerobic effluents using a

4 polydimethylsiloxane membrane module: mass transfer, 5 fouling and feasibility

- 6 M. Henares, M. Izquierdo, P. Marzal, and V. Martínez-Soria*
- 7 Research Group Gl²AM, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Valencia,
- 8 Avda. Universitat s/n, 46100 Burjassot, Spain
- 9 *Corresponding author: E-mail address: vmsoria@uv.es (V. Martínez-Soria)
- 10

11 Abstract

12 The performance, fouling and feasibility of a polydimethylsiloxane hollow fibre 13 membrane module for in situ methane degasification from the effluent of an Expanded 14 Granular Sludge Bed anaerobic reactor has been investigated. Experiments at different 15 operational conditions were carried out (liquid flow, sweep gas flow and vacuum pressure) with maximum removal efficiency (77%) at lowest flow-rate (0.4 L h^{-1}), 16 17 highest vacuum (800 mbar) and liquid flowing in lumen side. Mass transport analysis 18 denoted a considerably higher methane transfer than that predicted (attributed to liquid 19 oversaturation). An enhancement factor for liquid phase has been proposed to 20 correlate the experimental results. Long-term experiments were also performed in 21 order to determine the possible influence of fouling on the module performance, and it 22 showed that relatively frequent cleaning with water might be carried out to ensure 23 preservation of the membrane efficiency. Although energy balance analysis evidenced 24 that energy production exceeded the system energy requirements, the economic profit 25 of the process does not seem fully-guaranteed. A substantial reduction of CO2 26 equivalent emissions showed the positive environmental impact of this technology.

27

28 Keywords

Anaerobic reactor; methane degassing; membrane fouling; membrane contactor; masstransfer

31

32 **1. Introduction**

The minimization of the loss of residual methane from aqueous effluents of anaerobically treated wastewater systems has recently attracted growing interest, with an increasing number of studies about it in the last years [1–4]. This interest is based on the fact that the recovery of this residual dissolved methane (or at least its removal) in these effluents presents several potential benefits of a diverse nature: environmental (avoiding the emission of a powerful greenhouse gas), economic (recovering a power source) and safety (reducing the possibility of creating an explosive atmosphere).

In addition, it has been frequently described [4–9] that dissolved methane can be found in these effluents in concentrations above the saturation level, which would suggest that the impact of the discharge of this residual methane may be even higher than expected, especially taking into account that more sustainable anaerobic processes should be carried out at relatively low-temperature [8], ambient or psychrophilic conditions (<20 °C), which favours the solubility of methane in water.</p>

46 The development and implementation of a technology to economically recover 47 dissolved methane from process effluents could improve the viability and sustainability 48 of anaerobic wastewater treatment [1,4]. In this sense, the membrane hollow fibre 49 contactor has emerged recently as a promising technology that seems able to 50 demethanize aqueous streams, with benefits with respect to conventional separation 51 technologies. Among such benefits are higher volumetric mass transfer coefficients 52 that lead to compact and smaller systems [9] and avoiding the direct contact of liquid 53 and gas phases that can frequently lead to problems such as foaming, flooding, and 54 emulsions [10,11]. So, Bandara et al. [12–14] demonstrated that degasification using a 55 multi-layered composite hollow fibre degassing module (with porous and non-porous 56 materials) is a promising technology for improving methane recovery from the effluent 57 of a bench-scale Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) process for treating low-58 strength wastewater at low temperature. In a preliminary work, Cookney et al. [6] 59 showed the potential for recovering dissolved methane from low-temperature UASB 60 treating domestic wastewater using a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membrane 61 contactor. This work was continued later by including a polypropylene microporous 62 membrane contactor, and both synthetic and real anaerobic effluents form the UASB 63 and membrane bioreactor [15]. The authors also showed that this application could 64 both be economically practicable and avoid net CO₂ emissions. Luo et al. [16] 65 demonstrated that a declassing membrane coupled UASB reactor was able to achieve 66 in situ biogas upgrading and decrease the dissolved CH_4 concentration in the 67 anaerobic effluent. Unfortunately, studies on the removal of residual dissolved methane 68 from anaerobic effluents are still very scarce, and further research in this field is 69 needed to improve and deepen the knowledge and performance of this technology, 70 especially in that related to membrane fouling and long-term experiments.

In this context, research for in situ removal/recovery of methane from the effluent of an Expanded Granular Sludge Bed (EGSB) anaerobic reactor is being carried out in our research group. A comparative study of two different degassing membrane contactors [17], microporous (polypropylene) and non-porous (PDMS), showed that the performance of both contactors was not very unequal, but wetting phenomena were observed for the microporous module, which is one of the major potential bottlenecks of this type of microporous material. In this sense, dense (or non-porous) membrane
materials can offer a solution to prevent wetting and may simultaneously offer
acceptable mass transfer performances [18].

80 In the first part of the present work we have extended our previous study [17] with 81 different operating conditions on the performance of the PDMS module for methane 82 degassing. An analysis of the experimental results based on a resistance to mass 83 transfer study is presented. In addition, and as especial novelty, the incidence of 84 membrane fouling in long-term experiments has been determined. To the best of our 85 knowledge, this is the first attempt in the literature to study the membrane fouling in 86 long term experiments in methane degassing of anaerobic effluents. Finally, a 87 feasibility study considering energy recovery and demand has been carried out for a 88 given application of a degassing process using a contactor of nonporous membrane of 89 PDMS.

90

91 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

92 2.1 Experimental set-up

A commercial hollow fibre membrane contactor module (PDMSXA-250, supplied
 by PermSelect®, MedArray Inc., USA) was selected as usual in water degassing
 industrial applications. The main properties of the degassing module (DM) are
 summarized in Table 1.

97 98

99

Table 1. ()
------------	---

haracteristics of the non-porous	polydimethylsiloxane	(PDMS)	contactor
modu	lle.		

Module inner diameter, m	0.016
Module length, m	0.14
Number of fibres	320
Effective fibre length, m	0.083
Inner diameter, µm	190
Outer diameter, µm	300
Fibre wall thickness, µm	55
Inner area (A _i), m ²	0.0159
Outer area (A _o), m ²	0.0250
Shell tube inner diameter, m	0.016
Packing fraction	0.113
Max. liquid flow rate L h ⁻¹	12
Typical sweep gas flow rate, L h ⁻¹	2.7-27.0
Lumen side volume, m ³	7.53 10 ⁻⁷
Shell side volume, m ³	1.48 10 ⁻⁵

Max. shell to lumen TMP*, mbar	1050
Max. lumen to shell TMP*, mbar	3100

*TMP: Transmembrane pressure.

101 A laboratory scale EGSB anaerobic reactor was operated at 25 °C for almost 3 years. 102 The EGSB reactor was initially inoculated with 4 L of granular anaerobic sludge from 103 the wastewater treatment plant of a local brewery. The bioreactor treated 8 L d⁻¹ of a 104 synthetic wastewater polluted with ethanol with an organic load rate of 32 kg chemical oxygen demand (COD) m⁻³ d⁻¹, being a relatively high strength wastewater comparing 105 with most of conventional anaerobic treatments [4]. A high recirculation flow was 106 107 maintained to expand the sludge bed with an up-flow velocity of 10.7 m h⁻¹. A liquid-108 gas separator device was placed at the top of the reactor, and the biogas was collected 109 through a sodium hydroxide solution. The methane flow rate and biogas composition 110 were monitored with a flow meter (MGC-10 PMMA, Ritter, Germany) and a biogas 111 analyser (Combimass GA-m, Binder, Germany), respectively. Water characteristics 112 based on pH, conductivity, alkalinity, volatile fatty acids, COD, solids and nutrients 113 concentrations were periodically checked. A detailed description of the biological 114 system and procedure can be found elsewhere [19].

115 A diagram of the EGSB reactor and the DM contactor is shown in Fig. 1. The 116 membrane module was coupled to the EGSB reactor and fed with a fraction of flow 117 from the recirculation stream, in which the concentration of the dissolved methane (D-118 CH_4) was similar to that in the effluent stream. The stream was flowed and then 119 through the contactor, using a peristaltic pump (Watson-Marlow, USA), resulting in flow 120 rates from 0.4 to 10.8 L h⁻¹. A stainless-steel filter of 40 µm was set-up prior to 121 membrane module to avoid DM contactor clogging by the solid particulates from the 122 anaerobic reactor. This filter was periodically cleaned and no biofilm development was 123 observed on it. The liquid pressure drop at the inlet and outlet of the membrane was 124 measured using a portable manometer MP 112 (Kimo, Spain). For vacuum pressure 125 experiments, a vacuum pump N026.3.AT.18 (KNF Neuberger, Germany) was used for 126 vacuum operation, getting vacuum pressures (Pvac) of 140, 500 and 800 mbar. For 127 countercurrent sweep gas experiments, nitrogen gas with a high purity (> 99.8%) 128 supplied by Carburos Metálicos S.A. (Spain) was introduced into the contactor with flow rates ranging between 2.7 and 27.0 L h⁻¹ (STP) using a mass flow controller 129 130 (Bronkhorst Hi-Tec, The Netherlands).

The DM contactor was operated both in lumen side mode (LS), where experiments were carried out with the liquid flowing in the lumen side and vacuum pressure or sweep gas applied in the shell side, and in shell side mode (SS) where the fluids were swapped.

The selection between vacuum or sweep gas mode operations depends basically on the aim of the process. Vacuum operation is the most feasible solution when the recovery of degassing components is desired, since sweep gas operation would involve subsequent costly separation/purification operations. If the process is carried out only for liquid degassing, sweep gas mode can be selected since it usually needs low energy consumption [20]. A combination mode (modest sweep with moderate vacuum) could be used to enhance the degassing performance by increasing the gas transfer driving force [21], but this intermediate situation induces an increase inenergetic costs [20].



144

Fig. 1. Scheme of the set-up of the EGSB reactor and degassing membrane module

146

147 Cleaning of the DM module with deionised water at countercurrent flow during 30 148 minutes was done every day after experiments. A control experiment was repeated 149 every month to ensure that the DM module was operating without fouling and the 150 performance was not altered. Every short-term experiment was performed until stable 151 dissolved methane concentration in the outlet of the DM module was observed, usually 152 after 60-90 minutes of operation [17]. Discussion of results has been based on the 153 values obtained at this pseudo steady-state.

Finally, long-term experiments (> 30 hours without cleaning) were carried out to study the effect of operation time on contactor fouling and performance, and to determine the cleaning strategy for the application. Sweep gas mode configuration was selected for these experiments for safety and practical aspects, since long-term operation with the vacuum pump caused problems of stability and malfunction, as well as noise and odours in the laboratory.

160 Two different cleaning protocols were used: conventional soft cleaning where only 161 deionised water was flowed in countercurrent for 30 min, and a chemical cleaning, 162 suggested by the DM supplier [21]: sequentially flowed in countercurrent 5% wt/wt of 163 sodium hydroxide aqueous solution (30 min), deionised water (5 min), 5% wt/wt of citric 164 acid aqueous solution (30 min) and deionised water (5 min).

165

166 2.2 Determination of concentration of dissolved gases

The headspace method was used in the analysis of D-CH₄. Liquid samples of 50 mL
 were collected at the inlet and the outlet of the DM module and were injected in sealed

vials of 125 mL prefilled with helium. Vials were shaken vigorously for 30 seconds and
left at 25 °C for 3 hours in an orbital shaker, to allow the gases to equilibrate. After
equilibration, 0.5 mL of the headspace gas was injected into a gas chromatograph
(Agilent GC 7820A, Spain), equipped with Agilent HP-PLOT/Q and Agilent HPMOLESIEVE columns. The D-CH₄ in liquid phase was calculated as:

$$C_{L} = \frac{C_{GH} \left(V_{GH} + H V_{L} \right)}{V_{I}}$$
(1)

where C_L is the concentration of dissolved methane in liquid phase (mg L⁻¹), C_{GH} the methane gas concentration in headspace after equilibration (mg L⁻¹), V_L and V_{GH} are volumes of liquid and gas space in the vial respectively (mL), and H the dimensionless Henry's law constant for methane (29.55) at 25 °C [22]. The performance of the degassing module was evaluated with the removal efficiency (RE, %) of the membrane, defined as:

$$RE = \frac{C_{L1} - C_{L2}}{C_{L1}} \cdot 100$$
 (2)

where C_{L1} and C_{L2} are the concentrations of dissolved methane in liquid phase (mg L⁻¹) at the inlet and outlet of the DM module, respectively. Samples from the inlet and outlet were taken in duplicate and were analyzed in triplicate.

183

184 2.3 Mass transfer evaluation

Analysis of mass transfer is important both to assess the performance of the DM contactor and to design/scale up a 'real' membrane unit. In our system, the experimental overall mass transfer coefficient (K_{Lexp} , m s⁻¹) of CH₄ can be determined from the mass balance applied at the liquid phase in the contactor by the following differential expression:

$$Q_{L}\frac{dC}{dA} = -K_{Lexp} (C_{L} - C_{L}^{*})$$
(3)

where Q_L is the liquid flow rate (m³ s⁻¹), A is the interfacial area (m²), C_L is the concentration of D-CH₄ in the liquid phase (mg L⁻¹) and C_L^* is the concentration in liquid phase (mg L⁻¹) in equilibrium with the gas phase, which can be calculated from:

$$C_{L}^{*} = H \cdot C_{G}$$
(4)

where C_G is the concentration of CH_4 in the gas phase (mg L⁻¹). Integrating expression (3) through the DM contactor yields:

$$\frac{K_{\text{Lexp}} A}{Q_{\text{L}}} = - \ln \left(\frac{C_{\text{L}2^{-}} C_{\text{L}}^{*}}{C_{\text{L}1^{-}} C_{\text{L}}^{*}} \right)$$
(5)

The mass transfer process in the membrane contactor can be more easily visualized and interpreted using the film theory approach. The overall mass transfer process consists of three resistances in series: the liquid phase boundary layer (R_L), the membrane (R_m), and the gaseous phase boundary layer (R_G). The overall mass transfer coefficient (K_L) can be obtained by summing the partial resistances in series. So, in a cylindrical geometry like in the hollow fibre contactor, the overall resistance R_{total} (= 1/overall mass transfer) can be described by means of the equation:

$$R_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{L}}A_{\text{L}}} = \frac{1}{Hk_{\text{G}}A_{\text{G}}} + \frac{1}{Hk_{\text{m}}A_{\text{m}l}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{L}}A_{\text{L}}} = R_{\text{G}} + R_{\text{m}} + R_{\text{L}}$$
(6)

203

where k_G , k_m and k_L stand for the mass transfer coefficients in the gas, membrane and liquid, respectively, A_G and A_L are the membrane surfaces in contact with gas and liquid respectively, A_{ml} is the logarithmic mean membrane surface, and H is the equilibrium partition coefficient of the solute gas in between the gas and liquid phases (Henry coefficient). Resistance into the gas phase can usually be assumed as negligible, $R_G <<< R_m + R_L$, so in this case Eq. (6) can be reduced to:

$$R_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{Lexp}}A_{\text{L}}} = \frac{1}{Hk_{\text{m}}A_{\text{m}l}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{L}}A_{\text{L}}} = R_{\text{m}} + R_{\text{L}}$$
(7)

210

211 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

212

213 3.1 Characterization of the EGSB anaerobic effluent

The EGSB reactor achieved a removal rate of around 31.4 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹ resulting in 214 an organic removal efficiency > 95%. The biogas composition was 77% (v/v) CH₄ and 215 23% (v/v) CO₂ and the methane flow rate was 1.65 L h⁻¹ (STP). The average dissolved 216 methane (D-CH₄) measured in the water effluent of the reactor was usually around 30 ± 217 5 mg L⁻¹. This indicates an oversaturation of the anaerobic effluent by a factor of 2 with 218 219 respect to the equilibrium D-CH₄ concentration of 15 mg L⁻¹ at experimental conditions 220 [22]. D-CH₄ oversaturation is commonly observed in anaerobic reactors with 221 oversaturation factors ranging from 1.3 to 6.9 [5-7,12,23]. Solids concentrations were 222 measured before and after the filter sited previous membrane module. No appreciable differences were observed, indicating that water quality was not altered. Values of total 223 224 and volatile solids were 3850 \pm 75 and 250 \pm 25 mg L⁻¹, respectively.

225 At these operational conditions, the amount of methane lost in aqueous effluent 226 represents around 1% of the total methane produced in the EGSB anaerobic reactor. 227 Quite disparate values of percentage of methane lost in anaerobic effluents can be 228 found in the literature [1,3,4,16]. This disparity of percentage of methane lost can be 229 explained taking into account that the amount of methane (L h^{-1}) lost in aqueous 230 effluent depends on water flow and temperature, which affect to equilibrium 231 concentration of solved methane, but it is almost independent on organic load and 232 reactor efficiency. So, high values of percentage of methane lost should be obtained for 233 low strength wastewater treatments and low values for high strength wastewater 234 treatments. Luo et al. [16] obtained similar values to those reported in this study, 235 ranging from 1.6 to 5.6%, using a degassing membrane coupled to an upflow 236 anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor, using similar organic load (20 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹ 237 ¹), and higher percentages (> 11%) have frequently been reported [1,3,4] for different low strength wastewater treatments (1.1-0.2 kg COD m⁻³ d⁻¹). In any case, the feasibility 238 of the membrane process will depend on ability of the contactor module to recover the 239 240 amount of methane (L h^{-1}) lost in aqueous effluent but not on methane production of 241 anaerobic reactor.

242

243 3.2 Degassing membrane performance

244 Regarding the removal of D-CH₄ in the DM module, Fig. 2 shows the effect of the liquid 245 flow rate on the removal efficiency. Some of the results presented have been 246 previously reported in a former work [17] where a comparison of membrane modules 247 (porous and non-porous) was carried out, but the conjunction of the results in this study 248 (reported and not previously reported) provides a more deep knowledge of the 249 operation conditions. For sake of clarity previous reported experiments have been 250 plotted in grey filled symbols in Fig. 2 and 3. As can be observed in Fig. 2a, 251 independently of the vacuum/permeate pressure or of the mode of operation (LS or 252 SS), methane recovery decreases with increasing liquid flow rate. A similar trend has 253 previously been reported for membrane degassing of methane [15] or similar 254 applications [9,24].

255 The maximum removal efficiencies obtained in our experiments at vacuum operation 256 mode were of around 77% for experiments at the highest vacuum (800 mbar) and the 257 lowest liquid flow rate (~0.4 L h⁻¹), and operated with the liquid in the lumen side. 258 Regarding the influence of the transmembrane pressure on the recovery/removal 259 efficiency of dissolved gases, it has been reported [9,25] that it would depend on both 260 the nature of the degassed compound and the rest of the operational conditions, such 261 as the liquid flow rate or sweep gas introduction. The positive influence of the vacuum 262 pressure on the removal efficiency could also denote that membrane resistance had a 263 considerable role in the overall resistance of the process [17,26], as will be discussed 264 later.

According to the DM supplier, the most efficient mode of operation of this type of module for air liquid degassing is with the liquid on the shell side (outside the hollow fibres) [21]. However, this mode of operation typically has a lower trans-membrane pressure (TMP) limitation compared to operating with the liquid on the lumen side 269 (Table 1). The reason for the lower pressure limit from shell to lumen is because the 270 hollow fibres may collapse and be damaged if the pressure from the outside of the 271 hollow fibre to the lumen side of the hollow fibre exceeds 1050 mbar. On the other 272 hand, if the pressure from the lumen side to the outside of the hollow fibre exceeds 273 3100 mbar, the hollow fibres may over-distend and potentially be damaged [21]. 274 Nevertheless, it has been frequently reported that the best performance of different modules for liquid degassing is achieved when the liquid flows on the lumen side 275 276 [24,27]. The best or worst performance of the operation mode can usually be related to 277 the flow settings (e.g. Reynolds number or dead zones), which condition the mass 278 transfer resistance.



279

Fig. 2. Effect of liquid flow rate on the removal efficiency of $D-CH_4$ for a) vacuum experiments and b) sweep gas experiments. LS: Liquid in lumen side. SS: Liquid in shell side. Filled grey symbols data from [17].

283

In our experiments, the membrane performance in shell and lumen side modes was also compared at the highest vacuum pressure (800 mbar, Fig. 2a). As can be seen, and in contrast to what was indicated by the DM supplier [21], the shell side mode showed usually 20-25% lower removal efficiency than the lumen side mode at the same operational conditions, which could be attributed to a higher cross-section in the shell part of the module, which means a lower liquid velocity and a higher probability of channelling.

Thus it is important to know the influence of the fluid operational conditions on each hollow fibre membrane before selecting the mode of operation and, depending on the operating conditions of the system, the maximum allowable trans-membrane pressure (TMP) may limit the way a module should be used [21].

295 The results of experiments operating with N_2 as sweep gas can be seen in Fig. 2b 296 under configurations of lumen and shell side modes. For lumen side operation (LS) the 297 trends were similar to that described at vacuum operation: RE decreased with the liquid 298 flow rate, and increased with the sweep gas flow rate (15–20% of difference at Q_{N2} of 299 2.7 and 27.0 L h^{-1}), except for the experiments at the minimum liquid flow rate 0.4 L h^{-1} , 300 where the results were practically the same at both Q_{N2} tested. By contrast, 301 experiments carried out in shell side mode (SS) showed a different behaviour. In this 302 mode of operation no significant influence of gas or liquid flow rate on methane RE was 303 observed, with values of RE around 30-35% at all the flows tested. This 'plateau' 304 performance may indicate that when the module is operated in shell side mode, 305 transport through the PDMS membrane is the predominant resistance to overall mass 306 transfer. Besides, the fact that the RE of the experiments in LS with higher liquid flow 307 rates and gas is substantially the same as that obtained with the SS experiments could 308 corroborate this hypothesis, since in these conditions less resistance to mass transfer 309 would exist in the liquid phase and gas.

When the performance of vacuum and sweep gas type operation is compared (Figs. 2 and 3), different influences of the liquid flow rate were observed. For the lowest liquid flow rate tested ($0.5 \text{ L} \text{ h}^{-1}$), vacuum operation performs better only for experiments carried out at the maximum vacuum operation (800 mbar), but a sharper decline in RE with the liquid flow rate was observed for sweep gas operation, and at medium and high liquid flow, even operating at the minimum vacuum tested (140 mbar), the vacuum operation experiments show better RE than the sweep gas experiments.

317

318 3.3 Mass transfer study

As has been introduced previously, the overall mass transfer coefficient K_{Lexp} can be obtained experimentally from the unit membrane degassing mass balance at the liquid phase (Eq. (5)). Variation with the liquid flow rate at different operation modes and vacuum pressures can be found in Fig. 3a.

According to Eqs. (6) and (7), if the resistance of the liquid phase is not negligible, an increase in liquid flow rate must promote higher values of the overall coefficient. As can be seen in Fig. 3a, a positive dependence was obtained for liquid flow rate below 6 L h⁻ , especially in LS mode operation, indicating that the liquid mass transfer resistance can have a predominant role. Nevertheless, when the flow rate was increased until near the liquid flow rate limit of the unit (12.0 L h^{-1} , Table 1), the mass transfer coefficient drastically decreased.

This unusual behaviour, the decrease of the mass transfer coefficient with the liquid flow rate, could denote that the membrane unit has an operational flow rate limit from which the fibres may suffer overpressure that can deform or compress them, which would reduce its permeability, and increase the membrane resistance.

334



335

Fig. 3. Experimental mass transfer coefficient ($K_{Lexp} \cdot A_L$) for a) vacuum experiments and b) sweep gas experiments. LS: Liquid in lumen side. SS: Liquid in shell side. Filled grey symbols data from [17]

339

As has usually been reported for similar operations [6], the methane mass transfer was improved by increasing the transmembrane pressure, and the experiment carried out at 800 mbar of vacuum pressure showed the highest overall mass transfer coefficients 343 (Fig. 3a). These results could suggest that the membrane resistance was nonnegligible at the operational conditions studied and, as has been previously reported 344 [26,28], the membrane mass transfer coefficient (k_m) can be positively influenced by 345 346 the vacuum pressure of the permeate. In this sense, the membrane resistance 347 coefficient for dense membranes has been related to the membrane thickness, partial 348 pressure of the permeate compound, and permeability coefficient [29], which depends 349 on the properties of both the membrane and compound (barrer = $7.5005 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^-$ ¹Pa⁻¹ – STP). For PDMS membranes, values of the methane permeability coefficient at 350 351 ambient conditions are usually reported from 850 to 1600 barrer [30-32], with a value 352 of 950 barrer [33] suggested by the module supplier.

353

As expected from the removal efficiency results, comparison of the shell and lumen side operations mode (800 mbar) showed lower overall mass transfer coefficients for the shell side at vacuum experiments, probably due to their lower liquid velocity.

357 The values of the mass transfer coefficient for sweep gas experiments can be found in 358 Fig. 3b. K_1 increased with the liquid and gas flow rate, except for the shell side mode 359 (gas into the fibres), where the influence of the gas flow rate on the K_L was minimal. 360 The fact that at the highest liquid flow rate the overall mass transfer coefficients are 361 quite similar, independently of the operation mode, seems to confirm the importance of 362 membrane resistance at these operational conditions also in the sweep gas 363 experiments. Assuming that the membrane mass resistance was predominant at the 364 highest flow rate in the shell side mode (Fig. 3b), the membrane mass transfer coefficient, $k_{m,r}$ can be obtained from Eq. (7) with a value near the range (10⁻⁵- 10⁻⁶ m s⁻¹ 365 ¹) of that reported for dense materials of polymer with the same thickness and 366 367 permeability characteristics [18,29].

368 In Fig. 4a modified Wilson plot is presented, where the different transport resistances 369 $(R_i = 1 / k_iA_i)$ for experiments at 500 mbar vacuum are shown. As assumed, the gas 370 phase resistance can be considered as negligible (<0.5% of overall resistance), 371 independent of the estimation equation [34,35], and it has not been taken into 372 consideration for simplification purposes. The white point corresponds to the highest 373 tested liquid flow rate ($Q_L = 11 \text{ L} \text{ h}^{-1}$), where, as discussed previously, an unusual 374 behaviour was observed. This point has not been considered for correlations or further 375 discussion. The solid line stands for the theoretical liquid resistance ($R_L = 1/k_L A_i$) where 376 the liquid mass transfer coefficient, k_L, has been estimated from the Leveque equation 377 [36]:

$$\frac{k_{L}d_{i}}{D} = 1.62 \left[\frac{d_{i}^{2}v_{L}}{LD}\right]^{0.333}$$
(8)

378 where d_i (m) is the fibre inner diameter, L(m) the length, v_L (m s⁻¹) the liquid velocity, 379 and D the molecular diffusivity of methane in water, reported as 1.76 10^{-9} m² s⁻¹ [37]. 380 This equation has been demonstrated extensively as correlating the mass transfer in 381 the lumen side under laminar flow [10,11].

382 As we can see in Fig. 4, the experimental overall resistance was significantly lower 383 than the estimation of the liquid phase resistance, indicating that the mass transfer 384 resistance in the liquid phase is much lower than that predicted by the theoretical 385 correlations. This enhancement of component mass transfer has been described 386 previously when oversaturation conditions of degassed component exist in the liquid 387 phase [15] and it should be taken into account in design considerations, to avoid 388 excessive oversizing of units. In this sense, to include in the mass transfer resistance 389 model the effect of methane oversaturation, this study proposes the introduction of an 390 enhancement factor for driving the mass transfer (E) in liquid phase transfer associated 391 with oversaturation, analogous to that used when chemical reactions take place in the 392 liquid phase. So, the mass transfer resistance Eq. (7) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{K_{Lexp}A_L} = \frac{1}{Hk_mA_{ml}} + \frac{1}{E k_LA_i}$$
(9)

393

394 The dotted line in Fig. 4 represents the linear correlation of the experimental overall 395 resistance (black square points) with Eq. (9) considering the Leveque equation for $k_{\rm L}$. As can be seen, a relatively good correlation ($r^2 = 0.9$) with a value for the 396 397 enhancement factor (E) of 1.6 from the slope of the linear correlation was obtained. 398 The dashed line shows the membrane resistance obtained from the intercept (R_m = $1/Hk_mA_{ml} = 1.64 \ 10^5 \ s \ m^{-3}$) of the linear correlation. This value is guite similar to that 399 400 reported previously [18,29] for this compound, and material permeability and thickness. 401 As can be seen (Fig. 4), the membrane resistance seemed non-negligible, ranging 402 from 7 to 20% of overall resistance, especially for high liquid velocities.

403



404

Fig. 4. Modified Wilson plot of results in lumen side mode at. 500 mbar of vacuum pressure. Points: experimental overall resistance (R_{total} = 1/ $K_{Lexp}A_i$). Solid line: liquid resistance (R_L = 1/ k_LA_i) estimated from Leveque equation [36]. Dotted line: linear correlation of experimental points with Eq. (9). Dashed line: membrane resistance (R_m).

409

411 3.4 Long-term performance: fouling

412 The period from which membrane fouling considerably affects the DM performance and 413 when it must be cleaned is especially important in real industrial continuous treatments, 414 where cleaning stages must be planned and taken into account for economic 415 considerations. The appearance of the fouling phenomenon in DMs for methane 416 recovery in effluents of anaerobic treatments has not been studied in the specific 417 literature and most previous studies [4,15,17] have been carried out at a relatively short 418 time-on-stream (<1 hour), without fouling interference. Only the studies developed by 419 Bandara et al. [12,13] indicated that membrane fouling of the DM was insignificant for 420 their long-term experiments (>30 days).

421 As explained earlier, long-term experiments were performed in order to determine both 422 the possible influence of fouling on the contactor performance and the frequency of 423 cleaning that should be used to maintain the desired methane recovery/removal 424 capability. These experiments were carried out in the sweep gas mode configuration. 425 Initially, a lumen side mode experiment was carried out, as shown in Fig. 5a. As can be 426 seen in this figure, the performance in this experiment was kept almost stable/constant 427 (RE around 60-65%) for approximately 120 hours of operation, but after this period an 428 appreciable and continuous decrease in efficiency was observed. This decrease was 429 attributed to fouling phenomena on the membrane, which was corroborated, since, 430 after conventional cleaning with deionised water, the initial activity was completely 431 recovered (around 65% of RE). Despite the use of a filter that prevented the passage 432 of particles > 40 microns, the appearance of fouling was relatively early, but seemed 433 reversible with a soft cleaning. Natural organic matter related to microbial and 434 extracellular polymer substances has been described as the major type of foulant in 435 biological wastewater treatment units [38] and most of them can present a size lower 436 than 40 microns. Biofouling has been described as a major concern in anaerobic 437 membrane bioreactors, limiting more widespread application of this system in 438 wastewater treatments [39].

439 As can be seen in Fig. 5b, the results for shell side mode operation at the same liquid 440 operational conditions were quite different. The duration of the initial period of stable 441 performance (RE around 35%) was considerably lower than that observed in lumen 442 operation (< 50 hours). This faster deactivation may be related to the lower velocity of 443 the liquid in the SS operation, which may favour the deposition of particles from the 444 biological process and therefore the fouling effect. Moreover, with conventional 445 cleaning (water cleaning 1 in Fig. 5), RE recovered only partially (around 20%) but did 446 not reach the initial performance and after a period of almost 50 hours the performance 447 decreased again. As the RE did not completely recover, it was assumed that a residual 448 fouling, that was difficult to remove with water, increased the resistance to methane 449 mass transfer, so a chemical cleaning protocol was used to try to remove this fouling 450 and restore the initial performance. Two consecutive chemical cleanings (chemical 451 cleanings 1 and 2 in Fig. 5b) were needed to restore the RE to values similar to the 452 initial ones, but a decrease of performance appeared only 20 hours later. Further water 453 or chemical cleaning protocols did not restore the initial performance and a 454 performance decrease appeared almost immediately (1-2 hours). This behaviour could be attributed to an irreversible/recalcitrant biofouling promoted by the growth of biologically active microorganisms to form biofilms. A less probable damage to the membrane from the use of the chemical cleaning protocol could also provoke the same effect. In any case, this DM unit was discarded for the rest of the experiments.

459



460

461 Fig. 5. Effect of long-term operation on RE. a) Lumen side mode: $Q_L=1.8 L h^{-1}$. $Q_{N2}= 12$ 462 L h⁻¹. b) Shell side mode: $Q_L=1.8 L h^{-1}$. $Q_{N2}= 2.7 L h^{-1}$.

463

464 These results highlight the importance of choosing an appropriate strategy for 465 membrane fouling control and membrane cleaning [40], especially in biological 466 treatments where, additionally, a complex chemistry is involved and different 467 mechanisms and synergistic effects can promote the appearance of fouling [38]. So, 468 taking into account that during the short-term experiment phase, the occurrence of 469 fouling was not observed, despite the more than 5000 hours of accumulated operation 470 (3000 h in LS and 2250 h in SS), a similar cleaning strategy to that used in that phase, 471 based on a daily water backwashing of about 30 minutes, could be proposed for this 472 process.

474 3.5 Feasibility: energy and economic considerations

475 As was argued formerly, vacuum operation offers the potential to deliver much higher 476 recovered gas purities, since it minimises gas-side dilution by avoiding the addition of 477 dilutive sweep gas, and an elevated concentration of methane can be obtained [20]. 478 Therefore, only this operation mode has been evaluated for the energy and cost 479 efficiency.

In order to determine the efficiency of the recovery of methane, a comparison between energy recovered as methane and the energy demand of the operation must be carried out. In this case, the power demand of vacuum (blower) and liquid flow (pump) operations will be considered. Blower/compressor power consumption (W) can be calculated for an isentropic process from the following expression [4]:

$$W(J kg^{-1}) = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{R T_a}{M} \left| \left(\frac{P_D}{P_A} \right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}} - 1 \right|$$
(10)

485 P_D and P_A are discharge and suction pressure, respectively, γ is the isentropic 486 expansion coefficient of gas, R is the constant of ideal gases, M is the molecular weight 487 of the gas, and T_a is the temperature of the suction. Assuming a vacuum pump 488 efficiency of 0.65, the power demand can be calculated. For liquid flow, the power 489 consumption in the membrane can be estimated from:

$$W(J kg^{-1}) = \frac{P_{I} - P_{O}}{\rho} + \Sigma F$$
(11)

490 where P_1 and P_0 are the inlet and outlet pressure, $\sum F$ is the friction loss (estimated as 491 20% of the total power demand) and ρ is the liquid density. A pump efficiency of 0.65 492 was also assumed in this study.

493 Assuming an electrical conversion efficiency of methane of 35%, the energy required in 494 vacuum operation ranged from 0.2% to 3% of the recovered energy as methane in the 495 membrane for 140 mbar and 800 mbar of vacuum pressures, respectively. The pump 496 operation was always lower than 0.01% of the recovered energy and therefore it can 497 be considered as negligible. As the amount of methane recovered increased with 498 vacuum pressure and RE, to compare different operational conditions the parameter 499 Saved Energy has been defined as the difference between the recovered electrical 500 energy and demand of vacuum operation energy per cubic meter of treated effluent.

Fig. 6 shows the variation of the recovered energy per cubic meter of effluent versus the anaerobic effluent flow rate. As observed, the saved energy was positive in all cases, and showed an optimum value for liquid flow rate of around 2–6 L h⁻¹ for lumen side operation. These results show that, even when using conservative values for the process included in the energy balance, a net energy production can be obtained from the recovery of methane in anaerobic effluents. Similar conclusions have been recently reported [4,15]. 508 In addition, the renewable energy that can be produced from the recovered methane 509 can offset the carbon footprint of D-CH₄ in anaerobic effluents, which indicates that 510 integrating this technology in the anaerobic treatment of wastewater can even become carbon-positive. The environmental interest of this technology is clearly demonstrated 511 512 when the emission to the atmosphere of around 0.20 kg CO_2 equivalent m⁻³ effluent is avoided with every 25% of removal/recovery efficiency (RE) of the process. In an 513 anaerobic treatment of 100 m³ effluent h⁻¹ and for a RE of 60–70%, it would be possible 514 to avoid the emission of 400-500 tons of CO₂ equivalent per year. 515



516

517 Fig. 6. Saved energy at different operational conditions. LS: lumen side, SS: shell side.

518

519 From an economic point of view, the energy saved seems relatively low: for example, 500 kJ m⁻³ effluent (near the maximum value in Fig. 6) means that approximately 0.140 520 521 kWh m⁻³ effluent can be saved. These values are in agreement with those recently 522 reported [4.6,15]. Assuming an electricity cost of 0.100 € kWh⁻¹, around 0.014 € per cubic meter of treated effluent could be obtained in the methane recovery operation. In 523 these conditions, for an anaerobic treatment of 100 m³ effluent h⁻¹, the economic saving 524 525 from the produced electricity would be of only around 10 000–12 500 € per year. Taking into account that capital cost of membrane process was reported to be in the 526 range of 1 000-1 200 € per m³ h⁻¹ [41], that annual saving could serve to fund at least 527 528 partially investment and/or operational costs (personnel, cleaning and others), but does 529 not seem sufficient to fully assure the financial viability of the process. Further 530 reduction in capital cost of membranes seems still necessary to guarantee the 531 economic yield of the process.

532

4. Conclusions

534 The performance and viability of the use of a polydimethylsiloxane hollow fibre 535 membrane module for in situ methane recovery/removal from the effluent of an

536 Expanded Granular Sludge Bed (EGSB) anaerobic reactor has been studied. The 537 methane concentration in the biological reactor effluent was around two times higher 538 than saturation equilibrium. The maximum removal efficiencies obtained in our 539 experiments at vacuum operation mode were of around 77% for experiments at the 540 highest vacuum (800 mbar) and the lowest liquid flow rate (~0.4 L h⁻¹), when operated 541 with the liquid in the lumen side. Independent of the operational conditions, shell side 542 mode showed lower removal efficiency than lumen side mode, which was attributed to 543 the lower liquid velocity and a higher probability of channelling of the shell part of the 544 module. The comparative performance of vacuum and sweep gas type operations 545 depended on the liquid flow rate and vacuum pressure.

546 Mass transport analysis denoted that the methane transfer was enhanced by liquid 547 oversaturation and the overall mass transfer coefficients were considerably higher than 548 predicted by theoretical models. An enhancement factor for liquid phase has been 549 proposed to correlate the experimental results, with a value of 1.6 for 500 mbar 550 vacuum pressure operation in LS mode. Additionally, the membrane resistance 551 seemed non-negligible, ranging from 10 to 30% of overall resistance, especially for low 552 liquid velocities.

553 In the first attempt in the literature to study the long-term behaviour of a DM module for 554 methane degassing, a faster deactivation in the SS mode operation was observed, 555 related to the lower velocity of the liquid in this mode that can favour the fouling effect. 556 Fouling seemed to depend little on the mode and operational conditions, and a 557 relatively frequent cleaning with water might be carried out to ensure preservation of 558 the membrane efficiency during long periods.

559 The energy balance analysis showed that energy production exceeded the system 560 energy requirements for all the operation conditions, although it does not seem enough 561 to guarantee the economic yield of the process. A considerable reduction of CO_2 562 equivalent emissions showed the positive environmental impact of this technology.

563

564 Acknowledgements

565 Financial support was obtained from the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad 566 (Spain, project CTM-2014-54517-R, co-financed by FEDER funds); and from 567 Generalitat Valenciana (Spain, Prometeo project 2013/53). M. Henares acknowledges 568 Generalitat Valenciana for financial support for her PhD.

569

570 References

- 571[1]F.Y. Cakir, M.K. Stenstrom, Greenhouse gas production: a comparison between572aerobic and anaerobic wastewater treatment technology., Water Res. 39 (2005)5734197–203. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2005.07.042.
- A.L. Smith, L.B. Stadler, N.G. Love, S.J. Skerlos, L. Raskin, Perspectives on anaerobic membrane bioreactor treatment of domestic wastewater: a critical review., Bioresour. Technol. 122 (2012) 149–59.
 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.055.

- 578 [3] Z. Alshboul, J. Encinas-Fernández, H. Hofmann, A. Lorke, Export of dissolved
 579 methane and carbon dioxide with effluents from municipal wastewater treatment
 580 plants, Environ. Sci. Technol. 0 (2016). doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b04923.
- 581 [4] B.C. Crone, J.L. Garland, G.A. Sorial, L.M. Vane, Significance of dissolved
 582 methane in effluents of anaerobically treated low strength wastewater and
 583 potential for recovery as an energy product: A review, Water Res. 104 (2016)
 584 520–531. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.08.019.
- 585 [5] C.L. Souza, C.A.L. Chernicharo, S.F. Aquino, Quantification of dissolved
 586 methane in UASB reactors treating domestic wastewater under different
 587 operating conditions, Water Sci. Technol. 64 (2011) 2259–2264.
 588 doi:10.2166/wst.2011.695.
- 589 [6] J. Cookney, E. Cartmell, B. Jefferson, E.J. McAdam, Recovery of methane from anaerobic process effluent using poly-di-methyl-siloxane membrane contactors.,
 591 Water Sci. Technol. 65 (2012) 604–10. doi:10.2166/wst.2012.897.
- 592 [7] H. Yeo, J. An, R. Reid, B.E. Rittmann, H.S. Lee, Contribution of Liquid/Gas
 593 Mass-Transfer Limitations to Dissolved Methane Oversaturation in Anaerobic
 594 Treatment of Dilute Wastewater, Environ. Sci. Technol. 49 (2015) 10366–10372.
 595 doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02560.
- 596 [8] M. Bani Shahabadi, L. Yerushalmi, F. Haghighat, Impact of process design on
 597 greenhouse gas (GHG) generation by wastewater treatment plants, Water Res.
 598 43 (2009) 2679–2687. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2009.02.040.
- A. Sengupta, P.A. Peterson, B.D. Miller, J. Schneider, C.W. Fulk, Large-scale
 application of membrane contactors for gas transfer from or to ultrapure water,
 Sep. Purif. Technol. 14 (1998) 189–200. doi:10.1016/S1383-5866(98)00074-4.
- 602 [10] M. Stanojević, B. Lazarević, D. Radić, Review of membrane contactors designs 603 and applications of different modules in industry, FME Trans. 31 (2003) 91–98.
- 604[11]A. Gabelman, S.-T. Hwang, Hollow fiber membrane contactors, J. Memb. Sci.605159 (1999) 61–106. doi:10.1016/S0376-7388(99)00040-X.
- W.M.K.R.T.W. Bandara, H. Satoh, M. Sasakawa, Y. Nakahara, M. Takahashi, S.
 Okabe, Removal of residual dissolved methane gas in an upflow anaerobic
 sludge blanket reactor treating low-strength wastewater at low temperature with
 degassing membrane., Water Res. 45 (2011) 3533–40.
 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2011.04.030.
- [13] W.M.K.R.T.W. Bandara, T. Kindaichi, H. Satoh, M. Sasakawa, Y. Nakahara, M.
 Takahashi, et al., Anaerobic treatment of municipal wastewater at ambient
 temperature: Analysis of archaeal community structure and recovery of
 dissolved methane., Water Res. 46 (2012) 5756–64.
 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.07.061.
- 616 [14] W.M.K.R.T.W. Bandara, M. Ikeda, H. Satoh, M. Sasakawa, Y. Nakahara, M.
 617 Takahashi, et al., Introduction of a Degassing Membrane Technology into
 618 Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment, Water Environ. Res. 85 (2013) 387–390.
 619 doi:10.2175/106143013X13596524516707.
- J. Cookney, A. Mcleod, V. Mathioudakis, P. Ncube, A. Soares, B. Jefferson, et
 al., Dissolved methane recovery from anaerobic effluents using hollow fibre
 membrane contactors, J. Memb. Sci. 502 (2016) 141–150.

- 623 doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2015.12.037 doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2015.12.037.
- 624 [16] G. Luo, W. Wang, I. Angelidaki, A new degassing membrane coupled upflow
 625 anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor to achieve in-situ biogas upgrading
 626 and recovery of dissolved CH4 from the anaerobic effluent, Appl. Energy. 132
 627 (2014) 536–542. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.07.059.
- M. Henares, M. Izquierdo, J.M. Penya-Roja, V. Martínez-Soria, Comparative
 study of degassing membrane modules for the removal of methane from
 Expanded Granular Sludge Bed anaerobic reactor effluent, Sep. Purif. Technol.
 170 (2016) 22–29. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.06.024.
- E. Chabanon, B. Belaissaoui, E. Favre, Gas–liquid separation processes based
 on physical solvents: opportunities for membranes, J. Memb. Sci. 459 (2014)
 52–61. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2014.02.010.
- 635 [19] C. Lafita, J.M. Penya-roja, C. Gabaldón, Anaerobic removal of 1-methoxy-2636 propanol under ambient temperature in an EGSB reactor, Bioprocess Biosyst.
 637 Eng. (2015) 2137–2146. doi:10.1007/s00449-015-1453-0.
- 638 [20] C. Vallieres, E. Favre, Vacuum versus sweeping gas operation for binary
 639 mixtures separation by dense membrane processes, J. Memb. Sci. 244 (2004)
 640 17–23. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2004.04.023.
- 641 [21] PermSelect, Operating Guidelines for PermSelect® Modules Liquid Contacting,
 642 (2016). http://permselect.com/files/PermSelect Operating Instructions Liquid
 643 Contacting.pdf (accessed April 1, 2017).
- R. Sander, Compilation of Henry 's Law Constants for Inorganic and Organic
 Species of Potential Importance in Environmental Chemistry, Database. 20
 (1999) 107.
- 647 [23] A.L. Smith, S.J. Skerlos, L. Raskin, Psychrophilic anaerobic membrane
 648 bioreactor treatment of domestic wastewater, Water Res. 47 (2013) 1655–1665.
 649 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.12.028.
- [24] Z.-G. Peng, S.-H. Lee, T. Zhou, J.-J. Shieh, T.-S. Chung, A study on pilot-scale
 degassing by polypropylene (PP) hollow fiber membrane contactors,
 Desalination. 234 (2008) 316–322. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2007.09.100.
- 653 [25] C.I. McDermott, S.A. Tarafder, O. Kolditz, C. Schüth, Vacuum assisted removal
 654 of volatile to semi volatile organic contaminants from water using hollow fiber
 655 membrane contactors. II: A hybrid numerical-analytical modeling approach, J.
 656 Memb. Sci. 292 (2007) 17–28. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2007.01.009.
- [26] J. Li, L.-P. Zhu, Y.-Y. Xu, B.-K. Zhu, Oxygen transfer characteristics of
 hydrophilic treated polypropylene hollow fiber membranes for bubbleless
 aeration, J. Memb. Sci. 362 (2010) 47–57. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2010.06.013.
- K. Tan, G. Capar, K. Li, Analysis of dissolved oxygen removal in hollow fibre
 membrane modules: effect of water vapour, J. Memb. Sci. 251 (2005) 111–119.
 doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2004.11.005.
- 663 [28] T. Ahmed, M.J. Semmens, M.A. Voss, Oxygen transfer characteristics of hollow664 fiber, composite membranes, Adv. Environ. Res. 8 (2004) 637–646.
 665 doi:10.1016/S1093-0191(03)00036-4.
- 666 [29] P.T. Nguyen, E. Lasseuguette, Y. Medina-Gonzalez, J.C. Remigy, D. Roizard, E.

- Favre, A dense membrane contactor for intensified CO2 gas/liquid absorption in
 post-combustion capture, J. Memb. Sci. 377 (2011) 261–272.
 doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2011.05.003.
- S. Heile, S. Rosenberger, A. Parker, B. Jefferson, E.J. McAdam, Establishing
 the suitability of symmetric ultrathin wall polydimethylsiloxane hollow-fibre
 membrane contactors for enhanced CO₂ separation during biogas upgrading, J.
 Memb. Sci. 452 (2014) 37–45. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2013.10.007.
- 674 [31] D.C. Nymeijer, B. Folkers, I. Breebaart, M.H. V Mulder, M. Wessling, Selection
 675 of Top Layer Materials for Gas-Liquid Membrane Contactors, J. Appl. Polym.
 676 Sci. 92 (2004) 323–334. doi: 10.1002/app.20006.
- 677 [32] T.C. Merkel, V.I. Bondar, K. Nagai, B.D. Freeman, I. Pinnau, Gas sorption,
 678 diffusion, and permeation in poly(dimethylsiloxane), J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym.
 679 Phys. 38 (2000) 415–434. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099680 0488(20000201)38:3<415::AID-POLB8>3.0.CO;2-Z.
- [33] J.P. Montoya, Membrane Gas Exchange: Using Hollow Fiber Membranes to
 Separate Gases from Liquid and Gaseous Streams, MedArray, Inc. (2010).
 https://permselect.com/files/Using_Membranes_for_Gas_Exchange.pdf
 (accessed April 1, 2017).
- 685 [34] R. Prasad, K. Sirkar, Dispersion-free solvent extraction with microporous hollow 686 fiber modules, AIChE J. 34 (1988) 177–188.
- 687 [35] L. Dahuron, L. Cussler, Protein Extractions with Hollow Fibers, AIChE J. 34
 688 (1988) 131–136. doi:10.1002/aic.690340115.
- E. Drioli, A. Criscuoli, E. Curcio, Membrane contactors:Fundamentals,
 applications and potentialities., in: Membr. Sci. Technol. Ser. vol.11, Amsterdam,
 2006.
- 692 [37] Y. Cengel, M. Boles, Thermodynamics: An Engineering Approach, 6th ed.,
 693 McGraw-Hill, New York, 2008.
- K. Shi, G. Tal, N.P. Hankins, V. Gitis, Fouling and cleaning of ultrafiltration
 membranes: A review, J. Water Process Eng. 1 (2014) 121–138.
 doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2014.04.003.
- 697 [39] H. Lin, W. Peng, M. Zhang, J. Chen, H. Hong, Y. Zhang, A review on anaerobic
 698 membrane bioreactors: Applications, membrane fouling and future perspectives,
 699 Desalination. 314 (2013) 169–188. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2013.01.019.
- J. Zhang, S.I. Padmasiri, M. Fitch, B. Norddahl, L. Raskin, E. Morgenroth,
 Influence of cleaning frequency and membrane history on fouling in an
 anaerobic membrane bioreactor, Desalination. 207 (2007) 153–166.
 doi:10.1016/j.desal.2006.07.009.
- M.H. Al-Malack, M.M. Rahman, Treatment of refinery wastewater using mebrane
 processes, in: K. Mohanty and M.K. Purkait (Eds.), Membrane technologies and
 applications, CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, 2012, pp 121–132.